

## All Comments

---

### City Plan 2

194/2905

**comment**

The subject site, at present, is under utilised and is characterised by poor quality commercial buildings and urban decay. As a consequence, its visual impact is very negative. Furthermore, the site contributes nothing to the economic vitality of the area. Indeed, the existing condition of the site likely discourages inward investment within Pollokshields East. As a consequence of the proposed retail development on the site, significant environmental benefits, including improved visual amenity and remediation of a contaminated site, could be achieved in the short term. Otherwise the site potentially could be vacant for a considerable period of time. Furthermore, the residents of Pollokshields East would be provided with a much needed retail development.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

### City Plan 2

273/1358

**Appendix**

4

**comment**

Regarding PO 6.5 Retro-fitting the Urban Environment □

**your wish**

Although Option 5B is less positive than 5A, 'orange lights' are not appropriate with regard to natural heritage factors

**postcard no:**

---

### City Plan 2

61/782

**CP2 Policies**

**comment**

Against policies which both prevent the development of new superstores in out of town locations and place limits on the percentage of retail floorspace in new superstores which could be given over to comparison goods

**your wish**

believe we should retain the approach to new retail development set out in City Plan 2, including the sequential approach and assessment of proposals against set criteria. Given what we have already said about the city centre and capital concentration. In relation to food, drink and entertainment uses we wish to ensure that the new policy restricts the opening hours of class 11 uses, given that the local authority are interpreting combinations of uses as composite uses and not as ancillary uses. This is essential to prevent public houses applying for entertainment uses and therefore escaping the controls over opening hours laid out in the city plan.

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****79 / 1697****CP2 Policies**

**comment** Request an element of flexibility in the requirement for developer contributions for Clyde Fastlink.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****205 / 3827****CP2 Policies**

**comment** The CP2 policy basis complies with SPP and provides a robust assessment of proposals and, in order to minimise planning process, Tesco have made the strategic decision to develop stores in existing town/commercial centres. Tesco have only recently pursued out of centre locations where there is retail deficiency, no sequentially preferable sites and where could contribute to other CP2 objectives. Therefore CP2 policies have ensured the protection of the vitality and viability of town centres.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****214 / 640****CP2 Policies**

**comment** Relates to proposed residential development at Otago St (App 10/01128/DC). The site is presently allocated in the Glasgow City Plan 2 Under DEV2 and a small section adj to the River Kelvin DEV11. Planning permission was granted in 1997 for the site, however only 1 block was built. Given the planning history the site should be allocated as a 'Residential Development Site' in the new LDP. This proposed land use allocation will make the process of considering the principle of development more straightforward on sites that are regarded as acceptable for new development.

**your wish** This proposed allocation would assist in addressing concerns with new development on sites that are already allocated under policy DEV2. By expanding on this DPP it will give landowners more certainty on their interests and provide the local community and the Council with additional information on the likelihood of new development in certain locations.

**posctard no:**

**CP2 Policies****comment**

The potential East Route for the Clyde Fastlink is located within relatively close proximity to the Osborne Street car park site. guidance on developer contributions in relation to the Clyde Fastlink. Development Guide DG/TRANS4 – Clyde Fastlink – Developer Contributions is guidance which provides an explicit means of calculating developer contributions for Clyde Fastlink. This Guide provides details of how the contributions to Clyde Fastlink will be calculated under Policy TRANS 8: Developer Contributions – Transport Infrastructure. We understand that the Council will seek to continue this developer contribution approach within the emerging LDP.

**your wish**

request that any new policy or supplementary guidance relating the Clyde Fastlink and developer contributions to transport infrastructure should be worded and applied appropriately and fairly to ensure that the Council makes a reasonable request (if applicable) in terms of the level of contribution required for new developments. Such policy should not be applied where it would significantly compromise the viability and investment case for new proposals. request that the current difficult market conditions and development viability be taken into consideration should the Council's level of contributions be revised. Given the current challenges in the development and investment climate we would respectfully request that any re-examination of the approach to the Fastlink contributions be restricted to consideration of the approach, and not the quantum of contributions, as any increase would only be a further negative influence on the ability to deliver development.

**postcard no:****CP2 Policies****comment**

Student accommodation is not defined within any of the CP2 DPPs as a permissible use. This approach is accepted by WJG as there is a need to ensure that student accommodation remains a flexible land use, appropriate to more than one 'zoning'. We would ask that in the assessment of the DPPs for the Proposed LDP, careful consideration is given to revised policy wording to include the permissible use of student accommodation developments where it can form a complementary use outside DEV 2 locations.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****56 / 1070****CP2 Policies****IB10****comment**

Safeguarding Coal Resources. In Scotland, policies to ensure the effective restoration of coal extraction sites will also be required

**your wish**

advocates including policies on effective restoration

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****56 / 1072****CP2 Policies****IB10****comment**

Safeguarding Coal Resources. In Scotland, policies to minimise other public safety hazards will be required

**your wish**

advocates policies to be included as stated

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****56 / 1073****CP2 Policies****IB10****comment**

Safeguarding Coal Resources. In Scotland, flexible policies for assessing future proposals for emerging coal-related technologies should also be incorporated in mineral planning documents

**your wish**

advocates including flexible policies such as those regarding coal bed methane

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****143 / 1530****CP2 Policies****DES 11****comment**

Tall buildings are becoming intrusive and are threatening the character of the Victorian city. They should be seen as more appropriate in the new areas of the city.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****150/2887****CP2 Policies****DES 11****comment**

Tall Buildings It is proposed in the MIR that the existing Tall Buildings policy (DES 11) is continued in the new Local Development Plan with further clarification being offered by the Council on locations suitable for tall buildings. It is the Partnership's view that more evidence is required as to why tall buildings cannot be considered in Glasgow on a site by site basis. Given the historical development of the City (and in particular the City Centre) and the sporadic nature in which tall buildings have emerged for a variety of reasons, it is not clear from the evidence presented why there should be a locational pattern to the policy approach being suggested by the City Council. We would request further clarification on the evidence base in this regard.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****164/3672****CP2 Policies****DES 11****comment**

Tall buildings have lots of problems

**your wish**

Strengthen City Plan 2 provisions

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****90/570****CP2 Policies****DES 11: Tall Buildings****comment**

It is proposed in the MIR that the existing Tall Buildings policy is continued in the new Local Development Plan with further clarification being offered by the Council on locations suitable for tall buildings.

The College would appreciate confirmation that any changes to this policy will not affect the approved Development Framework for the proposed City and Riverside development sites. We would also be interested in further details of the rationale for proposed changes to the policy when this is available.

**your wish****posctard no:**

**CP2 Policies****DES 2****comment**

Biodiversity City Plan 2 (p.121, DES 2) states that any development should protect existing biodiversity, compensate for unavoidable loss and enhance or add to the biodiversity (DES 4). The designs proposed by NCV (and probably any other developer) would result in the loss of a 1.44 hectare greenspace containing at least 480 trees and countless other species (see appendix No 4) for which compensation for the loss of greenspace and the horticultural and recreational activities has never been offered. Currently the land supports wildlife and biodiversity; it also is a logical extension of the green network that allows movement of wildlife between the River Kelvin and Dawsholm Park and further to the north being the Canal and then Ruchill Park. This idea is supported by the MIR (LDP) which states (Para 2.128, p.71) "...there is a need to examine opportunities for green network enhancement ..."

**your wish****posctard no:****CP2 Policies****DES 3****comment**

There is a need for all involved to have a better understanding of policy interpretation. At present it would appear that there is little contained within the relevant policies which relates directly to forms of poster advertising such as vinyls, drums, utility boxes, totem wraps or heras fencing and corex boards. Most existing policies are aimed at commercial properties; large outdoor displays; sponsorship; etc. There is some helpful guidance regarding poster sites but this needs to be re-examined and amended. Particular reference is made to policies DES 3, DES 8, DG/DES 1 and DG/DES 3, where reference is made to various forms of advertising - including on listed buildings and in conservation areas. New policies need to be prepared.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****106/371****CP2 Policies****DES 3****comment**

DES 3, DES 8 - the existing policies relating to poster advertising need to be reviewed to take proper account of the opportunities and benefits that can accrue to the authority through an authorised poster advertising programme.

**your wish**

The authority has to have policies which specifically relate to all the various forms of poster advertising and to the temporary nature of some of these forms of advertising. Policies should be more positive and more appropriate and should recognise the need to satisfy the local market - venues, gigs, bands, etc.

Adopt an Authorised Advertising Programme to ensure that all operators are subject to the same rules and ensure that the Council can benefit from the programme through the clean-down agreements, etc.

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****89/1606****CP2 Policies****DEV 1****comment**

Retention of this policy in LDP supported to allow the business and retail use of railway arches.

**your wish**

Retain policy in LDP.

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****210/1142****CP2 Policies****DEV4****comment**

Supports the review of town centre boundaries. Requests that GCC recognises the strategic importance of Parkhead as a Strategic Centre.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****210/1143****CP2 Policies****DEV7****comment**

Supports the review of other retail and commercial centre boundaries. Requests that GCC recognises the strategic importance of Parkhead as a Strategic Centre.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****204/498****CP2 Policies****ENV****comment**

City Plan 2 indicates that development should protect existing wildlife. This is threatened by this proposal. The area contains valuable biodiversity essential to our environmental health and children's education.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****113/2924****CP2 Policies****ENV 1****comment**

Placing this Southpark Avenue site on the Open Space map would meet many of the crucial criteria identified in the Council's Open Space document. For example, it would improve the quality of amenity space, health and well being and ecological quality in an area that has a very high population density. In view of the site's proximity to Great Western Road, air quality is presently below EU standards; open space at the site would help alleviate this problem of air pollution. In addition, it is generally accepted that urban greenery helps moderate temperature. □ Reasons for objections are: □1. The Scottish Executive's Reporter has already issued a decision (P/PPA/260/183) and it is an offence to ignore that decision. □2. The area on the other side of the wall was recently accorded green space status to correspond with the equivalent area in Southpark Avenue. □3. The Council has issued an enforcement notice that the area be returned to grass and the wall repaired after it was deliberately damaged when the ground was stripped and hardcore laid. □4. A local group (I believe it is Belmont Lane Association) has obtained planning consent to plant trees and return the ground to its former status as at the time of the Reporter's decision.

**your wish**

request the addition of 5-11 Southpark Avenue, Hillhead, Glasgow to the Green and Open Space map and list. It should be reclassified from development ground to open space.

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****268/429****CP2 Policies****ENV 1****comment**

Although more housing for small households should be provided within Glasgow, it is far better to use brownfield sites, such as Oban Rd where the old North Kelvionside School was, rather than greenfield sites such as North Kelvin Meadow.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****139 / 1297****CP2 Policies****ENV 10**

**comment** The Walkway can be a very important part of the Core Paths Plan/Strategic Cycle Routes for recreational and functional journeys to/from the City including commuting. It can also be a significant contributor to physical activity, health and well-being within the general population.

**your wish** The Kelvin Valley and the Walkway are significant green connectors/corridors within the City and to the countryside beyond that should be protected and enhanced.

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****139 / 1332****CP2 Policies****ENV 10**

**comment** The Walkway can be a very important part of the Core Paths Plan/Strategic Cycle Routes for recreational and functional journeys to/from the City including commuting. It can also be a significant contributor to physical activity, health and well-being within the general population.

**your wish** The Kelvin Valley and the Walkway are significant green connectors/corridors within the City and to the countryside beyond that should be protected and enhanced.

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****165 / 1325****CP2 Policies****ENV 10**

**comment** In relation to sustainable connections, the active travel topic and the action proposed in relation to (page 101) ENV 10 – Access Routes and Core Path Network,

**your wish** It is important to ensure that policy in this area protects all important access routes not just core paths and not just paths are protected but all important routes (e.g. on water) and rights.

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****165 / 1326****CP2 Policies****ENV 10**

**comment** In the case of applications that will have a significant negative impact on important and popular access routes, where the impact cannot be satisfactorily mitigated

**your wish** sportscotland encourages Glasgow to be willing to refuse such applications

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****165/1327****CP2 Policies****ENV 10****comment**

Glasgow should also consider whether the reference to rights of way is still needed in the new policy given that many rights of way are also covered by access rights and afforded the same legal status. This said, some rights of way are outwith access rights or provide for purposes outwith the right of access and on this basis may still be relevant.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****74/3613****CP2 Policies****ENV 7****comment**

Building on the site completely runs counter to the objectives of Glasgow City Council's own City Plan 2 which repeatedly states that Brownfield Sites will be used for housing in preference to Greenfield sites. (see Appendix 2). Whilst it is true that the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Joint Structure Plan (which is part of City Plan 2) does allow "limited incursion to greenfield sites", it also clearly states in the same paragraph (12.21) that this is only allowable where Brownfield sites are unavailable. In this case there are over a dozen such sites in the West of the City. (see Appendix 2). This policy is echoed in the Main Issues Report (MIR) of the new Local Development Plan (LDP) which proposes (p.91) "a continuing focus on the development of brownfield land over Greenfield".

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****74/3614****CP2 Policies****ENV 7****comment**

North Kelvinside Football Pitches□One main reason given for building on this site is that a small part of the receipts received from its sale (some 10% approx) will help finance the proposed development of an upgraded football pitch at Queen Margaret Drive. However, as mentioned above, there are also many Brownfield Sites which once sold could provide sufficient finance for the Queen Margaret Drive pitches. This issue was raised at the North Kelvin Community Council Meeting in February 2011. The representative of the developer, New City Vision Ltd (NCV) was asked why they were being directed to build on a greenfield site. No satisfactory answer was given. It's not in the best interest of local children's health and wellbeing that they must sacrifice one well-used Open Space in order to simply upgrade another open space that is also well-used i.e. it's an overall reduction of open space resulting in an overall decrease in the range of activities young people can get involved in.□

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****74/3618****CP2 Policies****ENV 7****comment**

Any housing development on this site contradicts Glasgow City Council's own City Plan 2, the LDP, and the Scottish Government's policy with regard to all of the above points. It also doesn't support the main themes tabled in the Main Issues Report.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****165/1328****CP2 Policies****ENV 7****comment**

SPP para 139 encourages planning authorities to limit non statutory designations to two types – local landscape areas and local nature conservation sites. Glasgow may want to consider whether it needs to rationalise some of its designations on the basis of this advice. SPP para 140 outlines the purpose of local landscape areas, one of which is to safeguard and promote important settings for outdoor recreation locally. It is not clear whether Glasgow's existing landscape designation, 'Area of Special Landscape Importance' serves this purpose.

**your wish**

sportscotland considers that the local landscape area designation would be appropriate for a number of sites within Glasgow and encourages the Council to consider its use to give policy protection to sites that are important for their landscape qualities and for outdoor recreation.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****165/1329****CP2 Policies****ENV 7****comment**

Paragraph 150 of the SPP advises planning authorities to take the statutory purpose of regional and country parks into account in making decisions that may affect them. Glasgow has a number of country parks that are hugely important for open air sport and recreation

**your wish**

sportscotland would like to see this policy protection afforded to them.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****245/506****CP2 Policies****ENV 7****comment**

We would strongly encourage a change in ENV 7 to incorporate geology and to widen environmental designations to include Local Geodiversity Sites.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****74/3617****CP2 Policies****ENV 9****comment**

Allotments City Plan 2 makes many claims to promoting allotments e.g. from Land & Environmental Services Allotments Strategy 2009-2013: "Our vision is for an inclusive vibrant community of allotments in Glasgow contributing to the wellbeing of our citizens . . ." (p.9) "Key aim of the Allotment Strategy is to underline the Council's commitment to protecting and developing (our emphasis) allotment provision in Glasgow" (p.11). ENV 9 requires proposals affecting existing allotment sites to meet the following criteria: "The developer will be required to make provision for an equivalent (or better) replacement in the vicinity of the existing allotments..."

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****204/499****CP2 Policies****ENV 9****comment**

City Plan 2 promises to develop allotments. There are huge waiting lists for an allotment and the City has a duty of provision. This site would be ideal for people living nearby who have little likelihood of ever getting an allotment. Again, such activity supports healthy lifestyles.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****219/2906****CP2 Policies****IB 8****comment**

the intention to contain a policy similar in provisions to current policy IB 8 Telecommunications as Supplementary Planning Guidance rather than development plan policy. We would take this opportunity however to comment that we consider it important that there remains in place a telecommunications policy within the emerging Local Development Plan itself rather than as supplementary planning guidance, which does not have the same statutory basis.

**your wish****posctard no:**

**CP2 Policies****IB 8****comment**

National guidance recognises this through paragraphs 248 - 254 of Scottish Planning Policy (SPP) which provides clear guidance as to the main issues surrounding telecommunications development, including siting and design. Clear guidance is also given in paragraph 251 that "local development plans or supplementary planning guidance should give a consistent basis for decisions on communications infrastructure by setting out matters that will be taken into account in decision making. " As indicated above the formulation of policy does not exist in isolation and there are numerous documents which will affect the formulation of any telecommunications policy, the most important of these being Planning Advice Note 62:Radio Telecommunications (PAN 62) which provides more detailed siting and design advice on such matters. On this basis we would suggest that within the Local Development Plan there should be a concise and flexible telecommunications policy, as at present Policy IB 8 is not concise or succinct, containing more design guidance similar to SPG rather than the key policy elements.

**your wish****posctard no:****CP2 Policies****IB 8****comment**

We would therefore consider that changing it to a policy which reads; Proposals for telecommunications development will be permitted provided that the following criteria are met: - (i) the siting and appearance of the proposed apparatus and associated structures should seek to minimise impact on the visual amenity, character or appearance of the surrounding area; (ii) if on a building, apparatus and associated structures should be sited and designed in order to seek to minimise impact to the external appearance of the host building; (iii) if proposing a new mast, it should be demonstrated that the applicant has explored the possibility of erecting apparatus on existing buildings, masts or other structures. Such evidence should accompany any application made to the (local) planning authority; (iv) If proposing development in a sensitive area, the development should not have an unacceptable effect on areas of ecological interest, areas of landscape importance, archaeological sites, conservation areas or buildings of architectural or historic interest.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****219/2909****CP2 Policies****IB 8****comment**

When considering applications for telecommunications development, the (local) planning authority will have regard to the operational requirements of telecommunications networks and the technical limitations of the technology. We would suggest that this policy be a stand alone policy within the LDP, with any back ground information, such as electromagnetic fields (EMFs) and public health and design guidance as contained in the current policy IB8, being contained within a Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG). This could then be read with SPP and PAN62 to give a comprehensive background to any proposed development.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****219/2910****CP2 Policies****IB 8****comment**

We would consider it appropriate to introduce the policy and we would suggest the following; Modern telecommunications systems have grown rapidly in recent years with more than two thirds of the population now owning a mobile phone. Mobile communications are now considered an integral part of the success of most business operations and individual lifestyles. With new services such as the advanced third generation (3G) services, demand for new telecommunications infrastructure is continuing to grow. The Council are keen to facilitate this expansion whilst at the same time minimising any environmental impacts. It is our policy to reduce the proliferation of new masts by encouraging mast sharing and location on existing tall structures and buildings.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****56/1065****CP2 Policies****IB10****comment**

Considers that the issues which relating to surface coal resourses within Glasgow and the area and the legacy of past coal mining activity e.g. instability and public safety issues should be taken into account and addressed in the development plan

**your wish**

welcomes being contacted to discuss any of the issues in more detail. As statutory consultee the Coal Authority will make appropriate representations on emerging planning policy documents, and will, where necessary participate in examinations. Welcomes discussions and is happy to provide guidance and advice on an informal basis if required. Discussions during early stages of plan preparation are encouraged.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****56 / 1066****CP2 Policies****IB10****comment**

Safeguarding Coal resources in Scotland. Issues that says (in the accompanying cross referenced leaflet) that the respondent expects to be addressed includes the identification of areas where coal extraction maybe acceptable. See SPP para 240

**your wish**

expects this for "coalfield areas" but (not clear if this includes Glasgow)

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****56 / 1067****CP2 Policies****IB10****comment**

Safeguarding Coal Resources in Scotland. Issues that says (in the accompanying cross referenced leaflet) that the respondent expects to be addressed includes the inclusion of appropriate development management policies to ensure that mineral resources are not needlessly sterilised.

**your wish**

Advocates including appropriate development management policies which should include criteria to encourage the prior extraction of surface coal resources where it is necessary for non-minerals development to take place within safeguarded areas (See SPP Para 241). Note expects this for "coalfield areas but not not clear if this includes Glasgow"

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****56 / 1068****CP2 Policies****IB10****comment**

Safeguarding Coal Resources in Scotland. Issues that says (in the accompanying cross referenced leaflet) says respondent expects to be addressed includes ensuring that areas and sites identified/ allocated for non-minerals development take account of surface coal resources and do not unnecessarily sterilise the resources within safeguarded areas (para 241 of SPP).

**your wish**

Note expects this for "coalfield areas but not not clear if this includes Glasgow"

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****56/1069****CP2 Policies****IB10****comment**

Safeguarding Coal Resources. In Scotland, mineral planning documents should therefore incorporate specific policies for energy minerals,

**your wish**

These advocated policies include policies to allow coal extraction proposals to be considered on their merits without a specific requirement to demonstrate need

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****56/1071****CP2 Policies****IB10****comment**

Safeguarding Coal Resources. In Scotland, policies to minimise the risk of any future land stability will be required

**your wish**

advocates including policies that minimise ther risk of land stability being affected

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****56/1074****CP2 Policies****IB10****comment**

Safeguarding Coal Resources. In Scotland, in areas where underground coal mining is taking place, mineral planning documents should identify the potential for future subsidence and include measures to ensure that particularly sensitive new surface developments are not located in areas that might prejudice future mining operations.

**your wish**

identifying areas of potential risk and avoiding future difficulties

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****56/1075****CP2 Policies****IB10****comment**

Addressing Coal Mining Legacy Issues. In Scotland- the inclusion of suitable policies to ensure that all development proposals within coalfield areas take account of any coal mining-related land stability and/or other public safety risks and where necessary, incorporate suitable mitigation measures to address them (See SPP Para. 247).

**your wish**

inclusion of policies relating to public safety risks, mitigation and land stability

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****187 / 3978****CP2 Policies****RES 10****comment**

Houses in Multiple Occupation have been a constant local concern, here as elsewhere. We are pleased to know that legislation will soon tie this use more sensibly and closely into the planning system and presume that present Policy RES 10 will be suitably amended.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****104 / 565****CP2 Policies****RES 11****comment**

Stronger measures are required as there are car repair and car and other 'sales' businesses operating from residential property within the Blairdardie & Old Drumchapel area.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****104 / 563****CP2 Policies****RES 5****comment**

What measures are in place to prevent alterations to the inside of a listed building? Legislation requires strengthening to prevent private landlords removing the inside of listed buildings, such as original features.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****104 / 564****CP2 Policies****RES 8, RES 10, RES 11****comment**

Legislation is required to cover private rented flats and houses. There are various issues affecting the Blairdardie & Old Drumchapel area, such as anti-social behaviour, absentee landlords re lack of maintenance and upkeep, lack of regulation and resources to enforce houses in multiple occupation, planning and licensing law breaches.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****112/693****CP2 Policies****RES 9****comment**

The presumption that the value of Glasgow's sandstone tenements is merely as a streetscape of conservation areas ignores informed opinion that refurbishment of this type of property is an economical alternative to new build.

**your wish**

A presumption that the economics of refurbishing traditional housing should be considered alongside new build.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****53/1483****CP2 Policies****SC 11****comment**

The wording of City Plan 2 Policy SC 11 is far too restrictive in terms of Class 3 Uses in West End lanes and does not reflect current market pressures or demands. In its current form, Policy SC 11 provides a blanket ban on all Class 3 Uses, irrespective of site specific considerations.

**your wish**

It is critical that the wording of Policy SC 11 is softened to allow a more balanced and flexible approach on potential Class 3 Uses where circumstances dictate that it will not be detrimental to that location. All planning applications should be determin

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****55/775****CP2 Policies****SC 11****comment**

Policy & its wording is too restictive in terms of Class 3 uses in the identified lanes in the west end & doesn't reflect current market pressure or demands. In its current form the wording provides for a blanket ban on all class 3 uses irrespective of site specific considerations.

**your wish**

Wording in policy SC11 is softened to allow flexibility on class 3 uses where circumstances dictate that will not be detrimental to the locale. Request a balanced flexible approach is adopted with policy sc11 and it's wording amended accordingly.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****137 / 1295****CP2 Proposals Map****Part 1, pages 43/44, para 6.36****comment**

There is also wider public interest in the absence of a footway across the railway line at the new Kelvindale station. This is a significant omission in the recent provision of a sustainable transport link for the city. It is not clear why this was overlooked when the station was constructed in 2005. Kelvindale offers a direct connection between Queen Street Station and the Forth and Clyde Canal at Dawsholm, a short walk from the Kelvin Viaduct, and the Local Nature Reserve at Dawsholm Park, via the Core Path.

**your wish**

This is a specific area requiring attention to relationships and opportunities to design good open space, and we would ask that consideration is given within the emerging City Plan to defining and designing improvements for safe public access in this part of Glasgow.

[posctard no:](#)

---

**City Plan 2****61 / 792****DPP Maps****comment**

This community council is in broad agreement with the strategy as set out in the spatial framework maps

**your wish**

[posctard no:](#)

---

**City Plan 2****77 / 2918****DPP Maps****comment**

In the 1st City plan, the whole allotment area was designated as green space, however, in City Plan 2 that was changed, to 70% development, and 30% green space. From the look of the Main Issues Report, it has stayed the same again, and our local residents are very concerned that the area will not be utilised to its full potential if it is not put back to 100% green space.

**your wish**

In the proposed plan, we would like to see this area returned to its original designation of 100% green space, if this could be done it would be greatly appreciated by all the residents of our area and the 3 organisations stated above.

[posctard no:](#)

---

**City Plan 2****90/579****DPP Maps****comment**

A number of City of Glasgow college properties will become surplus to requirements. As a result, the College would wish to see the North Hanover Street Campus redesignated from Education to Mixed Use.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****90/580****DPP Maps****comment**

A number of City of Glasgow college properties will become surplus to requirements. As a result, the College would wish to see the Charles Oakley Campus redesignated from Education to Mixed Use.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****90/581****DPP Maps****comment**

A number of City of Glasgow college properties will become surplus to requirements. As a result, the College would wish to see 230 Cathedral Street redesignated from Education to Mixed Use.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****90/582****DPP Maps****comment**

A number of City of Glasgow college properties will become surplus to requirements. The College would wish to see the City Campus retained as an Education designation.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****90/583****DPP Maps****comment**

A number of City of Glasgow college properties will become surplus to requirements. The College would wish to see the Riverside Campus (former Nautical Studies) retained as an Education designation.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****90/584****DPP Maps****comment**

A number of City of Glasgow college properties will become surplus to requirements. As a result, the College would wish to see the Florence Street Campus redesignated from Residential to Mixed Use.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****90/585****DPP Maps****comment**

A number of City of Glasgow college properties will become surplus to requirements. The College would wish to see the Dornoch Street Campus retained as a Residential designation.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****90/586****DPP Maps****comment**

A number of City of Glasgow college properties will become surplus to requirements. The College would wish to see the Rogart Street Campus redesignated from Business and Industry to Mixed Use.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****105 / 1596****DPP Maps****comment**

Seeks the re-designation of a site at Duke Street from DEV 3: Industry and Business. The site has lain vacant for 20 years and there is little or no chance of industrial/business development being implemented on the site. As such, the site should be included as a residential/retail development, incorporating an element of industry, in the forthcoming LDP. The LSP should adopt more proactive development policies, which realistically encourage genuine development opportunities within the lifetime of the plan, address regeneration and contribute to the improvement of environment and townscape.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****132 / 317****DPP Maps****comment**

Seeks the release of a greenbelt site at Leverndale Hospital, Crookston, for residential purposes in the Local Development Plan.

**your wish**

Zone site D at Leverndale Hospital, Crookston, for residential purposes in the Local Development Plan.

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****140 / 352****DPP Maps****comment**

Seeks the release of a greenbelt site at site F at Leverndale Hospital, Crookston for residential purposes.

**your wish**

Zone part of site F at Leverndale Hospital, Crookston for residential purposes in the Local Development Plan.

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****142/404****DPP Maps****comment**

The period of speculative housing construction has now passed into history and as Queens Cross Housing Association is providing adequate housing provision locally, the Area of North Kelvinside Schools Sports Field, Clouston Street, could offer Glasgow City Council an opportunity to create a project that could display the City's ingenuity. This site has a unique feel and has never been built on. If included within a protected green space, Glasgow City Council could offer the site for competition to green space groups such as the Coach House Trust and architects and designers to provide a solution.

**your wish**

Retain the North Kelvinside Schools Sports Field, Clouston Street site as a Community Green Space to end the speculation that has distanced the local community from the political process.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****204/497****DPP Maps****comment**

City Plan 2 states that brownfield sites should be developed, not greenfield sites. The former playing fields at North Kelvin Meadow are designated as Greenfield.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****204/500****DPP Maps****comment**

The site, since August 2011, is part of the Glasgow West Conservation Area. To provide adequate protection for its official status I ask you to have its status corrected ie to DEV 11: Green Space. It must be removed as registered as a possible housing site. The west/north-west has many brownfield sites which would provide housing areas.

**your wish**

Redesignation of the "North Kelvin Meadow" site from DEV 2: Residential and Supporting Uses to DEV 11: Green Space.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****250/435****DPP Maps****comment**

Seek the redesignation of a site at Darnley to facilitate the development of a mixed use development on the site. The rezoning should minimise the desire for industrial development on this site and accept the need for a mix of iuuses in order to assist the delivery of the 5ha of regionally important land identified for a single user investment opportunity. Are of the view that the site should be identified as a 'site with potential to accommodate a mix of uses in keeping with an approved masterplan in order to assist in the delivery of a 5ha development site available to attract a lareg single user employment facility'.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****252/509****DPP Maps****comment**

There are a number of areas in the City where a boundary around a single use appears to isolate the zone, and no indication of how that zone will integrate with the rest of the city is made explicit. An example is the business and industry zone immediately south of Festival Park, which effectively blocks communication and access between the shops and businesses of Cessnock and the development at Pacific Quay. It is important that the business and transport infrastructure that exist at Cessnock are properly connected to the very considerable investments that have already been made at Pacific Quay and are proposed for the Strategic Economic Investment Location. A clear cycle and pedestrian path should be mapped in the report.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****253/492****DPP Maps****comment**

Seeks the redesignation of a site at 2229 London Road from DEV 2: Residential and Supporting Uses to DEV 7: Other Retail and Commercial. This site has an established use as a Fuel Storage and Distribution Depot and sites within a wider area which accommodates a car repairs use, a furniture retail outlet, a plant hire shop, a bowling green, a retail flooring unit and a small row of residential units. This policy designation is not appropriate to the prevailing use profile of the site or the wider area. In addition, the uses further west of the site include a petrol filling station and 2-3 non-food retail warehouses, a similar mix to the site, but this area is identified under DEV 7.

**your wish**

Redesignation of a site at 2229 London Road from DEV 2: Residential and Supporting Uses to DEV 7: Other Retail and Commercial.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****253/493****DPP Maps**

**comment** The uses further west of the site at 2229 London Road include a petrol filling station and 2-3 non-food retail warehouses. The area to the south includes a restaurant, hotel, fast-food outlet and car showroom, but is designated DEV 3: Industry and Business. This does not seem to reflect the prevailing use profile of the area and there is a case for revising the DPP designation over the wider area to more correctly reflect the existing range of retail and commercial uses.

**your wish** Redesignate a wider area in the environment of 229 London Road from various DPP designations to DEV 7: Other Retail and Commercial.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****253/494****DPP Maps**

**comment** Seeks the redesignation of a site east, and to the rear, of a retail flooring use and a plant hire shop at 2239/2241 etc London Road from DEV 11: Greenspace to DEV 7: Other Retail and Commercial. This land is vacant and derelict, has no current use and does not serve any important or meaningful greenspace function, nor can it be expected to do so in the future. A similar representation to City Plan 2 was rejected on the basis of the site's potential use as part of a bus rapid transit scheme along London Road. SPT have now indicated that there are no committed proposals for development of this type which would utilise the site.

**your wish** Redesignation of a site east, and to the rear, of a retail flooring use and a plant hire shop at 2239/2241 etc London Road from DEV 11: Greenspace to DEV 7: Other Retail and Commercial.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****263/403****DPP Maps**

**comment** In the absence of any development taking place on the North Kelvinside School Sports Field, Clouston Street (as there appears to be some hesitancy in this regard), I would wish to see the site re-allocated as community green space and registered as such in the new city plan.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****63/3503****DPP Maps****(2) Open Space****comment**

North Kelvin Meadow should be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map as a protected open space.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****65/3507****DPP Maps****(2) Open Space****comment**

North Kelvin Meadow should be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map as a protected open space.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****67/3515****DPP Maps****2****comment**

I support in principle the concept of the green network, but advocate the inclusion of North Kelvin Meadow/Clouston St Playing Field. This is because the Green Network has been too narrowly defined by Glasgow City Council. The aim of the Central Scotland Green Network (CSGN) is set out in NPF2. The North Kelvin Meadow currently meets all the outcomes of CSGN - not only contributing to local recreational opportunities but providing a home to a huge variety of wildlife – both flora and fauna and therefore should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**your wish**

NKM in its entirety, and other urban open spaces which contribute towards meeting the goals of the CSGN should be identified as part of the Green Network

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****74/3609****DPP Maps****2****comment**

The present designation of this site is confused and ambiguous:□1. Both DPP (development policy principles) and EPD (Environmental Policy designation) maps show the site with the description "Playing Field"; the latter also shows the area along Clouston Street marked with a Tree Preservation Order (TPO).

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****74/3610****DPP Maps****2****comment**

Open Space Map (Audit PAN65 - On Line Services) describes it as sports pitches, protected open space whose mapping needs updating. The former tennis court area on Sanda Street is not designated at all.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****74/3611****DPP Maps****2****comment**

Housing Land Audit designates the site (1703) as NB-G-U (New Build on Greenfield in an Urban setting). □(along with Both DPP (development policy principles) and EPD (Environmental Policy designation) + Open Space Map (Audit PAN65 - On Line Services)) □All the above are unsatisfactory and incorrect designations. Its use as a Playing Field became limited following the destruction of the goalposts and Local Authority (LA) neglect. Council records state that from 1993 the land was surplus to their requirements and should be left unmanaged until a new function was found for it. There are now 30+ foot trees growing out of that ex-playing surface. It can clearly no longer be designated as a Playing Field. □

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****74/3612****DPP Maps****2****comment**

The North Kelvin Meadow Campaign has attempted to manage the land, by encouraging its use as a green space for allotments, wildlife, kids activities and community involvement in activities such as bulb, seed and tree planting as well as general tidying up. This now needs official support rather than opposition.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****74/3619****DPP Maps****2****comment**

North Kelvin Meadow Campaign proposes an alternative policy for the site which will be in complete accord with the environmental, horticultural and developmental policies of City Plan 2, the LDP, themes in the MIR and the Scottish Government at little if no expense to the public purse. DEV11 would confirm permanently the site as a Green Space. ENV1 would protect the site from "inappropriate development" and includes the following categories of Open Space:   Public parks and gardens  Amenity Space  Playspace for children and teenagers  Allotments  Green Corridors  Natural/Semi-natural greenspace  And others

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****74/3620****DPP Maps****2****comment**

Proposed use of the Meadow  North Kelvin Meadow Campaign proposes that the site will be used for at least 4 purposes:   a) Raised Bed Allotments  These could be organized as a formal Allotment Association with private rented plots paying rent to Glasgow City Council.   b) Community Garden/Allotment  Some members prefer to work communally, similar to the community gardens in Woodlands Community Garden ([www.woodlandscommunitygarden.org.uk](http://www.woodlandscommunitygarden.org.uk))   c) Community Open Space  An open space of mainly Wild flowering Meadow for general recreation, walking, picnics, jogging, general health and wellbeing and importantly an area for unstructured play for kids.   d) Woodland area  The Sanda Street end of the site (where the former tennis courts used to be) and the area along Clouston Street should be left largely untouched apart from necessary pruning, moderate clearing of brush and undergrowth and ongoing management.   We would also expect the site it to be used as part of the Forest Schools initiative, under the Woods for Learning Strategy.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****83/3528****DPP Maps****2****comment**

The NKM should be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map as a protected open space.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****84/3531****DPP Maps****2****comment**

Similarly NKM should be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map as a protected open space.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****94/3535****DPP Maps****2****comment**

Similarly NKM should be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map as a protected open space.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****114/3540****DPP Maps****2****comment**

I support in principle the concept of the green Network, but advocate the inclusion of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field. This is because the Green Network has been too narrowly defined by GCC. The aim of the Central Scotland Green Network (CSGN) are outlined in NPF2. The outcomes of the CSGN are : creating an environment more in balance, to thrive in a changing climate; creating an environment for sustainable economic growth; creating an environment which supports healthy lifestyles and well-being and creating an environment where nature can flourish. NKM currently meets all these outcomes - not only contributing to local recreational opportunities but providing a home to a huge variety of wildlife – both flora and fauna and therefore should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**your wish**

NKM in its entirety, and other urban open spaces which contribute towards meeting the goals of the CSGN should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****170 / 3545****DPP Maps****2****comment**

I support in principle the concept of the green Network, but advocate the inclusion of North Kelvin Meadow / Clouston Street Playing Field. □ This is because the Green Network has been too narrowly defined by Glasgow City Council. The aim of the Central Scotland Green Network (CSGN) are outlined in NPF2. □ The outcomes of the CSGN are : creating an environment more in balance, to thrive in a changing climate; creating an environment for sustainable economic growth; creating an environment which supports healthy lifestyles and well-being and creating an environment where nature can flourish. □ North Kelvin Meadow currently meets all these outcomes - not only contributing to local recreational opportunities but providing a home to a huge variety of wildlife – both flora and fauna and therefore should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**your wish**

North Kelvin Meadow in its entirety, and other urban open spaces which contribute towards meeting the goals of the CSGN should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****228 / 3591****DPP Maps****2****comment**

Similarly NKM should be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map as a protected open space.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****236 / 3595****DPP Maps****2****comment**

Similarly NKM should be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map as a protected open space.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****76 / 3518****DPP Maps****2 - Open Space****comment**

Similarly NKM should be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map as a protected open space.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****134 / 1783****DPP Maps****DEV 11****comment**

Request that Damshot Crescent be redesignated from Green Space (DEV 11) to Residential and Supporting Uses (DEV 2).

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****159 / 1762****DPP Maps****DEV 11****comment**

There is a need to reconsider the extent of the Green Space DPP covering the area around Maryhill Locks to reflect the Maryhill Locks Masterplan.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****160 / 1785****DPP Maps****DEV 11****comment**

Request that Teal Drive be redesignated from Green Space (DEV 11) to Residential and Supporting Uses (DEV 2) with indicative capacity of 12 units. The part of the site not developed for housing should be retained as DEV 11 Green Space.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****267 / 433****DPP Maps****DEV 11****comment**

The designation of North Kelvin Meadow should reflect its present usage rather than that of several failed development plans.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****268 / 428****DPP Maps****DEV 11****comment**

The designation of North Kelvin Meadow should reflect its present usage rather than that of several failed development plans.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****167 / 1794****DPP Maps****DEV 12****comment**

Request that the site at Auchinairn Road be redesignated from Green Belt (DEV 12) to Residential and Supporting Uses (DEV 2).

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****195 / 1821****DPP Maps****DEV 12****comment**

Request that land south of Cardowan be released from Green Belt (DEV 12), with an element made available for housing.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****79 / 1695****DPP Maps****DEV 3****comment**

Request that Linthouse Point be reallocated from Industry and Business (DEV 3) to Mixed Development (DEV 8).

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****91 / 1699****DPP Maps****DEV 3****comment**

Request that the Dundashill site be reallocated from Industry and Business (DEV 3) to Mixed Development (DEV 8).

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****145 / 1738****DPP Maps****DEV 3****comment**

Request that Provan Gasworks be reallocated from Industry and Business (DEV 3) to Mixed Development (DEV 8).

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****158 / 1748****DPP Maps****DEV 3****comment**

There is an opportunity to support mixed use development around Port Dundas. The LDP must present a flexible approach towards future uses in the area, currently limited by industry and business DPP in City Plan 2.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****158 / 1755****DPP Maps****DEV 3****comment**

The entire Spiers Locks area (Garscube Industrial Estate) should be allocated as a Mixed Development Area.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****159 / 1764****DPP Maps****DEV 3****comment**

There is an opportunity to support mixed use development around Port Dundas.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****159 / 1767****DPP Maps****DEV 3****comment**

The entire Spiers Locks area (Garscube Industrial Estate) should be allocated as a Mixed Development Area.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****172 / 1768****DPP Maps****DEV 3****comment**

Request that 45-49 Westerburn Street be reallocated from Industry and Business (DEV 3) to Residential.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****197 / 1769****DPP Maps****DEV 3****comment**

Request that site at Cathcart Road/Caledonia Road be reallocated from Industry and Business (DEV 3) to Mixed Development (DEV 8).

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****215 / 1782****DPP Maps****DEV 3****comment**

Request that Baillieston Distribution Centre be redesignated from Industry and Business (DEV 3) to Residential and Supporting Uses (DEV 2).

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****79 / 1698****DPP Maps****DEV 8****comment**

Support Council's preferred option to retain DEV 8 and review the designated areas. Request that wording of DEV 8 remains unchanged.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****57 / 589****DPP Maps****DEV3****comment**

Land at Causewayside St be redesignated to Residential land use

**your wish**

DEV2

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****193 / 598****DPP Maps****DEV3****comment**

Redesignate to Residential land use

**your wish**

DEV2

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****212/601****DPP Maps****DEV3****comment** Redesignate to Mixed Development Land Use**your wish** DEV8**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****220/602****DPP Maps****DEV3****comment** Promote site at Milnpark Trading Estate, Kinning Park within the Proposed LDP as residential land use. The site presents a feasible, logical and natural expansion to an existing residential settlement that is located directly adjacent to two corners of the site. (Lots of info provided to back up the case)**your wish** DEV2**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****89/1603****DPP Maps****East****comment** Site west of Bellgrove Street and south of Airdrie Line (currently occupied by Bellgrove Motors) should remain as DEV 8 Mixed Use and be considered within the masterplan for the wider abattoir site.**your wish** Site be included in the larger abattoir site to allow it to contribute to its redevelopment.**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****157/1276****DPP Maps****East Map****comment** When producing an updated DPP Map in proposed plan. St Aloysius College has requested that any allocation attached to its land at Millerston is compatible with the surrounding housing areas and that it allows for continued college related uses including recreational facilities.**your wish** St Aloysius College playing fields at Millerston should be identified in the proposed plan as suitable for college related purposes, particularly recreation facilities facilities, or any other uses compatible with the surrounding residential areas.**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****70/3872****DPP Maps****Map 2****comment**

I have had a look at the development plan and free space strategy and am concerned that naseby park is not marked on map 2 as green space. Please could you ensure that this is included. There are also green triangles that are not marked off Clarence drive and Victoria park drive north. And also the bit of land at the bottom of Broomhill drive near the roundabout that has been adopted by locals.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****59/3497****DPP Maps****Open Space****comment**

The North Kelvin Meadow should be re-designated on the Glasgow Open Space Map from a housing site affecting protected open space to either a community garden or a semi-natural greenspace.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****67/3513****DPP Maps****Open Space****comment**

NKM used to be a playing field but its current characteristics are more akin to a community garden and semi-natural greenspace

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****67/3514****DPP Maps****Open Space****comment**

NKM should be re-designated on the Glasgow Open Space Map from a potential housing site to a protected open space as a community garden and/or a semi-natural greenspace .

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****89 / 1605****DPP Maps****West****comment**

Temple Road, Anniesland Network Rail commissioned ecological report concluded that this site had minimal biological value, should not be included in the Green Network and could be better utilised as a potential development site.

**your wish**

DPP changed from DEV 11 Green Space to DEV 2 Residential and Supporting Uses.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****61 / 785****DPP Policies****comment**

would like to support the designation of the land to the north of Dalsetter Avenue, and to the south of Drumchapel road around Garscadden house as a strategic industrial and business area.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****61 / 786****DPP Policies****comment**

members of the community council are concerned about the potential redesignation of industrial and business land. Whilst we may accept the redesignation of some of the smaller sites to mixed use, we would rather not see any of the sites redesignated for housing, particularly since we have a housing land supply sufficient to meet our needs until the year 2025

**your wish**

We therefore believe some of these sites should be designated other retail and commercial/leisure for development as superstores, megastores, retail parks and commercial leisure developments

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****61 / 794****DPP Policies****comment**

Members of the community council believe town centre policy should be altered to allow the provision of class 4 business use in town centres

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****62/3865****DPP Policies****comment**

I would like to request that the an area in Possilpark (bounded by Allander St to the south and Ashfield St/Balnagowan Dr to the east) be designated as Greenspace. The Possilpark area has no formal parks and the group Friends of Possilpark Greenspace have taken a lease on this land from City Property LLP and have done some improvements to the site and are looking to raise funded to do further improvements.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****62/3866****DPP Policies****comment**

I would like to express my support for the proposed Greenspace Designation for the area know as Lambhill Allotments off Balmore Road. The proposed Spatial Policy Framework map shows the whole area as Green Network and I would like my add my support to this designation.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****150/2881****DPP Policies****comment**

to express support for the allocation of the "Cowlglen South" site as offices in line with the Cowglen Planning Study recently approved by Glasgow City Council. We are aware of the landowner's attempts to seek this site being reallocated as suitable for retail development, but welcome the Council's approach as recommended by the City Plan 2 Reporter's to designate this site in line with an approved Planning Study for the area

**your wish****posctard no:**

**DPP Policies****comment**

As a resident of Hillhead, I write in support of the Hillhead Community Council's response, to request that the area 5-11 Southpark Avenue - and the adjoining stretch of land, at present vacant - be added to the Open Green Space map and development plan, and reclassified from development ground to open space. □ □ The Scottish Executive Reporter considered that this ground was important for the Hillhead part of the conservation area as open space (P///PPA/260/183). Trees were illegally removed in the area, and the containing wall illegally damaged, and hardcore laid without planning consent. □ □ To remedy these transgressions of the law would improve the area in many respects - from the point of view of the environmental, of general amenity, and of air quality. □ □ I therefore ask that you should approve the Community Council's request, and also that you would send an acknowledgement of this e-mail. □

**your wish****postcard no:****DPP Policies****comment**

Please find below and attached comments relating to the MIR. □ It is considered that there is a need for land to be provided for community growing spaces. Response has been submitted to the draft GOSS. In this respect, we support option 1.5A relating to the use of vacant and underused land for community growing spaces. However, it must be noted that such provision of community growing space is temporary only, by its very nature, and is not, therefore, a permanent solution to the issue of unmet need for land for community growing space (as evidenced in the draft GOSS). It is considered that the local development plan should identify land for such uses on a long term basis, particularly as it is noted that some of the potential sites for development as shown on the proposals maps in Appendix 2 are on existing greenspace sites. New supplementary guidance on the stalled spaces issue, and on community growing space in general (as per allotments), should be provided to support the local development plan. □ CLAS appreciates the issue of future development potential for landowners, as well as the community need to develop more land for community growing and are pleased to have the opportunity to participate in this process. We would be pleased to meet with the Council to discuss these issues in greater detail. □ □ We appreciate these comments being taken into consideration and request that we are included on all future consultation relating to the local development plan and issues relating to community growing space. □ □ □ Please acknowledge receipt of this submission. We look forward to hearing from you. □ □

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****218/641****DPP Policies****comment**

Relates to land holdings at the former Glasgow Zoo site. Site is within a CGA and promoted for strategic housing release in the adopted CP2. Site should now be promoted as a residential development site in the new LDP Proposed Plan. It is also inappropriate for the site to continue to be part of the Green Network given the allocation in CP2 as part of the CGA.

**your wish**

Release site from Green Belt land use and remove from the Green Network

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****255/1381****DPP Policies****comment**

The Royal Hospital for Sick Children (Yorkhill) and Queen Mothers Hospital will become surplus to requirements in 2015. Site should be allocated for an alternative use in LDP.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****59/3500****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

I conditionally support the retention of the policy and the review of designated areas, subject to the identification of the North Kelvin Meadow as a designated area. The North Kelvin Meadow is a green space which is very important to the local community and is worthy of significant protection.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****59/3501****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

The North Kelvin Meadow should be identified as a designated green space in the proposed plan as it is a green space which is very important to the local community and is worthy of significant protection.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****63/3502****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

DEV 11 to be retained. DEV 11 is a policy carried over from the present City Plan 2. We support this policy conditionally, subject to North Kelvin Meadow/ former Clouston Street Playing Fields being one of the areas designated with DEV 11.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****65/3506****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

DEV 11 to be retained. DEV 11 is a policy carried over from the present City Plan 2. I support this policy conditionally, subject to North Kelvin Meadow/ former Clouston Street Playing Fields being one of the areas designated with DEV 11.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****67/3510****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

I conditionally support the retention of the policy and the review of designated areas, subject to the identification of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field as such a designated area. NKM is a green space which is very important to the local community and worthy of significant protection. It is used for allotments, recreation and as a refuge for wildlife – both flora and fauna. I strongly oppose building on the site from which it should be protected by DEV11 designation. □

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****67/3511****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

NKM should be identified under designation DEV11 – protected Greenspace.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****76/3517****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

DEV11 to be retained. DEV 11 is a policy carried over from the present CITY PLAN 2 to protect green spaces from inappropriate development. I support this policy subject to North Kelvin Meadow (NKM)/ former Clouston Street Playing Fields being one of the areas designated with DEV11.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****80/3521****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

I support the aims and objectives of both the policy documents. However, I feel that current plans to sell the North Kelvin Meadow to a developer for the construction of flats are contrary to the aims and objectives of both documents. The North Kelvin Meadow is rare unmanicured green space in an area of high-density housing and the focal point for a vibrant and engaged grassroots community group, as well as providing important wild play facilities for local children many of whom do not have access to a garden. □□The North Kelvin Meadow achieves many of the objectives set out in the documents named above. To cite just a few examples:□□- It promotes inner-city biodiversity (Open Space Strategy, p6) □- It promotes health and well-being and community cohesion (Open Space Strategy, p13)□- It provides a breathing space in an area of high-density housing (MIR Summary postcard 41: "We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live")□□I firmly believe that the North Kelvin Meadow should therefore be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map (Map 2 of the MIR) as a protected open space.

**your wish**

North Kelvin Meadow should be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map (Map 2 of the MIR) as a protected open space.

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****82/3525****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

I wholeheartedly support the aims and objectives of both the policy documents mentioned above. However, I feel that current plans to sell the North Kelvin Meadow to a developer for the construction of flats are contrary to the aims and objectives of both documents. The North Kelvin Meadow is rare unmanicured green space in an area of high-density housing and the focal point for a vibrant and engaged grassroots community group, as well as providing important wild play facilities for local children many of whom do not have access to a garden. The North Kelvin Meadow achieves many of the objectives set out in the documents named above. To cite just a few examples:- It promotes inner-city biodiversity (Open Space Strategy, p6) - It promotes health and well-being and community cohesion (Open Space Strategy, p13) - It provides a breathing space in an area of high-density housing (MIR Summary postcard 41: "We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live") I firmly believe that the North Kelvin Meadow should therefore be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map (Map 2 of the MIR) as a protected open space.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****83/3527****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

DEV11 to be retained. DEV 11 is a policy carried over from the present CITY PLAN 2 to protect green spaces from inappropriate development. We support this policy and would suggest that the North Kelvin Meadow, (former Clouston Street Playing Fields) be one of the areas designated with DEV 11.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****84/3530****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

DEV11 to be retained. DEV 11 is a policy carried over from the present CITY PLAN 2 to protect green spaces from inappropriate development. We support this policy conditionally, subject to North Kelvin Meadow (NKM)/ former Clouston Street Playing Fields being one of the areas designated with DEV11.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****94/3534****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

DEV11 to be retained. DEV 11 is a policy carried over from the present CITY PLAN 2 to protect green spaces from inappropriate development. We support this policy conditionally, subject to North Kelvin Meadow (NKM)/ former Clouston Street Playing Fields being one of the areas designated with DEV11.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****114/3538****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

I conditionally support the retention of the policy and the review of designated areas, subject to the identification of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field as such a designated area. NKM is a green space which is very important to the local community and worthy of significant protection. It is used for allotments, recreation and as a refuge for wildlife – both flora and fauna. I strongly oppose building on the site from which it should be protected by DEV11 designation.

**your wish**

NKM should be identified under designation DEV11 – protected Greenspace

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****158/1745****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

The presumption against development (associated with the Green Space policy) could be at odds with the strategic ambition to regenerate the canal corridor.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****165/1324****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

In relation to Development Policy Principle, DEV 11 'Green Space'

**your wish**

the provisions of the SPP in relation to the presumption against the loss of pitches are fully considered in the context of any changes being proposed.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****170/3543****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

I conditionally support the retention of the policy and the review of designated areas, subject to the identification of North Kelvin Meadow / Clouston Street Playing Field as such a designated area. North Kelvin Meadow is a green space which is very important to the local community and worthy of significant protection. It is used for allotments, recreation and as a refuge for wildlife – both flora and fauna. I strongly oppose building on the site from which it should be protected by DEV11 designation.

**your wish**

North Kelvin Meadow should be identified under designation DEV11 – protected Greenspace.

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****184/2861****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

Whilst UWS supports the principle of retention of existing green space in the city at the correct locations and where it adds value, current planning policy does not allow sufficient flexibility in its wording for suitable redevelopment opportunities where the green space does not add to the vitality or well-being of the community at large. This inflexibility is characterised in the existing Development Policy Principle DEV 11 'Green Space' policy wording where it states that "All green/open space areas (regardless of their size or purpose) are functionally important elements of Glasgow's green infrastructure. There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space."

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****184/2862****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

We would ask that as part of the review process of DEV 11 and designated areas of green space, there is an appreciation that not all designated space is of the same quality and does not merit the same level of protection, especially where a designated space is not an asset to the community and would be better suited to an alternative use that can be reasonably justified against wider planning policy.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****228 / 3590****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

DEV 11 is a policy carried over from the present CITY PLAN 2 to protect green spaces from inappropriate development. We support this policy conditionally, subject to North Kelvin Meadow (NKM)/ former Clouston Street Playing Fields being one of the areas designated with DEV11.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****236 / 3594****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

DEV 11 to be retained. DEV 11 is a policy carried over from the present CITY PLAN 2 to protect green spaces from inappropriate development. We support this policy conditionally, subject to North Kelvin Meadow (NKM)/ former Clouston Street Playing Fields being one of the areas designated with DEV11.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****267 / 430****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

DEV 11 is a policy carried over from the present City Plan 2 to protect green spaces from inappropriate development. We support this policy conditionally, subject to North Kelvin Meadow (NKM)/former Clouston Street Playing Fields being one of the areas designated DEV 11.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****268 / 425****DPP Policies****DEV 11****comment**

DEV 11 is a policy carried over from the present City Plan 2 to protect green spaces from inappropriate development. We support this policy conditionally, subject to North Kelvin Meadow (NKM)/former Clouston Street Playing Fields being one of the areas designated DEV 11.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****30/178****DPP Policies****DEV 11 Green Space****comment**

I conditionally support the retention of the policy and the review of designated areas, subject to the identification of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field as such a designated area. NKM is a green space which is very important to the local community and worthy of significant protection. It is used for allotments, recreation and as a refuge for wildlife – both flora and fauna. I strongly oppose building on the site from which it should be protected by DEV11 designation.

**your wish**

NKM should be identified under designation DEV11 – protected Greenspace.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****32/193****DPP Policies****DEV 11 Green Space****comment**

I conditionally support the retention of the policy and the review of designated areas, subject to the identification of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field as such a designated area. NKM is a green space which is very important to the local community and worthy of significant protection. It is used for allotments, recreation and as a refuge for wildlife – both flora and fauna. I strongly oppose building on the site from which it should be protected by DEV11 designation.

**your wish**

NKM should be identified under designation DEV11 – protected Greenspace.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****33/196****DPP Policies****DEV 11 Green Space****comment**

DEV 11 is a policy carried over from the present CITY PLAN 2 to protect green spaces from inappropriate development. We support this policy conditionally, subject to North Kelvin Meadow (NKM)/ former Clouston Street Playing Fields being one of the areas designated with DEV11.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****34 / 199****DPP Policies****DEV 11 Green Space****comment**

I conditionally support the retention of the policy and the review of designated areas, subject to the identification of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field as such a designated area. NKM is a green space which is very important to the local community and worthy of significant protection. It is used for allotments, recreation and as a refuge for wildlife – both flora and fauna. I strongly oppose building on the site from which it should be protected by DEV11 designation.

**your wish**

NKM should be identified under designation DEV11 – protected Greenspace.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****35 / 202****DPP Policies****DEV 11 Green Space****comment**

I conditionally support the retention of the policy and the review of designated areas, subject to the identification of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field as such a designated area. NKM is a green space which is very important to the local community and worthy of significant protection. It is used for allotments, recreation and as a refuge for wildlife – both flora and fauna. I strongly oppose building on the site from which it should be protected by DEV11 designation.

**your wish**

NKM should be identified under designation DEV11 – protected Greenspace.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****36 / 205****DPP Policies****DEV 11 Green Space****comment**

DEV 11 is a policy carried over from the present CITY PLAN 2 to protect green spaces from inappropriate development. We support this policy conditionally, subject to North Kelvin Meadow (NKM)/ former Clouston Street Playing Fields being one of the areas designated with DEV11.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****177 / 1062****DPP Policies****DEV 12****comment**

Also supportive of a strategy that continues to protect the area of Greenbelt between East Renfrewshire and Glasgow City Boundaries.

**your wish**

supports strategy approach

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****202/800****DPP Policies****DEV 4****comment**

This is fully supported

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****221/803****DPP Policies****DEV 5****comment**

We understand that the Council's preferred option for DPP DEV 5 is to retain this policy

**your wish**

we respectfully request that the Council also review the boundary of the City Centre Principal Retail Area and revise this to exclude the site known as Osborne Street car park

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****217/1680****DPP Policies****DEV 8****comment**

Seek to retain the current DEV 8 Mixed Development designation on the Skypark site; policies applicable to mixed use developments to continue to contain an element of flexibility; mixed development schemes be judged on their own merits; and large sites such as Skypark should not be subject to overly restrictive controls on the types of uses that come forward, the location of those uses or the amount of space that is permitted within each use.

**your wish**

Request that the Council do not revise the wording of existing policy to restrict the uses considered to form mixed development.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****221 / 804****DPP Policies****DEV 8****comment**

We understand that the Council's preferred option for DPP DEV 8 is to retain this policy and review designated areas and also consider reviewing wording to clarify mixed use development. We believe that mixed use development schemes should be judged on their own merits, with individual uses on sites not restricted in terms of their specific locations within large sites such as Osborne Street car park.

**your wish**

Our Client seeks to obtain a DEV 8: Mixed Development designation on the Osborne Street car park site as we believe that this will create more viable and realistic development opportunities for the site. The potential future specific mix of development at this site will be influenced by market interest and will be occupier led. request that the Council do not revise the wording of the existing DPP policy to restrict the uses considered to form "mixed use development" as we believe that this would be overly restrictive for new development proposals

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****116 / 2996****DPP Policies****DEV1****comment**

"Consider adding the River Clyde as a transport route" This was considered in 1990s and great strides made but the building of the Clyde Arc has effectively killed the river.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****136 / 1125****DPP Policies****DEV1****comment**

Re-designation of the Springburn Depot site at Charles Street from DEV1: Transport Infrastructure to DEV7: Mixed Development.

**your wish**

Re-designate site from DEV1: Transport Infrastructure to DEV7: Mixed Development.

**postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****38/209****DPP Policies****DEV11 Green Space****comment**

I conditionally support the retention of the policy and the review of designated areas, subject to the identification of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field as such a designated area. NKM is a green space which is very important to the local community and worthy of significant protection. It is used for allotments, recreation and as a refuge for wildlife – both flora and fauna. I strongly oppose building on the site from which it should be protected by DEV11 designation.

**your wish**

NKM should be identified under designation DEV11 – protected Greenspace.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****39/212****DPP Policies****DEV11 Green Space****comment**

I conditionally support the retention of the policy and the review of designated areas, subject to the identification of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field as such a designated area. NKM is a green space which is very important to the local community and worthy of significant protection. It is used for allotments, recreation and as a refuge for wildlife – both flora and fauna. I strongly oppose building on the site from which it should be protected by DEV11 designation.

**your wish**

NKM should be identified under designation DEV11 – protected Greenspace.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****40/215****DPP Policies****DEV11 Green Space****comment**

DEV 11 is a policy carried over from the present CITY PLAN 2 to protect green spaces from inappropriate development. We support this policy conditionally, subject to North Kelvin Meadow (NKM)/ former Clouston Street Playing Fields being one of the areas designated with DEV11.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****42/222****DPP Policies****DEV11 Green Space****comment**

DEV 11 is a policy carried over from the present CITY PLAN 2 to protect green spaces from inappropriate development. We support this policy conditionally, subject to North Kelvin Meadow (NKM)/ former Clouston Street Playing Fields being one of the areas designated with DEV11.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****216/2999****DPP Policies****DEV12****comment**

Site in Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle Community Growth area with a planning permission in principle for residential development should be released from the greenbelt, included with the settlement boundary of the City and promoted as a residential development site in the new City Plan.

**your wish**

Site at Baillieston to be allocated as a residential development site in new City Plan and Green Network and greenbelt designation to be removed.

**posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****194/2892****DPP Policies****DEV8****comment**

The Glasgow City Plan 2 designates the site as "Mixed Development". The specific Development Policy Principle Dev 8 – Mixed Development states: "The areas designated "MIXED DEVELOPMENT" are characterised by a range of uses and activities with no one use predominating. These areas, generally, lie within the City Centre and the Clyde Waterfront area, often reflecting an existing range of uses, mixed vertically and/or horizontally (e.g. Merchant City). The Council will support appropriate opportunities for mixed development within these areas (vertically as well as horizontally), which support the Plan's Development Strategy". Within this context, it is clear that, importantly, the Council will support the principle of redevelopment of the subject site. Furthermore, support will be given to a range of uses within the redeveloped site including residential, commercial and local retail provision. The key point to note is that the Council, at present supports a number of uses, including retail uses.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****184/2863****DPP Policies****ENV 1****comment**

There is an understanding that Policy ENV 1 of City Plan 2 allows for green space to be developed where it meets specific criteria such as any development being directly related to the current use; an area of equivalent or higher quality open space identified to directly replace the type of open space to be lost; provide for habitat creation landscape treatment and not have a negative impact on the connectivity of the green network and/or distribution of open space. However, this criterion does not allow for the necessary flexibility where a suitable redevelopment proposal is identified at a piece of green space that meets wider City Plan policy or where alternative sites are not readily available as compensatory green space.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****184/2864****DPP Policies****ENV 1****comment**

We would ask that the criterion set in Policy ENV 1 is revised to allow for a more flexible approach to be realised, especially where the green space is in private ownership. For example, where the subject green space is of a sufficient poor quality, not well planned or designed, or suffers from a lack of use by the general public; where the needs of the community could be better met with a financial contribution to an existing piece of space in close proximity that will deliver useable high quality space rather than having to provide an area of equivalent quality and size as compensatory space; and where the space would be better suited to an alternative use that will provide wider social and economic benefits and improve community vitality.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****150/2883****DPP Policies****ENV2****comment**

We note the Council's preference to retain the existing public realm contributions policy (ENV2 from City Plan 2) for developments in the City Centre. We also note the suggestion from the Council that the policy could be updated. One aspect that we would suggest is worthy of re-examination for the LDP is the level of contributions being sought by the Council for public realm. For those developments that are unable to meet the public realm requirements on-site (which will be many of the proposed City Centre developments – including the Buchanan Galleries Extension) the financial contributions being sought by the policy are extremely onerous. The Partnership would argue that continuation with this onerous level of contributions will place significant constraints on the delivery of development in Glasgow City Centre. The Council should consider revising this policy in line with the current constraints on debt funding available to the development industry.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****202/801****DPP Policies****SC1 & 2****comment**

This is fully supported

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****230/2866****Issue****1.2 & 1.5****comment**

The Forestry Commission welcomes the comment around the opportunities for Biomass production on vacant and derelict land where appropriate with local site conditions. In the emerging Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan 2011 and the Forestry and Woodland Framework within it states that "Existing woodland should be brought into positive management alongside short rotation coppice and short rotation forestry to provide a viable supply of woodfuel". The Open Space strategy tends to focus on opportunities around woodland creation for Biomass, mention also needs to be given to promoting positive management of existing woodlands, the Forestry Commission is keen to work with all woodland stakeholders in the Glasgow area to deliver this. There also opportunities to link Biomass into issue 1.3 Low Carbon Heating / Combined Heat and Power especially around the issues of Woodfuel Boiler installations and retro fitting existing buildings such as Schools and Community buildings.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****230/2867****Issue** **5.3**

**comment** Green Network Priorities Issue 5.3 The Forestry Commission welcomes the prioritisation of Green Network and recognition of the Central Scotland Green Network. Section 2.127 should also make reference to the Forestry and Woodland Strategy which is part of the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**City Plan 2****230/2868****Issue** **5.4**

**comment** Ecosystems and Integrated Habitat Networks Issue 5.4 The Forestry Commission welcomes this approach and is keen to deliver the aspirations of the Forestry and Woodland Strategy of the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**City Plan 2****60/3323****Other**

**comment** EDC supports the retention in the Local Development Plan of the local roads safeguarded in City Plan.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****158/1749****Other**

**comment** BWS would welcome further discussions on a review of previously approved Canal LDS to match the regeneration priorities and spatial strategy for Glasgow North and the canal network.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****159/1765****Other****comment**

BWS would welcome further discussions on a review of previously approved Canal LDS to match the regeneration priorities and spatial strategy for Glasgow North and the canal network.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****184/2865****Other****comment**

The current precautionary 'one policy suits all' approach is not conducive to providing high quality green space at the right locations or necessarily improves the quality of life for Glasgow's residents. Especially where a suitable alternative development is being promoted that will be of benefit to the wider community.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****186/2888****Other****comment**

Planning application - office to restaurant - merchant city - to acknowledge concern about overprovision and accept the importance of assisting small businesses

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****194/2889****Other****comment**

The site is located approximately 1.5 miles south of Glasgow city centre and lies within Pollokshields East (see Appendix 1 – Site Plan) which is a mixed use area, including residential and a variety of commercial uses. The site is well served by public transport and is in close proximity to Pollokshields East Rail Station. The subject site, extending to 5.33 hectares (13.18 acres), is bounded to the north and west by a railway line. To the south lies Maxwell Road and beyond various commercial developments and to the east by a railway line and beyond, residential and commercial uses. The site is a vacant brownfield site. There are two dis-used gasometers located in the north western part of the site and a vacant office block is located on the eastern part.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****194/2890****Other****comment**

The Maxwell Road area is not well served by existing supermarket provision within the south of Glasgow. The closest significant retail provision is located at Titwood Road, approximately 1.11 miles to the south. It is therefore considered that the Maxwell Road area is not well served by retail supermarkets and residents will require to travel further afield.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****194/2891****Other****comment**

The subject site lies within Pollokshields East, of which 32% of the population are considered to be deprived, when compared to 13% average for Scotland. Furthermore, the subject site lies between and adjacent to both Pollokshields West and also Laurieston and Tradeston, areas which suffer from significant deprivation, 54% and 41% respectively. Consequently, the relatively high levels of deprivation limits mobility and access to services.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****194/2893****Other****comment**

It should also be noted the Council is preparing a Planning Study for the East Pollokshields / Port Eglinton Area. As part of this study a resident's survey was carried out which stated:- "Considerable comment (36) was received that the range of shops in East Pollokshields could be improved..." "Gaps in the local market for cafes, a DIY shop, additional banking facilities and potentially a mainstream small supermarket".

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****194/2900****Other****comment**

To demonstrate how such a rigid approach could potentially stymie retail development, it is worth considering a “real life” example. The Structure Plan capacity assessment did not identify any capacity for a new convenience store in the Gallowgate part of the City. However, after consideration of retail capacity and shopping patterns at a local level, it was possible to identify potential retail capacity to support development of additional retail provision. This resulted in the approval and subsequent development of a Morrisons retail store. Had the existing Local Plan followed the approach now suggested in the MIR for the LDP, the evidence based approach taken in the Gallowgate example would not have been possible and the investment and regeneration lost.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****194/2902****Other****comment**

At present, the site is not in active use. The subject site represents a significant brownfield redevelopment opportunity within the existing settlement envelope. It is also understood that the site is contaminated and its redevelopment for retail use would facilitate its remediation. Otherwise, given the current financial climate, it is possible that the site will not be developed in the foreseeable future. This approach is supported by strong and well established national planning policy support for the redevelopment of brownfield sites within existing settlements, in preference to Greenfield sites.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****194/2903****Other****comment**

The site benefits from having an existing access from Maxwell Road, providing a prominent street frontage for development. This would be attractive to developers in the retail sector. There is also a potential opportunity to provide a further access off St Andrews Road and to also improve the pedestrian access to the site by creating a vehicular/pedestrian link over the existing bridge to the north east.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****194/2904****Other****comment**

The most recent use of the premises was for industrial / office uses. The former use could potentially conflict with nearby residential and other non industrial uses. Importantly, the development of the site for retail use would be compatible with adjoining and nearby uses.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**City Plan 2****231/3476****Other****comment**

It is important that the issues raised in the Conservation Area appraisals are properly incorporated into the City Plan as we recently found this not to be the case in recent planning issues in Dennistoun. Clarity in this matter is crucial for future planning control.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2857****Appendix****1****comment**

This appendix addresses the various site assessments including key planning assessment outcomes for the 211 HLS 2010 and also for the for the 72 UCS sites.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2858****Appendix****1****comment**

Orchards, community gardens and especially Children's Gardens could be included under provision of soft facilities. Growing spaces, including orchards and community growing spaces could be incorporated into the planning for the various developments on pages 112 to 115.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****169/842****Inset Map****comment**

Mapping of the green network in the LDP should show opportunities for future expansion of the green network using IHN modelling.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249/446****Inset Map****comment**

All the maps in the text are too small and lack sufficient detail to identify the relevant places unless they are named - which frequently they are not.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****128/728****Inset Map****1****comment**

Support the identification of Peel Environmental's site near Shieldhall as a 'Proposed Private Waste Transfer' facility, but the site is not accurately identified by the blue triangle on Map 1 Spatial Regeneration Framework.

**your wish**

The plan should identify the correct site (see Fig 1 of the representation). The plan should refer to the site as a waste treatment facility / energy centre in recognition that it is relevant to the plan in its capacity as a waste management facility but also as a potential energy generation hub within the identified District Heating Zone South.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****180/3449****Inset Map****1****comment**

This representation relates to Selfridges' land interests in a site of 1.5ha (3.8 acres) which bounds Trongate to the south, Wilson Street to the north and east of Candleriggs. The MIR identifies the site as a 'Major Retail Proposed Site' and is specifically labelled as 'Selfridges' in the Spatial Regeneration Framework. At this stage in the development of the LDP content, limited detail is provided on the requirements or aspirations for the major retail proposed site and it is acknowledge that it will be more appropriate for the proposed Plan to communicate this. It is important at this early stage in the LDP preparation to highlight that the Selfridges site has to date failed to deliver a major retail proposal.

**your wish**

On this basis it is recommended that the LDP acknowledge this fact and identify the Selfridges site as a mixed use redevelopment opportunity, with the nature and scale of uses to be determined through a master planned approach to the development of the site, taking into account market factors, fit with the merchant city and the positive economic and townscape contribution to be gained from redevelopment and regeneration of this site.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3697****Inset Map****15****comment**

We are unable to tell from the map where the noise management areas are, but hope it includes Hillhead (Byres Rd, Great Western Rd, Bank St and other streets affected)

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****210/1140****Inset Map****2****comment**

Map should be amended to include the retail park within the Parkhead town centre boundary.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****210/1141****Inset Map****3****comment**

Parkhead town centre is identified under the town centre renewal programmes. The boundary should be amended to include the retail park to reflect the Parkhead Development Framework boundary of the EELDS and our comments made above.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****170/847****Inset Map****Map2****comment**

I support in principle the concept of the green Network, but advocate the inclusion of 5-11 Southpark Avenue. This is because the Green Network has been too narrowly defined by GCC. The aim of the Central Scotland Green Network (CSGN) outlined in NPF2 is to deliver: " . . . a step change in environmental quality, woodland cover and recreational opportunities , . . . (and) make Central Scotland a more attractive place to live in, do business in and visit; help absorb CO2; enhance biodiversity and promote . . . healthier lifestyles."

**your wish**

5-11 Southpark Avenue, G12 as an area of open space (categorised as such by the Scottish Executive Reporter); and contributes towards meeting the goals of the CSGN should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****133/715****Inset Map****Spatial Framework Maps****comment**

Part of the Kennishead Farm site (site boundary supplied) should be released from the greenbelt and part of it allocated as greenspace, with another area zoned for housing. The Kennishead site is effective in terms of the PAN 2/2010 criteria. The delay in establishing the South West Greenbelt Study has not assisted the position. It is anticipated that the South West Greenbelt Study will properly define the extent of the urban fringe development opportunity in this part of the City, as well as looking to a 20 year greenbelt/greenspace strategy.

**your wish**

The release of part of the site at Kennishead Farm for housing development, and the remainder allocated as greenspace in the LDP.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****135/726****Inset Map****Spatial Framework Maps****comment**

While the general framework is acceptable, the extent of the greenbelt at Mid Netherton, Carmunnock is not. This site (boundary supplied) should be released from the greenbelt for housing development. The site is effective in terms of the PAN 2/2010 criteria.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****1/1****Issue****1.1****comment**

Yes. Green Belt should be protected. It helps to prevent air pollution. It is also a habitat for wildlife.

**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****10/172****Issue****1.1****comment**

Yes, I very much agree.

**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****12/47****Issue****1.1****comment**

Yes, there are plenty of "brownfield" sites that should be used first.

**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****15/59****Issue****1.1****comment**

Yes

**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****20/72****Issue** 1.1

**comment** There is no need for green belt build in Glasgow. Hopefully neighbouring councils can adopt the same attitude, with the amount of vacant land we should be looking at the internal polycentric neighbourhood approach - green areas surrounding regenerated village style communities.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****26/115****Issue** 1.1

**comment** Correct approach. There are plenty of disused buildings lying vacant - need to be utilised first. Better consideration of current planning applications and more vision to regenerate old buildings.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****27/127****Issue** 1.1

**comment** Suggest private/mixed or social rented housing should be on brownfield sites, not greenfield. Important to ensure urban greenspace provision as a condition of planning to the developers.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****31/182****Issue** 1.1

**comment** We support the Preferred Option (1.1A). Undoubtedly private sector housebuilders would prefer small greenbelt releases as being more profitable, but there is no evidence that Option 1.1A will prevent the building of sufficient houses. Protection of the greenbelt is an important principle, only to be considered over-riding in the case of pressing social need.

**your wish** Keep Option 1.1A, remove Option 1.1B

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****32/301****Issue** 1.1**comment** I strongly agree. There is little demand for more housing. What there is can be filled by brownfield sites.**your wish****postcard no:** We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****43/251****Issue** 1.1**comment** Yes.**your wish****postcard no:** We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****44/267****Issue** 1.1**comment** Do not release any more land in Greenbelt for housing**your wish****postcard no:** We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****44/269****Issue** 1.1**comment** Yes. Also where housing lies empty, force landlords to bring property up to a reasonable standard and this can then be used to house those in need.**your wish****postcard no:** We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****47/276****Issue** 1.1**comment** Agreed! There are plenty of 'Urban Brownfield' sites available!**your wish****postcard no:** We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****52/300****Issue** 1.1**comment** Biomass Yes. Wind No.**your wish****posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****60/3317****Issue** 1.1**comment** The Robroyston Community Growth Area continues to form part of the LDP housing land supply. It will be necessary to ensure that there are no adverse visual or traffic impact on the communities of East Dunbartonshire from this proposed greenfield housing land development.**your wish** GCC to provide technical information to address these matters prior to the publication of the Proposed Plan.**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****72/1111****Issue** 1.1**comment** Supports GCC's assessment of it's own circumstances that no land release from the greenbelt is required for private housebuilding.**your wish** West Dunbartonshire Council wish to be consulted early with regards to any future proposals for release in the Greater Glasgow North and West sub-market area.**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****78/3899****Issue** 1.1**comment** There seems to be a lot of focus on what are essentially generic suburban developments to help meet future housing demand e.g. Robroyston and Garthamlock. I assume this is because developers and the council are trying to give families what they want within the city boundary.**your wish****posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****78/3901****Issue****1.1****comment**

The MIR notes the need for new railway stations, but better use could be made of existing infrastructure, for example the Subway South of the River Clyde, as Kingston and Kinning Park used to be residential areas. What is Scotland Street zoned for?

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****78/3902****Issue****1.1****comment**

I would like to see the council make more of an effort to integrate Woodside, Springburn etc. with the city centre, despite the M8. Springburn seems to be forgotten when regeneration is considered - The Hawthorn Street overpass should be demolished and traffic re-routed to the South of Springburn, thus connecting Balgrayhill with the town centre. The M8 at townhead seems unecessarily wasteful in terms of land taken up, there are car parks and trees where once stood fine tenements around the Royal Infirmary, with a new street connecting Stirling Road with the Martyrs church.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4058****Issue****1.1****comment**

SPT supports the preferred option, i.e. to release no further greenfield sites and steer future housing developments to locations that are more likely to make best use of existing transport networks and local facilities. SPT has been working with the Council on the Community Growth Areas and considers that these represent the best opportunities for significant new housing development, taking account of the existing transport infrastructure. It is recognised that significant developer contributions will be required to kick start public bus services at all three sites.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4065****Issue****1.1****comment**

SPT supports the Council's aspirations for sustainable access to the identified Community Growth Areas. We consider it will be necessary for the Council to ensure significant financial support from the CGA developers (through the master plan and planning consent processes) for bus services to and through the CGAs. It will be important to ensure that new residents will have a range of sustainable travel choices from the first phases of the housing developments.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****97/1396****Issue****1.1****comment**

Support for the preferred option not to release further land from the greenbelt for private housebuilding, other than at the three greenfield locations for Community Growth Areas already identified in City Plan 2, at Broomhouse/Baillieston/Carmyle, Robroyston/Millerston and Gartloch/Easterhouse. A preference for seeing a concentration on developing brownfield sites within the existing urban area for new housing, on the basis that public transport serving such sites is more likely to be both attractive to the user and sustainable for the provider. In contrast, further small scale peripheral greenfield housing sites would, in general, be difficult to serve in a sustainable manner.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1629****Issue****1.1****comment**

Generally support development of unused land within the existing urban fabric, which are likely to already have transport connections and whose development will contribute to better use of existing systems.

**your wish**

Strongly support Option 1.1A

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

---

**Main Issues Report****107/674****Issue** 1.1

**comment** The MIR doesn't set out the HNDA findings for Glasgow which indicate that the surplus of land for private housing is based on the Urban Capacity sites and Community Growth Areas delivering;

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****107/677****Issue** 1.1

**comment** The MIR (and LHS) fails to recognise that while lack of development finance is a major problem, so too are falling land values, development viability, and the location and nature of many established housing land sites. The fall in new build valuations and the slow down in potential sales rates are factors in making sites for flats at the lower end of the market unviable. Many sites in Glasgow are in unattractive locations, and poorer quality sites are unlikely to proceed.

**your wish** The LDP needs to identify development opportunities which can be promoted in the current market, along with actions to overcome new obstacles to development on some land allocations.

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****107/678****Issue** 1.1

**comment** The Council's has a good track record in regeneration and, even if much of it is in marginal locations, its property portfolio can be used to leverage more investment and development.

**your wish** The Council should re-examine its property holdings to identify what sites can be used to leverage more investment and development.

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****107/680****Issue** 1.1

**comment** There needs to be a range of site size/location options available to allow replacement sites to be identified where existing sites are not coming forward.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****107/681****Issue****1.1****comment**

There is a particular need for smaller sites of up to 100 units as these are deliverable with less infrastructure and borrowing requirement. While 70% of Glasgow's draft 2011 housing land supply is composed from sites of up to 100 units, these only represent 20% of units, which is too few given the problems of funding larger sites. Of Glasgow's 7900 units in sites up to 100 units, only 2000 units are in housebuilder control - which is a small proportion being actively pursued by housebuilders.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****107/682****Issue****1.1****comment**

Option 1.1B is too simplistic. The issue is not simply more greenfield/greenbelt land, it is understanding what land of all types and locations might be deliverable.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2793****Issue****1.1****comment**

We support Option 1.1 the preferred option. We consider that there is already a generous allocation of housing land in the Glasgow area and as stated in the MIR the release of additional sites would undermine the strategy of sustainable development and climate change mitigation resulting in increased car use and poorly connected developments without active travel routes and green networks. The re-use of brownfield sites will help to improve the environmental quality of the local areas in which they occur, whilst the release of small scale incremental development sites within the greenbelt could have potential adverse long term landscape and visual impacts on the greenbelt edge and its overall character and quality. Moreover, such incremental development would not be accompanied by the planned infrastructure and green network that would be incorporated into the masterplans for the CGAs.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****109/2971****Issue** 1.1**comment** Range of sites for new housing development is inadequate and unattractive. The combination of lower revenues in these areas and higher build costs make these an unattractive proposition.**your wish** GCC's property company should be more pro-active in disposing of assets to increase land supply.**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****115/627****Issue** 1.1**comment** 'Prefer' does not sufficiently commit GCC to a policy of preserving the existing greenbelt. The existing greenbelt should be protected.**your wish** In response to the demand for allotments and for food supply to be local if possible, areas which could be allotments should be identified and developed**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****117/811****Issue** 1.1**comment** No further release of green belt for private house building Vitally important that any areas suitable for cultivation should be protected.**your wish****posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****123/3329****Issue** 1.1**comment** There is ample brownfield land in the city for all practical purposes, and therefore no reason whatsoever to accede to the housebuilders pressure for releasing greenfield sites for such purposes.**your wish****posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****133/1466****Issue****1.1****comment**

Supports the broad future regeneration context which is complimentary to the release of land at Kennishead Farm as the site is located within walking distance of major bus routes and very close to Kennishead Railway Station. Development of the Kennishead site could also provide the regeneration of unsightly land and steadings on the urban fringe.

**your wish**

The principle of regeneration should also apply to non urban sites.

**postcard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****133/1468****Issue****1.1****comment**

Do not agree that the preferred release strategy for private housing will deliver the necessary capacity to meet demand within the plan period. The blanket policy of no further greenfield release justified on the basis of the adequacy of the existing supply, no Structure Plan requirement and only development on brownfield land and Community Growth Areas is not a deliverable housing strategy. Whilst acknowledging the issues, the MIR refuses to acknowledge limited greenfield release as a potential solution. A limited amount of housing release from the greenbelt/greenspace would not compromise the overall strategy of the Plan. There is uncertainty over the Strategic Development Plan surviving the Examination in its current form. The SDP has not identified a strategic requirement for release and this is being contested by the housebuilding industry. As a consequence of changes at this level there may be a limited greenfield release requirement due to a change in the housing needs assessment imposed by the Scottish Government. The MIR acknowledges that greenfield release is possible under SDP Support Policy 10. Previous City Plans recognised the value of greenfield release in order to provide choice and also to help retain families within the City on sites of equivalent quality to suburban authorities. There has been little change in the intervening period. The City Plan 2 Community Growth Areas have not delivered with the exception of Broomhouse/Baillieston. In the current context of the major strategic growth areas generally not delivering capacity as envisaged and the longer lead in times for such sites, due to planning gain and infrastructure provision, it is important that there is a balanced land supply in order to maintain an active housing market within the Kennishead area. A number of recent public examinations in Scotland have concluded that additional smaller scale sites are required to augment the land supply in order to maintain a 5 year supply at all times but also to deliver short term land and affordable housing. In hard economic times housebuilders will return and deliver capacity on sites which are easier to develop because these can be developed without affecting existing infrastructure capacity. The effectiveness of sites is a critical aspect of the available land supply.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

**Issue****1.1****comment**

Do not agree that the preferred release strategy for private housing will deliver the necessary capacity to meet demand within the plan period. The blanket policy of no further greenfield release justified on the basis of the adequacy of the existing supply, no Structure Plan requirement and only development on brownfield land and Community Growth Areas is not a deliverable housing strategy. Whilst acknowledging the issues, the MIR refuses to acknowledge limited greenfield release as a potential solution. A limited amount of housing release from the greenbelt/greenspace would not compromise the overall strategy of the Plan. □□ There is uncertainty over the Strategic Development Plan surviving the Examination in its current form. The SDP has not identified a strategic requirement for release and this is being contested by the housebuilding industry. As a consequence of changes at this level there may be a limited greenfield release requirement due to a change in the housing needs assessment imposed by the Scottish Government. The MIR acknowledges that greenfield release is possible under SDP Support Policy 10. Previous City Plans recognised the value of greenfield release in order to provide choice and also to help retain families within the City on sites of equivalent quality to suburban authorities. There has been little change in the intervening period. The City Plan 2 Community Growth Areas have not delivered with the exception of Broomhouse/Baillieston. The problem with Community Growth Areas is not the principle but the practical delivery on the ground within early or even later phases. □□ The effectiveness of sites is a critical aspect of the available land supply. In times of economic uncertainty, sites in the 100-200 unit capacity with a 3-5 year build programme are the most likely to be developed. There is an opportunity through the LDP to encourage and stimulate the local housing market by producing a range and choice of housing sites in terms of geographical size, spread and type. □

**your wish**

The emerging LDP should take a flexible approach to identify land for all types of housing and in particular sites of between 100-200 units. A further critical point in delivering an effective housing land supply is bank funding.

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

**Issue****1.1****comment**

Pollok and Corrour fundamentally disagrees with the Council's strategy of no housing release and considers it misplaced and non-sustainable from a housebuilding perspective.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****135/723****Issue** 1.1**comment** National Planning Policy on greenbelts requires LDPs to examine the long-term position for 20 years ahead. The statement on page 14 under option 1.1 is clearly contrary to that review process.**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****138/638****Issue** 1.1**comment** Scottish Enterprise wish to continue to have their landholding at the Former Ruchill Hospital, Bilsland Drive, Ruchill, identified as a Housing Proposal Site. The site is 38 acres in total and it is considered that it could accommodate between 300-400 houses. The site is brownfield and lies within the urban limit of the city. (Plan enclosed (pdf))**your wish** Housing Proposal Designation at the Former Ruchill Hospital site for 300-400 houses. The site's layout, density and design to be subject to a Masterplan led approach to inform its future development.**posctard no:** We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****140/361****Issue** 1.1**comment** The critical issue in this part of the City is the non-completion of the Comprehensive Planning Study into the greenbelt/greenspace now referred to as "The South West Greenbelt Study".**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****143/324****Issue** 1.1**comment** Green belt is vital to health and is irreplaceable**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****143/1522****Issue****1.1****comment**

Housebuilding industry complaints of "inconvenience" should not later the presently planned supply of land. Brownfield sites are most likely to provide sustainability and access to existing services. Their use will preserve the greenbelt. We think the preferred options on housing land are the correct ones and the flexibility in land supplied suggested in 2.12 should be deleted. Abuse could seriously undermine policy.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****149/2948****Issue****1.1****comment**

We support no further release of greenfield for private housing beyond currently undeveloped release sites/Community Growth Areas.

**your wish**

We support no further release of greenfield for private housing beyond currently undeveloped release sites/Community Growth Areas.

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****149/2949****Issue****1.1****comment**

Also must ensure that Community Growth Areas in Easterhouse/Garthamlock deliver: a mix of well designed, energy efficient good quality housing types and tenure, including affordable housing; high quality design that includes multi-functional green infrastructure (access routes, greenspace, sustainable water management and habitat networks) and good physical and visual links with the surrounding countryside; opportunities for new/improved community infrastructure which provided benefits for adjacent housing areas and links to the regeneration of existing communities.

**your wish**

Ensure delivery of the Easterhouse/Garthamlock CGA

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3621****Issue****1.1****comment**

We fully support policy 1.1A. Glasgow has a great deal of vacant brownfield land, and it is unclear how it will ever be converted to new uses if Greenfield site development is permitted. Recent press comment indicates that in England, the house-building industry is putting considerable pressure on Government to permit release of greenbelt. In Glasgow we should resist such pressure.

**your wish**

It may be necessary to state clearly where further development may not take place for the foreseeable future, if developers are to be encouraged to use brownfield sites. Otherwise developers will opt for the areas where they see most profit and continue to try to squeeze more units into areas where they are unsuitable, to the detriment of those areas and neglect of areas which do need development

**postcard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3622****Issue****1.1****comment**

piecemeal development, without much consideration of the over-all effect may not be a good idea.

**your wish**

It would help the process if areas could be marked for "no further development will be considered in these areas (specified such as the west end particularly Hillhead) within the lifetime of this city plan"

**postcard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****167/1790****Issue****1.1****comment**

Taylor Wimpey does not consider that the preferred option (1.1A) will deliver the necessary capacity to meet demand within the Plan period. Taylor Wimpey agrees with the need to look at greening urban vacant sites, however, a range of housing opportunities is essential and a requirement encouraged by Government advice and policy. Taylor Wimpey does not, and cannot, agree that a limited amount of housing release from the greenbelt/greenspace would compromise the overall strategy of the Plan.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****167 / 1795****Issue** 1.1

**comment** Taylor Wimpey fundamentally disagrees with the Council's strategy of no housing release and considers it misplaced and non-sustainable from a housebuilding perspective.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****174 / 752****Issue** 1.1

**comment** Fully support policy of no further release of land from the greenbelt for private house-building in Option 1.1. Glasgow has a great deal of vacant brownfield land, and it is unclear how it will ever be converted to new uses if Greenfield site development is permitted.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****182 / 4045****Issue** 1.1

**comment** It is encouraging to note the optimistic view re population and that it is anticipated that this will rise to 615,000 in 2025. Population rise together with growth in the number of households requires a degree of flexibility in the housing land supply and to avoid over reliance on the apparent capacity of the Community Growth Areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1077****Issue** 1.1

**comment** Concern amongst members that the aspiration for growth is not supported by the housing allocations and members advise there is a significant undersupply of housing to 2025. This has the potential to significantly constrain economic growth and job creation.

**your wish** Concerns therefore urges the Council to make more aspirational allocations to provide vision and direction for Growth

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****185/1078****Issue** 1.1

**comment** Members advise that only the sites that are viable with little risk will be developed (for housing), leaving many of the historic large strategic sites as undevelopable.

**your wish** concerns as stated

**postcard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****185/1079****Issue** 1.1

**comment** Previously raised concerns over the priorities of GCC and the focus on directing development to regeneration areas, where there is no market demand.

**your wish** Consider many of the large-scale allocations as ineffective in the current climate and would like to see them revised. Wants LDP team to prioritise economic growth and ensure an adequate supply of good quality development land to encourage development.

**postcard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****185/1086****Issue** 1.1

**comment** housing- recognise and endorse the importance of promoting appropriate housing policies to support economic growth. However, concerned about the Council's reliance on the development of the Community Growth areas to deliver housing which require considerable investment to make them deliverable which respondent doesn't see as being available in the foreseeable future.

**your wish** Urges the Council to revise housing allocations and ensure there is sufficient deliverable housing land allocated to support economic growth. This could involve identifying further developable land on smaller effective sites.

**postcard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****186/3913****Issue** 1.1

**comment** Dawn are encouraged by the projected population and household growth. Meeting this growth requires flexibility, rather than an over-reliance on the apparent capacity of the Community Growth Areas.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****187 / 3942****Issue** 1.1**comment** Option A is strongly welcomed**your wish****postcard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****190 / 1435****Issue** 1.1**comment** Welcomes the approach of no further release of greenbelt land along the boundary with Renfrewshire, in addition to the site at Crookston. Noted that there is already a sufficient supply of land already allocated within Glasgow's boundary to accommodate housing development requirements.**your wish****postcard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1202****Issue** 1.1**comment** GCC should be satisfied that sites identified through the SDP process are deliverable and consider actions to remove constraints , particularly in CGA's.**your wish****postcard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1203****Issue** 1.1**comment** It's noted that no further Greenbelt land for housing is proposed. The proposed Plan should explain how the housing land requirement will be met across all tenures.**your wish****postcard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****195/1822****Issue** 1.1**comment** Disagrees with preferred option (1.1A) and argues that option 1.1B is the only logical option.**your wish****posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****196/1147****Issue** 1.1**comment** The continuing overarching priority has to be the social and economic regeneration of the City of Glasgow and the continued growth of population to provide the economic stimulus for and support from the wide range of community facilities and support mechanisms required by City residents. In these circumstances there should be no further presumption against development of green belt land with any such development considered against the need of the City and the local communities.**your wish****posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****196/1148****Issue** 1.1**comment** The potential for housebuilding on greenbelt land may be necessary for strengthening local communities and in assisting the provision of affordable housing or community benefit. The approach promoted by the Main Issues Report is entirely wrong.**your wish****posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3547****Issue** 1.1**comment** Yes - agree with Option 1.1 A that no further land should be released from the greenbelt for private house building**your wish****posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****215/1778****Issue****1.1****comment**

The Plan should reflect continuing uncertainty in the housing market by considering alternative brownfield sites which can be demonstrated to be effective within the Plan period for residential development in the forthcoming plan. This approach is considered necessary if the Plan is to meet the requirements of the Scottish Planning Policy (SPP).

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****229/3989****Issue****1.1****comment**

Option 1.1 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group. Development on brownfield and greyfield sites must be prioritised.

**your wish**

Option A

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****240/312****Issue****1.1****comment**

Give alternatives. Be proactive. Be constructive - Give examples

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****247/383****Issue****1.1****comment**

Strongly agree. Glasgow is full of empty space which must be used first.  
NO question.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****248/2916****Issue****1.1****comment**

Do not agree with the Council's preferred option as it relates to option 1.1. Indeed, we would request that option 1.1b is adopted in order to allow the release of land from the greenbelt as this would enable a long term approach to be taken in relation to housebuilding and help to secure and maintain an appropriate five year effective land supply. The preferred policy of no greenfield release will restrict and limit the number of residential sites which are implemented and as such will do little to encourage growth. It is submitted that greenfield release would encourage development at a time when current market and economic conditions are limiting development of brownfield sites due to the associated costs.

**your wish**

We would request that option 1.1b is adopted as this will help provide residential sites which can be developed in spite of the current market and economic conditions, brownfield release in many cases has significant associated costs and, as such, is not viable at the present time. Greenfield release would provide for long term flexible growth while helping secure and maintain an appropriate five year effective land supply. Support for such an approach is provided by SPP and the proposed SDP, both of which are detailed in the accompanying report.

**postcard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****249/460****Issue****1.1****comment**

The assumption that brownfield land should always be sacrificed to greenfield land must be challenged. There is a failure to define "brownfield" or to recognise the value of some "brownfield" sites. Brownfield sites are often richer in biodiversity and are often of use as informal greenspace for adjacent communities. There remains a failure to appreciate the value of informal and unmanaged open space on the periphery of communities. Many "derelict" sites are now valuable open spaces.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****250/437****Issue****1.1****comment**

Meridian agrees with the preferred option of no release from the Greenbelt with the view that sites, such as the Darnley site, which are within the urban boundary, can provide for all of the proposed housing figures. With a view to encouraging sustainability, the Council should refrain from identifying new greenbelt locations when there are sites such as this available that can provide the required housing figures.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****260 / 1363****Issue****1.1****comment**

Seeks the allocation of greenbelt land for development at Summerston to deliver, amongst other things, additional owner occupation, the provision of local community facilities, improvements to local infrastructure and land for potential extension of Lambhill Cemetery.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****260 / 1364****Issue****1.1****comment**

The release of additional land is considered essential to help ensure that Glasgow develops in a way which makes the most sustainable use of natural and other resources. We do not consider that there is an effective housing land supply or supply of brownfield sites identified by the emerging LDP within Summerston and Glasgow North.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****260 / 1365****Issue****1.1****comment**

No Community Growth Areas are located in Glasgow North. The release of additional land is considered essential to assist in the delivery of the regeneration of Glasgow North and would, in our view, significantly assist in achieving the regeneration aims of the Council, as outlined in the MIR.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****260 / 1366****Issue****1.1****comment**

It is considered that there is reliance by the Council on the Community Growth Areas and there should be a strategy in place to ensure that, if these sites are not delivered, then a mechanism is in place to allow for other sites to be brought forward.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****260 / 1367****Issue****1.1****comment**

We consider that it is inevitable that parts of the housing land supply are no longer effective. Given the size and complex nature of the Community Growth Areas, it is likely that their delivery will be delayed due to inevitable infrastructure, legal and lead-in constraints and therefore provision should be made to supplement the housing supply within the LDP. It is imperative that the Council pursue Option 1.1B.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****261 / 1387****Issue****1.1****comment**

Montagu Evans suggest that, as a result of dialogue with Neil Bell, there was anticipation of further release of greenfield land at Summerston via City Plan 3. They understand Summerston seen as first reserve site in terms of delivery of additional housing, when problems experienced in one of Community Growth Areas. Montagu Evans are in support of further development at Summerston consisting of housing plus community facilities.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****261 / 1388****Issue****1.1****comment**

Site on east side of Balmore Road previously identified for a "village" development within the city. Reference to location in City Plan 1. Montagu Evans see as potential medium/longer term project.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****261 / 1389****Issue****1.1****comment**

Dialogue with Council in respect to Milton at time of CP2 Culminated in reference to Milton, from a development standpoint in CP2. Council expressed an interest in seeking to address issues/problems in area. Proposal for initial study into issues, identified by Council and funded by Caledonian Properties. Caledonian Properties supported this however matters never progressed. Caledonian Properties acknowledge majority of development receipts would require to be reinvested back into community. The form of development would be informed by study.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****265 / 1484****Issue****1.1****comment**

The MIR does not give consideration to the planning history of a site at Mount Vernon Avenue and the comments of the City Plan 2 Local Plan Inquiry Reporter in relation to the site. This site was identified as "an attractive site for building the sort of upper market houses for which the Council is allocating Greenfield land around the City"

**your wish**

Fresh consideration should be given in the new proposed local development plan to the possibility of residential development on this site.

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****266 / 1448****Issue****1.1****comment**

Qualified agreement with the broad future regeneration context. The emphasis on the human aspects of the regeneration strategy in Glasgow should not be diluted as suggested by statements in this section of the MIR that whilst the emphasis on economic and social regeneration remains relevant it should be revisited in light of new emerging key drivers of change.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****266 / 1450****Issue** 1.1

**comment** In terms of sustainable strong communities, the spatial strategy to address multiple deprivation is not sufficiently well developed in the MIR in that there seems to be no appreciation of the fact that different parts of the City exhibit considerable variations in terms of advantage and disadvantage and there is no real plan in the MIR to address these by directing growth into disadvantaged areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****266 / 1451****Issue** 1.1

**comment** In terms of the land supply for private sector housing, the regional approach to HNDA is sensible. Selective release of appropriate greenfield land within the City at the right time can attract new households and potentially improve the City's tax base. Objections from private housebuilders on the effectiveness of certain sites should not unduly influence the Plan.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****269 / 423****Issue** 1.1

**comment** Use brownfield sites and existing empty sites first. Bring into use empty properties, including those above commercial premises.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****270 / 413****Issue** 1.1

**comment** I think this is another right approach.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1537****Issue** 1.1**comment** Land Supply for Private Sector Housing - Support for "Preferred Option"  
1.1A**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing  
1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****10 / 166****Issue** 1.2**comment** Yes please. It takes 9 months to turn fallen leaf litter into good forestry  
compost. Why not grow pollard or coppice trees for wood chip fuel.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other  
renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce  
carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****12 / 48****Issue** 1.2**comment** Yes, this is the correct approach.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other  
renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce  
carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****17 / 68****Issue** 1.2**comment** Is the right approach. Cathkin Braes could be suitable.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other  
renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce  
carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****22/80****Issue****1.2****comment**

Broad agreement! Big wind must give community benefit (e.g. Fintry, Stirlingshire). Zoning for wind farms to be clearly defined. LDP should actively promote all bio/wood powered activities, land for bio-cropping, use of wood-chip heating systems. Homeowners to have easy planning guidelines for solar/passive energy saving ground/air heat source systems - especially in conservation areas/listed buildings.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.

1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****26/143****Issue****1.2****comment**

Renewables should be integrated into all new housing, commercial property. Any regeneration must incorporate renewables from the outset. Planning should be refused on new applications if plans don't incorporate energy efficiency (max) and renewables.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.

1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****31/183****Issue****1.2****comment**

We are disappointed that the issue of short-rotation coppicing in itself does not feature strongly in the planning Options. Even if biomass plants are not ultimately considered desirable within Glasgow City, there is land on which coppicing would be a desirable use. Such activity acts as a carbon store in the short term, as well as improving amenity, reducing rainwater runoff, etc. A possible market for the product for domestic installations of wood-burning stoves etc. might be an equally sustainable outcome as the development of medium-scale biomass installations.

**your wish**

Highlight coppicing as a beneficial area for consideration whether or not biomass installations are established as desirable.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.

1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****32/302****Issue****1.2****comment**

Excellent idea

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.

1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****43/260****Issue** 1.2**comment** Yes**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****46/274****Issue** 1.2**comment** No, In my opinion, as a retired electrical/mechanical engineer, the thought of having wind power as our main supply of electricity is app**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****50/288****Issue** 1.2**comment** Windfarms are inefficient and a waste of money.

Nuclear is the way forward for electric generation.

**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****60/3313****Issue** 1.2**comment** Conflict with the policies of the East Dunbartonshire Local Plan 2 relate to proposed development at Robroyston wind power sites**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****60/3318****Issue****1.2****comment**

9 potential sites for large wind turbines are identified in the MIR including 2 at Robroyston North and South adjacent to the M80. The MIR states that 'an assessment is required of the potential of all of these 9 sites, together with possible implications for the amenity of local populations'. Although both the Robroyston North and South sites are some distance from the boundary with EDC, limited information is provided on the scope of the proposed wind power sites.

**your wish**

GCC to provide further information regarding the proposed sites prior to the publication of the GCC Proposed Plan. This should include a visual and environmental impact assessment and discussion with representatives of EDC.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4059****Issue****1.2****comment**

SPT is working with Sustainable Glasgow to take forward low carbon transport solutions, including the use of renewable energy at SPT facilities. We note that the potential to use the City's vacant and derelict land for short rotation biomass production is identified in paragraph 2.18. Consideration should be given to the potential impact of access between extraction sites and connections to potential markets. In identifying the optimal locations for biomass production, detailed consideration of the transport needs of timber extraction is needed. If a preferred option is taken forward in the Proposed Plan, consideration must be given to the accessibility of the site and the potential wider impacts of transport needs of timber extraction.

We support the preferred option, however it should be recognised that renewable energy from Glasgow may come from sites close to, but outside, the city boundary and there will be a need for a regional approach to realising the potential for renewable energy. In investigating options for wind installations it will be important to ensure there are no adverse effects on airport radar systems.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****96/1720****Issue****1.2****comment**

SE are supportive of the option.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2794****Issue****1.2****comment**

We support the proactive response to the Climate Change Act and generally support the Preferred Option 1.2 A. However, we do have some concerns about the proposed approach for wind turbines. We are unsure as to what is meant by “a small number of large turbines” and why the identified sites on the maps have specifically been chosen as “potential” and “priority areas”. Wind turbines need to be appropriately sited to ensure they do not have an adverse impact on the natural heritage. We recommend strongly that Glasgow City Council carry out a landscape capacity assessment to inform the proposed wind strategy for the city, and any resulting spatial plan based on brownfield turbine sites. Indeed, we would suggest that the City’s contribution to climate change objectives should not necessarily include wind turbines within the city boundary. The city-region already has a considerable level of installed wind energy, and a further area of search has been identified within the Strategic Development Plan for Glasgow and Clyde Valley which does not include any parts of the city itself. Therefore, while the potential for wind energy should be investigated, it may be that other renewable options are more appropriate for the city.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.](#)  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2795****Issue****1.2****comment**

We view positively the use of degraded land for biomass planting on sites which have no other current uses and limited value for biodiversity.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.](#)  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****123/3330****Issue****1.2****comment**

A subsection on the potential for solar water heating and solar PV panels must be added, as well as the substantial benefits from passive solar gain, such as from “Passivhaus” principles.

**your wish**

All planning, listed building and conservation area constraints should be removed in respect of the addition of solar water heating or solar PV panels to domestic or commercial buildings.

**posctard no:** [We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.](#)  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****123/3331****Issue** 1.2

**comment** Option 1.2 - Introduce an interim obligation for planning permissions: that the land to be made available for biomass growing if there is no contract in place for meaningful construction is to start within 6 months, at the time of granting the permission.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****128/729****Issue** 1.2

**comment** The Plan should include policies with a strong presumption in favour of all new energy and particularly new renewable energy proposals.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****128/730****Issue** 1.2

**comment** The Plan should recognise, and deal separately with, microgeneration and larger generation schemes which will be able to supply 'off-site' energy to either the electricity grid, identified private electricity users and / or heat networks.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****128/739****Issue** 1.2

**comment** Support the Preferred Option 1.2 A, subject to policies being presumptive in favour of all renewables development unless it can be clearly demonstrated that unacceptable adverse environmental effects would occur. Furthermore, the delivery of renewables proposals should not be hindered by relevant plans and policies not being in place.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****143/323****Issue** 1.2**comment** Urban wind farms should not be sited in green belt or amenity green space.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****143/1523****Issue** 1.2**comment** We object to the proposal for urban windfarms and do not, for example, consider Cathkin Braes to qualify for such a use.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****144/418****Issue** 1.2**comment** Yes, it would be a very goof idea and valuable development.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****149/2950****Issue** 1.2**comment** We support the ambition to deliver renewable through biomass and refer the Council to the Partnership's Assessment of Wood Energy Opportunities within the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Study, 2007 to inform that ambition. Any initiative should also consider delivering cuts in emissions through energy efficient design and effective microgeneration. The growing of biomass should also seek, where possible, to deliver other Green Network benefits such as recreational opportunity and rainfall interception in upper catchment areas.**your wish** Support biomass renewals.**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****149/2951****Issue** 1.2

**comment** Opportunities to investigate the potential for wind and biomass exist linked to the development of the proposed Seven Lochs Wetland Park. A study to investigate these opportunities, and how renewable energy could contribute to and support the long-term, sustainable management of the proposed Wetland Park should be undertaken.

**your wish** Support long-term sustainable management of proposed Seven Lochs Wetland Park.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****151/3392****Issue** 1.2

**comment** If the Council's commitment to the Climate Change Act is serious, this should guide all development, spatial and land use planning and must enforce a real reduction of energy demand not just management of it.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****151/3393****Issue** 1.2

**comment** Para 2.22 - Denmark and other northern European countries with similar weather patterns to Glasgow also provide excellent models for developing active travel and public transport networks and infrastructure to reduce carbon emissions and a modal shift from the private car.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****151/3394****Issue** 1.2

**comment** If the MIR were to take account of the people of the city as its most important resource, a people centred economy, this would create a radical shift in understanding what priorities exist in relation to their health and well being.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3395****Issue** 1.2

**comment** Land use and spatial planning should have the specific objective of reducing the need to use capital resources such as oil, to undertake the normal economic and social activity of the city by improving both walking and cycling conditions. Other benefits of this would include; health and wellbeing improvements, local economic benefits and community building.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3396****Issue** 1.2

**comment** Investigate and implement strategies for reducing demand for energy now – e.g. petrol – through land use. In order to be truly sustainable the MIR must act to reduce resource use and demand, through compact city development and design for efficiency and by encouraging alternatives, e.g. public transport, cycling.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****156 / 1826****Issue** 1.2

**comment** Reference is made to 17 turbines that could reduce the carbon footprint of the City by just under 1%. It is submitted that this preferred policy option may encourage additional pressure for wind turbines which would reduce the attraction of Robroyston for housing development and community growth. In this context we wish to lodge the strongest possible objection to the preferred policy option.

**your wish** We would request that Robroyston North and Robroyston South are not identified as potential sites for wind power. Indeed, it is submitted that such identification and the adoption of the preferred option 1.2A, will encourage wind turbines in the area and as such will reduce the attraction of Robroyston for housing development and community growth, as outlined in previous local plans and then by the Community Growth Area designation.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****156/3904****Issue****1.2**

**comment** Strongly object to the identification of Robroyston North and Robroyston South areas as priority wind power sites may reduce the attraction of Robroyston for housing and as a community Growth Area.

**your wish** Robroyston North and Robroyston South should not be identified as Priority Wind Power sites.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3628****Issue****1.2**

**comment** The advantage of option 1.1B on microgeneration should not be ignored even if option 1.1A is preferred

**your wish** We consider that all options should be explored, but without bias towards any. Unbiased explorations of all forms of power and heat generation and their impact.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3630****Issue****1.2**

**comment** Water power is ignored. Power can be generated from the Clyde and other waterways (eg Archimedes - type screws which generate electricity and yet are not injurious to wildlife)

**your wish** It might need a barrage to prevent flooding. This should receive serious attention again. More should be done to exploit water and tidal power, in cooperation with our neighbours in Clyde Valley. Even a stream can generate power.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3631****Issue****1.2**

**comment** A key feature ought to be a mechanism for ensuring that the benefits reach the communities involved.

**your wish** This should be built into the policy

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3632****Issue****1.2****comment**

Care should be taken to ensure that we do not destroy more in the production of power than we achieve

**your wish**

Independent assessment by qualified experts of SEA's and proposals. Noise aspects may also need to be connected with some of these proposals.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3633****Issue****1.2****comment**

Routes for cables etc should not despoil the natural & built environment

**your wish**

Policies to avoid despoliation of natural & built heritage, acquifiers etc. Control of routes for cables and associated infrastructure

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3636****Issue****1.2****comment**

Solar heat should be an option (eg panels on roofs)

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****169/832****Issue****1.2****comment**

Renewable Energy

**your wish**

With regard to assessing brownfield sites for wind turbines, the LDP should identify the maximum number of single turbines that would be suitable across a broad area without causing detrimental cumulative effects on the environment (especially birds and bats). We would encourage the development planning team to refer to Scottish Natural Heritage's guidance on cumulative impacts of wind farms when it becomes available (due for publication shortly).

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****171/3447****Issue** 1.2

**comment** Glasgow Airport supports the efforts of organisations, including Sustainable Glasgow to increase the amount of energy generated by renewable sources but this must be achieved without compromising the safe and efficient operation of aircraft and airports, and the economic and social benefits these bring.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****171/3448****Issue** 1.2

**comment** Tall objects such as wind turbines can result in significant impacts to radar and air navigation. In accordance with SPP, it is important therefore that any policy relating to wind turbine development includes criteria related to aviation issues.

**your wish** The Airport expects the Proposed Plan will include these criteria and would encourage early engagement in relation to proposals to develop large scale wind turbines in the city.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****174/753****Issue** 1.2

**comment** Agree with Option 1.2 that sites for wind turbines should be progressed. It is disappointing how little progress has been made on this, despite strong local community interest in places. A mechanism for ensuring that the benefits reach the communities involved should be built into the policy.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****174/754****Issue** 1.2

**comment** We are less convinced of the benefits of growing timber for biomass indicated in Option 1.2: if the land is adequately productive, should it not be used for other crops? If this is a 'temporary' use, how much biomass will really be generated?

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1087****Issue****1.2****comment**

Renewable energy- Supports the preferred option set out in option 1.2 and believes that the development of renewable energy sources should be encouraged across the city.

**your wish**

Number of their members are keen to promote renewable energy proposals and appreciate the Council supporting Renewable Energy initiatives being proposed by commercial companies.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.

1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****187 / 3943****Issue****1.2****comment**

Option A is preferred but be cautious about supporting generation that requires less-sustainable back-up resources, such as wind power and biomass that is derived from sources necessary to sustainable living, such as food production and those contributing to ecological well being. Local community ownership of some renewable energy installations should be seriously considered.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.

1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****188 / 1799****Issue****1.2****comment**

GHA support the preferred option (1.2A).

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.

1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1204****Issue****1.2****comment**

Welcome the approach to harnessing renewable energy opportunities but suggest minor changes: consider using 'Routemap' and 'Online renewables planning advice in preparing the LDP. It's recommended that renewable targets and electricity from renewables and renewable heat should be grouped together.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.

1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1149****Issue****1.2****comment**

The promotion of more wind and biomass energy systems within the City is ill conceived and substantially unbalanced insofar as it may be appropriate to consider options for increasing the use of renewable energy within the City without preference to wind or biomass in particular. The Main Issues Report should therefore focus on the promotion of new renewable energy projects that may be appropriate within the City including run of river hydro projects or tidal power. Indeed run of river hydro schemes could also be feasible in conjunction with many of the water management projects on the River Cart system from the Dams to Darnley Country Park. Such issues would have to be considered carefully to establish viability prior to being included as main issues or policies of a finalised plan.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1150****Issue****1.2****comment**

There is serious concern that the authors of the Main Issues Report are unaware of the conflict arising from the promotion of large scale wind turbines within the Robroyston Community Growth Area and the likelihood that such a proposal could totally undermine a fundamental strategy for population and community growth at Robroyston.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3548****Issue****1.2****comment**

Yes - agree with Option 1.2 A however we would also suggest that this has to be complimented by other strategies that focus on energy efficiency in particular in relation to existing housing and building stock and in particular in relation to tenements and conservation areas (i.e. double glazing installation).

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 3990****Issue** 1.2

**comment** Option 1.2 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group. Community ownership of some renewable energy installations should be encouraged.

**your wish** Option A

**postcard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 381****Issue** 1.2

**comment** Agree. But care must be taken not to unduly compromise residential amenity in such an urban environment. Too many tenements!(where it would be difficult)

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 456****Issue** 1.2

**comment** This section is very inadequate and needs to include conservation of energy as part of sustainable USE. The policy relates only to sustainable CREATION of ...

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 461****Issue** 1.2

**comment** There are two concerns here:

- the implication that short rotation coppice is the only potential source of biomass energy generation (para 2.18); and
- the assumption that biomass has to be burned to produce energy - hence the reference to air quality (para 2.19).

The parameters against which this option is set need to be reviewed. The whole section needs restructuring and biomass and energy from waste need to be considered re their potential for producing methane from biomass. Biomass digesters are ideally suited for local CHP.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****249/463****Issue** 1.2**comment** There is no reference to "encouraging the use of solar installations".**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****266/1452****Issue** 1.2**comment** The focus on biomass and waste as sources of energy production is welcomed. This is a more sustainable and environmentally acceptable approach than wind turbines which are not suited to the densely populated urban environment in Glasgow**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****269/420****Issue** 1.2**comment** Yes, and include all communities within the City boundary. This will lead to sustainable communities.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****270/412****Issue** 1.2**comment** This is the right approach.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1538****Issue** 1.2

**comment** Renewable Energy - Not in favour of Wind Power - is not such a cost-effective method as it is made out to be, and such large scale installations are inappropriate within a confined city area such as Glasgow. Also negative impact on our many traditional areas and buildings. However, other methods should be looked at eg biomass, district heating etc.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1539****Issue** 1.2

**comment** Renewable Energy - Not in favour of Microgeneration for similar reasons - within a confined city such as Glasgow - also negative impact on our many traditional areas and buildings.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote more wind and biomass projects and look at other renewable energy options and sources, as this could help to further reduce carbon emissions.  
1.2

---

**Main Issues Report****209 / 1279****Issue** 1.2 & 1.3

**comment** The University of Glasgow would welcome the opportunity of a strategic energy solution which could include the University campus at Gilmorehill.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****107 / 683****Issue** 1.2/1.3

**comment** Promoting renewable energy technologies is a matter for government fiscal and regulation measures, together with the technology industry. Planning can only deal with siting and design issues.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****128/731****Issue** 1.2/1.3**comment** The interface between Issue 1.2 and Issue 1.3 (Low Carbon Heating/CHP) should be retained in the Plan.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****165/1303****Issue** 1.2A**comment** re any policy or SPG that is developed on renewable energy**your wish** impacts on outdoor sport and recreation interests should be an issue looked at**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****10/160****Issue** 1.3**comment** Yes. The heat in my last job made me ill. We tested 20 Gallon 6 or 12 kw tea boilers set for 98 deg.C then poured this down the drain. All year - in Dixon Blaze Estate Gorbals**your wish** Wind Turning a Heat Pump Directly (No electricity)**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****12/81****Issue** 1.3**comment** Yes - this is the right approach.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****26 / 138****Issue** 1.3

**comment** All new housing developments, especially apartments/flats, should have district heating. All industrial estates should have district heating. If so, easier to incorporate thermal heating renewables into buildings. All new or refurbished property must have the maximum of energy efficiency methods (insulation etc).

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****31 / 184****Issue** 1.3

**comment** We support the preferred option 1.3A. The Report correctly identifies the need for a more proactive approach to DH and CHP technologies, and we would be excited to see the outcome of further research into the use of larger scale Ground Source Heat Pumps in the City.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****43 / 258****Issue** 1.3

**comment** No, you are talking about something too far in the future.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****52 / 293****Issue** 1.3

**comment** Yes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****90/569****Issue****1.3****comment**

Option 1.3 of the MIR indicates that new developments within defined zones will be required to connect to existing or planned District Heating Networks. The MIR then goes on to identify five zones across the city for such Networks. The zones include both of Glasgow College's proposed campus sites at City and Riverside.

The College plays an integral role in the development of the Sustainable Glasgow Initiative and the feasibility studies that are being carried out in relation to the District Heating propositions. We are supportive of these initiatives however we have concerns regarding imposing obligations or constraints on new developments without adequate evidence of viability and value for money. We believe that the District Heating Network strategy must be thoroughly tested to ascertain whether that it provides value for money and guarantees that operational requirements will be met before connection is made a mandatory requirement.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****91/1702****Issue****1.3****comment**

Diageo agrees with option 1.3A as the preferred policy option. It is important to acknowledge that there may be instances where new development cannot achieve this aim and policy must reflect this and be flexible in this regard. Diageo accept the identification of the Dundashill site as being within a Potential District Heating Zone providing policy objectives are realistic and flexible and do not stymie future development of the site should costs prove to be prohibitive in relation to district heating requirements. Any potential to incorporate such development on the site, whether underground pipe work or potentially small Combined Heat and Power stations will be seriously considered from the beginning of the planning process.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4060****Issue****1.3****comment**

We support the 5 key areas identified. We have been working with Sustainable Glasgow on the potential for District Heating Centres in the South and City Centre North Zones.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****96/1721****Issue** 1.3**comment** SE are supportive of the option.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2796****Issue** 1.3**comment** We support the preferred approach.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****123/3332****Issue** 1.3**comment** Option 1.3 - This is reasonable, as far as it goes, but it should specify what will be considered to constitute a 'major' development.**your wish** Prefer to remove the word 'major' and also delete 'where possible' too. Making a new development capable of being connected for CHP or District heating is not a big thing to ask, given that all the homes or offices will have some form of central heating.**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****128/740****Issue** 1.3**comment** Strongly support the principle of identifying District Heating Zones (DHZ) within the Plan.**your wish** Recommend the Plan includes specific references to opportunities in the largest District Heating Zone, the South Zone**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****128/741****Issue** 1.3

**comment** The Plan should emphasise that the most fundamental aspect of delivering the DHZs is the provision of localised energy generation capacity, without which there can be no delivery of district heating schemes. The Plan should also recognise that to be effective, all year round heat users are greatly preferable to those with seasonal heat requirements.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****128/743****Issue** 1.3

**comment** Support the Preferred Option 1.3A. Believe, however, that in Option 1.3A, major new development (the Plan will need to define 'major') should be designed with an appropriate level of internal district heating infrastructure, regardless of whether there is local energy generation capacity in place. This internal network should run to the site's boundary in a location most likely to be suitable for a connection to a future district heating network.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****128/744****Issue** 1.3

**comment** The Plan should recognise the significant potential for a district heating network to be developed within the (District Heating Zone) South Zone, with specific reference to: Peel Environmental's proposed low carbon / renewable energy generation facility at Bogmoor Road near Shieldhall; and Key district heating user opportunities e.g. Braehead Shopping Centre, Southern General Hospital, regeneration areas within and around the Shieldhall and Govan area and local municipal buildings and housing stock.

**your wish** The East End Zone and Southern Zone should be afforded equal weight as priority areas for investment in infrastructure necessary to deliver district heating.

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****144/325****Issue****1.3****comment**

No. It would be better to look at other countries, how do they solve the problem of heating houses? The answer is insulation. Double glazing for windows, reflecting foil behind the radiator and wall and make a system for which each radiator can be turned on/off separately.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****147/2929****Issue****1.3****comment**

Should be small-scale and heat only or CHP in line with national policy (Draft Electricity Generation Policy Statement). Local sourcing on a small-scale would be more sustainable than imports.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****147/2930****Issue****1.3****comment**

We agree but it needs an independent source to verify any reports produced by developers on feasibility of these schemes (to ensure options are genuinely considered).

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****150/2885****Issue****1.3****comment**

District Heating Networks Option 1.3 -indicates that new developments within defined geographic zones in the city will be required to connect to existing or planned District Heating Networks (DHN). The MIR then goes on to identify five zones across the city for such DHN. The Buchanan Galleries and its proposed Extension site fall within the "City Centre North" zone. Whilst Buchanan Partnership supports the approach of supporting low carbon sources of heat and power in the city, we have concerns as to this new requirement potentially being placed on new developments within these zones. We believe that this requirement should be subjected to further scrutiny in terms of value for money, benefits and the deliverability of operational requirements before the requirement to connect to a DHN is made a mandatory requirement via the LDP.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3638****Issue****1.3****comment**

Option 1.3A its good to see this concept being given series consideratyion after years of neglect, and several decades after introduction in countries like Denmark. However, the proposal is related to major new development, not retro-fitting, so it is unclear what the impact this policy can make in a time when development funding is so scarce.

**your wish**

Consultation and independent advice is required for each proposal

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3639****Issue****1.3****comment**

Remark in option 1.3B should still be considered

**your wish**

Consultation and independent advice is required for each proposal

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****174/755****Issue** 1.3

**comment** The proposal for district heating/combined heat and power in Option 1.3 is related to major new developments, not retro-fitting, so it is unclear what impact this policy can make in a time when development funding is so scarce.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****185/1088****Issue** 1.3

**comment** Local Renewable/Low Carbon Sources of Heat and Power (RHP)- SPF accepts the preferred option and we agree that the use of renewable Heat and power sources should be encouraged across the city.

**your wish** However, says the Council must accept the additional costs of developing RHP schemes and take them into account when calculating developer contributions. The Council may consider front financing infrastructure to encourage the development of these sources and increase their use.

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3944****Issue** 1.3

**comment** Option A is welcomed. A District Heating Scheme designed and centred on the soon-to-be redundant Western Infirmary and Yorkhill hospitals could be very beneficial for our area.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****191/1205****Issue** 1.3

**comment** Commend the approach to renewable heat and recommend the use of heat mapping to further explore potential. Energy storage is a potential use for brownfield sites.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1151****Issue 1.3**

**comment** The focus on district heating within the Main Issues Report for a land use based Local Plan is inappropriate and cause for concern insofar as the introduction of any policy established to secure such options could dramatically increase the cost of housebuilding within the City. This could result in a policy which would reduce the attraction of Glasgow for housebuilders and for house buyers and retrench the City in further losses of population.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1152****Issue 1.3**

**comment** The introduction of district heating has to be carefully considered as a corporate policy by the City Council as opposed to introduction in planning policy and development management. In this context it is important that housing which had the benefit of district heating at Craigbank were demolished on the basis that the costs of heating reduced the attraction of the homes. It is also of significance that these homes were constructed as social rented housing by the Corporation of the City of Glasgow.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****197 / 1773****Issue 1.3**

**comment** CDL request further investigation of District Heating Networks before requirements to connect to such networks are made mandatory.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3549****Issue 1.3**

**comment** Yes - agree with Option 1.3 A

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****207 / 1662****Issue****1.3**

**comment** Question the reasoning behind the inclusion of Glasgow West as a potential District Heating Zone and whether it is right at this stage to define boundaries where there is uncertainty on how it will be delivered. The Glasgow West Zone includes land in the ownership of Glasgow Harbour to the east of the Riverside Museum and this inclusion is challenged.

**your wish** Exclude the area in Glasgow Harbour ownership from the Glasgow West District Heating Zone.

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****213 / 772****Issue****1.3**

**comment** At Option 1.3, in addition to the 5 identified local DH areas, other potential high density heat load areas should be also be identified - see for example the attached map produced for the Dept of Energy\*. □

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****213 / 773****Issue****1.3**

**comment** In addition to decentralised sources of heat and power, one or more sites should be identified for a larger scale heat and power plant (more than say 300 MW electrical output) because: a) District Heat networks are long term investments and plans need to consider far beyond 2020; b) future plans should consider linking the 5 identified local DH areas (and any additional areas) to form a city wide network; c) small CHP is currently low carbon due to high efficiency, but if carbon capture is fitted to a large station, then that would be an even lower carbon source of heat and power.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 3991****Issue****1.3**

**comment** Option 1.3 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group.

**your wish** Option A

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 380****Issue** 1.3**comment** Strongly agree. Agree with district heating networks (but tenements not easy to include). Local sources in moderation but not to the detriment of local amenity.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 462****Issue** 1.3**comment** Option 1.3 A is accepted but with the addition that biomass digestion should be one of the range of options.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****266 / 1453****Issue** 1.3**comment** Agree with the option for low carbon heating/combined heat and power**your wish****posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****269 / 421****Issue** 1.3**comment** Yes, and existing networks to be upgraded especially within peripheral communities.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.  
1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1540****Issue****1.3****comment**

Low Carbon / Combined Heat and Power - New developments should definitely be having district heating wherever possible. However, retrofitting in pre-WW1 buildings must only be done very carefully, esp. in Conservation Areas and Listed Buildings.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like major new developments in Glasgow to be connected to existing or planned district heating networks. We would also like to develop opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power.

1.3

---

**Main Issues Report****158 / 1751****Issue****1.3A****comment**

The entire canal corridor should be included in the key areas for District Heating Schemes.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****10 / 229****Issue****1.4****comment**

Do what you need to do. Yes. Also promote farming, fishing, tourism. Clean factories. Campaign against excess packaging, shoddy goods, population growth, worldwide desertification. 4 x 4's used as shopping trollies, 80 mph speed limit. (try 65).

Our kerbside gully drains are blocked in large numbers across Glasgow. Pollution in road run-off water can't be good for soil.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.

1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****12 / 66****Issue****1.4****comment**

Yes - this is the correct approach.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.

1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****26/137****Issue****1.4****comment**

Zero Waste. Burn it for energy & heat. No more landfill. Extract methane from current landfill. Sewage - extract biogas - use it for public transport. Electric public transport/vehicles.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****43/257****Issue****1.4****comment**

Yes. What we have at present is dumps right in the middle of suburban housing!!

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4061****Issue****1.4****comment**

Accessibility and access to the strategic road network should be recognised as key considerations for all waste management facilities and should be key criteria in the identification of a preferred site for a residual waste treatment. In this connection, the Dawsholm site may be less attractive as a site for a potential residual waste treatment location as the site is not immediately served by a strategic road.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****96/1722****Issue****1.4****comment**

SE are supportive of the option.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****128/745****Issue****1.4****comment**

The Plan should: recognise that, at present, the vast majority of the City's waste is disposed of at landfill 2, and: refer to the Policy Statement for the Zero Waste Regulations (Published October 2011), and their key objectives.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****128/746****Issue****1.4****comment**

Agree that the approach to sustainable waste management should apply to all waste streams, not just municipal waste.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****128/748****Issue****1.4****comment**

The Plan must recognise that incineration, whilst not the primary route for the treatment of waste, is the only solution for waste material (including RDF) left over following the extraction of all marketable recyclables. Incineration will prevent landfill disposal and recover renewable energy that can be used to support the district heating objectives set out elsewhere in the Plan.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****128/749****Issue****1.4****comment**

The Plan should include policies which: □ • Require waste to be managed in accordance with the waste hierarchy and the Zero Waste Regulations; □ • Support the development of recycling infrastructure at all levels; □ • Support AD as the preferred option for the management of separately □ collected food wastes; □ • Require collected residual wastes, even following source segregated □ collection, to be pre-treated to ensure that all marketable recyclables are □ removed and the resultant residual waste or RDF is an appropriate material □ for combustion and energy generation; □ • Support combustion / incineration technologies, at an appropriate scale, for □ the management of waste that cannot practically be further recycled, so long □ as energy is recovered and is capable of beneficial use in support of the □ energy policies set out within the Plan; □ • Provide for the delivery of infrastructure necessary to achieve the Zero Waste targets and result in a significant diversion of waste from landfill.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****128/750****Issue****1.4****comment**

Peel Environmental supports the identification of its South Clyde Energy Centre (SCEC) proposal, in the Shieldhall area, within the Plan, and suggests that this is referred to as a 'waste treatment facility / energy centre'.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****128/751****Issue****1.4****comment**

Support the Preferred Option 1.4A, that sites for the treatment of residual waste are identified on the proposals map of the proposed Plan. These sites should include Peel Environmental's SCEC proposal, on land off Bogmoor Road in the Shieldhall area.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****144/326****Issue****1.4****comment**

Yes, but start thinking about the question "Why do we have so much waste to recycle?" Don't let shops give so many plastic bags! If I only buy one bottle of water, they give me a new bag! Useless! In Spain, they sometimes have a very good system. A box is located in shopping malls, people can put their 'old' bags in there and if you forgot one, you can reuse someone's 'old' bag! Would be great!

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.

1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3640****Issue****1.4****comment**

It is clearly essential that a solution be found. However it is worrying to see an outline here of the technical / environmental options. The 2025 landfill target of 5% will not be met in an instant, so decisions will need to be made soon on how to deal with the non-recyclable waste

**your wish**

It would be good to see this become part of a public consultation, because of its major long term environmental implications. Without a full SEA the council is in breach of the consultation process. It could lead to a complaint to Aarhus. Making these decisions before this consultation has closed ie:- without public consultation and possible PLI is also in breach of the process.

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.

1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3641****Issue****1.4****comment**

We see that since the MIR was released for consultation, the City Council has come up with its preferred option ( on 7th December 2011). We learn from newspaper reports that the council has been in discussion with developers since 31/01/10. We also learn that a decision had been taken on 7th December 2011 before the closing of the MIR consultation and before a full independent, environmental report. We also learn that there have been difficulties on eg Isle of Wight and elsewhere.

**your wish**

An independent assessment should be made of any proposals

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.

1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3642****Issue** 1.4**comment** Somewhere in the consultation the council seems to have lost c. 100,000 tonnes of waste**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3643****Issue** 1.4**comment** There are also cross boundary interests since lanarkshire also sends rubbish to Glasgow for disposal. There were proposals to put waste on barges from Sheildhall. Is this still the case? Is autoclaving the preferred option ( leaving waste ti be disposed or burned?)**your wish** All waste deposits must be sealed. All water in, under and out of the waste management area must be investigated and measures taken to deal with problems immediately. Air quality for Glasgow and in other places affected by the prevailing wind must be a consideration, which involves consulting other authorities**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3644****Issue** 1.4**comment** Option 1.4A a consultation is essential**your wish** Full and meaningful consultation, full SEA, independent assessment. No decisions on matters put out for consultation before it has been heard**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****174/756****Issue** 1.4**comment** It is disappointing not to see an outline here of the technical/environmental options. The 2025 landfill target of 5% will not be met in an instant, so decisions will need to be made soon on how to deal with the non-recyclable waste. Since the MIR was released for consultation, the City Council has come up with its preferred option - it should subject to public consultation, because of its major long term environmental implications. A positive aspect of the proposal is a link to CHP/district heading as noted for Option 1.3**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****187 / 3945****Issue** 1.4**comment** Option A good in principle. We will await the details.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****188 / 1800****Issue** 1.4**comment** GHA support the preferred option (1.4A).**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1206****Issue** 1.4**comment** Commend GCC approach but there is no mention of front end recycling and infrastructure and there should be more focus on the waste hierarchy.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1153****Issue** 1.4**comment** The issue of waste management is an important consideration for the Local Development Plan for Glasgow and one which combines opportunities for waste to energy and district heating. The issue of waste management is a strategic one where options may exist for such facilities in other Council areas. It is however important that the Local Development Plan gives priority to the promotion of an integrated distribution of waste treatment facilities to ensure Glasgow can develop a zero waste strategy. In this context the identification of land at Shieldhall / King George V Dock is welcomed.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3550****Issue** 1.4

**comment** No – disagree with Option 1.4 A – the Council has proposed an energy from waste plant at Polmadie that will be delivered as part of a 25 year contract. The Council needs to put much greater effort into delivering a kerbside collection of recyclables from households in Glasgow in particular tenement and high density housing. An energy from waste plant requires high calorific materials including paper and plastic. This is not a sustainable use of resources.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 3992****Issue** 1.4

**comment** Option 1.4 – Option A (the preferred option) is broadly supported by the SNP Group. However, it should be noted that, at the Council's Executive Committee of the 8th of December the SNP moved continuation on the proposed contract between The Council and Viridor for the provision of a residual waste treatment plant, as the Group was not convinced of the case based on the information provided.

**your wish** Option A

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****232 / 1446****Issue** 1.4

**comment** Whilst the waste management proposals are supported and it is hoped that there will be improvement in the future, a much better overall transport plan for waste is needed, like some other cities.

**your wish** A much better overall transport plan for waste is needed, like some other cities

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 382****Issue** 1.4

**comment** The key to success in this venture is a very high quality plant for the treatment of residual waste (incinerator) BUT the issues of transporting the waste to the facility are questionable. How about a dedicated rail line?

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 464****Issue** 1.4**comment** Option 1.4 A is not an option at all as it only suggests that an option will be prepared.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****264 / 405****Issue** 1.4**comment** Out of the stuff going to a land fill, there must be a good load of clothes, male, female and kids that could help homeless people who don't have much.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****266 / 1454****Issue** 1.4**comment** Agree with the option for waste management**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****269 / 424****Issue** 1.4**comment** No, all waste is reusable/recyclable. Use the Universities to resolve the challenge, as this is where the product research was probably initiated.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1541****Issue** 1.4

**comment** Waste management/Energy from Waste - Residual waste plant (already decided to be in Polmadie) does not itself cause much direct pollution to the neighbouring area, but the large scale transportation of waste materials to and from the site can have a big negative impact on the area. Polmadie is indeed close to a junction on the M74 Completion project, but a policy of minimization of "transport miles" for waste materials via non-M74 routes must be implemented.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify a site for the treatment of residual waste (which can't be reused or recycled) and consider any land use implications that may arise from this.  
1.4

---

**Main Issues Report****3 / 20****Issue** 1.5

**comment** Good idea!

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****9 / 86****Issue** 1.5

**comment** Stalled spaces model is good but it has limitations as it presumes there may be an active, interested community group to take projects on. Also, depending on the size of the site, £2500 may not go very far ...

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****10 / 168****Issue** 1.5

**comment** Yes. 100%. Get rid of the vandal magnets. I want more small trees like cottoneaster. They need no care and being evergreen they don't drop many leaves.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****12/82****Issue** 1.5**comment** Yes - undeveloped/derelict sites are an eyesore and could be put to better use. An example would be the ground beside the Riverside Museum.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****20/71****Issue** 1.5**comment** Yes - the ideas contained in the MIR for the GCVSDP relative to urban forestry should be developed. Short, medium and long terms planting on derelict land and copping on restricted parcels provide bio-mass, leisure and sustainable construction resources from a reduced carbon footprint.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****25/99****Issue** 1.5**comment** Good idea! Extra regulations could put more pressure on owners to improve the look of gap sites and unused buildings.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****26/146****Issue** 1.5**comment** Essential. If vacant for a while force them or remove it from them - do not wait years. Get allotments, or small parks, on sites throughout the City before 2014. Parks could be grass and a couple of park benches.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****27 / 126****Issue** 1.5**comment** Agree - as sites for food production or urban greenspace for leisure/exercise. Suggest starting with Schipka Pass at Gallowgate/London Road.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****31 / 185****Issue** 1.5**comment** We support the preferred option 1.5A.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****43 / 255****Issue** 1.5**comment** No. A wasteful use of personnel and resources.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****44 / 266****Issue** 1.5**comment** If owners of vacant land do not respond within a certain time frame then land should temporarily be allowed for use of growing vegetables by local organisation/tenants groups. These groups could charge a nominal fee to tenants to grow veg and any money raised should go towards improving local area.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****52/297****Issue** 1.5**comment** Yes**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

**1.5**

---

**Main Issues Report****88/603****Issue** 1.5

**comment** Option 1.5A - encouraged by plans to write supplementary guidance. Thought should also be given to the possibility that the alternative use of the space could become a valuable resource for the community

**your wish** Consideration whether it would be possible to either continue or replace beneficial physical assets for local communities that were initially set up on a temporary basis, particularly if communities willing to take on any long term maintenance.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

**1.5**

---

**Main Issues Report****90/496****Issue** 1.5

**comment** Option 1.5 in the Main Issues Report seeks to promote legal agreements for vacant or derelict sites with the potential to introduce "greening initiatives" or allotments on a temporary basis until such time as development proceeds.

Whilst we understand the potential benefits of such a policy we are unclear how such a policy option would be implemented on private land. In particular, we would appreciate clarification of who would pay the costs associated with this initiative and reassurance that this policy would not compromise landowners' ability to obtain planning permission for development on such "green" sites once market conditions make development economically viable.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

**1.5**

---

**Main Issues Report****91 / 1703****Issue 1.5**

**comment** Options 1.5A and 1.5B require more detail to be made known in relation to what form supplementary guidance would take and how the legal arrangements would be formed, how much it would cost to facilitate the use of sites for temporary greening/food production and who would be responsible for this. Diageo does not disagree with the aspirations of Option 1.5A, however it is not considered that there is sufficient information available in relation to how such schemes would operate in practice in order to give a true, considered opinion.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****93 / 4062****Issue 1.5**

**comment** If biomass production (option 1B) is pursued in the Proposed Plan, consideration must be given to the accessibility of the site and the potential wider impacts of the transport needs of timber extraction. (See issue 1.2). The potential for enhancements to the active travel network should be considered when examining alternative uses for vacant and derelict land.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****96 / 1723****Issue 1.5**

**comment** SE are supportive of the option.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1630****Issue 1.5**

**comment** Support the temporary use of vacant and derelict land. Believe longer term uses should be able to be brought forward if land has not been in use for a prolonged period.

**your wish** Generally support Option 1.5A but with some element of Option 1.5B.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****107/684****Issue** 1.5

**comment** With regard to vacant and derelict land, the Council should consider how it can combine its assets with those of others to promote more development in selected locations which are attractive to the market.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2797****Issue** 1.5

**comment** We support the preferred option. We understand the preferred option as providing a formal mechanism for short-term uses. Option B identifies some greenspace uses which may be appropriate for some sites, preferably also with a legal agreement over longer periods of time. These options are not exclusive over the whole city; the length of time for temporary green uses, and the need for legal agreement, will depend on the situation of each site.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2798****Issue** 1.5

**comment** We recommend that any supplementary guidance which is produced for this option should advise that: a) any proposal could seek support and advice from the established Community Land Advisory Service (CLAS); b) natural regeneration is an option for greening of vacant and derelict land, especially in combination with enhanced public access; c) greening projects should not displace or damage protected species and valuable habitats (with reference to the Glasgow Local Biodiversity Action Plan)

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2799****Issue****1.5****comment**

Some vacant and derelict land provides valuable habitats so we disagree with the argument under Option 1.5C that allowing sites to naturally re-vegetate is mostly “less sustainable”. It is important that the “greening initiatives” to be promoted in KP1, and the supplementary guidance, do not rule out allowing natural regeneration. Indeed this is simply one possible temporary use which may benefit from legal agreement, especially if public access could be enhanced. Where active intervention is preferred, such greening projects should ensure that protected species and more valuable habitat areas are not displaced or damaged. This would need to be addressed in the supplementary guidance with appropriate links to Glasgow’s LBAP.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****115/628****Issue****1.5****comment**

We support the extension of growing opportunities and recognise that temporary sites have their place. But the demand, manifest in waiting lists, is for permanent allotment gardens

**your wish**

Every effort should be made to increase Glasgow’s presently very poor provision of allotments.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****117/808****Issue****1.5****comment**

The Main Issue to be addressed should be the quality of the land in the city. Given the current concerns about food shortages, rising prices and the need to re-engage with growing food for well-being, any land that is fit for cultivation must be preserved for future if not immediate use. The MIR is tackling the issues in the wrong order. If the city has strong communities then they will generate the economic infra-structure that is needed to sustain and maintain them. Areas for allotments and Parks should be a planning requirement at the first stage of any development or regeneration scheme and the areas should be fully designed and integrated as part of the initial application

**your wish**

There should be an assessment of the quality of the land, together with the degree and type of any contamination for all the open spaces in the city. Any land that is fit for cultivation to be preserved in a state that would allow cultivation to take place at a future date.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****117/813****Issue 1.5**

**comment** prefer Option 1.5B given the provision in 1.1 Allotments are not 'cultivation of temporary land while waiting for developers'. There must be provision for sites for permanent cultivation where suitable soil is protected.

**your wish** Protect any Land suitable for cultivation. Give this the highest priority.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2830****Issue 1.5**

**comment** We do not consider that this option should be the preferred option for GCC as there will be situations/locations where Option 1.5.B is more suitable. We consider that the way in which this paragraph is worded gives power to landowners – we would like to see text which is better balanced so that both the local community and funders have their time, effort and investment protected.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2831****Issue 1.5**

**comment** The MIR Preferred Option begins with the idea of creating "legal agreements with landowners." Many of the orchard projects we have undertaken have been achieved with informal agreements that do not require lengthy legal and bureaucratic negotiations. We are concerned that this form of wording will unnecessarily restrict the development of Growing Developments.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2832****Issue 1.5**

**comment** We are also concerned at the inherent lack of sustainability in the Preferred Option, which assumes that efforts, funds and investment by the local community will be lost when developers wish to redevelop. Without creating undue obstacles it would be preferable for some element of the "greening" work to be seen as a permanent orchard component of a development site.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2833****Issue 1.5**

**comment** We suggest the preferred option should read : “Promote appropriate partnerships between landowners and community members to allow the use of vacant and derelict sites for the growing of food, including orchards, fruit trees and bushes or other greening initiatives. While developers will retain the option to develop the land – where possible existing growing spaces, and orchards should be retained for the future to ensure the efforts of the local community are not lost. “

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2834****Issue 1.5**

**comment** Our view is that the GCC Less Preferred Option would definitely be the “More Preferred Option” for the sizeable section of the community who are interested in developing orchards, urban woodlands, and community gardens. It would also be a much better preferred option for funders, who have proved unwilling to release funds for projects which have no long term future. This option is intrinsically more sustainable than option 1.5A

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2835****Issue 1.5**

**comment** We agree with the comment in the second bullet point which states that issues would need to be looked at on a case by case basis. Our view is that encouraging a limited number of good quality growing projects and orchards and concentrating resources and efforts on getting these to work makes considerable sense.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2836****Issue 1.5**

**comment** Our proposed wording of option 1.5.b is: “Promote urban woodlands, including orchards/ local parkland and or biomass product”

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2837****Issue** **1.5**

**comment** To summarise – there will be situations where our modified approach as per 1.5.A is more appropriate – and other cases where 1.5.B is more suitable. We believe that sites need to be looked at on a case by case basis, and efforts should be made to balance interests both of landowners and of the local community.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2838****Issue** **1.5**

**comment** If you are to stick with your preferred options – an insertion - of permitting the growing of fruit trees and bushes - is requested.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****132/341****Issue** **1.5**

**comment** We agree with the need to look at greening urban vacant sites, especially for allotments or as part of an open space network, however a range of housing opportunities is essential and a requirement encouraged by Government advice and policy.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****133/1469****Issue** **1.5**

**comment** Agree with the need to look at greening vacant sites, especially allotments or as part of an open space network, however a range of housing opportunities is essential and a requirement of the Scottish Government.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****134 / 1476****Issue 1.5**

**comment** Agree with the need to look at greening vacant sites, especially allotments or as part of an open space network, however a range of housing opportunities is essential and a requirement of the Scottish Government.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****140 / 357****Issue 1.5**

**comment** We agree with the need to look at greening urban vacant sites, especially for allotments or as part of an open space network, however a range of housing opportunities is essential and a requirement encouraged by Government advice and policy.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****145 / 1739****Issue 1.5**

**comment** Supports Option 1.5b as the preferred option. (the Less Preferred Option)

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****149 / 2952****Issue 1.5**

**comment** We support policy that would develop supplementary planning guidance and use legal agreements with landowners to provide for temporary use of vacant and derelict sites for greening initiatives, but with a more flexible approach than that proposed in option A. The policy should allow a wider range of greening options (outdoor recreation/play, food/biomass production, biodiversity planting) depending on an assessment of local needs and the timescale/timing and nature of future development aspirations.

**your wish** The policy should allow a wider range of greening options (examples) depending on an assessment of local needs and the timescale/timing and nature of future development aspirations.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****149 / 2953****Issue****1.5****comment**

A particular opportunity exists to deliver a strand of the Sow and Grow Everywhere (SAGE) Strategy (2008). Other temporary uses may be informed by analysis of the Council's Open Space Audit to determine deficits of a particular typology of open space.

**your wish**

A particular opportunity exists to deliver a strand of the Sow and Grow Everywhere (SAGE) Strategy (2008).

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****157 / 1277****Issue****1.5****comment**

St Aloysius would like to be kept informed of any proposals that emerge through the LDP process regarding development of any vacant/derelict land adjoining Millerston playing fields that they own.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****163 / 1299****Issue****1.5****comment**

Brownfield sites are important for a number of animal and plant species, particularly invertebrates. In an urban setting they are used by wildlife as 'stepping stones' allowing movement and mixing of species and individuals across an area. The LDP suggests that many brownfield sites are a 'blight on communities'. Brownfield sites provide valuable open spaces for local people and are often seen as being the only truly wild city spaces remaining for the public to enjoy. Many are used as informal greenspace for various activities such as walking, cycling and horse riding as well as thoroughfares between sites. There is great potential to make many of these sites more accessible, safe and enjoyable through imaginative planning and positive management.

**your wish**

There should be no 'temporary greening' of brownfield which have been identified as meeting the criteria for the UKBAP priority habitat OMHPDL as this will have a negative effect on biodiversity at a site. In many built-up areas, brownfield sites may be the sole natural greenspace available. If properly managed, they could help significantly to reduce the number of areas deficient in accessible open space, and contribute to the delivery of urban green networks. Improving access to green spaces will bring attendant quality of life and health benefits to residents, as well as economic benefits.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****163/1334****Issue****1.5****comment**

Brownfield sites are important for a number of animal and plant species, particularly invertebrates. In an urban setting they are used by wildlife as 'stepping stones' allowing movement and mixing of species and individuals across an area. The LDP suggests that many brownfield sites are a 'blight on communities'. Brownfield sites provide valuable open spaces for local people and are often seen as being the only truly wild city spaces remaining for the public to enjoy. Many are used as informal greenspace for various activities such as walking, cycling and horse riding as well as thoroughfares between sites. There is great potential to make many of these sites more accessible, safe and enjoyable through imaginative planning and positive management.

**your wish**

There should be no 'temporary greening' of brownfield which have been identified as meeting the criteria for the UKBAP priority habitat OMHPDL as this will have a negative effect on biodiversity at a site. In many built-up areas, brownfield sites may be the sole natural greenspace available. If properly managed, they could help significantly to reduce the number of areas deficient in accessible open space, and contribute to the delivery of urban green networks. Improving access to green spaces will bring attendant quality of life and health benefits to residents, as well as economic benefits.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3645****Issue****1.5****comment**

This is a vital issue: the city has too much such land and the report notes that the stock is increasing. It is worth pointing out that derelict land can have biodiversity interest: some kinds of plants and animals thrive there: but much of this land could be put to better use

**your wish**

A is deeply flawed in our view, as it relates to planting areas / allotments. Allotments should be long term commitments of land, embedded in communities, not a temporary arrangement, here today and somewhere else tomorrow. The heritage lottery funded Glasgow Allotments heritage project (2010-11) has demonstrated this point. Allotments at a considerable distance from plot-holders risk undermining their values by increasing car use. A temporary raised bed kit can be useful as a last resort, but it is not a substitute for an allotment. There seems to be a tendency for the council to think of any piece of vacant land as too valuable to use as allotments. This attitude needs to change if the City is to meet its commitments under the Allotments Act and its own allotment development strategy. We note this notion of temporariness also pervades the Glasgow Open Space strategy

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3646****Issue** **1.5**

**comment** It is clear however that not all vacant / derelict land is immediately suitable for food growing, because of past industrial contamination.

**your wish** We support plans in Glasgow Open Space strategy for remediation

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3647****Issue** **1.5**

**comment** We have reservations about using this land for biomass

**your wish** Other methods of greening are preferred

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3648****Issue** **1.5**

**comment** Water underlying, flowing into and out of contaminated land is also a concern which should receive attention in the plan. Where does it go?

**your wish** Better regulation of contaminated land; including water on in and out of site

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****165/1304****Issue** **1.5**

**comment** The role that derelict land can play in providing for outdoor sport is described in Section 5.3 of 'Out There' sportscotland's policy document on sport and recreation in the outdoors .

**your wish** There are often features such as gradients, water bodies, and trail networks on derelict land which are attractive to and can be adapted for sport uses. It is important that the Council consider opportunities for sport and recreation as part of its policy approach to the positive development and use of vacant and derelict land.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****165/1305****Issue****1.5**

**comment** sportsotland has recently engaged with partners on the development of Cunningar Loop, an area of derelict land on the other side of the Clyde from the NISA and the Commonwealth Games athletes' village. This shows the potential of sport and recreation to act as a positive use of derelict land. Although it is entirely within South Lanarkshire, a bridge will connect the site to Glasgow City and it is likely that many of those who will use and benefit from the site will be from Glasgow.

**your wish** sportsotland wonders if it would be appropriate to include reference to the development of Cunningar Loop in the development plan and in relation to policy on vacant and derelict land?

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****165/1306****Issue****1.5**

**comment** sportsotland is concerned by the stalled spaces/temporary use approach to derelict land. Some of the temporary uses could evolve into very successful and popular resources for the local community. We are not comfortable that such uses could then be developed for other purposes as the economic situation revives. It is appreciated that this is unavoidable where the site is in private ownership, as otherwise the temporary use is unlikely to be agreed to by the site owner.

**your wish** In cases where the City Council is the landowner sportsotland considers that the stalled spaces policy should be caveated by the need to protect, in the long term, derelict sites that through this approach become popular and important community resources, particularly, from our perspective, where this happens for sport.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****169/833****Issue****1.5**

**comment** generally supportive of freeing up vacant and derelict land with the caveats described above in terms of biodiversity value of such 'neglected' sites. It should also be remembered that carefully sited tree/shrub planting could be carried out ahead of future development such that trees would have the chance to establish and mature ahead of any development of the site.

**your wish** Another use of such sites would be as temporary 'pocket' parks.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****174/757****Issue****1.5****comment**

The policy at Option 1.5 is deeply flawed. Allotments should be long-term commitments of land, embedded in communities, not a temporary arrangement. Temporary raised bed kits can be useful as a last resort, but are no substitute for an allotment. There seems to be a tendency for the Council to think of any piece of vacant land as too valuable to use as allotments. This attitude needs to change if the City is to meet its commitments under the Allotments Act and its own allotment development strategy. We note that this motion of temporariness also pervades the Glasgow Open Space Strategy.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****180/3450****Issue****1.5****comment**

The MIR makes reference to the use of vacant and derelict land for temporary issues . Whilst the principle of finding suitable sites for temporary uses is generally supported, the implications of the intended approach here much be considered carefully. Notwithstanding the intention to detail this approach in SPG, the MIR is not specific as to whether this approach would apply to city centre sites and Selfridges would wish to record their concerns should this be considered a 'blanket' approach to all vacant and derelict sites.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****182/4046****Issue****1.5****comment**

While it is understood that there are vacant sites in the City that have remained undeveloped for long periods, and where the likelihood of development in the near future or perhaps longer term is slim, legal agreements must retain a degree of flexibility so as not to "trap" sites and remove the ability to respond to sometimes rapidly changing circumstances. Generally support option 1.5A, but will reserve judgement until supplementary guidance is produced.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****185/1089****Issue** 1.5

**comment** Vacant and derelict land- generally agrees with the preferred option to allow temporary green initiatives on this land.

**your wish** However, firstly would advise the Council to consider changing the use of these allocations to stimulate market interest, which could lead them to being developed for viable uses.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3946****Issue** 1.5

**comment** Option A good in principle. We will await the details.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****188/1801****Issue** 1.5

**comment** GHA welcome the preferred option (1.5A), although indicate that more information is required regarding the form of any legal agreements between landowners and developers.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****196/1154****Issue** 1.5

**comment** The temporary use of vacant land may be an appropriate issue for a land use planning policy but will require some refinement since many of the vacant and derelict sites within Glasgow would be highly unsuitable for food production. In order to achieve the use of such land for other temporary purpose the City Council will have to consider the introduction of financial incentives for such policies.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****197 / 1770****Issue** **1.5****comment** CDL does not support the Council's preferred option.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3551****Issue** **1.5****comment** Yes – agree with Option 1.5 A on uses for vacant and derelict land however the Council will need to also be supportive of the potential for transfer of land to community ownership at a future date.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****209 / 1280****Issue** **1.5****comment** The University of Glasgow would welcome more detailed discussions on the issue of promoting temporary uses for spaces on which development has stalled especially whilst their Campus Plan is being developed.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 3993****Issue** **1.5****comment** Option 1.5 – Option A (the preferred option) is broadly supported by the SNP Group, though the statement that “Detail of how this would be done could be set out in supplementary guidance” would more closely meet Council policy, as approved at the Full Council meeting of the 30th of October 2008 if “could” were to be replaced with “will”.**your wish** Option A**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****232 / 1447****Issue** **1.5**

**comment** Caution against treating old railway routes and station sites as just derelict land. They are, for the most part, irreplaceable strategic routes which should, until required, be used as public ways or wildlife corridors.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****243 / 385****Issue** **1.5**

**comment** Not sure if temporary solutions are sufficient. Is there any opportunity to use existing derelict land and invest and incorporate into green network (incl. flood plain/SUDS) to reduce the "hardness" of the city's physical built environment?

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 378****Issue** **1.5**

**comment** Excellent idea. As long as the temporary nature is made clear to those using the space.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 457****Issue** **1.5**

**comment** The "policy" on the short-term use of vacant and derelict land is very old and has no "policy" for making it work. As it stands it is merely a wish, and has been so for many years, so it is not a "policy" as there are no outline proposals for making it work. There is nothing new or innovative in this section.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.

1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****249/465****Issue** **1.5**

**comment** The plan rightly states that vacant and derelict land is potentially a valuable resource. The policy for using sites which have become vacant has been around for many years. This has not and will not happen because Glasgow City Council has included it in its LDP! This policy is weak and vague and thus not very useful!

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****266/1455****Issue** **1.5**

**comment** Agree with finding alternative uses for vacant and derelict land. The temporary use of vacant land for allotments could contribute to environmental improvements and a healthier City population.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****270/414****Issue** **1.5**

**comment** This is an excellent idea.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****271/1542****Issue** **1.5**

**comment** Vacant and Derelict Land - Support for Preferred Option 1.5.A. Developing community uses is vital.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with the owners of vacant and derelict sites to see where it would be possible to use these sites, on a temporary basis, for other purposes (such as growing food), until the owner wishes to develop them.  
1.5

---

**Main Issues Report****147/2931****Issue** **1.5 A**

**comment** We feel that it is important to recognise the biodiversity value of some vacant and derelict land. In contrast to the point made in Option 1.5, wildlife can establish itself very quickly on brownfield sites, which can sometimes support nationally important species. We would like to see brownfield wildlife sites recognised as an alternative use for Vacant and Derelict Land.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****208/3456****Issue** **1.5, 4.8**

**comment** To actively encourage developers to adopt a proactive approach to vacant sites, the LDP should take account of the subsequent additional costs associated with promoting these types of sites in the current economic climate and allow developers to cross subsidise these by the provision of temporary public car parks.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****158/1752****Issue** **1.5A**

**comment** Welcome growing food or other greening initiatives, however, investment should stimulate development in the longer term.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****180/3451****Issue** **1.5A**

**comment** For city centre sites, there is a need to consider sites on their own merit and particular circumstances and to acknowledge that temporary schemes that involve demolition or site clearance can only be progressed where there is some form of revenue incentive to the landowner in terms of the ability to find a suitable use for the site. Otherwise there would be limited incentive to incur costs associated with demolition and clearance and this would be contrary to the aspiration to provide environmental improvements to areas of the city by removing the blight associated with vacant and derelict sites. On this basis the approach as suggested in option 1.5A is not supported.

**your wish** It is recommended that specific guidance/acknowledgement of the city centre sites and economic circumstances be included on this issues.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****2/8****Issue** 1.6**comment** We don't need a 'statement' - we need the Council and its public sector partners to set themselves ambitious targets and implement them.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****3/19****Issue** 1.6**comment** "Statements" don't do the job - and should already be in place - at all times.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****7/32****Issue** 1.6**comment** It's the right approach but does this not happen anyway.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****10/177****Issue** 1.6**comment** Try Green LEDs. We see green is better than the other colours and they are cheap. Try yellow LED with bright white for lowest cost.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****12/45****Issue** 1.6**comment** Yes. As the vice-president of the local astronomical society, I am keen to have better lighting which focusses downward rather than upwards. Light pollution from some city structures is substantial and wasteful.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****25/104****Issue** 1.6**comment** Good idea. My pet hate is security lighting that floods and dazzles far outside its specific area.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****26/141****Issue** 1.6**comment** Buildings must have lights off inside at night. Security and wellbeing essential for people walking at night. All paths lit, especially main thoroughfares.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****31/186****Issue** 1.6**comment** We strongly support the preferred option 1.6A. The current policy has led to greater light pollution at all levels and increased carbon emissions and electricity costs to the Council budget associated with lighting. The time is right for a thorough re-examination of these issues with a bias to reducing both cost and carbon emissions.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****43/256****Issue** 1.6**comment** Yes, but surely this is not happening already.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****91/1704****Issue** 1.6**comment** Diageo supports the preferred option.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1631****Issue****1.6****comment** Vital that high quality lighting be provided for public transport and active travel facilities throughout the City.**your wish** Support Option 1.6A with transport a key consideration in any Urban Lighting Policy.**posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****123/3333****Issue****1.6****comment** Option 1.6 - Develop some way to oblige commercial premises to switch lights off and heating to 16 degC when not in use.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3649****Issue****1.6****comment** We fully agree with the need to reduce energy consumption / CO2 outputs related to lighting. We fully agree with para 2.37. Approve option 1.6A particularly in relation to light pollution**your wish** Need policies on sodium lighting or other systems which do not interfere with astronomy. Lighting should be directed downwards. Safety considerations in street and backcourt / public space lighting**posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****174/758****Issue****1.6****comment** Fully agree with Option 1.6 that there is a need to reduce energy consumption/CO2 outputs related to lighting.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3947****Issue****1.6****comment** Option A welcomed.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1207****Issue** 1.6**comment** Urban lighting strays beyond being a land use issue.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1155****Issue** 1.6**comment** The issue of urban lighting is within the responsibilities of the City Council and not for consideration as part of the Local Development Plan.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3552****Issue** 1.6**comment** Yes – agree with Option 1.6 A in relation to urban lighting.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 3994****Issue** 1.6**comment** Option 1.6 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group. Where appropriate / feasible, new installations of architectural lighting should be used as an alternative to traditional street lighting rather than an addition, so long as sufficient lighting of the footway / road can be achieved.**your wish** Option A**posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****243 / 386****Issue** 1.6**comment** Yes. Both carbon reduction and aesthetics - white light. Prioritise town centres to develop place.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.1.6

---

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 379****Issue** 1.6

**comment** Agree. We need to decide the best way to deal with new lighting installations (which can be good value in urban impact) while dealing with the legacy of old/incomplete lighting systems.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 458****Issue** 1.6

**comment** The "policy" on urban lighting is exceptionally weak. A policy that says "we will think up a policy" cannot claim to be a policy.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 466****Issue** 1.6

**comment** Note the inadequate proposals for control of nuisance lighting, particularly in commercial developments. Commercial developments are permitted to impose excessively high levels of lighting on residential areas. The policy which simply says that GCC will prepare a policy statement is thus non-existent.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****266 / 1456****Issue** 1.6

**comment** Agree with the option for urban lighting.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****270 / 417****Issue** 1.6

**comment** It is important to create as user friendly an urban environment as possible.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1543****Issue** 1.6**comment** Urban Lighting - Support for Preferred Option 1.6A**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce an urban lighting statement which aims to reduce levels of energy consumption and light pollution.  
1.6

---

**Main Issues Report****158 / 1753****Issue** 1.6A**comment** BWS welcome discussions on urban lighting projects, subject to minimising impact on ecology.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****2 / 5****Issue** 2.1**comment** Glasgow is clearly over-provided with shopping. You need a City Centre strategy for the post-retail age or Glasgow will continue to play catch-up.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****8 / 35****Issue** 2.1**comment** Yes, it is the right approach.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****10 / 227****Issue** 2.1**comment** Yes. I see home help for the elderly buying food in Morrisons then bringing it to my dear old neighbour. Clearly she was rushing against the clock and stressed in the busy shop. Yet, calm with my neighbour who has a bad heart.**your wish** Talk to the Cordia homehelps about shopping for our elderly.**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****25/106****Issue** 2.1**comment** Good idea.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****26/108****Issue** 2.1**comment** Yes. More diverse shops. Support small businesses. Why have so many empty shops when could be rented out on very flexible terms and seed corn rent? Vibrancy is needed for a city centre = customers = wealth generation. No more superstores/retail parks.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****31/187****Issue** 2.1**comment** Option 2.1A is rightly preferred over 2.1B. The signs of stress on the City Centre and established Town Centre locations are all too obvious. The option should be further strengthened; in our view it is already clear that no further retail development at scale should be permitted outwith existing City and Town Centres The new Town Centres might be necessary, but it should be clear that these are not merely excuses for further "out of town" shed-type developments in those areas.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****43/249****Issue** 2.1**comment** Yes Too many supermarkets out of town.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****52/298****Issue** 2.1**comment** Yes**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****72/1112****Issue** 2.1**comment** Supports the approach in principle subject to locations and limits that are still to be identified. Such limits and locations should take account of the impact upon other established town centres.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****75/795****Issue** 2.1**comment** This section should also state that the existing cultural, leisure and entertainment infrastructure is sustained and enhanced to provide interest to visitors and to contribute to a vibrant evening economy for residents and visitors. Glasgow city centre is the entertainment heart of the West of Scotland but none of the other paragraphs or the Options mentions any topic other than retail. The need to plan for future development is necessary, but only when balanced against existing established infrastructure.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****88/605****Issue** **2.1**

**comment** Option 2.1A - This commitment needs to be demonstrated by restricting/preventing further retail activity elsewhere. In addition, efforts need to be made to revitalise town centres across the city to provide everyday services and products for local communities. This would include the provision of healthy food. Local retail locations should have character and be reflective of the identity and history of the community.

**your wish** In some instances, interventions which support existing shops/businesses may be necessary to create a more vibrant and attractive place. It is important to note that Option 2.1 (which emphasises the economic value of retail, concentrated on the city centre) and Option 2.4 – 2.6 (which seem to be more reflective and suggest more sustainable local retail markets, especially at a smaller scale) might not necessarily be considered complementary.

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****91/3803****Issue** **2.1**

**comment** Setting specific limits on the amount of retail development is not the correct approach as this would be difficult to justify through retail capacity work and would not be able to react to changing circumstances. The need to protect the city centre needs to be balanced against the need to encourage economic development.

**your wish** Option 2.1B is preferred to 2.1A

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****92/3935****Issue** **2.1**

**comment** Whilst we acknowledge the Strategic and important role Glasgow City Centre plays in the Scottish economy we do not consider the correct approach is to set specific limits on retail development that is potentially acceptable at other locations round the City. It would be Macdonald Estates preference not to set any specific limits on the amount of retail development that may be acceptable at locations around the city as this would be difficult to justify through retail capacity work and once embodied in the LDP would not be able to react to changing circumstances in the market place. The policy approach to protecting the City Centre needs to balance appropriately the need to protect the City Centre against the need to encourage economic development, enterprise and competition. A blanket restrictive policy as suggested in Option 2.1A does not strike this balance. Macdonald Estates do not support the preferred Option 2.1A and prefer the option 2.1B.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4066****Issue****2.1****comment**

SPT supports the precautionary approach to new retail development in the city beyond the city centre in order to support and enhance the retail role of the city centre. The city centre is well served by the Subway, bus and rail networks and a precautionary approach makes best use of the available existing capacities in the existing public transport networks and the city centre's rail, bus and Subway stations. Indeed this approach also serves to support the high frequency public transport provision itself and this is to the benefit of other city centre uses such as city centre offices and leisure facilities.

Accessibility by sustainable transport modes should be key criteria in identifying other suitable sites for retail development around the city, outside the city centre. We would suggest that the Council prioritises those retail opportunities that have good accessibility to Subway stations, rail stations, high frequency bus services and locations that have interchanges between bus routes or between bus and other modes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.

2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****97/1397****Issue****2.1****comment**

Support for maintaining the City Centre's role as Scotland's primary retail centre because of the City Centre's existing excellent public transport links and consequent easy accessibility from the rest of the City and wider conurbation. Limits should be set on retail development at locations around the City, outwith the City Centre. This approach should help preserve the City Centre's multi-functional role which is crucial to the long-term retention of an efficient and effective public transport system.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.

2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1632****Issue****2.1****comment**

Maintain the City Centre as the prime location for a wide variety of businesses of national importance to the whole of Scotland and beyond, in order to utilise its focus of the public transport network and move towards more sustainable transport.

**your wish**

Suggest extending the scope of Option 2.1A to commercial purposes other than retail.

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.

2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****123/3335****Issue** 2.1**comment** There is insufficient detail in the retail strategies to either protect or encourage SMEs in this sector.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****123/3336****Issue** 2.1**comment** The retail policy should have a far stronger emphasis on giving preference and protection to small and medium sized independent retailers. This should include the possibility for establishing zones of overprovision for certain types of retail outlet. In particular, hot food takeaways, especially those with late hours catering licences, should be restricted since these routinely lead to the damaging of city policies and strategies: they create litter and noise pollution, encourage poor food choices from a health point of view.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****143/322****Issue** 2.1**comment** City Centre shopping should be preferred to out of town. Better for community involvement and less car use.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****148/3809****Issue** 2.1**comment** John Lewis supports the priority being afforded to the city centre and that the precautionary approach to development outside the city centre is appropriate. However limits gided by capacity studies could leave the council open to challenge as they don't allow for the commercial relaities identified in para 56. The precautionary approach would more aproprately be applied through sequential testing and given certainty by identifying clearly the role and function of all retailing locations in the city with the cc at the top of the hierarchy.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****150/2877****Issue** 2.1**comment** the Plan's support for Glasgow City Centre and maintaining its role and status as the principal regional retail destination for Glasgow city-region**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****150/2878****Issue** 2.1**comment** the Council's preferred option seeks to set limits on the amount of retail development acceptable at locations around the city outside the City Centre. Buchanan Partnership welcomes this approach in light of recent decisions to approve an extension to the Silverburn Shopping Centre and the "minded to grant" outline planning permission at Glasgow Harbour**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****150/2879****Issue** 2.1**comment** The approach is particularly appreciated in the context of the Strategic Development Plan (SDP; Proposed Plan 2011) identifying Braehead as having town centre status. The Partnership has objected to this proposal**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****150/2880****Issue** 2.1**comment** It is stated in the Plan that the above approach will be informed by retail capacity assessment and a review of the role of retail centres. We would draw attention to the fact that the SDP has suggested something similar for the city-region. We would suggest in terms of timing that this proposed research should be undertaken in advance of finalising the above policy and to take account of both the Silverburn and Glasgow Harbour planning permissions**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3397****Issue** 2.1**comment** Existing public transport and active travel infrastructure must be built on rather than threatened through increased provision for motorists.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3398****Issue** 2.1**comment** Investigate models (e.g.. London ) where drastic reduction of cars within the city centre has created economic, social, health and well-being benefits and implement relevant policies. Many global cities recognise the economic and other benefits that cycling and walking can have on a city's local economy and economic resilience.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****152 / 3816****Issue** 2.1**comment** Retail Property Holdings consider there may be a case for new control measures limiting retail investment outside the city centre - particularly at out of centre locations but caution is required in considering the precise nature of any such limitations and the areas to which they apply. RPH is not opposed to limits as long as SDP centres continue to be identified as appropriate locations for retail. It would be inappropriate for the LDP to introduce limits on floorspace or particular functions at Pollok town centre. On balance RPH prefers Option 2.1B -continuation of current approach as this is more in line with Scottish Gov policy and the SDP. If 2.1A is preferred it's vital any limitations do not affect the strategic centres in the SDP.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****155/3820****Issue****2.1****comment**

SECI welcome that the city centre lies at the heart of the strategy. SECI seeks to work closely with the Council to promote the economic well being of the city centre. The LDP should contain positive policies supporting further investment in the city centre - including St Enoch extension Stage 2. It is disappointing the Plan does not contain specific proposals for enhancing Argyle St including public realm improvements. This is a missed opportunity. Restricting development outside of town centres is supported but is inadequate on its own.

**your wish**

SECI wishes to see positive proposals within the proposed plan aimed at stimulating investment in the city centre and enhancing public realm particularly around Argyle St/St in addition to limiting development elsewhere..

**postcard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3652****Issue****2.1****comment**

Option 2.1 we agree with policies aimed at retaining healthy centre and reducing vehicle access.

**your wish**

Set limits on retail development outwith the City Centre.

**postcard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3653****Issue****2.1****comment**

We agree that the city centre needs to be protected, as do others

**your wish**

Protection involves other sections of the MIR. Policies and options could be improved. Review strategy for City

**postcard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3654****Issue****2.1****comment**

Set limits on City Centre and edge of centre supermarkets

**your wish**

Set limits on out of town and edge of town supermarkets

**postcard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3655****Issue** 2.1

**comment** The City Centre is becoming indistinguishable from any of the outlying shopping centres. There is insufficient to attract people into Glasgow from outside in preference to areas such as Braehead etc

**your wish** Thought needs to be given to protecting the distinguishing individualism of Glasgow and to methods to make it easier to shop, to keep its individual shops in the face of hugh competition from national and international companies in an economy in decline. Place setting can help. Localities within the city (eg the small streets around the Tron Theatre), can be attractive or serve particular interests.

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3656****Issue** 2.1

**comment** Some aspects are out with the control of Glasgow eg what is provided elsewhere within commuting distance

**your wish** Infrastructure needs reviewed

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3661****Issue** 2.1

**comment** The mix of outlets in any street needs review as do the factors which discourage people from living in the centre of this great European City. Rows of nightclubs and bars interspersed with few shops and cafes, and a "night time economy" becoming perceived as dangerous discourage shoppers and visitors alike and impinge on other town centres

**your wish** There is a place for the "night time economy" but it needs to be managed in relation to other aspects of town centres

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3662****Issue** 2.1

**comment** Attention needs to be paid to other factors which lead to decline of the city centre eg on-line shopping

**your wish** Look at what can be done to provide an attractive alternative

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3664****Issue****2.1****comment**

Architecturally the city is being damaged incrementally: the sense of place and distinction is being lost. We agree with the need for cumulative impact to be a strong factor in planning decisions

**your wish**

Perhaps stronger guidance and conditions on rebuild of buildings destroyed or any replacement

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3665****Issue****2.1****comment**

Vistas on many approaches to the city are poor and do nothing to encourage a sense that Glasgow is worth visiting (eg from Kingstone Briege)

**your wish**

Don't ignore streetscapes, building lines. Don't ignore the skyline

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3666****Issue****2.1****comment**

Glasgow has amazing architectural assets, but compared with other more successful European historic cities such as Paris, it fails to protect its core.

**your wish**

Need an adequate policy to stop inappropriate intrusions and piecemeal developments which erode its character

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3667****Issue****2.1****comment**

Planners appreciate the value of place setting, but seem powerless to interpret that in ways to secure it, or resort to demolition and rebuild to solve problems. The fault does not always lie with the Planners, but often with the legislation or other city policies, exacerbated by decades of employment, social and housing problems which all need attention

**your wish**

Hence the need for some robust policies to remedy the gaps in the legislation and avoid unforeseen consequences. Space for a rethink?

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3668****Issue** 2.1**comment** Agree that City centre needs to be protected**your wish** Need a coherent strategy and new policies**postcard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3669****Issue** 2.1**comment** More care to preserve the Victorian and earlier built heritage and prevent the interruption of its streetscape**your wish** Need stronger policies**postcard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3670****Issue** 2.1**comment** Look at refurbishment and repairs**your wish** Need policies on refurbishment and repairs. These would accord with the need for jobs, for avoidance of waste, for preservation of scarce materials, for looking toward climate change and economic downturn**postcard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3671****Issue** 2.1**comment** Tall buildings should be defined as those where the upper floors can be seen above the roofline of the city core of historic context from a distance**your wish** They should be located on the periphery of the city as Paris ensures happens. (The outskirts of Paris are a mess but the centre is generally good). This will mean changes to supplementary guidance. Also perhaps reintroduction of policy that buildings in the city should not exceed the height of the City Chambers.**postcard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3673****Issue** 2.1**comment** Exempt spires from tall buildings definition**your wish** Currently spires and domes which add interest to skylines are dwarfed by new buildings surrounding them - address**postcard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3674****Issue** 2.1**comment** Improve design in city**your wish** Better design standards, paying attention to the need for an interesting exterior which was characteristic of Glasgow buildings and which draws visitors**postcard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3675****Issue** 2.1**comment** Applaud the need for policies on design standards**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3676****Issue** 2.1**comment** Secure context, vistas along a street and stopping visual intrusions**your wish** All applications must set the application in the immediate and wider context of the area**postcard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3677****Issue** 2.1**comment** Pay attention to materials**your wish** Look back to what was in City Plan 1**postcard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****174/760****Issue** 2.1**comment** Fully agree with Option 2.1 policies aimed at retaining a healthy centre and reducing vehicle use.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****182/4047****Issue** 2.1**comment** The importance of the City Centre is beyond dispute as is the fundamental need to underpin and maintain its role and function in the longer term. Therefore, accept preferred option 2.1A but are, nevertheless, concerned about the possibility of limits being set on the amount of retail development elsewhere at "acceptable locations".**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****186/3915****Issue** 2.1**comment** Support Option 2.1A, but are concerned about possible limits on retail development outwith the City Centre at 'acceptable locations'.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3948****Issue** 2.1**comment** Option A seems sensible. Otherwise no comment at this stage.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****190 / 1436****Issue****2.1****comment**

Supports the intention to limit the amount of retail development that is acceptable at locations outwith the City Centre and to identify suitable locations for such development.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1208****Issue****2.1****comment**

Support the preferred option of setting limits on the amount of development acceptable outwith the centre however this needs to be based on a robust evidence base - the retail capacity work should be completed before the Proposed Plan is released for consultation.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****194 / 2895****Issue****2.1****comment**

Furthermore, the setting of such limits cannot be justified in the absence of further detailed retail capacity work. Should such an onerous and restrictive policy be embodied in the LDP, it would not be able to react to changing circumstances in the market place nor to identified gaps in retail provision. The policy approach to protecting the City Centre needs to carefully balance the need to protect the City Centre against the need to encourage further economic development, enterprise and competition in terms of retail, particularly within areas which are relatively deprived when compared to other parts of the city as is the case in Pollokshields East. A blanket restrictive policy as suggested in Option 2.1A does not strike this balance. Summary : Support option 2.1B. and not Option 2.1A .

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1156****Issue** **2.1**

**comment** Shopping developments are already controlled and managed by existing policies at national, regional and local level. It is however important that there is a recognised hierarchy of shopping centres within the City that serves many local communities with a wide range of local services and shopping provisions. It is also of importance that the demands and needs of local communities change in terms of population size and social demographics. In these circumstances the options for additional and new forms of retail must be provided. As such there should be no change to existing policies. The approach offered in the MIR is wrong.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3555****Issue** **2.1**

**comment** Yes - agree with Option 2.1 A to restrict the amount of retail development.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****207 / 1664****Issue** **2.1**

**comment** Whilst supports the principle of restricting retail floorspace provision there must be evidential support in doing so.

**your wish** The amount of retail floorspace that can be provided in any given location should be evidence based and should reflect the conditions that persist at the time rather than being restricted through the LDP process.

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****210 / 1133****Issue** **2.1**

**comment** Fully supports GCC's preferred option 2.1A which aims to ensure that retail developments are brought forward in the right locations.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****224/3832****Issue** 2.1

**comment** A full review of town centres and other retail locations will provide an up to sdate picture which is required in the current economic climate. This does not necessarily mean limits should be set. A full review should ensure appropriate developemnts are targetted at appropriate locations.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.

2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3460****Issue** 2.1

**comment** We would agree with Option 2.1A to safeguard the City Centre. However introducing residential above retail would make the City Centre more sustainable and safer in the same way as the Merchant City.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.

2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****242/306****Issue** 2.1

**comment** No I do not hink it is good to limit shopping development outside City Centre. It is so much safer, convenient and practical to shop outside City Centre ie. Braehead, Silverburn Shopping Centres. It is so much more difficult to find parking spaces in the city centre and also parking is far too expensive. On the other hand parking in shopping centres outwith the city centre are free and much more accessible to the shops.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.

2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****243/387****Issue** 2.1

**comment** Yes, need to go further to support vibrant, modern, accessible town centres.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.

2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****247/376****Issue** 2.1**comment** Strongly agree. But this requires an excellent public transport system.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****249/467****Issue** 2.1**comment** No reference is made to the residential and cultural functions of the city centre. It focuses on retail development and office space. This is a disappointment, as Glasgow City Centre's vitality depends on the people who live in it, not on the companies who make mopney out of it and go home to suburbia after hours.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****249/468****Issue** 2.1**comment** There is no reference to the City Centre as a place to live. It would appear that the vision is for Glasgow City Centre to become a place only to work or shop and which closes down and becomes a ghost town of dark buildings and empty streets after shops and offices have closed.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****249/470****Issue** 2.1**comment** Although the preferred options are agreed, the supporting statements are failing to recognise the importance of the City as a residential area. There seems to be a plan to prioritise retail development over housing. Residential, leisure and cultural functions must form a part of the vision for a sustainable city centre and for the town centres as well. Town centres only work where they are places primarily for people.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.

2.1

**Issue****2.1****comment**

With a view to controlling retail development outwith the City Centre, the Council's preferred option is to set limits on the amount of retail development that is acceptable at locations around the city, outwith the city centre. The identification of specific limits, options and locations would be informed by retail capacity.

Whilst this approach will support the strength of the city centre, it is considered an overly restrictive approach to retail development in the city as a whole, with successful developments recently completed or under construction, such as St Enoch extension, Buchanan Quarter and the Buchanan Galleries extension.

Meridian would prefer the alternative option, which is to set no specific limits, but to adopt a precautionary approach. In the current economic climate, it is considered that a degree of flexibility should be adopted and that the council needs to recognise the 'commercial realities' of the development industry in current times as identified in paragraph 56 of Scottish Planning Policy.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.](#)

2.1

**Issue****2.1****comment**

We do not agree with preferred option 2.1A in respect of this issue. BY setting limits on retail development in locations outwith the City Centre, the LDP will conflict with national planning policy on retailing. Any proposal for new retail development should be determined on its own individual merits and not predetermined through specific limits being set on retail capacity. We would recommend that the Council adopt option 2.1B which sets no specific limit on retail development outwith the City Centre. A criteria based approach to new retail development should continue to be utilised, based upon Scottish Planning Policy and consistent with current retail planning policy.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.](#)

2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****266 / 1457****Issue****2.1****comment**

Agree with maintaining the City Centre as Scotland's Primary Retail Centre. As the next largest retail centre in the UK outside London and with facilities that are used by residents and tourists alike, it is desirable to protect this resource whilst ensuring that local communities located away from the City Centre are not deprived of adequate shopping facilities.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1545****Issue****2.1****comment**

Role of the City Centre - Support for Preferred Option 2.1A. But also, need to be able to be a little flexible in relation to Local Action Plans eg Shawlands etc.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****93 / 4073****Issue****2.1 and 2.2****comment**

The work to identify other suitable sites for retail development around the city should be supported by an accessibility appraisal that prioritises locations with the highest accessibility to existing high frequency public transport services. This should include locations close to high frequency rail and Subway stations and high frequency bus corridors.

Sites where there is a potential for high frequency services, while still suitable, should be given a lower priority related to the level of commitment to funding and operating high frequency public transport.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1090****Issue****2.1A****comment**

Maintaining city centre as Scotland primary retail centre- SPF accepts the preferred option (2.1A) and broadly agrees with Scottish Governments sequential approach to retail development. However, New housing may mean that edge of centre retailing is more appropriate to meet needs of communities and in terms of accessibility and limiting travel requirements. Urban settlements have changed and excessive desire to direct proposals to the centre may not meet community or wider policy interests.

**your wish**

When drafting the retail policy would suggest the Council retains some flexibility, as the perceived population and housing growth is likely to generate the need for further convenience retail provision.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****3 / 21****Issue****2.2****comment**

How can there be more than one "town centres"? What does this mean?

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

---

**Main Issues Report****9 / 89****Issue****2.2****comment**

As part of the regeneration of Nitshill the town centre could be improved to encourage healthy living/eating.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

---

**Main Issues Report****10 / 176****Issue****2.2****comment**

Yes. About time.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

---

**Main Issues Report****14/50****Issue** 2.2**comment** I have no idea what this statement means.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****21/78****Issue** 2.2**comment** What about availability of healthier foods? The two main supermarkets at Govan Cross are Iceland and Farmfoods. Not exactly healthy eating. Junk food is cheap and available, healthier food expensive and scarce. Jamie Oliver's hated Turkey Twizzlers are subsistence food here. Not everyone can afford to shop elsewhere as public transport is expensive.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****26/107****Issue** 2.2**comment** Yes!. What are you going to do with this information? Is there appetite in the Council Plan for radical changes across the board? Glasgow may be worse off than a 3rd world/developing country. What's the Plan?**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****43/261****Issue** 2.2**comment** Yes.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****44/265****Issue** 2.2

**comment** As part of this review I hope that you consider the effect that large supermarkets have had on the area (i.e. vacant properties) and take this into account when granting planning permission to (yet another) supermarket. Glasgow appears to be becoming a 'Tesco' town. You can't walk more than a mile without coming across a Tesco!!!

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****45/273****Issue** 2.2

**comment** Good approach. I live in Strathbungo, our nearest defined "Town Centre" is Shawlands. It would be great to see more linkage between businesses in these southside town centres, so those shopping in Shawlands consider trying the shops here and in Pollokshields. We have great small shops.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****53/1480****Issue** 2.2

**comment** Welcomes the statement that town centres are a key element of the economic and social fabric of the City and that the aim is to ensure that they provide a rich mix and diversity of land uses that compliment the unique function of each centre.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****53/1481****Issue** 2.2

**comment** Supports the proposed review and health check of all town centres to identify the opportunities and constraints affecting each centre with a view to informing the proposed local development plan and supporting supplementary guidance.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****53 / 1482****Issue** 2.2**comment** Agree that Partick/Byres Road requires a review.**your wish** There is a need for a comprehensive assessment of need and demand to allow a more flexible approach that will allow for non Class 1 uses at the correct locations.**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****93 / 643****Issue** 2.2**comment** 1. Support for a review of town centres. Need for greater emphasis on the role of interchanges and public transport hubs as a core element of town centres. Need for review to reflect master plans for Subway Stations.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****93 / 4067****Issue** 2.2**comment** SPT supports the preferred option, i.e. to review town centres, including, where appropriate, uses such as retail and other commercial services. There is a need for a greater emphasis on the role of interchanges and public transport hubs as a core element of town centres. This review should also take account of the developing masterplans for land around Subway Stations. In our view the £287.5 Million Subway Modernisation programme to improve the stations and services will contribute to the regeneration of the areas adjacent to the stations.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****97 / 1398****Issue** 2.2**comment** Support for the review of existing town centres throughout the City, such as Easterhouse, Parkhead, Partick/Byres Road, Pollok, Shawlands, with a view to establishing the health of each one, in order to take steps necessary to safeguard their future. Existing town centres are an important focus for public transport trips.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1633****Issue** **2.2****comment** Maintain the network of local centres in order to minimise travel.**your wish** Support Option 2.2A but also feel that there is a need for a specific policy of environmental enhancement, improved walking and cycling facilities and, in the case of strategic centres, a review and possible improvement of their transport links across a wider area of the City.**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****148/3810****Issue** **2.2****comment** John Lewis is supportive of the review of centres in order to identify where there is a need for investment/enhancement. Clarifying role and function of centres will enable developers to identify the most suitable centres in the network to accommodate their proposals.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****152/3817****Issue** **2.2****comment** It is a matter of concern that the 'edge of centre area' to the east of the M77 (per City Plan 2) has been deleted. Any actions to promote town centres is welcomed but need to take account of the form and function of the centre. Pollok is identified as one of a small number of locations where significant investment in retail etc is to be focussed. It would therefore be inappropriate for any review of town centres to in any way seek to constrain the natural expansion of the centre or limit its role/function.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****152/3818****Issue****2.2****comment**

RPH oppose the idea to SPG on individual centres on the basis there is no basis in SPP for policy to inhibit the natural growth and adaption of a town centre or its mix of uses. Inherent in the identification of Pollok as a strategic centre is an acceptance that it is appropriate for a full range of retail and related uses. Any proposals from the Council that seek to limit investment in Pollok town centre should be set out in the plan not SPG which is not subject to the same examination procedures. RPH strongly supports Option 2.2B and opposes option 2a that SPG be brought forward which might limit the physical extent or functions contained in Pollok town centre. The LDP should continue to identify an appropriate edge of centre area to the east of the M77. In particular land to the south end of Cowglen study area (site Prop 0025) should be shown as edge and appropriate for retail commercial and related uses.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3657****Issue****2.2****comment**

Out of town centres and edge of town centres impinge on other towns and localities eg Glasgow Forge (Some districts such as that served by Glasgow Forge might be imaginatively served by other means)

**your wish**

Infrastructure needs reviewed

**postcard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3658****Issue****2.2****comment**

Planning consents should be reviewed and extensions not allowed. (Circumstances and other consents change their relevance)

**your wish**

Review consents

**postcard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3659****Issue****2.2****comment**

An imaginative way of dealing with empty shops so that they do not discourage people from passing them or using the street needs to be devised

**your wish**

Temporary policies alongside city plan with enabling supplementary guidance

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3660****Issue****2.2****comment**

Shopping centres fail for more reasons than economic downturns

**your wish**

Infrastructure needs reviewed

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3663****Issue****2.2****comment**

Necessary infrastructure needs review

**your wish**

Uplift points for cars to pick up family with shopping. Devliveries need to be considered. Parking next to stations outside Glasgow eg Milngavie station car park which is full by 8.30am, to encourage people to take the train. Do all park and rides at subway and other stations do what they are meant to do

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3678****Issue****2.2****comment**

We agree that town centres set out in City Plan 2 should be reviewed. We would like to be involved in this as there are considerable problems with Byres Road. We certainly approve of a more holistic assessment of town centres and an analysis of the reasons why they need some review of policies. In some cases the wording of City Plan 1 could assist with improvements to the plan.

**your wish**

As proposed but further review and copnsultation. Some alteration of supplementnary guidance. Proposals for any town centre should consider the regulation of deliveries and impact on other centres

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****182/4048****Issue** **2.2**

**comment** The Review of town centres proposed in Option 2.2A, it is assumed, will in due course form the basis of supplementary guidance and is welcomed but because of the issues involved it is essential that preparation should be the subject of consultation if a "holistic assessment" is to be achieved.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****186/3916****Issue** **2.2**

**comment** Support the review of town centres in Option 2.2A, and assume it will form the basis of supplementary guidance, but it is essential that it is subject to consultation, given the issues involved.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3949****Issue** **2.2**

**comment** Option A seems sensible. Otherwise no comment at this stage.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****188/1802****Issue** **2.2**

**comment** GHA would welcome early engagement on the proposed planning process for town centres. The relationship between the network of town centres and the city centre should be more explicit.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1209****Issue** **2.2**

**comment** Any supplementary guidance must meet the legal requirements in paras 93-99 of Circular 1/2009 in order to have statutory status.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1210****Issue** **2.2**

**comment** Would have expected more detail than simply referring to a future retail capacity study

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****192 / 3826****Issue** **2.2**

**comment** The following Morrisons stores which are well established within there local communities, should be given town centre status : Baillieston, Newlands, Cardonald, Gallowgate. Also the Morrisons Crossmyloof store should be identified as 'other retail and commercial' as per other stores in the city.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****194 / 2894****Issue** **2.2**

**comment** we do not consider it appropriate to set specific limits on retail development elsewhere at other locations within the City...particularly pertinent with regards to convenience shopping and this submission relates in general to that type of retail provision. This blanket approach is considered to be too heavy handed and does not address the city areas which are still relatively poorly served, whether in terms of quality or quantity, by existing retail provision...It would be our preference that no specific limits are set in the emerging Local Development Plan, on the amount or location of retail development.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1157****Issue** **2.2**

**comment** Review of existing shopping centres is an essential prerequisite of local planning and as such should take place without this being considered a new main issue.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3556****Issue** **2.2**

**comment** Yes - agree with Option 2.2 A in relation to town centres.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****205 / 3829****Issue** **2.2**

**comment** Tesco supports the preferred option but adds that the key functions of the various centres should be identified and roles should be specific rather than general and may not involve retail. The form and layout of many traditional smaller centres limits opportunities for accommodating local retail requirements. Therefore flexibility is required in terms of identifying edge /out of town sites where appropriate. 2.5A would not provide this flexibility and therefore option 2.5c is supported..

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****207 / 1666****Issue** **2.2**

**comment** Due to the good connectivity between Glasgow Harbour and Partick/Byres Road Town Centre, there is some merit in considering protecting the retail offer at Glasgow Harbour by including it in the Town Centre.

**your wish** There should be flexibility through a review process to incorporate Glasgow Harbour into the adjoining Town Centre, where evidence supports its inclusion.

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****210/1134****Issue** 2.2

**comment** Fully supports GCC's review of the identified town centres. Consideration should be given to including the Forge Retail Park as part of the overall Parkhead town centre when preparing supplementary guidance in relation to major town centres.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****224/3833****Issue** 2.2

**comment** An up to date framework of the health of town centres and tc boundaries will assist retail developers and new retail developments. Cp2 is useful context but a review is needed to ensure developments are assessed for today's requirements. The review shouldn't restrict new developments but ensure development is promoted in appropriate areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****229/3998****Issue** 2.2

**comment** Option 2.2 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3461****Issue** 2.2

**comment** We would agree with Option 2.2A. However Town Centres do need to be protected from supermarkets taking over and destroying local shops.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3462****Issue****2.2****comment**

The draft LDP seems to indicate that Dennistoun is categorised as a 'Local Town Centre'. Given previous work in relation to Town Centre renewal programme(s) across Glasgow it is disappointing that little focus is given to decline in Dennistoun's commercial and retail elements. There was a focus on Duke Street/Sword Street in City Plan 1, but not in City Plan 2 (adopted December 2009) on the basis that there would be a positive knock-on effect from the proposed development further up Duke Street (near High Street). If that 'approach' is still the basis for the current strategy then its suggested that this is no longer credible and that the approved Local Development Plan includes a significant focus on improving the health, vibrancy and sustainability of Dennistoun as a Local Town Centre.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 377****Issue****2.2****comment**

Agree, e.g. Hyndland shops are changing due to increased licensed premises as a result of a 'halt' in Byres Road, being a licensing overprovision area. Definitely needs review, economic health does equal physical health.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****262 / 1372****Issue****2.2****comment**

We welcome option 2.2A in the MIR reviewing the role and function of town centres in the plan area. There are certain centres in the City which provide a local service for their particular area. In our view, some of the tier 3 and 4 centres should be downgraded to neighbourhood centres in relation to their scale and level of retail/commercial offer.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.

2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****266 / 1458****Issue** 2.2

**comment** Agree with the option to review town centres. As the next largest retail centre in the UK outside London and with facilities that are used by residents and tourists alike it is desirable to protect this resource whilst ensuring that local communities located away from the City Centre are not deprived of adequate shopping facilities.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1546****Issue** 2.2

**comment** Reviewing Town Centres - Support for Preferred Option 2.2A – need to be able to look at everything, holistically, e.g. how Licensing Overprovision Areas, then have a knock-on impact on neighbouring town centres etc etc.

Hyndland Example: Byres Road now being a Licensing Overprovision Area, has meant recent overspill of Licensed Premises into Hyndland.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1547****Issue** 2.2

**comment** A new Byres Road Action Plan including the Ashton Road Triangle, is required, as the Halcrow plan published in 2005 has never been implemented. A longstanding wellloved area of the importance of the West End to local residents, city visitors, tourists from elsewhere and Glasgow University, and where community facilities are in extreme shortage - has started to deteriorate under commercial and other pressures. In short, Byres Road lacks leadership to help it progress in terms of sustainability, sense of place, and health.

Economic Health of a Town Centre does not always equate with Human Health.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the current list of town centres in Glasgow and see how healthy they are. We would also look to see whether any of their boundaries need to be reviewed or alternative uses considered.  
2.2

**Issue****2.2,2.4****comment**

MIR identifies Drumchapel Town Centre as 'a local town Centre' extend the boundry to create a 'major town centre ' destination within the north western quadrant.

**your wish**

we submit that Great Western Retail Park be included in the extended boundry of Drumchapel Town centre

**posctard no:**

## Issue

2.3

## comment

I have lived in Robroyston for 15 years on the Briarcroft estate. During this time, despite Robroyston being an ongoing development with houses sprawling up across the area, very little consideration or proper planning appears to be evident in the overall design thus resulting in a very poor infrastructure and lack of amenities in the area. At the moment we have a row of retail shops with many of the units lying vacant, one primary school, ASDA and a McDonalds. At no time whilst living here, do I ever remember being consulted on what our residents needed or wanted for their community, especially in the area adjacent to ASDA. It is very disappointing that the council deemed McDonald's and retail shops as a priority over the following serious lack of fundamental community facilities:- Health facilities such as a local GP surgery or dentist Community Centre for social, leisure and learning opportunities Library/learning centre Sports/swimming complex local restaurant/pub where residents can socialise & meet with their friends, family & neighbors Bank/Post Office Bus services have improved over the years but still no train service or tram as was promised by the builder when we purchased the house The volume of traffic has significantly increased in & around the area due to the continuous building and increasing no of residents, visitors,etc, I have regularly witnessed the speed of traffic and feel unable to let my daughter (11year old) venture into surrounding area's as there is not sufficient traffic crossings or even a pavement to walk on certain streets such as Standburn Road. Whilst we are working age family, with double incomes, access to two cars and have good health and well-being, we can remain living in Robroyston, participating as active citizens as we have the financial means, physical ability and transportation to access amenities in other surrounding boundary areas. However, if we were pensioners, with reduced health and financial means, I could foresee the impact being significant, with increasing dependency on others to access amenities not currently available in our own community and potential isolation in our own homes.

## your wish

Curb the number of houses being built and incorporate amenities that will enhance Robroyston as a safer, more vibrant place to live and promote a sense of community. Include developing proper and safe pavements and installing more traffic lights positioned along the length of Standburn Road and other streets surrounding the area for improved safety for residents. Consider development of a local health centre including dentist, chiropodist, etc Local bank and post office facilities Improved transportation, possible train link? Development of a sports/leisure centre Local quality restaurant & pub (not fast food)

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

## Issue

2.3

## comment

No. Go ahead and just do it. (Or Yes).

## your wish

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****43/239****Issue** 2.3**comment** No waste of time and resources.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****60/3314****Issue** 2.3**comment** Conflict with the policies of the EDC Local Plan 2 relate to the designation and development of a town centre at Robroyston**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****60/3319****Issue** 2.3**comment** The MIR notes that City Plan 2 indicated that the potential for a new town centre for Robroyston should be investigated as part of the Masterplan for the Community Growth Area. The masterplan identified the area around Saughs Road, including the existing superstore and retail park, as being the preferred location, providing it would not have an adverse impact on existing centres in Glasgow or East Dunbartonshire. The MIR proposes undertaking a detailed study on the consequences of designating a new town centre, range and scale of appropriate uses, circulation and access, and layout and design. East Dunbartonshire is likely to be concerned if the retail offer was aimed at a catchment area outside Robroyston, particularly given that a superstore and retail park is already available in Robroyston,**your wish** Formally request GCC to involve the Council closely in the proposed study.**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****60/3320****Issue** 2.3**comment** East Dunbartonshire is likely to be concerned if such a town centre (Robroyston) was developed prior to new housing being built.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****60/3321****Issue** 2.3**comment** If a town centre was developed at Robroyston, traffic impacts concerning the setting at the City boundary should be considered**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****60/3322****Issue** 2.3**comment** If a town centre was developed at Robroyston, landscaping issues concerning the setting at the City boundary should be considered**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****72/1113****Issue** 2.3**comment** Requests that any identification of Robroyston as a town centre comes with a recognition that its purpose is to serve a local role and not developed to serve a regional purpose.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4068****Issue** 2.3**comment** If a study is undertaken to indicate the possible potential for a new town centre, it is essential that circulation and access issues, including provision of public transport, is considered.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****97 / 1399****Issue** **2.3**

**comment** Support for a study being undertaken to determine the potential impact of identifying a new town centre as part of the Robroyston/Millerston Community Growth Area, possibly in the Saughs Road area.

**your wish** Any such study should take into account the role that public transport could play in offering access to a centre of this nature and consider how best to integrate public transport services into its development.

**postcard no:** [We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.](#)

2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1634****Issue** **2.3**

**comment** Agree a new town centre facilities should be provided at Robroyston in order that local people can adopt a more sustainable lifestyle.

**your wish** Support Option 2.3 A and encourage its co-location with the proposed Robroyston railway station.

**postcard no:** [We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.](#)

2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****156 / 1827****Issue** **2.3**

**comment** It is submitted that there is no requirement for a study to assess the impact of a new town centre at Robroyston. The principle of a town centre has already been established in previous local plans.

**your wish** It is requested that the principle of a new town centre at Robroyston is supported in the LDP for Glasgow and to allow flexibility to allow a wider range of retail and other town centre uses.

**postcard no:** [We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.](#)

2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****156 / 3905****Issue** **2.3**

**comment** There is no need for a study or testing to determine the potential impact of identifying a new town centre at Robroyston as the requirement arose from the Community Growth Areas in City Plan 1 and City Plan 2. In addition, a substantial element of the town centre is completed.

**your wish** A masterplan which illustrates the range of appropriate facilities in the town centre should be prepared.

**postcard no:** [We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.](#)

2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****156/3906****Issue****2.3****comment**

The LDP should support the principle of a new town centre at Robroyston to serve the Community Growth Area community, and there should be flexibility in the Plan to allow a wider range of retail and other town centre uses.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.](#)  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****182/4049****Issue****2.3****comment**

Welcome the fact that the matter is highlighted in the MIR but are concerned at the amount of time already taken by the Council in arriving at this point. While accepting in principle option 2.3A, it is important now that work begins on the project of delivering a town centre which surely does not have to be held back until the LDP has reached adoption.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.](#)  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****186/3917****Issue****2.3****comment**

Accept the preferred Option 2.3A regarding the potential for a new town centre at Robroyston, but progress on delivering it should not now be delayed until the Local Development Plan is adopted.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.](#)  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3950****Issue****2.3****comment**

Option A seems sensible. Otherwise no comment at this stage.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.](#)  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****188 / 1803****Issue** 2.3**comment** GHA welcome the proposed study of the new town centre at Robroyston and request engagement in the study.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1211****Issue** 2.3**comment** No Issues in principle with town centre designation but highlight the issue raised in the SDP consultation relating to a new railway station. Transport Scotland would welcome involvement in any study to identify the potential impact of a new town centre.**your wish** Transport Scotland would welcome involvement in any study to identify the potential impact of a new town centre.**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1158****Issue** 2.3**comment** Policy Option 2.3A proposes that a study is undertaken in order to determine the potential impact of a new town centre at Robroyston. In all regards it is submitted that such a study is not required as the requirement for a new town centre arose from the proposals for a community growth area within both City Plan 1 and City Plan 2. Furthermore it should be noted that the substantial retail element of the town centre has already been completed and that the provision of a new town centre has already been tested through previous Local Plan processes. In terms of the preferred option outlined in the Main Issues Report, we would request that the proposed plan supports the principle of a new town centre at Robroyston in order to serve a new and expanded community. In addition we would also seek to ensure that some aspect of flexibility is included within the plan to allow a wider range of retail and other town centre uses.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3557****Issue** 2.3**comment** No comment - Option 2.3**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****226/3837****Issue****2.3****comment**

Asda supports the development of a new town centre at Robroyston . A new town centre is considered necessary to meet the demand of the CGA and through this approach the council can promote a successful town centre in line with the principles in para.54 of the SPP. It would also provide protection to retailers from development outside the town centre. The principle of a town centre should not be under review.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****229/3999****Issue****2.3****comment**

Option 2.3 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3463****Issue****2.3****comment**

We agree with Option 2.3A to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new Town Centre at Robroyston.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****243/388****Issue****2.3****comment**

?

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****266 / 1459****Issue****2.3****comment**

Agree with the option to look at the potential for a new town centre at Robroyston. The expansion of population at Robroyston justifies the creation of a new town centre (akin to that at Bishopbriggs). This type of local provision could help to reduce the need for non essential traffic volumes.

**your wish**

A scoping study would be needed to determine the nature catchment and competitive impact of a new town centre at Robroyston.

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.

2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****3 / 17****Issue****2.4****comment**

Surely rules/functions of any business or leisure use is obvious in their function!!

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.

2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****10 / 159****Issue****2.4****comment**

Yes. If there is an aim towards stopping congestion on our roads etc. Also Shawbridge Arcade needs to be removed. Please.  
(I visit Pollok Park and walk to Shawlands).

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.

2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****15 / 55****Issue****2.4****comment**

Yes

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.

2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****43/242****Issue** 2.4**comment** Yes.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****72/1114****Issue** 2.4**comment** Supports this approach in principle but wishes to comment on the proposed roles and functions when available, in particular on any proposals to remove types of goods or unit size restrictions on centres which would have an adverse impact on West Dunbartonshire's town centres.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4069****Issue** 2.4**comment** Other retail and commercial centres are often characteristic by car based trips. Any review should include sustainable access considerations.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1635****Issue** 2.4**comment** Concerned about the location of commercial development as sustainable transport requires dense development.**your wish** Support Option 2.4A with attention paid to the location of such developments.**posctard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****148/3811****Issue** 2.4

**comment** John Lewis is supportive of the review to add certainty to role and function but does not wish the review to be associated with the relaxation of restrictions on commercial centres. The policy should remain in place in terms of their position in the sequential test.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3679****Issue** 2.4

**comment** Support Option 2.4B but want consultation to follow. There are problems with concentrations of and locations of some leisure activities

**your wish** Review and consult

**postcard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3951****Issue** 2.4

**comment** Option A seems sensible. Otherwise no comment at this stage.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****191/1212****Issue** 2.4

**comment** Any supplementary guidance must meet the legal requirements in paras 93-99 of Circular 1/2009 in order to have statutory status.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****194/2901****Issue** **2.4**

**comment** It is important to note that retail development can also act as a catalyst in the regeneration of communities and their areas. Retail development can in many ways regenerate an area more effectively than housing led regeneration as it has more associated benefits than just a physical improvement of the area. Retail development can provide a very significant investment, delivered in a short time scale and can also provide long term and significant economic benefits through enhanced employment opportunities. A retail development can act as a focal point for an area and also improves access to fresh food which can have knock-on health benefits for the local community. Summary : do not support the preferred Option 2.4A and prefer as the only alternative offered, Option 2.4B.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****196/1159****Issue** **2.4**

**comment** Review of retail and commercial / leisure centres is an essential prerequisite of local planning and as such should take place without this being considered a new main issue.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3558****Issue** **2.4**

**comment** Yes - agree with Option 2.4 A in relation to role and function of other retail and commercial/leisure centres.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****210/1135****Issue** **2.4**

**comment** Supports GCC's intention to review the role and function of Other Retail and Commercial Leisure Centres. The retail park's function is that of a town centre location and this should be accounted for in GCC's review.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****223/1126****Issue** **2.4****comment** In support of option 2.4A but not in support of alternative option 2.4B.**your wish** Springfield Quay wish to work with GCC to improve Springfield Quay as a highly accessible retail and leisure destination to promote further day time use of existing and new facilities.**postcard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****224/3834****Issue** **2.4****comment** Other retail and commercial centres play an important role where sites are not available in town centres. Again a review should not create a restriction.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****226/3839****Issue** **2.4****comment** Asda supports the review of the Other Retail and Commercial Centres and considers this review should be extended to all DEV7 areas. With specific regard to Asda stores at Govan, Maryhill and Toryglen the Proposed Plan should reflect the complementary role of these stores in relation to the network by giving them a clearly defined designation which affords them protection and flexibility. While the primary use should be convenience ancillary comparison should not be overly restricted.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4000****Issue** **2.4****comment** Option 2.4 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3464****Issue** 2.4**comment** We agree with Option 2.4A**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 374****Issue** 2.4**comment** Agree**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****250 / 439****Issue** 2.4**comment** Meridian is supportive of the approach to provide some clarity as to the accepted uses at other retail and commercial/leisure centres. In this regard, the proposals coming forward on our client's site are to incorporate a bulky goods retail use located adjacent to the existing B&Q at Darnley. It may, therefore, be appropriate to consider this when reviewing the designation covering the B&Q and Sainsbury's at Darnley.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****264 / 406****Issue** 2.4**comment** Well in the Gorbals we have a very large sports centre, which caters for just about everything from swimming to badminton, I'm not sure what other areas have.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1548****Issue** **2.4****comment** Other Retail / Commercial / Leisure Centres - Support for preferred opt 2.4A. Now is indeed the time to move into sharpening the focus.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review the role of the City's other retail and commercial/leisure centres, with a view to clearly defining the role and function of each.  
2.4

---

**Main Issues Report****202 / 799****Issue** **2.4A****comment** This is fully supported**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****2 / 9****Issue** **2.5****comment** I agree with this one but it doesn't go far enough - we need policies that support small firms, including retail.**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****8 / 79****Issue** **2.5****comment** Yes, it is the right approach**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****10 / 225****Issue** **2.5****comment** Yes. Keep powercables short and thick. Don't make roads we don't need, lights, grit and potholes. More for police to watch etc.

O.A.P's don't all have cars.

**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****12/65****Issue** 2.5**comment** Yes - it is important to maintain smaller shops and local high streets.**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****13/49****Issue** 2.5**comment** Please could we not have another supermarket at the bus garage at Larkfield. It will make Victoria Road empty of shops and affect Pollokshields and Shawlands/Pollokshaws Road as well. What we need is low-cost housing, especially for older people who can't cope with tenement stairs any longer.**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****20/307****Issue** 2.5**comment** This is a good strategy. The erosion of outlets for standard food and household goods from town centres need addressing. However, there has to be a strategy to encourage car owners to become local footfall and re-encourage low bulk high value and margin businesses back to high streets. Perhaps there needs to be specific targeting of a small number of centres to find the correct strategy to stabilise and grow.**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****25/102****Issue** 2.5**comment** Yes. Superstores are having a bad effect on all town centres, not just Glasgow.**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****26 / 148****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** No more retail parks or supermarkets. It is now not sustainable. Focus on small business in city centre - encourage use of vacant shops/sites with cheap/free rent for small businesses.  
Community=Sustainability=Health=Wealth.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****31 / 188****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** Option 2.5A is a very welcome development and we encourage you to retain it. The preferred options relating to industrial and business use are realistic and should reduce the amount of large-scale obsolete areas of land use

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****43 / 243****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** Yes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****44 / 271****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** Agree - Far too many supermarkets already. Small independent shops being forced to close and Glasgow fast becoming like any other town. Losing our identity thanks to Tesco who want to dominate!!!

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****46/287****Issue** **2.5****comment** Yes, but somehow you will have to come up with a way to stop the closure of stores throughout the suburbs.**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****52/299****Issue** **2.5****comment** Yes**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****72/1115****Issue** **2.5****comment** Supports GCC's preferred option. The preferred location for new superstores should be town centres as all town centres benefit from linked trips associated with food shopping.**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****86/591****Issue** **2.5****comment** Identification of Possilpark as a subject of a Town Centre Renewal Programme is welcomed. However it needs to diversify the retail offer in order to return to the area the money and jobs currently being exported to other areas of the city. Part of any credible renewal strategy requires an anchor superstore (or large supermarket). Land currently occupied by Allied Vehicles (plan attached) has potential for location of superstore.**your wish** Do not support Option 2.5A and propose a version of Option 2.5C represents a more appropriate policy framework. Allied Vehicles Ltd requests a direct meeting with the planning authority to discuss this matter further**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****88/606****Issue** 2.5

**comment** Option 2.5 - We strongly support proposals to prevent further superstores as a means of protecting existing retail, and in particular, the city centre.

**your wish** it is not clear whether this plan can say anything about trying to encourage local shops/businesses to provide more locally based goods/services?

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****91/3804****Issue** 2.5

**comment** It is not clear what scale of floorspace this option is targetting. Paragraph 2.49 indicates that stores of greater than 10,000sqm which sell a large comparison range are the problem.

**your wish** Meaning of large superstore needs to be clarified.

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****91/3805****Issue** 2.5

**comment** Para 2.49 claims that new formats tend to compete more directly with the range of goods found in a town centre. This claim needs to be supported by evidence. It runs counter to the generally accepted view that like impacts on like.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****91/3806****Issue** 2.5

**comment** Para 2.50 states assessments to assess convenience need for further retail development within the city are currently being undertaken. It's not clear why the MIR is drawing conclusions on future requirements before assessments have been completed.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****91 / 3807****Issue****2.5****comment**

Retail need cannot be determined simply by plotting all stores in an area - this provides no insight at all into quantitative and qualitative needs of the city and at a local level e.g. Structure Plan capacity assessment didn't identify a requirement at Gallowgate but more local analysis identified capacity.

**your wish**

Option 2.4B is preferred to option 2.4A

**postcard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****92 / 3936****Issue****2.5****comment**

The context of this issue appears to be "large" foodstore development and the impact that is having on the network of centres. However it is not clear from the MIR what level of foodstore this Issue and Option is targeting. In paragraph 2.49 the MIR indicates that it is stores of 10,000sq.m and greater, which sell a large range of comparison goods that are creating the problem.

In this context the MIR needs to be clear what it means by "large" supermarkets. Also paragraph 2.49 makes the claim that "these newer formats tend to compete more directly with the range of goods and services which might be expected to be found in a town centre". This statement potentially runs counter to the generally accepted position that retail development trades against "like for like", a common theme in many assessments which seeks to consider trade diversion and impact. Any policy based on such a claim would need to be supported by evidence to demonstrate that the starting premise is indeed correct. In the absence of such evidence we are concerned over the comments made in this paragraph.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****92/3937****Issue****2.5****comment**

The MIR also contains further claims regarding the future requirement for the development of new superstores. Whilst it makes the claim that “that there are strong grounds for believing that there is little further requirement for the development of new superstores, outwith town centres” at paragraph 2.50 of the MIR, the same paragraph states that “assessments to determine the potential for further comparison and convenience retail development within the City are currently being undertaken”. It is not clear therefore why the MIR is drawing conclusions on the future potential retail requirements of the area before the studies and assessments have actually been completed. This sets out a pre-determined position and seeks to identified perceived “issues” without any evidence to support these issues. This approach is unhelpful and negative.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****92/3938****Issue****2.5****comment**

The matter of retail provision is not as simple as suggested in paragraph 2.50 and Map 6 on page 32. The retail needs of the population cannot be determined by simply plotting all stores over 2500sq.m with 2km isochrones. This provides no insight at all into the quantitative and qualitative needs of the City and does not allow for the proper consideration of such matters at a more local level.

A perfect example of this is Macdonald Estates planning consent at the Gallowgate. The Structure Plan capacity assessment did not identify any capacity for new convenience development in that sector of the City but after consider of retail capacity and shopping patterns at a local level it was possible to identify potential retail capacity to support that development. Had the existing Local Plan followed the approach suggested for the LDP, this would not have been possible and the investment and regeneration lost.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****92/3939****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** It should also be noted that retail development can also act as a catalyst in the regeneration of sites and areas. Retail development can in many ways regenerate an area more effectively than housing led regeneration as it has more associated benefits than just a physical improvement of the area. Retail development can provide economic benefits through employment opportunities, a focal point for an area and improved access to fresh food which can have health benefits. In relation to Macdonald Estates interests at Stronend Street, it is our initial opinion that there is indeed potential retail capacity to support further retail development at this location, despite the Councils position set out in para. 2.50 and Map 6.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****92/3940****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** Macdonald Estates wish the site at Stronend Street to be included in an extended "edge of town centre" area and promoted in the LDP as a suitable site for retail development particularly convenience use/

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4070****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** Superstores close to town centres are most likely to be supported by good public transport links and for that reason SPT supports the preferred option.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****97/1400****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** Preference would be for measures that would be likely to strengthen town centres throughout the City, rather than potentially damage them. Town centres, with a greater range of goods and facilities available in them, are more likely to be well served by public transport, unlike isolated superstores in other locations.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1636****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** Concerned about the location of commercial development as sustainable transport requires dense development.

**your wish** Support Option 2.5A with its extension to cover other retail and commercial facilities.

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****123 / 3337****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** There should be no further major retail developments or expansions and more emphasis placed on encouraging the refurbishment or building of smaller retail outlets suitable for start ups and second stage enterprises, at affordable rents.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****136 / 1123****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** GCC should retain the approach adopted in City Plan 2, which assesses proposals against the sequential approach and against set criteria.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****145 / 1740****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** Supports Option 2.5c as the preferred option. (the Alternative Option)

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****148/3812****Issue** 2.5

**comment** The preferred approach is considered to be overly restrictive. John Lewis are supportive of the less preferred approach involving setting limits on floorspace of comparison goods in these superstores bringing them back to everyday needs.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3680****Issue** 2.5

**comment** Support option 2.5B. Add restrictions on pharmacies. GP surgeries, solicitors' offices, sought by some large and smaller supermarkets. They help to destroy the local centres, local services and do not benefit the local community. Not everyone can access a supermarket. Some services cannot be supplied from supermarkets eg:- out of hours dispensing, oxygen services, emergency services. There has been some research on the effect of supermarkets on local shops and centres

**your wish** Add restrictions. There may be others

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3681****Issue** 2.5

**comment** Deliveries - we note that delivery routes can affect other town centres and areas of air quality concern

**your wish** Research to inform policies

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3682****Issue** 2.5

**comment** Concentrations of supermarkets and their "convenience" stores. We have noted an increase of large supermarkets and large "convenience" stores. They affect town centres and local shops, increase traffic through urban areas.

**your wish** This could be addressed in the policy and City Plan

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****166/595****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** The preferred option is overly restrictive and will act as a constraint on economic growth where a suitable retail opportunity is identified. 110 Easter Queenslie Road has undergone significant change in recent times and is now a mixed land use. With its strategic location close to the M8 and increase in surrounding residential development, the site lends itself to the development of a large superstore.

**your wish** The policy working towards suitable large retail developments is reviewed in order to ensure that suitable opportunities are able to be brought forward and assessed on their individual merits rather than a moratorium on all large retail development. Sufficient protection is already in place with CP2 policy on sequential testing to ensure retail developments are permitted at the correct location.

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****182/4050****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** Large superstores make a significant contribution to the creation of new jobs and can be the major driver/catalyst for urban regeneration. It is our view, therefore, that the sequential approach set out in City Plan 2 is sufficient/should be retained and would allow applicants to demonstrate need and demand and retail impact.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****186/3918****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** Large superstores make a significant contribution in terms of new jobs, and can be a driver/catalyst for urban regeneration. The sequential approach, as in City Plan 2, is sufficient and should be retained, and would allow applicants to demonstrate need, demand and impact.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3952****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** No comment at present.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1213****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** Large superstore proposals should be assessed in line with Scottish Guidance on the the sequential approach. Therefore there is a need for an up to date evidence base to justify this policy.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****192 / 3824****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** Map 6 provides the spatial framework of existing stores with a 2km buffer. No reasoning is given for a 2km buffer and there is no supporting justification. Option 2.5 and supporting text are narrow in approach and don't consider qualitative provision and deficiency. There is no evidence to indicate whether accessibility factors have been taken into account. Three options are presented but further evidence is required before Morrisons can confirm which is most appropriate for Glasgow. It is important that LDP policy is based on accurate and up to date evidence as per Circular 1/2009 para.45. Until an up to date evidence base is available Morrisons reserves its position.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****194 / 2896****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** Issue 2.5 appears to relate to "large" foodstore development and the impact that these may have on the network of centres. However, it is unclear in the MIR what size of foodstore Option 2.5 is targeting. In paragraph 2.49 the MIR indicates that it is stores of 10,000sq.m and greater, which sell a large range of comparison goods that are creating the problem. By implication, smaller stores have less impact and are therefore acceptable? The MIR and specifically Option 2.5 requires to be clearer in what it means by "superstores". Does this relate to only stores of 10,000 sqm, for example?

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****194 / 2899****Issue****2.5****comment**

The provision of retail development is a complex matter and is not as simple a process as suggested in paragraph 2.50 and Map 6. The retail needs of the population cannot be determined by simply plotting all stores over 2500sq.m and associated 2km isochrones. This approach provides no insight at all into the qualitative needs of the City and does not allow for the proper consideration of such matters at a more local level. The approach set out in the MIR gives little detailed and informed consideration to the specific needs of the resident populations of any given area, and in particular Pollokshields East. The MIR affords also very limited insight into the quantitative aspect of retail provision.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1160****Issue****2.5****comment**

Preventing any new superstores outwith existing centres would not be an appropriate approach in retail planning. As outlined above there is a raft of retail planning policy which guides new retail outlets to appropriate locations in the context of the sequential approach. There is no need for change of the current policy and continued need for flexibility to ensure that residents of the City have access to modern retail facilities without having to travel to other areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****197 / 1774****Issue****2.5****comment**

CDL does not support the Council's preferred option on the basis that there is no evidence or supporting justification for this option. There is no information available to support the reasoning for a 2 km buffer around existing or proposed superstores. The option demonstrates a quantitative analysis, with no qualitative analysis. CDL recommends the Council continues to adopt option 2.5C.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3559****Issue** 2.5

**comment** Yes – agree with Option 2.5 A in relation to new supermarket developments however this should exclude un developed proposal with planning consent.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****205/3828****Issue** 2.5

**comment** Tesco Ltd is extremely concerned that the preferred option is no superstore development outside town centres. The approach to access (map 6) is oversimplified and doesn't reflect the complexity of catchment definition - it is not based on any assessment of shopper patterns, fails to incorporate physical barriers to movement and assumes people should only have access to a single large foodstore with no reference to the quality of offer. A blanket ban would also preclude the development of some vacant and derelict sites where alternative uses are unlikely to deliver the values required to make development viable in the plan period. The importance of food retailing as an economic driver should be recognised in the emerging LDP.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****206/1130****Issue** 2.5

**comment** GCC's preferred option in respect of issue 2.5 is not correct.

**your wish** GCC should pursue option 2.5C within the local development plan on the basis that this option is fully in accordance with the terms of Scottish Planning Policy, offers robust assessment criteria and provides considerable support for GCC's aspiration of protecting the vitality and viability of town centres.

**postcard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****207 / 1667****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** Understand the necessity to protect existing and permitted retail developments from competing schemes that may detract from their viability, however any approach to large supermarkets should be consistent with national policy as any alternative approach may leave itself open to challenge as non competent.

**your wish** Option 2.5C should be the preferred approach.

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****210 / 1136****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** Supports GCC's alternative option 2.5C as this reflects the approach to assessing retail developments with Scottish Planning Policy. The preferred option is too stringent. In addition the Glasgow and Clyde Valley SDP will not adopt any quantitative need figures as part of the plan.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****223 / 1127****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** In support of alternative option 2.5C as there is sufficient existing control on 'large superstore' development within current policy.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****224 / 3835****Issue** **2.5**

**comment** There is no evidence to support the preferred option. The LDP should identify qualitative and quantitative deficiencies in retail provision. This should be based on up to date evidence which can inform retail capacity for additional floorspace. In any event the criteria based approach to out of centre proposals should continue.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****226/3840****Issue 2.5**

**comment** Glasgow is extremely varied in terms of superstore distribution. Byres Road has supermarkets whereas Anniesland has a large superstore. Therefore there is no basis for a complete policy prohibition. Although there is good coverage there is considerable variation in terms of accessibility, quality and choice. A prohibition would not be consistent with National planning policy which provides a robust policy framework in which to determine retail planning applications.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.

2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4001****Issue 2.5**

**comment** Option 2.5 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group. It will reinforce the local retail centres and help support local small traders, as well as encouraging active travel.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.

2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3465****Issue 2.5**

**comment** We agree with Option 2.5A. However, we do not wish to see any more within Town Centres.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.

2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****235/1336****Issue 2.5**

**comment** I broadly agree, the city is well served as it is.

**your wish** I strongly suggest that smaller stores owned and developed under the major Supermarket brands should also be discouraged. They exercise an unfair advantage over more traditional shops through their ability to compete on price through heavy discounting and nationwide marketing budgets. Policy from local authorities should encourage and assist other small and medium sized businesses and avoid providing any further grip by multiple chain stores whether in the superstore market or in the small "Local" operations.

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.

2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****243/389****Issue** 2.5**comment** Yes**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****247/375****Issue** 2.5**comment** Strongly Agree**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****249/472****Issue** 2.5**comment** All new superstores should be resisted unless they can be fitted into the scale and fabric of the existing built environment and are accessible and where there is no duplication of supply. Option 2.5 refers only to the development of superstores outwith town centres. Does this therefore imply that development of superstores within town centres will be acceptable? It would be preferred if the policy related to "no further development of superstores" (i.e. anywhere).**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****262/1373****Issue** 2.5**comment** There is no evidence for supporting option 2.5A of no further development of superstores outwith town centres and no justification for the 2km buffer used to assess coverage. This only seems to demonstrate a quantitative analysis and does not take into account quality or accessibility. Option 2.5A conflicts with Scottish Planning policy and runs contrary to the Joint Structure Plan 2009 and the draft Strategic Development Plan. Recommend that the Council continues to adopt option 2.5C as set out in City Plan 2.**your wish****posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1549****Issue****2.5****comment**

Development of Large Superstores outwith Town Centres - Support for preferred option 2.5.A. But this can be tricky if the area is already developed, to find a suitable space, and not snarl up the traffic (e.g. Beith Street Tesco could not in fact go ahead there). However, some improvement in methodology we are using now to locate superstores, is definitely needed. Questions anyway about whether we need such HUGE stores – one size down from “superstore” would be preferable and more manageable for the community.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1091****Issue****2.5B****comment**

Development large superstores within Glasgow- as regarding 2.1 above new housing may generate need for new convenience retailing. Therefore would not support preferred option to refuse any proposals for new supermarkets out of town centres.

**your wish**

Would prefer to see the adoption of option 2.5B, which sets strict limits on floor space. Alternatively, the sequential approach set out in City Plan 2 would also be sufficient and would allow applicants to demonstrate need and demand and the retail impact of proposals

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****9 / 88****Issue****2.6****comment**

Maintaining existing industrial sites, such as South Nitshill Industrial Estate, would be a start. Good access to major road network/public transport but upkeep of estate and environs can be very off-putting.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****10 / 152****Issue****2.6****comment**

That is attractive. Yes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****15/54****Issue** 2.6**comment** Yes**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****26/120****Issue** 2.6**comment** Yes! Join up thinking! Sustainability.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****43/262****Issue** 2.6**comment** Yes.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****52/296****Issue** 2.6**comment** Yes**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****57 / 588****Issue****2.6****comment**

Client owns land at Causewayside St (plan attached). Site is currently vacant, however residential devs have recently completed close by. Support the intention of Council to maintain a range and choice of I&B sites rather than to stick rigidly to a 10year land requirement as this recognises certain sites will not be desirable for dev and should be reallocated for more appropriate land uses.

**your wish**

Support Option 2.6A and request their site be given consideration for reallocation to residential

**posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****61 / 787****Issue****2.6****comment**

believe that the review of industrial and business areas should include sites that are currently occupied and providing employment. There should therefore be no need to relocate businesses. do not agree that all the council's preferred options are the correct ones in relation to a sustainable, strong economy and believe we have set out an alternative approach

**your wish**

Proposed improvements should retain business and industry on site either through the incorporation of existing business on site, or through the provision of new and improved industrial and business premises. In relation to a sustainable, strong economy our members wish to see a return to a hierarchy of town centres rather than a network

**posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****71 / 3845****Issue****2.6****comment**

The South Street Industrial zone on the north bank of the Clyde is at present mainly class 5 and 6 industry so we should prefer Option 2.6a

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****91 / 1706****Issue** 2.6

**comment** Diageo supports the preferred option (2.6A), however, the Council must also recognise that some existing business and industrial sites require to be rezoned for redevelopment for other uses.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****93 / 4071****Issue** 2.6

**comment** SPT supports the preferred option, although there may be a need to give further specific consideration to the location of depots for the distribution of goods purchased through internet shopping. Such depots should be accessible by public transport since goods purchased from the internet are often small packages that can be picked up from the depot using public transport for one or both journeys.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****96 / 593****Issue** 2.6

**comment** MIR Part 2 Sustainable Strong Economy, Issue 2.6 Industrial & Business Land, Map 7 & Q's 4&5. SIBL within City Plan 2 and IB2, DEV 3. WoSSP is considered a national priority by Scottish Enterprise and offers long term economic development potential as Glasgow's principal cluster of life science and technology companies. Scottish Enterprise and the University of Glasgow continue to work in partnership to consider and address strategic land supply and the development of commercial synergies between WoSSP and the wider Garscube Estate. The completion of a master plan and estates review for the respective interests has focused energies on the opportunity to unlock the further potential of the park

**your wish** Scottish Enterprise and the University of Glasgow will continue to work together toward a 'campus plan' seeking to co-ordinate and facilitate a collaborative approach to future investment proposals which secure WoSSP and the wider Garscube Estate as a Strategic Industrial and Business location as per IB2. Scottish Enterprise wish to maintain an open dialogue with Glasgow City Council and work constructively through the development planning process towards the objectives outlined in order to cement the location as a centre of excellence for life sciences and technology

**posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****96/1724****Issue** 2.6

**comment** SE supports the option identified in relation to maintaining a range of industrial and business sites with an emphasis on locational requirements and the trend towards Class 4 growth.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1637****Issue** 2.6

**comment** Support an emphasis on industry and business sites that benefit from high public transport and active travel accessibility and, where appropriate, have potential access to the rail freight network.

**your wish** Support Option 2.6A with an emphasis on sites that benefit from high public transport and active travel accessibility and, where appropriate, have potential access to the rail freight network.

**postcard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****145/1741****Issue** 2.6

**comment** Welcomes the review of all industrial and business land designations.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****182/4051****Issue** 2.6

**comment** It is interesting to note that the City was unable to satisfy the 10 year requirement for marketable land during the period 2005-2010 and the relative decline in demand for land for classes 5 and 6 and the trend towards increased demand for class 4. It is important, however, to confirm the intention to actually undertake a review of sites.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****187 / 3953****Issue** **2.6****comment** No comment at present.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1214****Issue** **2.6****comment** If there are safeguarded sites with little prospect of use for business and industry then it could be appropriate to consider other uses for these sites.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1215****Issue** **2.6****comment** In maintaining the land supply the Council should ensure that sites are marketable and attractive to business (see para. 46 of SPP).**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1216****Issue** **2.6****comment** There is no specific requirement in SPP for a 10 year land supply as indicated in para. 2.52 of the MIR**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1161****Issue** **2.6**

**comment** Maintaining a range of choice of industrial and business sites within the City with good access to the major road network is a fundamental requirement of any development plan. It is however essential that any designations for industry and business are fully effective and can be achieved within the plan period. The Local Development Plan should also encourage alternative uses in such areas particularly where proposals can assist finance or ensure implementation of industrial or business investments and new employment. It is however essential that designations for industrial and business development is considered against similar provisions in the Strategic Development Plan.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****197 / 1771****Issue** **2.6**

**comment** CDL request the Council relax industrial and business designations to more flexible Mixed Use allocations, where suitable.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3560****Issue** **2.6**

**comment** Yes – agree with Option 2.6 A

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****212 / 599****Issue** **2.6**

**comment** Agree with option 2.6A

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****215/1779****Issue** 2.6

**comment** CH supports the preferred option and advocates that the new plan adopts a flexible approach to non-strategic industrial and business areas, and considers their potential for alternative uses.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4002****Issue** 2.6

**comment** Option 2.6 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3466****Issue** 2.6

**comment** We agree with Option 2.6A. However would prefer to see no more industrial sites within the City.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****240/315****Issue** 2.6

**comment** Give examples. Promote examples/alternatives.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****243/390****Issue** 2.6

**comment** Yes, through serious review of priority industrial sites

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 372****Issue** **2.6****comment** Agree. Residential has to go elsewhere**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****250 / 440****Issue** **2.6****comment** The key point from the section of the MIR is the recognition that " ... the maintenance of a 10 year land supply may no longer be appropriate and could blight some areas ... ". Whilst the site at Darnley, which has been identified for industrial and business development for almost 40 years, continues to have prospect for development, we are confident that the full 31 hectares is not fully appropriate for uses under Classes 4, 5 and 6. In this respect, a mixed development approach to the site is considered appropriate with the view to delivering a smaller portion of the land for a significant employing use.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****262 / 1374****Issue** **2.6****comment** Agree with option 2.6A in part in that the LDP should focus industrial and business development on sites which are suitable and attractive to users. Client's site has lain vacant for over 40 years: in this time no industrial or business proposal has come forward on the site.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites, especially those which have good access to the major road network and public transport.  
2.6

---

**Main Issues Report****186 / 3919****Issue** **2.6 and 2.7****comment** Support the proposal to undertake the much needed review of industrial and business land, given Glasgow could not maintain a 10 year marketable land supply during the 2005-2010 period.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****158 / 1754****Issue** **2.6A****comment** BWS welcome review of industrial and business land supply.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****159 / 1766****Issue** **2.6A****comment** BWS welcome review of industrial and business land supply.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1092****Issue** **2.6A and 2.7A****comment** Review of industrial and business areas- would generally support the preferred option set out in Option 2.6 A and the intention of the Council to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites. Some members concerned about the extent of land allocated and the advise SPF that is depressing land values and affecting development viability.**your wish** Strongly encourages the Council to review the existing allocations, as proposed in Option 2.7A. On vacant sites where there is no demand, suggest they are reallocated for alternative uses. However, it is important to maintain a range and choice of industrial and business sites and caution must be taken with this exercise, to create a balance that ensures that there is still sufficient employment land to meet developer requirements at short notice.**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****2 / 29****Issue** **2.7****comment** The first stop would be an industrial policy for the Glasgow conurbation - something we do not have. Your land-use planning is weak on the economy and jobs.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
**2.7**

---

**Main Issues Report****10 / 153****Issue** 2.7**comment** Yes. Pollution, Traffic, Dead Zones, Parking, Employment and a lack of things to do for people who don't drink are issues.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****26 / 150****Issue** 2.7**comment** Depends - if it is losing landmarks or cultural/industrial heritage then no. Must consider what is actually needed. No more retail parks/supermarkets - there are enough. Is it sustainable?**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****43 / 232****Issue** 2.7**comment** No waste of time and resources.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****57 / 590****Issue** 2.7**comment** Client owns land at Causewayside St (plan attached). Site is currently vacant, however residential devs have recently completed close by. Support Option 2.7A. A more robust assessment of employment land at the outset is welcomed**your wish** Support Option 2.7A and request site be given consideration for reallocation to residential**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****71 / 3846****Issue****2.7****comment**

The South Street Industrial zone on the north bank of the Clyde is at present mainly class 5 and 6 industry which gives much needed male employment but there are vacant and aging premises so we should prefer Option 2.8a.

**your wish**

Continuation of the existing zone on South Street as long as it is viable.

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****79 / 1696****Issue****2.7****comment**

Support preferred option 2.7A and request that Linthouse Point's DEV 3 designation is reviewed.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****86 / 592****Issue****2.7****comment**

Issues 2.6, 2.7 and 2.8 - It is considered that these issues set out a realistic framework for reviewing the city's existing and future requirements for industrial and business land. The Council's aspiration that any change to the policy framework for industrial and business land within the city should be undertaken in a manner which supports (and does not undermine) existing opportunities is noted and welcomed. Allied Vehicles has a site which would benefit from a comprehensive review to establish the contribution it may best make to the economic regeneration of the area.

**your wish**

It may be that a redevelopment and regeneration framework based on a mix of uses could deliver a catalyst which the general area has been needing for at least a decade. Allied requests a meeting with the council to consider the future options for the noted site

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****88/607****Issue** 2.7

**comment** Option 2.7 - Strongly Support the Review as - The spatial fit between the current industrial business areas (Map 7), and potential employment opportunities, and the most deprived parts of Glasgow (Map 8) is less than clear; • Even with good public transport links to existing employment centres, Glasgow residents compete with those from outside the city for existing jobs;

**your wish** Although the scope for implementing Option 2.8B is limited, it might be helpful to consider future/alternative ways of developing brown field sites e.g. Land Banking.

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****91/1707****Issue** 2.7

**comment** Diageo supports the preferred option (2.7A).

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4072****Issue** 2.7

**comment** In undertaking a review, it is essential that accessibility to the public transport network is a criterion in considering suitable alternative uses. We note that it is not intended to undertake a review of the SEILs that are included in the Strategic Development Plan Proposed Plan and support this since these sites are well located in relation to the strategic road network.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****96/1725****Issue** 2.7

**comment** SE endorse the proposed review of industrial and business areas with the objective of releasing areas for alternative uses, identifying defensible industrial and business areas and providing clear guidance to the property sector. SE also added that the review and subsequent alterations to policy designations should attempt to be flexible in order to respond to opportunity.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1638****Issue****2.7**

**comment** Priority should be given to industry and business areas with good access to public transport and active travel networks.

**your wish** Support Option 2.7A with priority to areas with good access to public transport and active travel networks .

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****166 / 594****Issue****2.7**

**comment** We are aware the Council s seeking to review industrial and business areas to ensure that they remain appropriate. We support and encourage this objective. 110 Easter Queenslie Road is located within an area that has undergone significant change in recent times with the introduction of the 'Fort' to the north and residential to the south. The land use context and change in recent times demonstrates the site is located within a mixed use environment.

**your wish** An alternative land use designation at 110 Queenslie Rd would compliment the character of the wider area

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****178 / 596****Issue****2.7**

**comment** Option 2.7A is fully supported although its scope is limited. It would be appropriate to consider alternative uses for areas found to merit reallocation. CP2 Policy IB2 says ... "Ancillary facilities that support industrial and business uses may be permitted...." Policy Justification..."facilities would include children's nurseries, creches, meeting and conference facilities, small scale hotel, leisure/sports uses, restaurants and local shops". MIR notes uses with Class 5, 6 and 4, however there is no acknowledgement of other commercial trends eg car centres including servicing, parts distribution and sales. Lists alternative uses within 'competing' business parks which include small retail, mobile bank, children's nursery, Leisure Club, Cafe, hotels

**your wish** A more flexible and pragmatic approach be taken than that promoted in the MIR with regard to Glasgow Business Park is required. It is requested that uses that fall outwith Class 4, 5 and 6 be allowed

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

---

**Main Issues Report****182/4052****Issue** 2.7

**comment** Dawn Group supports the proposal to undertake what it considers to be a much needed review of Industrial and Business Areas - i.e. Option 2.7A.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****185/1080****Issue** 2.7

**comment** Concerned about the extent of employment land allocations, as where over supply of allocations with little demand influences development viability.

**your wish** Balance must be struck and LDP must ensure there is sufficient employment land to meet developer intentions at short notice.

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3954****Issue** 2.7

**comment** No comment at present.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****191/1217****Issue** 2.7

**comment** In maintaining the land supply the Council should ensure that sites are marketable and attractive to business (see para. 46 of SPP).

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****193/597****Issue** **2.7**

**comment** Hermes Real Estate (HRE) own Anniesland Business Park. They welcome a review as the character of the surrounding area has changed over the years and is now considered unsuitable for industrial purposes. We consider the business park is no longer in an appropriate location considering the amenity and road safety issues that will arise when Tambouie St/Netherton Rd junction is reopened.

**your wish** We would seek a redesignation of the site to residential.

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****196/1162****Issue** **2.7**

**comment** This is a prerequisite of plan preparation where policies and proposals must be firmly based on a knowledge of existing circumstances.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****197/1772****Issue** **2.7**

**comment** CDL supports the Council's preferred option.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3561****Issue** **2.7**

**comment** Yes – agree with Option 2.7 A in particular the South Street industrial and business are a needs to be supported and investment secured in the area as major employer in the area.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****205/3830****Issue** **2.7**

**comment** Tesco notes that MAP2 Spatial Planning Activity Framework shows the eCathcart Road site allocated as Strategic Industry and Business. Therefore option 2.7A promoting a review of the appropriateness of industry and business areas in City Plan 2 is supported. As part of this review Tesco seek the reallocation of the Cathcart Rd site as a mixed use development opportunity. This would deliver a number of LDP aims including creating over 400 jobs and promoting social inclusion.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****212/600****Issue** **2.7**

**comment** Agree with Option 2.7A. and identify property used to be Gray, Dunn and Co biscuit factory and more recently the Kingston Business Centre providing office and self storage facilities. A number of proposals have been accepted for uses outwith Class 4, 5 and 6 in the locality. The existing building and site requires significant investment and by reallocating the site Mixed Development it will allow the refurbishment/ redevelopment to come forward and assist the wider regeneration ambitions of this area.

**your wish** The site and surrounding area should no longer be regarded as a strategic industrial and business location. Furthermore it should be reallocate as a mixed development allocation in the proposed plan.

**postcard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****215/1780****Issue** **2.7**

**comment** CH strongly supports the preferred option.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4003****Issue** **2.7**

**comment** Option 2.7 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3467****Issue** 2.7**comment** We agree with 2.7A**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****243 / 391****Issue** 2.7**comment** Yes, but need to upgrade**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 373****Issue** 2.7**comment** Agree**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 474****Issue** 2.7**comment** This appears to contradict Option 2.6 as Option 2.6 refers to the maintenance of industrial and business sites and Option 2.7 refers to the need to review areas designated for Business and Industrial Uses.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****250 / 441****Issue** 2.7**comment** Given the background to the Darnley site, we would propose that this site is wholly appropriate to be included as part of the overall review of the city's industrial and business areas, with consideration to be given to the re-zoning of the site for mixed use development.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****252/508****Issue** **2.7**

**comment** We think that the Council needs to consider a much more flexible industrial policy, however inconvenient this may be from a bureaucratic viewpoint. The Report rightly observes that the nature of industry in the city has changed, and we would argue that the zoning of exclusive uses along rigid boundaries is not conducive to a lively, enterprising and vibrant city and is discredited policy. Nor should these boundaries lie along city streets, dividing one side from the other and preventing the energy of uses mixing. The maps with thin lines defining these boundaries reinforce them - the maps should show a thicker boundary, indicating that the edges of these areas should be interpreted flexibly.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****262/1375****Issue** **2.7**

**comment** Would agree with option 2.7A in the Council reviewing certain industrial and business areas. Client's site at Carlisle Street would be better placed in being allocated for mixed development including industry and business uses.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****271/1550****Issue** **2.7**

**comment** Industrial and Business Areas - Review ( 2.7.A) is a good idea in this climate of rapidly changing economy.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****10/171****Issue** **2.8**

**comment** Jobs are affected by fuel cost (Reduce speed limits to save fuel). Stop people stealing power by jumping their electricity meter. Stop small shops selling duty-free fake cigarettes etc. and fiddling V.A.T.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.  
2.8

---

**Main Issues Report****15/57****Issue** 2.8**comment** Yes**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.  
2.8

---

**Main Issues Report****43/250****Issue** 2.8**comment** No. Let the market make these decisions.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.  
2.8

---

**Main Issues Report****44/268****Issue** 2.8**comment** What about protecting council jobs and employing enough staff to carry out these "ambitious" proposals and other initiatives within the council.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.  
2.8

---

**Main Issues Report****46/419****Issue** 2.8**comment** Yes, because if we don't get manufacturing back, and expand, to what it was in the past, if we don't, as a City we are done for.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.  
2.8

---

**Main Issues Report****91 / 1708****Issue** 2.8

**comment** Diageo considers that there is insufficient commentary under this topic to express a view as to which should be the preferred option, or even if other options require to be considered. Diageo's view is that where any financial contribution is likely to be considered, this should be on a site by site basis and must consider other issues such as abnormal development costs on the rezoned site and the other benefits that will be realised as result of the regeneration of vacant industrial and business areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.  
2.8

---

**Main Issues Report****96 / 1726****Issue** 2.8

**comment** SE fully support the selected option.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.  
2.8

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1093****issue** 2.8

**comment** protecting existing jobs in industrial and business areas- SPF accepts the Councils aim to retain existing jobs on business and industrial sites through planning agreements of master planning. However trying to encourage development in areas where there is no market value will be challenging to implement successfully and with cuts to local public sector funding it is questionable where funding for this would come from.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.  
2.8

---

**Main Issues Report****187 / 3955****Issue** 2.8

**comment** Option A seems better

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.  
2.8

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1218****Issue** 2.8**comment** Any contributions should be reasonable in terms of Circular 1/2010, especially where funding improvements to other areas**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.  
2.8

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1163****Issue** 2.8**comment** The retention of employment places within industrial and business locations is a complex issue which extends well beyond the Local Development Plan. In all circumstances the retention of such employment will require national, regional and local fiscal policies to provide financial stimulus where such issues are to be considered. These matters cannot be addressed by local plan policies.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.  
2.8

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3562****Issue** 2.8**comment** Yes – agree with Option 2.8 A in particular the South Street industrial and business area.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.  
2.8

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4004****Issue** 2.8**comment** Option 2.8 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group. Where masterplans are being developed, these should ensure that as far as possible sites are accessible to workers and customers by a variety of means of travel, which simple measures such as additional pedestrian routes can significantly improve.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.  
2.8

---

**Main Issues Report****243/392****Issue** 2.8**comment** Yes**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.  
2.8

---

**Main Issues Report****247/370****Issue** 2.8**comment** Essential**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.  
2.8

---

**Main Issues Report****271/1551****Issue** 2.8**comment** Protecting Existing Jobs in Industrial and Business Areas - Good to protect existing jobs, but we are not qualified to comment on best way to do this.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.  
2.8

---

**Main Issues Report****3/22****Issue** 3.1**comment** This should already be and always be the case without any extra expenses - such as this!**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****7/30****Issue** 3.1**comment** Yes, this is the right approach and always should be! Better communication is always a good thing, surely?**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****9/38****Issue****3.1****comment**

Area Development Framework/Sustainable Community approach being deployed in Nitshill would appear to have great potential. Integration of greenscape within planning frameworks is a key area, as is addressing vacant sites/stalled spaces.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.](#)

3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****10/230****Issue****3.1****comment**

Yes. You are, this is evidence.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.](#)

3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****11/44****Issue****3.1****comment**

Planning Aid for Scotland (PAS) has recently reviewed the above document and would like to respond positively to the inclusion of the section on engaging with local communities.

Whilst PAS does not frequently respond to local planning policy consultations, we would like to express our support for the inclusion of issue 3.1, and the Council's desire "to combine the physical regeneration agenda with an enhanced approach to involving communities".

Setting local community input and local knowledge on an equal footing to other planning issues is very much in the spirit of the 2006 Planning Act - it is significant that this has been set down in the MIR, and that community councils and local communities can see that their input is valued.

Encouraging local communities to become involved in development plan preparation is one of PAS's key messages, as delivered through our advice service, training and publications. PAS is therefore very supportive of the MIR preferred option 3.1.A.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.](#)

3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****15/56****Issue** 3.1**comment** Yes**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****41/220****Issue** 3.1**comment** I am concerned that the City Plan only proposes to consider ways in which communities can become involved in their local area and does not have any firm proposals for this process embedded in the document**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****43/263****Issue** 3.1**comment** Yes.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****46/275****Issue** 3.1**comment** Yes, and I think that the example set by the team responsible for the "Hampden Park Event Day Parking Zone" is the way forward.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****88 / 608****Issue****3.1****comment**

There are conflicting opinions within GCPH as to the value of developing guidance on community engagement. Some welcome it as a useful mechanism to learn from past experience and to encourage engagement with people at the formative stages of plan-making. Others do not think it is necessary to bring forward guidance as there are several forms of guidance/tools already. In addition there are the National Standards for Community Engagement and Voice (a tool to assess engagement). If these principles were adopted, meaningful community involvement in decision making in relation to planning would be more likely.

**your wish**

One suggested mechanism for mobilising community assets and realising the localism agenda is through participatory budgeting (PB). PB essentially involves allocating a proportion of public sector resource (the PB Unit recommends 1%) to communities to decide how to spend the resource. It is argued that an effectively rolled out PB programme is a much more effective method of engagement and consultation than traditional approaches. It is also important to understand 'more could be achieved for less' under PB, which is pivotally important in these economic times and amidst the cuts agenda. There are critics of PB, but what they are critical of is the impact achieved through the somewhat anaemic incarnation of PB that has been seen in the UK so far; where it has been used largely for small community grants and has not been 'mainstreamed'. Could there be a role for PB in the Main Issues Report for the Local Development Plan?

**posctard no:** [We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.](#)  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****91 / 1710****Issue****3.1****comment**

Diageo agrees with option 3.1A for maximising the potential for engagement with local communities in the preparation of planning frameworks.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.](#)  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1639****Issue****3.1****comment**

Feel that further work has to be done in involving communities and giving them ownership of developments.

**your wish**

Would like to see Option 3.1A developed into a clear strategy.

**posctard no:** [We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.](#)  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2800****Issue****3.1****comment**

We recommend that the proposed guidance makes reference to the wealth of good practice available on local community engagement (e.g. through Greenspace Scotland). We would also like to use this opportunity to highlight our commitment to engage effectively with you including commenting on draft documents and taking part in round table consultation events as well as responding to formal consultations.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.

3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****115/629****Issue****3.1****comment**

Widen definition of 'local communities' to the community of those on waiting lists for allotments.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.

3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****148/3813****Issue****3.1****comment**

John Lewis is supportive of involving the community in the development of frameworks. The public can help produce development that injects vitality into town centre locations and have key knowledge of problems in an area and also solutions

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.

3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3685****Issue****3.1****comment**

Option 3.1 - we fully agree with this policy aim, but would like to see specific mention of Community Councils as key to delivering this aim. Community Councils seem to be regarded as the underpinnings of our democratic system, but well run CC's are grassroots democracy at its best, and can have the capacity to deal effectively with the complexity of planning issues. The problem for CC's can be resources and training.

**your wish**

Specific mention of community councils

**posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.

3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3686****Issue****3.1****comment**

Successful engagement is labour-intensive and time-consuming. Guidance is certainly necessary for developers: our experience shows engagement to be very variable and essential information not always provided. Leading questions have been asked and reporting is not always dispassionate

**your wish**

Guidance could usefully be copied to Community Councils

**postcard no:** [We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.](#)

3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****169/834****Issue****3.1****comment**

It is essential that proposed planning frameworks are front loaded and have a high level of community engagement from the outset - communities of interest and interested communities should be involved in the decision making process in the same way as occurred during the three exemplar projects of the Scottish Sustainable Communities Initiative - i.e. through a charrette type process.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** [We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.](#)

3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****174/761****Issue****3.1****comment**

Option 3.1: Would like specific mention of Community Councils as key to delivering this aim.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** [We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.](#)

3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3956****Issue****3.1****comment**

Option A must be followed up. We look forward to better guidance and practice in public participation in the early stages of development planning and control – and in helping us to take part in other important parts of the planning process.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** [We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.](#)

3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1164****Issue** **3.1**

**comment** Engagement with local communities is already an integral element of the planning system and a requirement of current legislation. This question is unnecessary.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3563****Issue** **3.1**

**comment** Yes – agree with Option 3.1 A in relation to engaging local communities

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****209 / 1281****Issue** **3.1**

**comment** The University of Glasgow is fully supportive of pro-active community engagement and would welcome further discussion on the type of any new policy/guidance proposed.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4007****Issue** **3.1**

**comment** Option 3.1 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3468****Issue** **3.1**

**comment** We agree with Option 3.1.A but would suggest that more work is required in ensure that the process of engagement is meaningful and credible. Recognition should be made of Scottish Government's 'Standards for Community Engagement' and these should be adhered to in all future consultation.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3469****Issue** **3.1**

**comment** Planning frameworks should be seen (and 'owned') as key elements in informing, engaging and involving local communities. This will require additional support and/or information needs to enable communities to understand and embrace the potential impact (both positive and negative) of local development. Without community connections to local planning frameworks GCC will not utilise the expertise of local communities to the full.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****243 / 393****Issue** **3.1**

**comment** Yes, but

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 364****Issue** **3.1**

**comment** (laughter!) Agree strongly. New methods need to be dveloped. Use best practice from elsewhere in Scotland.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 477****Issue****3.1****comment**

Option 3.1 A only commits the Council to writing guidance on engaging with local communities. After all these years of councils being required to consult with local communities, it is to be regretted that the most forward-thinking policy GCC can produce is to "bring forward guidance", which it should already have.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.](#)  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****266 / 1461****Issue****3.1****comment**

Consultation with affected communities is a hallmark of good planning. In seeking to have meaningful consultation it is necessary to devise appropriate mechanisms such as 'planning for real' exercises. In the past, consultation has often been tokenistic and aimed at certain types of people and groups i.e. the articulate minority.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.](#)  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1555****Issue****3.1****comment**

Engaging with Local Communities - Strong support for preferred Option 3.1A. The current LDP engagement is fine for the already initiated e.g. MIR document, the "Postcards", Community Council Discussion Group, Planning Aid for Scotland event, and certainly all a lot clearer than we had for City Plan 1 and 2. At some point the community should have the option to meet a Planning Officer for a structured session on their local area. This would benefit BOTH parties greatly.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to engage more effectively with local communities when we prepare planning frameworks.](#)  
3.1

---

**Main Issues Report****117/815****Issue****3.1A****comment**

Favour Option 3.1A if expanded to target interest groups such as. allotment waiting lists. There are over 1000 on waiting lists and many more who would be interested. These people should be engaged and their energy and interest used to further the aims of the City. There used to be a statutory representation for allotments on the relevant Council committee. This should be re-introduced so the expertise of allotment association members can be used for the good of the City. These skill are not recognised in the plethora of local committees.

**your wish**

Engage with those allotment waiting lists in . • Include a strong statutory body representing Glasgow Allotments Forum on the Sustainability and Environment committee.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****10/173****Issue****3.2****comment**

Yes. Shawlands, Clarkston, Giffnock - it's better now, Mearns, Town Centre, Pollok Park, "The approach to Pollok Park" has some dreadful vistas. Vicky Road, Govanhill (Bad). Dirty big puddles that cars splash up due to blocked drains, rail bridges with pigeon mess falling onto pavements. Litter, graffiti, pay-parking or lack of parking put me off.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****14/74****Issue****3.2****comment**

I have no idea what "place-making" is. Please use real words.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****15/60****Issue****3.2****comment**

Yes

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****25/100****Issue** 3.2**comment** Good idea.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****26/119****Issue** 3.2**comment** Support small businesses. Diverse retail, small businesses. Reduce supermarkets. Create community opportunities, community involvement. Joined up thinking!**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****43/241****Issue** 3.2**comment** No, normal private development takes care of that**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****60/3316****Issue** 3.2**comment** The regeneration of Glasgow North should be given a high priority given the current high levels of multiple deprivation in comparison with other parts of the city.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****88/609****Issue** 3.2**comment** Enhancing the emphasis of placemaking, health and sustainability within strategies/policies is an important and necessary change in approach**your wish** It is hoped that plans will be regularly assessed on whether or not they meet this criteria.**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****91/1711****Issue** 3.2**comment** Diageo agrees with the preferred option (3.2A).**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4074****Issue** 3.2**comment** SPT supports the more comprehensive approach outlined in preferred option 3.2A as this takes account of wider issues associated with deprivation including access to jobs and services.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2801****Issue** 3.2**comment** We support the preferred approach and we recommend that this should also include the development of a multi-functional green network with accessible greenspace, path networks and landscapes.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****115/630****Issue** 3.2**comment** To more adequately reflect the importance of health and sustainability, each area should have easily accessible permanent allotments as established growing areas. Recycling towards sustainability would be more easily part of an allotment community than in possible on a site for temporary growing.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****123/3338****Issue** **3.2**

**comment** This has not been clearly thought through in a rigorous manner: regeneration, in the sense of rebuilding or renovating the physical environments of areas, does not of itself directly address multiple deprivation.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****143/321****Issue** **3.2**

**comment** Generally right approach. Good shop front design is basic to a sustainable sense of place.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****149/2954****issue** **3.2**

**comment** We support meeting identified affordable housing need as identified in policy option A. Greenfield release in Easterhouse/Garthamlock should contribute effectively to meeting the need for affordable housing (i.e. social rented housing, intermediate housing options and shared ownership) alongside the re-structuring of existing housing stock in that area.

**your wish** We support a more comprehensive approach to strategy and policy making to address multiple deprivation

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****151/3399****Issue** **3.2**

**comment** MIR states the aim of encouraging public transport use. To describe users of public transport as 'dependent' is counter to this aim. Modal shift requires encouraging public transport use through making it attractive to at all income levels, particularly those for whom car travel is affordable so language on this issue should be used appropriately.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3400****Issue** **3.2**

**comment** Para 2.66 - [The LDP's strategy should include]...'improving access to key services and job opportunities by public transport throughout the City'- This is a key priority.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3404****Issue** **3.2**

**comment** Health and wellbeing should be at the crux of this. So should inclusiveness, access to resources across the city through increased mobility. Need to consider the impact of not driving on residents in areas of multiple deprivation –e.g. disabled, mothers with buggies, elderly, those less mobile, even more need for local amenities and good access to transport, health improvement opportunities.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3406****Issue** **3.2**

**comment** People in areas of multiple deprivation are disproportionately affected by their geographical location, the high cost of public transport and its relatively low provision.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****156 / 3903****Issue** **3.2**

**comment** The LDP should increase private housing development wherever it will contribute to sustainable communities.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****158/1746****Issue****3.2****comment**

Forth and Clyde Canal should be identified as a strategic regeneration priority within the city - of similar standing to the Clyde Waterfront, City Centre and Clyde Gateway Projects.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3687****Issue****3.2****comment**

We are pleased that the Council is addressing this serious problem. Placemaking, health and sustainability we agree are important

**your wish**

Renewal and regeneration may include incorporating refurbishment

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3688****Issue****3.2****comment**

Renewal and regeneration can be interpreted in several ways: As a general principal we favour the retention and refurbishment of sandstone buildings and tenements and their incorporation into a scheme. It may become less easy to find the money for wholesale rebuilding, which in any case has led to some mistakes in the past, refurbishment is more climate friendly and cheaper

**your wish**

Renewal and regeneration may include incorporating refurbishment

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3689****Issue****3.2****comment**

We recognise that social problems and even the scale of the problems can make demolition and rebuilding the easier and more attractive option, but urge exploration of ways of retaining useful buildings.

**your wish**

A comprehensive approach should explore these options

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3690****Issue** 3.2**comment** Engaging with the community is also important**your wish** Renewal and regeneration may include incorporating refurbishment**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3957****Issue** 3.2**comment** Option A strongly preferred. There is one pocket of multiple deprivation within our area and we would like this to benefit particularly from the recommended placemaking, health and sustainability programmes envisaged.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****196/1165****Issue** 3.2**comment** The focus on urban renewal and regeneration requires constant focus however, beyond placemaking some emphasis needs to be given to social regeneration whereby communities can be encouraged to rebuild community based infrastructure and maximise social cohesion within the community. In the past all focus has been on physical renewal and this has led to the destruction of community organisation. It is now essential that this approach is overturned.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3564****Issue** 3.2**comment** Yes – agree with Option 3.2 A in relation to addressing multiple deprivation**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4008****Issue** **3.2**

**comment** Option 3.2 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group. The Group feels that the Council could go further in this regard, with a requirement that Health Impact Assessments are carried out for large developments to measure the impact on health of pollution and the healthy options available to residents in terms of access to walking and cycling routes and informal areas for exercise and play.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3470****Issue** **3.2**

**comment** We agree with Option 3.2.A. However, we would suggest that 'safety' is incorporated as an integral element alongside placemaking, health and sustainability. We would also suggest that then intended focus on the "promotion of local services and amenities in major new development" is also incorporated as part of development and regeneration of existing communities.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****243/394****Issue** **3.2**

**comment** Yes, yes, yes

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****247/366****Issue** **3.2**

**comment** Health = No. 1  
NB. Most people don't know what the technical term "placemaking" means!  
1. Health 2. Placemaking 3. Sustainability

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 478****Issue****3.2****comment**

Option 3.2 A does not appear to reflect the preceding paragraphs and could have been written 30 years ago. In particular, para 2.66 does not seem to relate to addressing the needs of areas of multiple deprivation:

- what does safeguarding the role of the city centre have to do with improving, e.g. Possil?
- nor is improving access by public transport useful, better to provide facilities within communities so people do not have to travel
- the policy of directing development to brownfield sites has been in place for many years and has not made any difference yet
- what has "protecting and enhancing the natural and built heritage of the city" got to do with improving life in areas of multiple deprivation?

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****266 / 1462****Issue****3.2****comment**

Multiple deprivation remains an ongoing planning challenge in Glasgow. The Strategy put forward under this issue is poorly developed and lacks any real insight into the causes, consequences and impact of multiple deprivation. A fundamental requirement to address multiple deprivation requires a significant targeted investment in education to enable youths to acquire the skills and qualifications to compete successfully in the modern economy.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1556****Issue****3.2****comment**

Multiple Deprivation - Support for Preferred Option 3.2A

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.  
3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****117/816****Issue** 3.2A**comment** Agree**your wish** enhance – the opportunity to participate in growing their own food and participating in the benefits of the allotment community been shown to be lowest in areas of multiple deprivation**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****10/170****Issue** 3.3**comment** Yes. Reduce child Benefit for the third child or more. Tax empty flats and houses. They attract vandals.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.

3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****15/53****Issue** 3.3**comment** Yes**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.

3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****43/233****Issue** 3.3**comment** No.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.

3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****107/685****Issue** 3.3**comment** A strong, sustainable community is a mixed community. It is therefore unfortunate that only affordable housing is considered, and not private sector housing, given the SPP's requirement to consider all tenures, and Glasgow's good record in community regeneration.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.

3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****107/686****Issue** **3.3****comment** Developers are finding that products such as mid market rent, shared equity, and low cost home ownership are attractive in the current market.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.  
3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****115/631****Issue** **3.3****comment** In considering housing density it is essential to provide, not just 'greenspace' but the opportunity for those residents who wish to 'grow their own' to have access to a properly constructed, integrated allotment site. Associated composting opportunities should also be considered**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.  
3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****169/835****Issue** **3.3****comment** Bullet point six should also refer to having the ambition of ensuring that local people have access to high quality greenspace within walking distance (i.e. 300 m from their doorstep). Such areas can be progressed as part of combating urban blight and can be part of the brownfield and vacant and derelict land development strategy.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.  
3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****182/4053****Issue** **3.3****comment** When drafting policy on this issue, the Council must be aware of the impact of requirements on project viability. In this respect, I would refer to the letter from the Chief Planner of 15 March 2011, clarifying the Scottish Government's position on affordable housing which states that affordable housing policies should reflect the current economic climate and warns against imposing the maximum affordable housing contribution of 25%. Option 3.3A should, therefore, reflect the circumstances affecting the private sector.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.  
3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****186/3920****Issue** **3.3**

**comment** The Council should recognise the Chief Planners letter of 15 March 2011 which suggests affordable housing policies should reflect the current economic climate into account and avoid 25% affordable housing quota policies. Option 3.3A should reflect the current circumstances affecting the private sector.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.  
3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3958****Issue** **3.3**

**comment** Option A seems better. More is needed in our area and thus, as well as for general reasons of sustainability we would reject the greenfield development option.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.  
3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****191/1219****Issue** **3.3**

**comment** The intention to determine the scale of affordable housing need through the LHA is noted however any revised figure needs to be linked back to the HNDA.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.  
3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****196/1166****Issue** **3.3**

**comment** This is already an essential element of plan making.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.  
3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3565****Issue** 3.3**comment** Yes – agree with Option 3.3 A meeting affordable housing requirements**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.  
3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4009****Issue** 3.3**comment** Option 3.3 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.  
3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3471****Issue** 3.3**comment** We agree with Option 3.3.A.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.  
3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****247/365****Issue** 3.3**comment** Strongly agree.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.  
3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****271/1557****Issue** 3.3**comment** Meeting Affordable Housing requirements - Essential to always ensure sufficient visual quality of this affordable housing to promote sense of place, health etc.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to use the ongoing work on the Local Housing Strategy to establish how much affordable housing is needed.  
3.3

---

**Main Issues Report****72 / 1116****Issue****3.3 and 3.4****comment**

Supports GCC's preference for refining its need requirement through its Local Housing Strategy.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1094****Issue****3.3/3.4****comment**

Broadly agrees with the preferred affordable housing option in the MIR but requires clarity.

**your wish**

Believes that further investigations should be undertaken to clarify the level of affordable housing required.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1095****Issue****3.3/3.4****comment**

When drafting affordable housing policy the Council must ensure it does not affect development viability

**your wish**

Refers Council to letter from chief planner to Heads of Planning clarifying Governments position on affordable housing i.e. that the policies should reflect the current economic climate and warns against imposing the maximum affordable housing contributions of 25%.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1096****Issue****3.3/3.4****comment**

Consideration needed. SPF has welcomed the Scottish Governments National Housing Trust initiative aiming to deliver private sector housing at mid market rent and would like to see similar innovative initiatives brought forward

**your wish**

Suggests that the Council consider the role of the Private Rented Sector in contributing or alleviating the pressure on social housing. SPF happy to engage with the Council on this issue.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****7/31****Issue** 3.4**comment** I think it's the right approach but improving what's already built should always be done.**your wish****posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****10/270****Issue** 3.4**comment** I want less houses, less people, clean and green.**your wish****posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****24/85****Issue** 3.4**comment** The right approach but these flats are an eyesore with verandahs to the main road, are terrible looking and should have been red sandstone. I am age 87 and I can't believe some of these designs are done with Glasgow people. I was born in Calton and it makes me sick at what has been done around our city. My family laugh when I say I am glad I am on the way out. I was always proud to belong to Glasgow.**your wish****posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****26/145****Issue** 3.4**comment** No issues but highly efficient and practical housing - maximum energy efficiency, more than building regs. District heating installed, use renewables, accessible transport opportunities.**your wish****posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****27 / 125****Issue** **3.4****comment** I agree 100%. The lack of affordable/socially rented accommodation is rapidly becoming a national/local scandal.**your wish****posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****43 / 235****Issue** **3.4****comment** No.**your wish****posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****47 / 277****Issue** **3.4****comment** Why not more "urban brown field"sites?**your wish****posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****91 / 1712****Issue** **3.4****comment** Diageo agrees with option 3.4A in so far as there is clearly a need to consider the fuller picture once the additional affordable housing requirement is known. Diageo reserves the right to comment further on this issue at the appropriate time.**your wish****posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4075****Issue** **3.4**

**comment** SPT supports Option 3.4A as it will be most likely to develop sustainable travel patterns from new housing developments. The consideration of higher density developments should be part of the review and high accessibility to high frequency public transport services, including Subway, rail and high frequency bus corridors should be a prerequisite for high density developments.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****107/675****Issue** **3.4**

**comment** The MIR doesn't set out the HNDA findings for Glasgow which indicate that there is a substantial need for affordable housing and there is a substantial shortfall in land to meet that need, even although some will be met by means other than new build.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****107/687****Issue** **3.4**

**comment** Homes for Scotland would not recommend an affordable housing policy given the marginal viability of many sites. Glasgow should focus on delivering mixed communities and identifying ways of making marginal land viable again, and working with developers to promote a range of house types and tenures.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2802****Issue** **3.4**

**comment** We support the preferred option and consider that the alternative option to release greenfield land would result in development which would run counter to placemaking, health and sustainability benefits sought by the spatial strategy

**your wish**

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****109/2972****Issue****3.4****comment**

The HNDA shows there is a substantial need for affordable housing and developers are reacting to this by working with Scottish Government and LA's to provide a wider range of tenures than ever.

**your wish**

GCC to look at its own portfolio to provide mixed tenure developments similar to established successful regeneration models. GCC must work with developers to provide cross-subsidised mixed tenure developments.

**posctard no:** [If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.](#)

3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****112/694****Issue****3.4****comment**

There is no specific reference to the role of private rented sector (PRS) housing. There appears to be little acknowledgement of the need to identify and enforce a sustainable level of PRS housing in neighbourhoods. By its nature PRS it is short-term and can have deleterious effects on community structures if left unchecked.

**your wish**

The Council should adequately resource PRS enforcement, and particularly a more effective landlord registration scheme . Consider alternative neighbourhood management modele in co-operation with social landlords. Lobby central government to adopt robust policies towards PRS.

**posctard no:** [If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.](#)

3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****133/1470****Issue****3.4****comment**

Agree with the need to review the housing density policy, the use of private sector land supply and the issue of affordable housing. It would also be critical to review the issue of achieving more mixed tenure in communities such as Pollok, reflecting a similar approach to that adopted in Drumchapel and Easterhouse where significant levels of greenfield private housing supports and compliments the public sector stock in those areas. The delay in the comprehensive greenbelt/greenspace study has not helped the prospect of forward planning in this part of the City.

**your wish**

Need to address the lack of short term supply by specifically allocating sites within a timescale and in sufficient numbers to start the process of reducing the affordable housing shortfall.

**posctard no:** [If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.](#)

3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****134 / 1477****Issue****3.4****comment**

Agree with the need to review the housing density policy, the use of private sector land supply and the issue of affordable housing. It would also be critical to review the issue of achieving more mixed tenure in communities such as Pollok, reflecting a similar approach to that adopted in Drumchapel and Easterhouse where significant levels of greenfield private housing supports and compliments the public sector stock in those areas. The delay in the comprehensive greenbelt/greenspace study has not helped the prospect of forward planning in this part of the City.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.

3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****143 / 320****Issue****3.4****comment**

Social housing with no right to buy could solve most housing needs. "Density" should be defined and limited. Overcrowding affects health and community interaction.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.

3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****149 / 2955****Issue****3.4****comment**

We support meeting identified affordable housing need as identified in policy option A. Greenfield release in Easterhouse/Garthamlock should contribute effectively to meeting the need for affordable housing (i.e. social rented housing, intermediate housing options and shared ownership) alongside the re-structuring of existing housing stock in that area.

**your wish**

We support meeting identified affordable housing need as identified in policy option A

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.

3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3691****Issue****3.4****comment**

Agree with option 3.4A but have reservations on bullet point 2 (density policy). We agree with bullet points 1 and 3. fine for new build areas, but care should be taken re established and densely occupied (eg tenemental) areas, and conservation areas. Role of housing associations should be explored here

**your wish**

Need for new development of any size. Care needed re other areas. Definition of "affordable". Private rented sector should receive specific attention. Some attention has been given to HMO's but not meet the definition of HMO's

**posctard no:** [If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.](#)  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****168/639****Issue****3.4****comment**

As a G.P. working in the East End of Glasgow (Easterhouse Health Centre, in the 2nd most deprived practice in Glasgow), I was delighted to hear of the proposal to develop the Seven Lochs wetland park as this would improve patients health through improved access to greenspace, affordable exercise opportunities, access to decent housing and employment opportunities. If a large purely private housing development was associated with the Seven Lochs project this would be seen with resentment and turn residents off from engaging with and using the greenspace facilities.

**your wish**

Mixed social/affordable/private housing as part of the Seven Lochs and other housing developments

**posctard no:** [If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.](#)  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****173/2974****Issue****3.4****comment**

The influence of the PRS must be addressed. In Govanhill high levels of buy to let properties, landlord absenteeism, and inadequate maintenance in communal areas has led to a severe decline in building standards. Studies have found direct correlations between poor living condition and high levels of BTL property, yet there are no proposals in the MIR outlining how it will be dealt with.

**your wish**

A cap on the amount of BTL properties permitted in a pressurised area. Similar to licencing caps in areas with high levels of HMO licences (west end). Alternatives to how local housing policy is tackled should be explored, with community involvement at every stage, including how funds are allocated.

**posctard no:** [If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.](#)  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****173/2975****Issue****3.4****comment**

Policies to eradicate the grant award system for owners to tackle major repairs coupled with a 'light touch' approach to PRS enforcement espoused by legislation, whilst employed to 'encourage' owners to take responsibility for their own repairs, has had the opposite effect. Building standards continue to decline and unless local pressurised areas are granted special privileges in statutory guidance to sustainably tackle these problems, building collapses or major council interventions will continue to occur. A SG report stated approx 70% of property requires urgent repair.

**your wish**

Grants to aid owners to carry-out extensive/major building repairs must be reinstated. 'Light touch' approach to enforcement of PRS is inappropriate and ineffective; it must be abandoned for a more stringent enforcement policy. Pressurised area status should be granted to areas with high densities of BTL property, and high levels of associated problems such as ASB, fly-tipping, absenteeism, overcrowding etc. allowing local authorities powers of inspection to carry out a compulsory sweep of all properties to establish occupancy levels, property conditions and tenure.

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.

3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3959****Issue****3.4****comment**

The prospective redevelopment of large sites, such as Yorkhill Hospital, makes the new policy approach our preferred option.(and see response to Q16)

**your wish**

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.

3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****191/1220****Issue****3.4****comment**

It's not clear what relevance para 2.72ii has to the delivery of affordable housing

**your wish**

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.

3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1221****Issue** **3.4**

**comment** Para 2.72iii if parts of the private land supply are to be used for affordable housing any knock on effects for the market land supply need to be recognised - a generous supply of housing land across all tenures needs to be provided.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1222****Issue** **3.4**

**comment** Issues around housing for older people, gypsy travellers, travelling show people, houses in multiple occupation, and the delivery of affordable housing should be addressed in the Proposed Plan

**your wish**

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1167****Issue** **3.4**

**comment** Urban densities and affordable housing are already addressed in current national, regional and local planning policy, however, it is clear that local Housing Associations may not want to increase densities on the basis of tenant demand for lower density housing stock. Planning policies already seek to encourage higher densities adjacent to good public transport connections but demand by Housing Associations is for 2 storey low density housing as evidenced by some of the housing provided in the Central Govan Action Plan. The use of private land for such development can be achieved, however, this will require financial provisions or enabling policies within the plan including options for additional greenfield release to support additional affordable housing stock.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3566****Issue** **3.4**

**comment** Yes – agree with Option 3.4 A recognising comments on Option 1.1 A the release of greenbelt land

**your wish**

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4010****Issue****3.4****comment**

Option 3.4 – Option A (the preferred option) is broadly supported by the SNP Group. However, it is the SNP Group's view that the Council must develop, rather than consider, an affordable housing policy for the city. Council should give consideration to the re-zoning of land currently zoned for retail or industrial. A comprehensive review should therefore be commenced on land where there is little prospect of development, particularly in areas of the city where there is high demand for affordable and social housing and a limited supply of land.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.

3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3472****Issue****3.4****comment**

We agree with Option 3.4.A. In addition, DCC is pleased that Option 3.4.B is not preferred as the Council should seek to explore opportunities for development of existing vacant sites and/or brownfield sites and not seek to develop on greenfield sites.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.

3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****238/2978****Issue****3.4****comment**

Whilst the council place an increasing value on environmental and sustainability issues, current guidance does not provide any safeguards for selling-off former social housing garden space for private development and gain.

**your wish**

GCC should through its guidance seek to restrict the ability of garden space to be divided up and sold on for development. I think this is a simple and non contentious addition that could be introduced into the new city plan.

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.

3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****243/395****Issue****3.4****comment**

Increase of density - higher design standards == quality public green space. To date city division has been very poor

**your wish**

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.

3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 367****Issue 3.4**

**comment** This would have to be done sensitively and maybe along any suitable boundaries between social housing/private housing. But surely Glasgow has vast tracts of empty sites to use first???

**your wish**

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****248 / 2917****Issue 3.4**

**comment** We are not in agreement with the Council's preferred option in terms of Issue 3.4. It is submitted that the alternative option, Option 3.4B, should be adopted as it will consider greenfield housing release to help meet affordable housing requirements.

**your wish** Request that Option 3.4B is adopted as it will help meet affordable housing requirements by considering greenfield housing release.

**posctard no:** If we find we need more affordable housing then we would like to consider a number of options, including urban densities and using the private sector land supply to deliver additional affordable homes for Glasgow.  
3.4

---

**Main Issues Report****3 / 11****Issue 3.5**

**comment** This should already be the "status quo"!

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****9 / 91****Issue 3.5**

**comment** Good approach. Need to ensure that there are resources - human and otherwise - to run and maintain community facilities.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****10/40****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** Yes. I don't drink. I don't go to church. I shop, go to the library and parks. Yes more and better community facilities would be nice. I am plagued with school kids dropping "noodle-pots" sold from a small shop at school lunchtime. They have much less vegetable than pot noodle and more salt and saturated fat. So better school meals, please.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****15/58****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** Yes

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****26/142****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** Essential - matter of course.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****43/248****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** Yes this is a public duty.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****48/280****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** Local Services in each area are essential! Post Office, Health Service, Leisure Services, Sports Opportunities, Gathering Places, Open Space, Park Land, Transport !!! Police, Education including evening classes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****91 / 1713****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** At this stage Diageo would promote option 3.5B because, although perhaps desirable, it is unclear as to how the aspirations of issue 3.5 can be delivered financially, and in view of this any further policy in this area could threaten development viability in many areas. This is a situation which must be avoided.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****93 / 4076****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** We support the preferred option 3.5A for the reasons given in relation to easy access to services and amenities by walking and cycling. All the alternatives outlined in 2.76 could be usefully considered as options for funding community facilities. Any Supplementary Planning Guidance should also recognise that the provision of good safe walking and cycling provision and good bus access to alternative services elsewhere could provide an acceptable solution for some development sites.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1640****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** Support local provision of services and amenities so people can reduce their travel.

**your wish** Support Option 3.5A.

**postcard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****103 / 2969****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** All future developments for 'public buildings/places' should include prayer facilities.

**your wish** Prayer facilities at these locations will help GCC promote its equality policies and cater for diverse communities. It will help to integrate people from faith groups and boost economic benefits for the local authority.

**postcard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****107/688****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** It is essential that financial burdens on development are kept to a minimum if the problems of stimulating development on marginal sites is to be overcome. This requires a realistic view of what is absolutely essential, as opposed to desirable, to make a development acceptable.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****107/689****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** It would not be appropriate for funding mechanisms whereby one private sector subsidises another to operate local services eg housebuilders subsidising retail or transport operators.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2803****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** We agree with the preferred approach and recommend that the supplementary guidance should include the delivery of green networks and path networks in the list of community infrastructure to be provided in association with new development.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****115/632****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** An essential local community facility is an allotment site and the requirement to incorporate this into development plans should be made clear to planners. It should be a pre-condition of acceptance of plans. Local services could include access to advice and support for new gardeners.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2839****Issue** 3.5**comment** We agree with the thrust of preferred option – 3.5A**your wish****posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2840****Issue** 3.5**comment** We consider that there is scope to add more value to gardens and play areas close to where people live, and that orchard/ fruit trees should have a place within these types of areas when they are incorporated into new housing developments. This growing of local food helps to further the aims of creating healthier lifestyles and has been shown to be effective in tackling deprivation, social exclusion, and in the treatment of a range of physical and psychological conditions. Community Gardens and orchards also can provide local volunteering opportunities and help to create a stronger sense of community.**your wish****posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****149/2956****Issue** 3.5**comment** We support the provision of local services and community infrastructure in association with new development, but this should not be at the expense of the requirement to provide greenspace. The new policy should include the provision of good quality, multi-functional greenspace with links to the wider green network and other community infrastructure and services.**your wish** The new policy should include the provision of good quality, multi-functional greenspace with links to the wider green network and other community infrastructure and services.**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****151/3401****Issue** 3.5**comment** Provision of local services must be considered a key element in all parts of the city, not only within new developments for the reasons para 2.73 of MIR.**your wish****posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3402****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** The planning system clearly has many tools to encourage provision and discourage threats to such provision, e.g.. Through planning consent for provision which is more easily accessed by car. These tools must be used to an optimum.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3405****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** This option reflects the Council's awareness that cohesive, coherent, city design is able to reduce on carbon emissions and improve quality of life. This approach should be applied retrospectively to all areas of the city currently affected by lack of amenities and services to create equally well communities across the City, not only within new developments.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3407****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** Increasing mobility, access to the resources of the city, better bike and public transport links, increase opportunities for economic activity on the high st, counter to vast supermarkets

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****165 / 1307****Issue** **3.5**

**comment** sportscotland agree that the wider requirements for community facilities should be considered, not only greenspace. In relation to sports facilities, ranging from sports centres to pitches and more informal opportunities for physical activity, these form an important part of place making and sustainable communities

**your wish** sportscotland request that these aspects are fully considered in the development of SPG in this area.

**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****174/762****Issue 3.5**

**comment** Whilst agreeing with the aspiration in Option 3.5, regard it as somewhat weak in applying only to new developments. Existing areas may lack desirable local amenities, and this should also be addressed.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****182/4054****Issue 3.5**

**comment** Dawn Group welcomes the fact that in para 2.76 the Council recognises the difficulties facing the private sector in this regard. It is assumed that the Supplementnary Guidance to be issued in respect of funding in due course will be based on a consultation exercise involving the private sector.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****185/1097****Issue 3.5**

**comment** Providing for local services in new residential development- Does not agree with the preferred option to create a new policy approach for local services and community infrastructure which relies on developer contributions. As Council aware development industry unable to fund contributions as once did and increased demands may lead to proposals becoming unviable or lost to other regions.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****185/1098****Issue 3.5**

**comment** In current climate its simply impossible for Council to draft a wish list which threatens the viability of development.

**your wish** If contributions must be paid for local services then the cumulative impact of all developer contributions must be considered and requests must adhere to Circular 1/2010 i.e.. be relevant in kind and scale.

**postcard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

---

**Main Issues Report****187 / 3960****Issue** **3.5****comment** The SECC site, with its traffic and access implications for our area, seems to us to make Option A the more important.**your wish****posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****188 / 1812****Issue** **3.5****comment** GHA request involvement in the drafting of the guidance for the Provision for Local Services in New Residential Development.**your wish****posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1223****Issue** **3.5****comment** Sympatheic to the aims of Option 3.5A but question whether the economic downturn is the time to place an additional burden on developers.**your wish****posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1168****Issue** **3.5****comment** The provision of additional local services and community facilities may be required in areas of significant new development, however, much will depend on the financial viability in funding not only the provision but the longer term management of these facilities. Any policy which demands such facilities could also reduce viability of new development and the attraction of the City of Glasgow for new housing development. The focus of attention should be on encouraging new development in areas where additional population will increase support and viability of existing community facilities.**your wish****posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3567****Issue** **3.5****comment** Yes – agree with Option 3.5 A in relation to providing for local services**your wish****posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****215/1781****Issue** **3.5****comment** CH supports the alternative option, which is to retain the City Plan 2 approach.**your wish****posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4011****Issue** **3.5****comment** Option 3.5 – Option A (the preferred option) is broadly supported by the SNP Group. This could encompass guidance on the level of, for example, student accommodation in an area of the city and the impact on demand for services in that locale. We also need to ensure that there is sufficient informal space, such as having easy local access to grass areas suitable for informal kickabouts - the current focus is too much on providing a small number of high quality pitches (often through ENV 2 contributions), not necessarily close to where people stay and which often have to be booked in advance, which does little to encourage informal exercise.**your wish****posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3473****Issue** **3.5****comment** We would agree with Option 3.5.A. However, DCC would suggest that the central focus is retained on the provision of community infrastructure and that the “current economic circumstances” is not used as an excuse for under-development and/or non-provision of appropriate community infrastructure which is high quality, aspirational and led by community demand.**your wish****posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****243/396****Issue** **3.5****comment** Yes. What does "appropriate" mean?**your wish****posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****247/369****Issue** **3.5****comment** Agree**your wish****posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****249/479****Issue** **3.5****comment** Option 3.5 A is to be welcomed although it seems to contradict para 2.66 above, which suggested that they key was improved bus services to existing facilities.**your wish****posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****266/1460****Issue** **3.5****comment** Agree with developing a new policy approach to secure the provision of local services or community infrastructure in asociation with new development. The expansion of population at Robroyston justifies the creation of a new town centre (akin to that at Bishopbriggs). This type of local provision could help to reduce the need for non essential traffic volumes.**your wish** A scoping study would be needed to determine the nature catchment and competitive impact of a new town centre at Robroyston. This option is consistent with the overall retail strategy in the MIR.**posctard no:** We want to make sure that local services and community facilities are provided with new development, where this is appropriate.  
3.5

---

**Main Issues Report****147 / 2933****Issue****3.5 A****comment**

The provision of community infrastructure as part of new development should also consider green space.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****10 / 41****Issue****3.6****comment**

Yes, good idea. Remember also that there are many grassroot, beginner and novice sports people. But yes, you should gear up extra shopping, food-outlets, parking, street-cleaning, crime-busting. Make sure the camera gets a nice view. And rake in some VAT.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.

3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****26 / 112****Issue****3.6****comment**

Focus on renewables. Carbon free Games. Integrate transport before/during/after Games. Joined up thinking.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.

3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****27 / 122****Issue****3.6****comment**

The Plan may need revision in the light of the completion of the East End Ring Road and the M74. This could be an opportunity to build houses for rent with urban greenspace for leisure/exercise. Important to link explicitly with Clyde Gateway proposals.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.

3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****28/134****Issue** 3.6**comment** Probably this will need constant review and revision.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****43/237****Issue** 3.6**comment** Yes.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4077****Issue** 3.6**comment** SPT will continue to support the development and delivery of the transport infrastructure identified for Clyde Gateway/East End and Clyde Waterfront. This includes improvements to Bridgeton Rail Station (complete) upgrading Dalmarnock rail station (underway), Fastlink services at the Clyde Waterfront and consideration of future Fastlink extensions to Clyde Gateway/East End and elsewhere, modernisation of the Subway and the upgrading of Subway stations serving the Games venues and the Hampden park and ride facility/coach park.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****96/1728****Issue** 3.6**comment** SE endorses the approach taken to issue 3.6.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****97 / 1401****Issue** **3.6**

**comment** Support for action intended to maximise the benefit of the major elements of new infrastructure within the Clyde Gateway and Clyde Waterfront, to encourage regeneration in the surrounding areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1641****Issue** **3.6**

**comment** Support use of Commonwealth Games to derive benefits to local communities. Disappointed that that the only transport specific projects are large road schemes unlikely to benefit areas of low car ownership, far less encourage sustainable transport.

**your wish** Support Option 3.6B with greater focus on small-scale walking and cycling network improvements around all the venues which will benefit local communities in the longer term.

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****108 / 2804****Issue** **3.6**

**comment** We agree with the preferred approach. We are keen to see that the green network priorities are delivered and that they link in with the wider green network. We would welcome the opportunity to contribute and provide comments on the production of updated development frameworks for the LDP which may help in the delivery of green network priorities, place making and active and sustainable travel opportunities.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****123/3340****Issue** **3.6**

**comment** Challenge the assumption that the Commonwealth games will have a meaningful regenerative effect. The facilities being constructed for sporting activity do employ some people but in the process some other employment opportunities have been demolished. It is essential that some form of proactive support on a similar scale is created to bring long lasting wealth generating employment, in the manufacturing sector.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2843****Issue** **3.6**

**comment** We suggest the following modification to Option 3.6.A in order to promote an approach which is much more inclusive of grassroots organisations and individuals : "The council and partner organisations, along with community groups and individuals should look at maximising the benefit of major elements etc..... "

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****147/2934****Issue** **3.6**

**comment** We would like to see the term 'Sustainable Regeneration and Renewal', as a legacy of the Commonwealth Games.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****151/3403****Issue** **3.6**

**comment** Free Wheel North was commissioned by SCSP to undertake a cycling and access audit of the 2014 Commonwealth games area, for further details see paragraph 2.100.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3408****Issue****3.6****comment**

Implement the changes recommended in the FWN audit for the East End area to make it cycle-able. These changes provide the opportunity to make the East End a model for cycling, by creating a huge modal shift, humanising the urban landscape and local the streets, improve the health and wellbeing of residents, support the local economy and interconnecting the East End with the rest of the City.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.

3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****165 / 1308****Issue****3.6****comment**

sportscotland strongly support the unique opportunities offered by the 2014 Commonwealth Games. The Games are of course not just a catalyst for regeneration and renewal but give the opportunity for a legacy of benefits to be realised for the City and Scotland.

**your wish**

sportscotland request that the principles behind the 'Glasgow 2014 Legacy Framework' are fully integrated into the evolution of LDP policy.

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.

3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1224****Issue****3.6****comment**

Review of the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC campus masterplan are a positive step to maximising the benefits of the Games.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.

3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1169****Issue****3.6****comment**

Continual monitoring of local development strategies is an essential element of plan preparation and implementation and if changes are required then they must be considered. The advantages of the Commonwealth Games 2014 should however extend to other areas of the City where facilities for the Games are providing including Hampden and Ibrox. In these circumstances similar priority should be given to the provision of local development strategy in these areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.

3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3568****Issue****3.6****comment**

Yes – agree with Option 3.6 A re commonwealth games infrastructure

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.

3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****210 / 1137****Issue****3.6****comment**

It is vital that the EELDS be reviewed in light of the current and ongoing reconfiguration of the retail park, linkages with Parkhead town centre and its potential to form part of the town centre. The retail park should be seen as integral to other major projects and plans in the area.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.

3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4012****Issue** **3.6**

**comment** Option 3.6 – Option A (the preferred option) is broadly supported by the SNP Group. The Commonwealth Games can act as a catalyst for regeneration across the city, and while the MIR notes the many opportunities city-wide, the preferred option only cites two examples. This should be amended to reflect the broad nature of regeneration potential from the Games.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3474****Issue** **3.6**

**comment** We agree with Option 3.6.A and particularly welcome the commitment to review and revise the East End Local Development Strategy (EELDS) “in conjunction with communities”.

**your wish** It is suggested that Council takes opportunity to revise all aspects of the EELDS and not just “certain elements” as stated in the draft Local Development Plan.

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****243/397****Issue** **3.6**

**comment** Yes

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****247/368****Issue** **3.6**

**comment** Agree

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 476****Issue****3.6****comment**

Issues 3.6 (the Commonwealth Games as a catalyst for regeneration and renewal) should not be included in a section on local communities. Expenditure on major developments for an elite and temporary event drains funding that should have been spent on lower-key community facilities and will never create or reinforce "sustainable strong communities", particularly those in deprived areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 480****Issue****3.6****comment**

Do not agree with Option 3.6 A, as Commonwealth Games facilities are high-profile facilities for "sportspeople", accessible to most only by some means of transport and inaccessible for casual users. They tend to be expensive to use after the event and designed for competitive sport only. GCC is naive or deceitful to suggest that the Commonwealth Games developments will improve living standards in the City, or provide a "catalyst for regeneration and renewal". They have siphoned off funding which should have been used for local community projects.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****266 / 1463****Issue****3.6****comment**

The sustainable legacy of the impact of major events such as the Commonwealth Games is not an automatic outcome but needs to be built into the planning at the outset and progress regularly monitored. This is particularly relevant in terms of the EELDS and CWG.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1558****Issue** **3.6****comment** CWG2014 as a Catalyst - Support for Preferred Option 3.6.A**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider whether we need to revise the East End Local Development Strategy and the SECC masterplan to take full advantage of the opportunities for regeneration provided by the 2014 Glasgow Commonwealth Games.  
3.6

---

**Main Issues Report****165 / 1309****Issue** **3.6 A****comment** Regarding the proposed review of the East End Local Development Strategy**your wish** The development of Cunningar Loop may be a relevant consideration**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****1 / 4****Issue** **4.1****comment** Yes. We must encourage people to use public transport. Glasgow's roads have too much traffic. Bus services should be more regular in the evenings and weekends.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****2 / 10****Issue** **4.1****comment** The hardware and infrastructure are fine - what you need to do is manage it better. Glasgow is several decades behind other European cities (but not Edinburgh!).**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****3/23****Issue** 4.1**comment** This should always have been "status quo!"**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****8/36****Issue** 4.1**comment** Yes, it is the right approach**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****9/90****Issue** 4.1**comment** Good approach. Joined up thinking to deliver best results for travellers/commuters.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****10/290****Issue** 4.1**comment** Yes. Please do not opt for very high speed, very high cost trains. Rather be bold with large tunnels to remove hills eg. Leadhill to Annan etc.

The Glasgow train stock is very nice. It's slightly costly and many stations are unkept looking.

**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****12/83****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Transport in Glasgow should be better integrated. Compared with European cities such as Amsterdam, we are not "joined up" enough. Also something similar to the Oyster card scheme in London would be excellent.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****14/75****Issue** 4.1

**comment** You need to provide bus shelters in the more remote areas where buses are less frequent. The "new" shelters are a very poor design and do not provide shelter.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****25/95****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Good idea. Scrap the exact fare system - a major disincentive to bus use. Introduce an "Oyster" system to cover bus, rail and metro use.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****26/140****Issue** 4.1

**comment** More transparency in ticket prices, especially buses. Oyster type card for tube/bus/rail before 2014. Ticket prices expensive. Electric/hydrogen buses.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****27 / 128****Issue** 4.1**comment** Intermodal ticketing between buses/rail/subway.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****27 / 129****Issue** 4.1**comment** Subway upgrade and possible extension.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****27 / 130****Issue** 4.1**comment** Glasgow Crossrail/Garngad Curve - both to maximise journey opportunities.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****27 / 131****Issue** 4.1**comment** Better co-ordination between private bus operators.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****43 / 253****Issue** 4.1**comment** Yes**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****48/284****Issue** 4.1

**comment** This is long overdue and urgent. Some areas i.e. Carntyne and Cranhill only have the option of very few buses or a taxi. They have become communities closed down every evening and Sundays.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****52/294****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Yes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****89/1597****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Insufficient emphasis given to ongoing transport projects that are being implemented or are being progressed to implementation (e.g. Edinburgh - Glasgow [Rail] Improvement Project and Paisley Canal Line electrification) as opposed to projects that are not supported by national rail policy including the Strategic Transport Projects Review (STPR).

**your wish** Greater Support should be given to ongoing national transport improvement projects, such as EGIP, which will be taking place during the lifetime of the LDP.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****89/1598****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Fully supportive of commitment to work with the various stakeholders to deliver a modern high quality public transport system in Glasgow.

**your wish** Support Option 4.1A.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

## Main Issues Report

93/648

**Issue** 4.1

**comment** 6. Review of transport infrastructure options: City centre traffic management for buses and Fastlink should be included

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

## Main Issues Report

93/4080

**Issue** 4.1

**comment** There is a need to specifically include Subway Modernisation within "Option 4.1A. Future Public Transport Network" given the opportunities the upgraded stations provide as a catalyst for regeneration to the surrounding areas. It is appreciated that the infrastructure for the Subway is "fixed" and may not therefore have been considered as an element of major change to be highlighted in a "Main Issues Report". However, in SPT's view, there is an opportunity for the Proposed Plan to include the development of interchange opportunities at many Subway Stations. In addition, since land around the Subway stations is highly accessible, there are opportunities for the development of high density commercial, housing, leisure and other uses.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

## Main Issues Report

93/4081

**Issue** 4.1

**comment** The MIR makes little reference to quality bus corridors and the need to develop un-congested corridors that will allow for improved journey times and journey time reliability for buses and Fastlink. In addition, there is a need for improved city centre bus stances to facilitate the "modern, high quality public transport system" referred to in Option 4.1. Again this should be specifically included in Option 4.1 A

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4084****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Paragraph 2.82 provides a useful note of the issues. SPT's Conurbation Public Transport Study, approved by the SPT Partnership, and the Strategic Transport Projects Review takes account of these issues and in our view forms a basis for Option 4.1.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4085****Issue** 4.1

**comment** we would ask that the Proposed Plan to include policies and proposals that take account of the following comments:  
•  Ensuring that high density development takes place close to Subway stations to maximise the current and future capacity of the System in tandem with the estimated £287.5 Million investment in the stations and system.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4086****Issue** 4.1

**comment** we would ask that the Proposed Plan to include policies and proposals that take account of the following comments:  
  
•  While there have been have long standing aspirations for new stations throughout Glasgow e.g.Parkhead Forge and Ibrox and some development work taken forward by SPT in the past, Transport Scotland will advise on the best way forward for developing these aspirational new stations. There are railway operational issues to be considered for each of these locations in addition to the issues of potential passenger demand. SPT'S Conurbation Study has considered all forms of public transport and does not include these stations within its overall strategic direction for public transport.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4087****Issue****4.1****comment**

we would ask that the Proposed Plan to include policies and proposals that take account of the following comments:

- A STAG based assessment of specific transport options is likely to be the most appropriate way to identify a transport system to meet the needs of existing communities and the proposed community growth area at Robroyston/Millerston and such a study is already underway for Robroyston. Millerston.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4088****Issue****4.1****comment**

we would ask that the Proposed Plan to include policies and proposals that take account of the following comments:

- SPT has no current plans to develop LRT on the surface streets

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4089****Issue****4.1****comment**

we would ask that the Proposed Plan to include policies and proposals that take account of the following comments:

- SPT notes the comments in paragraph 2.83 regarding the provision of strategic public transport infrastructure and future investment. SPT has secured funding and is actively working to deliver the major Subway Modernisation and the Fastlink project and continues to invest in Park and Ride and transport interchange improvements.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4090****Issue** 4.1**comment** we would ask that the Proposed Plan to include policies and proposals that take account of the following comments:

- SPT supports option 4.1A and will provide updates on the roll out of its transport projects to inform both the Proposed Plan and its Action Plan. These proposals are based on the comprehensive studies and business cases for Subway Modernisation, Fastlink and West of Scotland Conurbation Public Transport Study and the projects include interchange improvements, Fastlink delivery, Subway stations and systems improvements and general bus infrastructure improvements.

**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****96/1729****Issue** 4.1**comment** SE supports the preferred option (4.1A).**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****97/1402****Issue** 4.1**comment** Support for the aim of working with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to identify and deliver a modern high quality public transport system for the City, which is achievable within the resources available.**your wish** First Group would like to be involved in any work leading to the identification and delivery of a modern, high-quality public transport system.**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1608****Issue** 4.1**comment** Agree it is a key part of the Council strategy to work with Transport Scotland, SPT and others in order to develop a cohesive transport network for the City.**your wish** Generally supportive of Option 4.1A.**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1628****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Feel time is now right for the Council, along with SPT, to develop a light rail strategy for Glasgow.

**your wish** Support development of light rail strategy.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****100 / 1647****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Support modern high quality public transport system offering a competitive, attractive and sustainable alternative to car commuting.

**your wish** Support Option 4.1A.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****101 / 1651****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Support modern high quality public transport system offering a competitive, attractive and sustainable alternative to car commuting.

**your wish** Support Option 4.1A.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****110 / 653****Issue** 4.1

**comment** The Citizens Theatre agrees with the principles and reasoning outlined in the preface to Issue 4 'Sustainable Connections' relating to promoting of sustainable transport links by public transport with beneficial impacts on urban regeneration, economic development, social inclusion and increased opportunities for creating places centered on the needs of people, and not motor vehicles( p51)

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****125/1419****Issue** 4.1**comment** Prioritise walking, cycling and public transport and take measures to encourage these uses and discourage car use.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2853****Issue** 4.1**comment** "Enhanced sense of place - ..... delivering housing, gardens, orchards, and other development"**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2854****Issue** 4.1**comment** "..... housing.. and Gardens ..... And also urban food production including orchards and fruit trees .... "**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2855****Issue** 4.1**comment** Note – the provision of housing seems to considered completely separately from gardens, and urban food production. This is something that perhaps could be re-examined – and these uses could and should be better integrated rather than seen as separate and perhaps competing with each other.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2856****Issue** 4.1

**comment** "...enhanced Green Network – growing spaces (including fruit trees and orchards) .... Trees (including fruit trees)"

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****148/3814****Issue** 4.1

**comment** John Lewis are supportive of any programme that makes it easier and more comfortable for the people of Glasgow and the conurbation to get around the city.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****151/3416****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Option 4.1A -This option should be more specific with regard to what to prioritise – integration of public transport links, connecting to active travel, increasing access for all.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****151/3417****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Making these options more attractive than driving – on journeys to work, leisure, school, shopping etc – through land use allocation, investment and prioritising of public transport, cyclists and walkers – this would effectively create modal shift (many examples of this being done e.g.. Copenhagen, Amsterdam, London) and seriously address the Climate Change Act commitments that the Council must fulfil.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****175 / 1655****Issue** 4.1**comment** Support a realistic and deliverable public transport network for the City.**your wish** Support Option 4.1A.**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****186 / 3922****Issue** 4.1**comment** Doubt that developers can deliver in terms of developer contribution to fund Fastlink, for example, as proposed in Option 4.5A. Other mechanisms, such as Tax Incremental Funding (TIF), should be considered.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****187 / 3961****Issue** 4.1**comment** Option A supported.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1225****Issue** 4.1**comment** Support Option 4.1A however Transport Scotland raise a number of issues as follows: In relation to access to major new facilities such as South Glasgow Hospitals and existing facilities such as Glasgow Interantional Airport Scottish Govt has made a funding contribution to Fastlink which will provide fast access to the South Glasgow Hospitals complex as well as improving transport links to the Commonwealth Games and acting as a catlayst for regeneration; the consideration of how best to connect the CGA's to the public transport network is welcomed however it is recommended in line with STAG that transport issues associated with CGAs should ases a range of altenatives and not focus on one single mode solution such as rail.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1690****Issue** 4.1**comment** Appendix A**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1170****Issue** 4.1

**comment** This is an essential element of plan making however, any proposal within the plan should be deliverable with continued support and commitment from all agencies involved.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3569****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Yes – agree with Option 4.1 A re public transport network however also need to investigate ways to make public transport more affordable and accessible including integrated ticketing systems, re-regulation of routes to ensure better quality of service and better competition whilst ensuring vital transport links are maintained.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****201 / 664****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Good public transport is a fundamental requirement to maintaining Glasgow's position as Scotland's prime retail centre and a major centre of employment and cultural activities. The public transport network should be progressively improved to: □ • Provide an efficient, affordable and inclusive transport service □ • Cut carbon emissions □ • Reduce pollution from petrol and diesel powered road traffic in city centre streets □ • Expand the pedestrian-friendly environment in the city centre and elsewhere □ • Maintain accessibility to the city from surrounding regions, independent of rising petrol prices □

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****201/673****Issue** 4.1

**comment** While the Glasgow Airport Rail Link (GARL) is not within the LDP area, we suggest that proposals for a lower cost link, as part of the development of a ClydeMetro network, should be investigated and supported by the City Council, SPT and Transport Scotland as of strategic importance for the status of the city and its airport.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****207/1668****Issue** 4.1

**comment** There should be a comprehensive approach to the provision of public transport across the City rather than the current piecemeal approach.

**your wish** Agree with Option 4.1A.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****207/1669****Issue** 4.1

**comment** The burden of paying for transport improvements (through developer contributions) has been borne disproportionately by too few parties when many people benefit from them. Relying on a few 'significant developments' to pay for improvements that all can benefit from is increasingly unreasonable and unsustainable.

**your wish** The process of developer contributions has to be open and transparent.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4015****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Option 4.1 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3478****Issue****4.1****comment**

We agree with Option 4.1.A. However, given the absolute necessity for an efficient, reliable and connected public transport system, it is deeply disappointing that the draft LDP states that "an appropriate, long-term public transport solution for the City is unlikely in the near future" and that "investment in strategic public transport infrastructure...is unlikely to keep pace with the regeneration process". This is particularly a concern within Dennistoun and the wider East Centre ward as car ownership is significantly lower than the city average and also that the opportunities linked to Commonwealth Games 2014 and the various Clyde Gateway initiatives are likely to be compromised.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****232 / 1440****Issue****4.1****comment**

The suggestion of only pursuing certain projects in the Plan suggests that many worthwhile projects will be abandoned and lost for all time. Railway re-openings are a rarity and must be progressed where and when possible and will still be few in number. Despite public transport successes it is clear that the Council's transport policy has been unsustainable urban motorway building. Development of good public transport links should not be constrained by overarching plans which will not stand the test of time.

**your wish**

Preferred Option is Issue 4.1 B

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****237 / 1683****Issue****4.1****comment**

Support Council's proposals for the 'Future Public Transport Network' and particularly commend the ambition to try to secure (along with Government and other bodies) the achievement of Crossrail as a key element in a more interconnected and attractive Glasgow wide/Scotland wide rail network.

**your wish**

Support Option 4.1A.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****240/311****Issue** 4.1**comment** Endorse. How can this be supported with policies for private development. What are the alternatives?**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****243/398****Issue** 4.1**comment** Don't just plan within existing resources: What is needed and why, then seek funding**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****244/1687****Issue** 4.1**comment** Support the ambition to achieve modern high quality public transport system offering competitive, attractive and sustainable alternatives to car commuting.**your wish** Support Option 4.1A.**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****247/351****Issue** 4.1**comment** Essential. Strongly Agree. Rail (1) Chronic undercapacity at Hyndland/Partick requires track investment, especially for new Edinburgh investment. (2) Hyndland Station needs all three entrances with disabled access. It is an access route and pedestrian thoroughfare for far more than just the station**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 520****Issue** 4.1

**comment** A future public transport network is identified as an item for development in the distant future - and the next iteration of the LDP at the earliest. Clearly this is unsatisfactory.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****250 / 442****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Meridian is supportive of the review of the future public transport network proposed in this issue as the public transport aspects have been a significant issues in relation to the site. The most significant issue to be addressed is the manner in which services are funded, and consultation should be carried out with the development industry in this regard.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****266 / 1464****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Supports the future public transport network strategy

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1560****Issue** 4.1

**comment** Future Public Transport Network - Support for Preferred Option 4.1.A .

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****185/1103****Issue****4.1 and 4.3****comment**

Question 8. SPF was disappointed the Glasgow Airport Rail Link (GARL) was cancelled. SPF believes that access to Glasgow International Airport needs to be improved.

**your wish**

Would like to see the local plan team work with the Scottish Government and Transport Scotland to find a solution to funding an airport rail link

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****73/642****Issue****4.1a****comment**

I would like to lend my support to Option 4.1A in the Development Plan, regarding public transport. In particular I would like to see the long-standing Crossrail scheme implemented, permitting through trains connecting the rail network N and E of Glasgow with routes S and W of the city. Offering these connections would provide an alternative for many people at present using cars for such journeys. The coming electrification of the Glasgow City Union route over the Clyde would greatly assist this, as would completion of the Garngad Chord.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****110/654****Issue****4.1a****comment**

We support option 4.1.A for its ambition to achieve a modern high quality public transport system

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****201 / 665****Issue****4.1a****comment**

We agree Option 4.1 A is best. A development strategy incorporating investment in the local suburban rail network to create a modern Urban Metro, integrated with the Subway and local bus services, would be a major catalyst for economic regeneration of the City of Glasgow and surrounding areas. Working with Transport Scotland and SPT is the best way forward. The Rail 2014 consultation currently being conducted by Transport Scotland is an opportunity to establish the framework for this, through changes in the franchising of ScotRail.

**your wish**

SAPT recommend: □ • A specific franchise requirement for developing urban rail services around Glasgow □ • Lightweight ClydeMetro trains for short distance rail services within 15 miles of Glasgow. These would have high seating and standing capacity seating with no need for toilets, wide doors for quick boarding and alighting, and fast acceleration and braking. □ • Planning for new ClydeMetro stations in urban areas □ • Additional Bus/rail interchange railheads □ • Introduction of multi-modal smartcards for train, bus and subway travel in the SPT area □ • A long term strategy for north-south rail travel across Glasgow, using the St.Enoch Bridge route, a short "Metro" tunnel from Central to the Queen Street Low Level line, a street-running Light Rail line, or a phased combination of more than one of these options.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****201 / 666****Issue****4.1b****comment**

The alternative Option 4.1B (ad-hoc developments) is likely to achieve less and cost more. A long term vision and strategy is essential for development of an integrated urban transport network.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****3 / 24****Issue****4.2****comment**

Is this not already part of urban planning and development??

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.

4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****25/97****Issue** 4.2**comment** Bad idea. HS rail draws too much money away from other areas of urgent need. All investment should be spread over all areas.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****26/144****Issue** 4.2**comment** Connectivity with other mainline stations. Connect with other public transport. Lobby for it to happen now! Start preparing now to speed it up!**your wish****posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****27/132****Issue** 4.2**comment** Agree. Could link into a reopened Glasgow Crossrail, maximising travel opportunities to Southern Scotland and Renfrewshire/Clyde Coast. Suggest room be identified for bus connections.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****43/236****Issue** 4.2**comment** No waste of time and resources.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****48/283****Issue** 4.2**comment** Possibly - but cannot judge. There is no train service in our area.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****52/292****Issue** 4.2

**comment** Not a good idea. Must go to City Centre. Demand for high speed rail to London and other cities in England only limited in terms of number of trains and Platform 11 should cope.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****72/1117****Issue** 4.2

**comment** High Street would be the optimum location for a high speed rail terminus.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****81/1394****Issue** 4.2

**comment** Support for the commitment to identify and safeguard High Street East as the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****89/1599****Issue** 4.2

**comment** A policy which promotes a preferred option for a high speed rail terminal may be too restrictive given the early stage of this proposal.

**your wish** Policies for high speed rail proposals should allow for flexibility to reflect the early stage of this project and allow for it to evolve. It may be too early to carry forward a preferred option.

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****93/646****Issue****4.2****comment**

4. Review of transport infrastructure options: High Speed Rail – other station options should not be excluded pending finalisation of business case

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4091****Issue****4.2****comment**

The outline business case for High Speed Rail is now published and identifies high level route options in Scotland. The specific work to identify a terminus location has not yet been identified by the High Speed Rail working group, led by Transport Scotland. In SPT's view it is too early to identify optimum locations for a High Speed Rail terminus route and we do not specifically support any of identified options in 4.2.

It may be that, due to timescales, High Speed Rail issue cannot be reasonably addressed in the Proposed Plan. SPT will continue to work with GCC and others to ensure the early delivery of HSR to Scotland, and in particular to central Glasgow.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****96/1730****Issue****4.2****comment**

SE requests continued consultation on the location of the proposed High Speed Rail Terminus.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****97 / 1403****Issue****4.2****comment**

Of the three options put forward for a High Speed Rail terminus (Bellgrove, High Street East and Central Station), High Street East would appear to offer the best City Centre convenience, given the disruption that would be involved in expanding Central Station westwards.

**your wish**

Significant measures should be taken to integrate the High Street East site with all other modes of transport, including buses, given that High Street East is on the periphery of the City Centre and, therefore, relatively less well-served by other public transport.

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.

4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1609****Issue****4.2****comment**

Disagreement with preferred Option 4.2A for a High Street East site for a High Speed Rail Terminus. Support Option 4.2B for Central Station as it has better access to City Centre's key business shopping and entertainment districts, is the focus of the bus and rail networks, is a short walk from the subway, the modifications required to the approaches to Central Station are far less significant than those required for a entirely new line through the City's East End and terminal capacity can be released by reassigning some services at Central.

**your wish**

Support for Option 4.2B.

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.

4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****100 / 1648****Issue****4.2****comment**

Support safeguarding of High Street East as location for High Speed Rail Terminus.

**your wish**

Support Option 4.2A.

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.

4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****101 / 1652****Issue****4.2****comment**

Support safeguarding of High Street East as location for High Speed Rail Terminus.

**your wish**

Support Option 4.2A.

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.

4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****111 / 1418****Issue** 4.2

**comment** Support for a high speed rail terminus and route and the identification of High Street (or other City Centre location) as a potential location which can be developed in conjunction with Crossrail, GARL and Garngard Chord.

**your wish** If a high speed rail line is coming up the west coast then a spur line on the derelict Gushetfaulds freightliner terminal could link it into Crossrail and a new station at High Street.

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3418****Issue** 4.2

**comment** This issue is not a priority for Glasgow Public Transport – we already have high speed rail link to London running at 4.5 hours. This immense amount of money would be much better spend on small scale infrastructure changes to improve the accessibility of public transport for those isolated by location or disabled, low incomes and attractiveness for those currently driving.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164 / 3692****Issue** 4.2

**comment** Pleased to see Glasgow being critical of National transport strategy in para 2.88. No comment on option 4.2A other than safeguarding of and appropriate integration into built heritage

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****174 / 763****Issue** 4.2

**comment** Support Option 4.2 in identifying High Street as a location for the High-Speed terminus.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1100****Issue** 4.2

**comment** Question 8. Strongly support the high speed rail initiative and believe that it will significantly enhance connectivity to the rest of the UK and Europe. Majority of members agree that the High Street East is a suitable location for the terminus.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1228****Issue** 4.2

**comment** Scottish Govt welcomes support for a High Speed Rail link between Scotland and London and the need for a terminus station in central glasgow. The Scottish Partnership Group will appraise the HSR including the location of termini stations therefore the the preference expressed in Option 4.2 A at High St. is premature.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1691****Issue** 4.2

**comment** Scottish Partnership Group's work will inform the location of termini stations. The preference expressed in the MIR for a High Street East site is premature.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1171****Issue** 4.2

**comment** This is an appropriate approach however, any proposal must be fully supported by all relevant agencies to ensure the proposal is implemented. The situation where land could be designated and left derelict for years as with the original M74 alignment must not be allowed.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****201/667****Issue****4.2****comment**

SAPT fully supports construction of the HS2 rail link from London to Birmingham, Manchester, Leeds, Glasgow and Edinburgh. Design options north of Birmingham are still being assessed by HS2 Ltd. Two important considerations for the Anglo-Scottish section are: □- direct alignment from Glasgow & Edinburgh to Manchester & Birmingham as well as London □- environmentally sensitive design through the Borders and Lake District/ Pennines □Until the options have been appraised, it is not possible to determine the optimum approach route to Glasgow. □We therefore disagree with prematurely selecting High Street East as the location for the High Speed Rail Terminus. While this option has potential to regenerate the High Street area, it has some disadvantages in connectivity for existing users of Central station, including from Ayrshire, Inverclyde, Renfrewshire, East Renfrewshire, Glasgow Southside and the Subway. Trains from Glasgow to the north will, for the foreseeable future, leave from Queen Street High Level station (about to be fully modernised) and will not pass through High Street East. □Until the full implications have been assessed by HS2, Network Rail, Transport Scotland, SPT and Glasgow City Council, with an opportunity for public consultation, both the two main options should be safeguarded

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4016****Issue****4.2****comment**

Option 4.2 – Option A (the preferred option) is broadly supported by the SNP Group. However, alternative sites, such as Bellgrove and others, should not be rejected prior to detailed plans being developed.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3480****Issue****4.2****comment**

We disagree with Option 4.2.A and would suggest instead that Alternative Option 4.2.B be selected. This would provide a much better fit with wider aspirations to extend regeneration across all communities (particularly those identified as 'Most Deprived' and to help 're-balance' the city. Item 2.66 of the draft LDP states that "continuing to pursue a strategy of community based renewal and regeneration, therefore, should be a central part of the LDP's strategy". Selecting High Street station, at the expense of Bellgrove station, as the preferred option for the HSR terminus would run counter to the aspiration of item 2.66.

**your wish**

Should Bellgrove station not be selected as site for HSR terminus then DCC would ask that approved Local Development Plan makes reference to need to upgrade this station - especially with regards to accessibility, staffing, security, facilities, etc. It is suggested that annual passenger usage at Bellgrove exceeds that of High Street and that numbers at Bellgrove would be significantly higher should the station be developed.

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****232 / 1441****Issue****4.2****comment**

Option B (Glasgow Central Station) is preferred as the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus. It is now accepted that the High Speed Network will only be viable if it serves city centres. Glasgow Central is clearly city centre. Option A (High Street) is doubtful and for many years the railway industry has been saying that that the eastern end is not "City Centre - where people want to go". Option C (Bellgrove) is clearly not city centre.

**your wish**

Preferred Option is Issue 4.2 B

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****237 / 1684****Issue****4.2****comment**

Commend the Council's ambition to try to secure (along with Government and other bodies) the achievement of Crossrail and in particular the station at Glasgow Cross. It recognises the need to properly connect and integrate our rail systems and adapt more responsively to the changing travel needs of the 21st century.

**your wish**

Support Option 4.2A.

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****240/305****Issue** 4.2**comment** Endorse. Protect area of land for rail/transport/infrastructure and justify**your wish****posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****243/399****Issue** 4.2**comment** One potential High Speed Rail location (the other- Glasgow Central) is too far from the main central business district**your wish****posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****244/1688****Issue** 4.2**comment** Supports the safeguarding of High Street East as the location for the High Speed Rail Terminus.**your wish** Support Option 4.2A.**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****247/347****Issue** 4.2**comment** Hi speed links should be really in the City Centre! Is this really a good interchange?**your wish****posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 521****Issue****4.2****comment**

Para 2.83 advises that the identification of a long-term public transport solution for the city is unlikely in the near future. Meanwhile the High Speed Rail link to London is seen as a possible development. It is considered that the development of a new facility at High Street is a convenience for the rail companies. High Street is still too far from the two other main line stations, and it is impossible to understand why any traveller, business or recreational, should wish to be deposited at High Street, where they will have to take another train or bus (long waits in the cold) or taxi (expensive) to get to the city centre, or to change trains for onward journeys north from Queen Street. This plan sounds like a train traveller's nightmare, and will equate Glasgow with Paris (to be avoided at all costs). Meanwhile the "long-term public transport solution for the city" is shelved.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****266 / 1465****Issue****4.2****comment**

Agree with the identification of High Street East as the optimum location for a high speed rail terminus and route. This would boost the much needed regeneration of an out of centre area of poor quality land.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like the area immediately east of High Street Station to be the location for a High Speed Rail Terminus, served by a new line, running alongside the existing Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.2

---

**Main Issues Report****110 / 655****Issue****4.2a****comment**

We support option 4.2A for the advantages of safeguarding the High Street East location for the Terminus

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****201/668****Issue****4.2a****comment**

Option 4.2 A High Street East: We agree this location should be safeguarded as an option for a high speed rail station, but the disbenefits of this site should be realistically evaluated. It is not as convenient for the city centre as Central, and does not have a pivotal position in the existing rail network.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****201/669****Issue****4.2b****comment**

Option 4.2 B CENTRAL: The former 4-track railway bridge across the Clyde could be re-instated, with Platforms 1 to 4 extended over the Clyde to Bridge Street. Central station could be expanded to the west (Hope Street). Or alternatively, suburban trains from Neilston /Newton/ Cathcart and East Kilbride could be diverted via the St.Enoch Bridge to a new "Metro" station in Howard St, with travelator links to Central (over Jamaica Street) and St.Enoch Subway stations. These options should be safeguarded in the Glasgow Local Development Plan until the HS2 analysis is complete.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****201/670****Issue****4.2c****comment**

Option 4.2 C The Bellgrove site, we agree, should be rejected. This site is inconvenient for the city centre, and cannot offer connectivity to most suburban trains from the south and south-west of Glasgow unless they bypass Glasgow Central (and central Glasgow).

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****2/6****Issue****4.3****comment**

No. Public sector financial constraints means that ideas like this are not affordable - the trick is to get smarter with what we have.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****9/87****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Right approach. But also, please, consider station upgrades and the land around stations. Disabled access should be a consideration e.g. poor access at and around Nitshill Station.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****10/164****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Yes. Trains are clean and green, smooth too. No parking problems. Cost of ticket is slightly high. High speed is not needed. Often two trains can be parked in central station and people get on the wrong train. Why not tunnel from about Moffat Annandale through to Carstairs - Biggar Area, Upper Clyde Valley.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****14/73****Issue** 4.3

**comment** I am very much in favour of any rail development including increased services.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****26/113****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Glasgow Airport Rail Link. More on East End.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****27 / 121****Issue** 4.3

**comment** As a Glasgow Cross resident and a frequent rail traveller to the Isle of Lewis, I would urge GCC/SPT to continue to promote Glasgow Crossrail as a strategically important national route. Also Garngad Curve should be reinstated to avoid timewasting run around at Springburn.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****37 / 208****Issue** 4.3

**comment** My Association fully endorses the Council's aspirations and plans for the continuing development and expansion of rail services - as shown in the aforementioned 4.12 - 4.14 subsections of the Development Plan - and will continue, wholeheartedly, to give its encouragement and support to help achieve these aims - particularly in respect of the long-planned and much-vaunted Crossrail and Glasgow Airport Rail Link projects!

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****43 / 231****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Yes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****69 / 1391****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Support for a Crossrail link between the lines presently serving Queen Street and Central Station, which would enable through travel from all parts of Scotland without having to change stations. The connecting bus service between Queen Street and Central Station is inadequate, in terms of luggage capacity, traffic delays and the long walk from the train platform to the main front station entrances.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****81 / 1392****Issue****4.3****comment**

Support for the commitment to promote public transport facilities in relation to Crossrail. The implementation of Crossrail is a necessary and important driver to the regeneration of Glasgow's East End. It will not only assist businesses but provide a major impetus to development in this neglected area of the City. It would also permit a fully integrated interchange at Glasgow Cross connecting with the low level railway.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****81 / 1393****Issue****4.3****comment**

Support for the commitment to promote public transport facilities in relation to Glasgow Airport Rail Link (GARL).

**your wish**

This project should be resurrected and instigated without further delay.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****85 / 3847****Issue****4.3****comment**

Dunbartonshire Chamber of Commerce wishes to indicate its full support for the Crossrail link across Glasgow as is mentioned in the Sustainable Connections section 4 of this Consultation. We feel that this cross-Glasgow rail link would offer a contribution towards improved public transport throughout the west of Scotland and is consistent with the need to offer easy access between clients and customers which is essential in today's World. This is a practical contribution to thinking and acting GREEN to reduce road congestion.

For those reasons we are pleased to support the Crossrail route incorporated as the Preferred Option 4.3A on the basis of its contribution to Scottish Government Objectives relating to sustainable economic growth.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****89 / 1600****Issue****4.3****comment**

New Rail Stations: Until such time as a Transport Appraisal has been carried out on aspirational and proposed stations, Network Rail is not in a position to offer support.

**your wish**

Until such time as the requirement for new stations and the method of funding them has been established through a Transport Appraisal, in accordance with STAG, the proposed plan should not make a firm commitment to additional stations within Glasgow as they may prove to be unviable or undeliverable. 'Aspirational' stations should only be included provided that the status and likelihood of these is clearly explained in the supporting text. Until the need for Robroyston/Millerston station has been demonstrated and the impact on the existing network quantified, this should also be described as aspirational.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****89 / 1601****Issue****4.3****comment**

Crossrail: This project was rejected in STPR as other projects were felt to offer greater benefits. If Crossrail is to be included in the LDP, as an aspirational development, the current status needs to be realistically presented in terms of funding, likely timescales and support from relevant stakeholders.

**your wish**

Reconsider support for Crossrail.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****89 / 1602****Issue****4.3****comment**

Other Links and Chords: No objection to the proposed safeguarding of land to allow for the future development of rail infrastructure if required.

**your wish**

Maintain safeguarding.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****90/573****Issue****4.3****comment**

The Glasgow Crossrail proposal features an additional rail station to the south of the College's Riverside campus at "Gorbals". This would significantly enhance the public transport access to the campus for students, staff and visitors. We would request that the College is closely involved in the selection of the station location to help to ensure that this complements the development of our Riverside Campus.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****93/647****Issue****4.3****comment**

5. Review of transport infrastructure options: Crossrail status is unresolved pending STPR Project 24 development work

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****93/651****Issue****4.3****comment**

9. Review of transport infrastructure options: SPT has supported a new station at Robroyston , subject to transport assessment. SPT is not actively pursuing other station options identified as this is the responsibility of Transport Scotland

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

**Issue****4.3****comment**

Paragraph 2.90 – 2.93 sets out various parties positions on rails reservations and new rail stations at the time of CP2 development. We note that the MIR sets out the “Delivery of Local Rail Infrastructure” as a separate and distinct issue from the issue of the “Public Transport Network”.

While we agree that it would be prudent to continue to safeguard for aspirational rail reservations, SPT has no responsibility for developing rail schemes and has no current funding commitment to any of the rail schemes noted, including Crossrail.

As noted above, we support the need for further consideration of a station at Robroyston/Millerston through a STAG assessment however we have no responsibility for developing rail schemes and have no funding commitment to the any of the stations noted as aspirational in paragraph 2.93 and Map 11.

SPT’s West of Scotland Conurbation Public Transport carried out a STAG based assessment of transport in the conurbation and should provide a useful basis for the preferred option 4.3A .

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

**Issue****4.3****comment**

As Market Manager for Glasgow Barrowland I was pleased and encouraged to see a recommendation for the Crossrail link and a Glasgow Cross station contained in your Development Plan for Glasgow Consultation. We believe that a station at Glasgow Cross would give a huge travel improvement, with a very visible incentive for people to travel to this area of Glasgow, which is trying to rebuild itself with new housing, work and retailing initiatives and community based leisure activities. It would be a core ingredient of urban renewal for this London Road / Gallowgate of immediately east of Glasgow Cross.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1610****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Strongly agree that 'making the best use of our urban rail network for commuting' and 'better synergies between land use and transport planning' are priorities that have equal merit alongside 'reducing (longer) journey times'.

**your wish** Support Council position on this issue.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1611****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Crossrail Recognise the increased connectivity that this link could bring, however cautious about patronage that services on this line would attract, the additional services that would need to be introduced, the engineering problems of the High Street Chord and the capacity issues at Queen Street Low Level and further west.

**your wish** Generally supportive of Option 4.3A with specific reservations as stated including not being to the detriment of existing services.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1612****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Carmyle to Newton Chord May provide opportunity to divert services off the Main Line and potential in the longer term for use for high speed route.

**your wish** Generally supportive of Option 4.3A with specific reservations as stated.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1613****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Strathbungo Link As for Crossrail and could form the basis of light rail route from South Side.

**your wish** Generally supportive of Option 4.3A with specific reservations as stated.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1614****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Garngad Chord Deletion of this project from EGIP represents a clear deprecation (depreciation?) of the Cumbernauld Line service. Supportive of realistic plan to reinstate this proposed connection.

**your wish** Generally supportive of Option 4.3A with specific reservations as stated.

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1615****Issue** 4.3

**comment** GARL While generally supportive of GARL, ambivalent about connections to airports. Not pushing for the reinstatement of this project.

**your wish** Generally supportive of Option 4.3A with specific reservations as stated.

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1616****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Additional Stations Lack of funding or commitment to investigating additional stations is a cause for concern. Supportive of any viable case for additional stations in Glasgow, although specific cases may be more realistic as relocations than additional halts.

**your wish** Generally supportive of Option 4.3A with specific reservations as stated.

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****100 / 1649****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Support safeguarding of land for Crossrail, Carmyle to Newton Chord, Strathbungo Link and Garngad Chord. Particular support for the Crossrail route because of the regeneration effect that it would have on a particularly run down part of the City. Point out the opportunity for a Glasgow Cross Interchange between Crossrail and the Argyle Line due to the availability of land east of Glasgow Cross.

**your wish** Support Option 4.3A.

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****100 / 1650****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Support the safeguarding of land for the proposed and the aspirational stations.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****101 / 1653****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Support safeguarding of land for Crossrail, Carmyle to Newton Chord, Strathbungo Link and Garngad Chord. Particular support for the Crossrail route because of the regeneration effect that it would have on a particularly run down part of the City. Point out the opportunity for a Glasgow Cross Interchange between Crossrail and the Argyle Line due to the availability of land east of Glasgow Cross.

**your wish** Support Option 4.3A.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****101 / 1654****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Support the safeguarding of land for the proposed and the aspirational stations.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****108 / 2805****Issue** 4.3

**comment** We disagree with the preferred option. The new Robroyston-Millerston station and its potential impacts were discussed in the Environmental Statement for the planning application for CGA housing. On that basis, we are not yet confident that the station and particularly its associated infrastructure could be delivered without significant adverse effects on Millerston Wetlands SINC and its water vole population. We recommend that the Council should undertake further assessment of the potential impacts of the Robroyston-Millerston station before finalising its preferred option.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****110/657****Issue** 4.3

**comment** As a key employer in the Gorbals and as a resource that is used by approximately 70,000 people per annum, the beneficial impacts of crossrail and the new proposed stations include: Improved/easier access to the theatre, Increased involvement by the citizens of Glasgow in the full range of our activities as a result of easier access, Increased economic benefits to the theatre through greater numbers accessing/paying to participate, An enhanced profile for the theatre and Enhanced opportunities for partnerships with the corporate sector.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****111/1414****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Supports the re-opening of rail stations and new rail stations which give more people the opportunity to use the rail network in the future.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****111/1415****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Supports the development of Crossrail, as this is a main weakness of the railway network in Greater Glasgow. Development of Crossrail will intergate the network, giving passengers more opportunitis to travel without having to change City Centre stations.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****111/1416****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Supports the development of Glasgow Airport Rail Link (GARL)

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****111 / 1417****Issue** 4.3**comment** Supports the development of Garngad Chord**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****119 / 3849****Issue** 4.3**comment** I do earnestly hope Glasgow City Council will lend its fullest support to the well-attested case for restoring Crossrail, not just because it is "Scotland's Crossrail" of key national importance, but because the suburban links made possible by redeveloping this existing line would make the everyday travel of citizens over a huge area so much more easy. As the existing track needs only refurbishing and electrification the case is incredibly strong (though there do seem to be entrenched attitudes from Transport Scotland to counter, attitudes which appear impossible to justify, which change continually in what appears as desperation to confuse!). The line has passed with flying colours various national assessments down recent years, with truly outstanding cost:benefit ratings.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****119 / 3850****Issue** 4.3**comment** Last but not least, the catalytic regenerative effect of the refurbished line upon the extensive local areas adjacent to it would be most helpful. The most obvious (but by no means the only such area) transforming effects would be seen first in the south-east area of the City Centre, extending prosperity from the Merchant City out far beyond the Barras area, and serving the likely new High Speed rail terminal. There would however, I repeat, be knock-on beneficial effects from Paisley all through G51, Gorbals, Glasgow X, Dennistoun, Robroyston, Cumbernauld and Falkirk.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****121 / 658****Issue** 4.3

**comment** I would like to express my support for the Crossrail link to be operational, with extra stations, this would enable east to west traffic from Edinburgh to Paisley and the west coast, also completing an excellent link to Glasgow Airport

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****122 / 659****Issue** 4.3

**comment** I am in support of the rail based interventions outlined in the proposed  
  
plan and believe that the items designated as aspirational should be  
  
funded by Transport Scotland at an early opportunity.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****124 / 3861****Issue** 4.3

**comment** The promotion of a 'Crossrail' development would expand the bike/rail potential, as well as the other public transport options which would ensue.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****145 / 1742****Issue** 4.3

**comment** NGPL are supportive of the Council's aspiration to improve public transport facilities to improve access across the city and in particular connecting the Community Growth Areas to the existing network. NGPL also welcome the safeguarding of the land required for implementing the new train station at Garngad Chord and supports preferred option 4.3a.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****156/2789****Issue****4.3****comment**

We would request that the proposed rail station at Robroyston Millerston continues to be included in the LDP for Glasgow, as has previously been identified in earlier local plans.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****156/3907****Issue****4.3****comment**

The proposed rail station serving Robroyston/Millerston is a critical element to the Community Growth Area, and it should be supported in the LDP.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****175/1657****Issue****4.3****comment**

Object to the Garngad Chord being designated as a aspirational reservation due to Transport Scotland/Network Rail withdrawing it from the Edinburgh - Glasgow (Rail) Improvement Project. As such its inclusion in the LDP is contrary to the SPP and PAN 75. Concern is expressed about the blight caused to the Garngad Chord site.

**your wish**

Reference to the Garngad Chord should be deleted. Neither Option 4.3A or Option 4.4B is supported. Option 4.3A should have all references to aspirational reservations deleted.

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****183/663****Issue****4.3****comment**

This company is a substantial stakeholder, being owners of approximately 8 acres of land, immediately south of High Street Rail Station. This company has been unable to develop this land to its potential because of uncertainties over transport issues over a long period of time. It is, therefore, something of a surprise that early and extensive stakeholder engagement has been considered when in fact we have never been contacted. We would not wish to see this land blighted for another 25 years and would therefore welcome early dialogue with the council at your earliest convenience.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****185/1099****Issue****4.3****comment**

Question 8. Sustainable connections transport- SPF broadly supports the preferred options for transport. Agrees that plans for transport connections must be agreed with Transport Scotland and integrated aligned with their funding plans.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3962****Issue****4.3****comment**

Option A is probably better but note that our area mainly falls right in the centre of the Subway circle, fairly remote from its stations and with a poor direct bus connection to Queen Street Station and George Square. The reinstatement of the former station on Argyle Street at Finnieston, between Partick and Charing Cross, should therefore be added to the list of proposed stations with existing undeveloped access potential reserved accordingly.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****190 / 1437****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Welcomes the commitment to partnership working in considering how best to deliver public transport access to Glasgow Airport. Identifying sustainable transport solutions to improve surface access to the Airport remains a fundamental issue which requires continued partnership working between all stakeholders to realise Glasgow Airport's full potential as a key component of the City region's and Scotland's economic infrastructure, as recognised by NPF2.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1229****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Scottish Govt welcomes Option 4.3A that City Plan 2 rail designations should be reviewed.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1230****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Para. 2.88 inaccurately refers to Transport Scotland and Network Rail as 'funding organisations'

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1231****Issue****4.3****comment**

Transport Scotland states in relation to Robroyston/Millerston There are a number of issues likely to affect the viability of a business case for a new railway at Robroyston. Operational constraints indicate that a new station is likely to have detrimental effects on existing services and rolling stock requirements and the operation of Queen Street. Current passenger loadings mean there is insufficient capacity on the trains to cater for the morning peak. Also additional fare revenues would be insufficient to cover added running costs. Impact on journey times also needs to be considered. These challenges make it highly unlikely that a business case can be made. Since Scottish Govt has no funding plans for a station, further consideration should be given as to how best connect the CGA to the public transport network. This is the responsibility of the developer and transport alternatives should be assessed.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1232****Issue****4.3****comment**

Transport Scotland considers that Crossrail was considered as part of the STPR and rejected. As a stand alone intervention it would not deliver significant improvement to W. of Scotland. STPR Intervention 24 supports addressing capacity problems at Glasgow Central and Queen St. Improvement to Edinburgh - Glasgow are likely to provide much of the benefit Crossrail sought to provide at a fraction of the cost. Cross rail would involve a number of trade offs including diverting services from the city centre, lightly loaded services that would be expensive to operate, flows that are of an order of magnitude smaller than to the city centre. Scottish Govt has no plans to improve or fund crossrail.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1233****Issue****4.3****comment**

Transport Scotland considers that the Carmyle to Newton Chord should be safeguarded to support a possible HSR.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1234****Issue** 4.3**comment** Transport Scotland welcomes the Strathbungo link which may be of use in development of STPR Intervention 24.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1235****Issue** 4.3**comment** The Garngad Chord has been removed from the EGIP programme scope nevertheless safeguarding it for future use is welcomed.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1692****Issue** 4.3**comment** Appendix B**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1172****Issue** 4.3**comment** If there is justification and cross agency support and commitment to such proposals then they should be included in the plan. Proposals which are only aspirations should not be allowed to blight opportunities for other development which would benefit the City.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****197 / 1775****Issue** 4.3**comment** CDL supports the Council's preferred option.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3570****Issue** 4.3**comment** Yes – agree with Option 4.3 A re local rail infrastructure.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****201/671****Issue** 4.3**comment** Issue 4.3 – Delivery of Local Rail Infrastructure □ Our Association believes that the extensive Glasgow suburban rail system should be developed as an integral part of the public transport network in the Glasgow region. In our submission to the Rail 2014 consultation, we will make the case for an urban rail development framework allowing greater alignment with land use planning and strategic development in the Glasgow area. This issue is also highly relevant to the Scottish Government's Cities strategy.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4017****Issue** 4.3**comment** Option 4.3 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group, whilst noting that capital resources remain limited at this time.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3481****Issue** 4.3**comment** We agree with Option 4.3.A.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****232 / 1442****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Option B (Retaining all or significantly more of the City Plan 2 rail schemes as proposals in the Plan is preferred). Good rail projects should not be lost just because funding is not presently allocated or because a more strategic organisation does not like it.

**your wish** Preferred Option is Issue 4.3 B

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****232 / 1443****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Support the proposed line re-openings of GARL, CrossRail, Garngad Chord, Strathbungo and Newton-Carmyle Link

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****237 / 1685****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Commend the Council's ambition to try to secure (along with Government and other bodies) the achievement of Crossrail and in particular the proposed station at Glasgow Cross. It recognises the need to properly connect and integrate our rail systems and adapt more responsively to the changing travel needs of the 21st century. It would provide direct rail services across Glasgow without the unwelcome and irritating need to change rail stations in Central Glasgow. It would benefit a whole segment east-central Glasgow, which at present suffers from poor direct access to market opportunities across the Clydeside conurbation including market outlets in south west Glasgow, Renfrew and areas of Ayrshire and Inverclyde. There would be very significant social, economic and environmental benefits in the Trongate/Saltmarket/London Road/Gallowgate/High Street areas including to the Untouchables business in Molendinar Street. It would serve the travel needs of a wide cross section of retail, business, travel to work, educational, leisure and other travel purposes into and out of the high density residential, retail, commercial, business and educational catchment area in east-central Glasgow. Crossrail in conjunction with a direct rail route to Glasgow Airport would almost certainly achieve major time savings to Paisley and Glasgow Airport. Provision of a direct rail link to any sizable airport constitutes a 'quality brand'.

**your wish** Support Option 4.3A.

**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****243/400****Issue** 4.3**comment** Yes**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****244/1689****Issue** 4.3**comment** Supports the safeguarding of rail links and new station sites as shown on Map 11. Particular support is given to Crossrail. This is promoted by Glasgow Airport in their Master Plan 2011 as a desirable infrastructure project which 'offers the potential to greatly enhance cross-city and cross-country connectivity and is an important element for onward travel between the Airport and the rest of the Country'. The proposed electrification of the City Union Line (part of Crossrail) by Network Rail for empty stock movements would facilitate and reduce any capital cost of using the route to permit direct all-electric passenger trains running between Ayrshire/Inverclyde/Renfrewshire and Edinburgh (via the Airdrie-Bathgate Line). Similarly Crossrail could be used to link, via the Garngad Chord and the proposed electrified Cumbernauld Line, to Falkirk, Stirling etc. Completion of Crossrail would remove the the need for interchange between Central and Queen Street Stations with the associated half hour delay for these journeys.**your wish** Support Option 4.3A.**postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****247/363****Issue** 4.3**comment** Useful for Glasgow to have a plan and a "shopping list" of requirements. But surely this, in fact, depends on the Scottish Government making funding available.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.  
4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 522****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Option 4.3. B. is preferred. Options should not be deleted because they are not supported by other agencies. They should remain as "aspirations".

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 523****Issue** 4.3

**comment** There is no mention of creating accompanying incentives for improved park and ride schemes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****269 / 422****Issue** 4.3

**comment** The rail proposals being promoted should include the proposed station at Drumchapel West. This should include a freight facility to support the development and sustainability of exports. An interchange (Partick) is also important.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1561****Issue** 4.3

**comment** Local Rail Infrastructure - Hyndland East junction is a nationally-recognised "pinch point" for the Glasgow local rail network. This junction needs an increased capacity. Another issue at Hyndland Station, 12th busiest in Scotland, is lack of level access from Queensborough Gardens, which is at least 1km from the nearest entrance in Churchill Drive. And it also requires a new platform for the Edinburgh route, under EGIP.

The view of Transport Scotland and the Scottish Government, which funds most rail network upgrades, must surely become more positive, if Glasgow presents to them a coherent citywide "proactive" policy, than the current ad hoc "reactive" stance.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to promote some rail proposals for development during the lifetime of the plan, and identify other aspirations for development in the longer term, should circumstances allow.

4.3

---

**Main Issues Report****110 / 656****Issue****4.3a****comment**

We support Option 4.3A for the aim of safeguarding land areas and or alignment required for: 4 rail link routes and New Station sites

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****122 / 660****Issue****4.3a****comment**

with regard to Issue 4.3A Delivery of Local Rail Infrastructure, I would like to advocate the creation of the Glasgow Crossrail Scheme. As well as increasing local journey opportunities within Glasgow this scheme would also become an important part of the national rail network by allowing cross-Scotland rail travel thus avoiding the time consuming change of trains and / or stations in Glasgow

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****122 / 661****Issue****4.3a****comment**

The curve at Garngad is also vital in this regard. As well as facilitating cross-Scotland journeys, this curve would also improve the journey times of trains from Cumbernauld and Falkirk to Glasgow Queen Street Low Level. As I am sure you are aware this scheme was once in the EGIP proposals but seems to have been discarded in recent times for a proposal to reverse at Springburn. This scheme is vital in order to give competitive journey times for this rail corridor.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****201 / 672****Issue****4.3a****comment**

We agree that Option 4.3A, to include rail proposals and aspirational designations for new stations and links, is the preferred option.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****10/165****Issue** 4.4

**comment** Yes. I'm in my 40's and am slightly disabled. I drive and own a car. I find that 70 MPH is a bit difficult, I get pain that lasts for days if I do it too much. I find 60 mph more easy and I use much much less petrol. But if everyone is doing 70 and it is busy, I feel I must drive faster too. I fear talk of 80 limit.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****28/135****Issue** 4.4

**comment** M8 Interchange - Yes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****43/246****Issue** 4.4

**comment** Yes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****60/3324****Issue** 4.4

**comment** East Dunbartonshire Council supports the safeguarding of land for the dualling of the Bishopbriggs Relief Road (if required).

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****71/3843****Issue****4.4****comment**

It is our hope that South Street which carries traffic through Scotstoun to the Sawmill Roundabout can be upgraded at the same time as Phase 2 of the North Clydeside Development Route to Yoker is completed otherwise it is our belief that the existing bottle neck at the end of the expressway as it joins Dumbarton Road will continue and worsen.

**your wish**

Full Completion of the North Clydeside Development Route.

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****72/1118****Issue****4.4****comment**

Supports Glasgow's continued identification of and commitment to the North Clydeside Development Route.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****88/612****Issue****4.4****comment**

Several respondents within GCPH disagreed with Option 4.4A, that suggests investigating the potential of a north circumferential route, and the general statements about the requirement for more new local roads. The argument that these roads are considered necessary to facilitate development and regeneration is regarded as questionable and not well supported by evidence. In addition, building more roads encourages increased car use which is neither sustainable nor healthy.

**your wish**

We need to stimulate behaviour change that reduces car use for medium and longer-length journeys not build more circumferential (and local) roads that are very expensive and encourage car use rather than use of public transport.

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****88/613****Issue** 4.4

**comment** There is a clear social gradient in rates of child and adult pedestrian injuries due to collisions with motor vehicles and the number and severity of those injuries is directly related to speed.

**your wish** There needs to be a reference to reducing the speed at which cars travel.

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

---

**Main Issues Report****90/575****Issue** 4.4

**comment** Option 4.4 states that new road improvements (including Townhead M8 Interchange) will be funded through new development to be determined in the Proposed Plan. We would appreciate clarification as to how this will be achieved in practice and would welcome the opportunity to be consulted on any such policy from the Council in due course.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4093****Issue** 4.4

**comment** SPT notes the options for local road proposals identified in option 4.4 and map 12. Many of the road schemes noted are long standing aspirations and the priority for their delivery should be determined through a comprehensive STAG based study of the transport needs of the local communities they serve. It is noted that Map 12 notes the proposals as "major road proposals" although the purpose of the roads included are to serve the community growth areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

---

**Main Issues Report****97 / 1404****Issue****4.4****comment**

Support for the retention of the local road schemes safeguarded in City Plan 2 (including Crookston Spine/Bus Link Road, Duke Street realignment at Parkhead, Easterhouse Regeneration Route, Gartloch Road Upgrade, Robroyston/Millerston Spine/Bus Link Road, Blackhill Road Upgrade at Summerston, Bishopbriggs Relief Road dualling) as these would facilitate development and provision of appropriate bus services in the areas concerned.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1617****Issue****4.4****comment**

While accept that new roads are required to access new areas of development, concerned that a number of new build through road proposals remain within the City. Pleased that the proposed road-building programme has not been further expanded and that only those projects not advanced since City Plan 2 remain.

**your wish**

Pleased that the proposed road-building programme has not been further expanded.

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1618****Issue****4.4****comment**

Bishopbriggs Relief Road (dualling), Duke Street Realignment (Parkhead), Easterhouse Regeneration Route, Gartloch Road Upgrade Request to see specific plans in order to form detailed opinion. Likely to be opposed to these projects as they are new build through routes or substantial upgrades within the dense urban fabric.

**your wish**

Encourage a substantial revision of Option 4.4A.

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1619****Issue****4.4**

**comment** Blackhill Road Upgrade (Summerston), Crookston Spine/Bus Link Road, Robroyston/Millerston Spine/Bus Link Road Request to see specific plans in order to form detailed opinion.

**your wish** Encourage a substantial revision of Option 4.4A.

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1620****Issue****4.4**

**comment** East End Regeneration Route (Phase 2), North Clydeside Development Route (Phase 2) Understood to be grade separated routes through dense urban fabric unavailable for active travel so oppose them. Would prefer to re-use any former rail alignments utilised for improved public transport.

**your wish** Encourage a substantial revision of Option 4.4A.

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1621****Issue****4.4**

**comment** North Circumferential Route While recognising the need for roads to access new areas of development, it could become a fast route between Bearsden/Milngavie and the M80, so blighting the communities it is supposed to assist and spawning car orientated development.

**your wish** Encourage a substantial revision of Option 4.4A.

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1622****Issue****4.4**

**comment** Anderston/Townhead M8 retains significant dead zones along its route through the City Centre. Council needs to redeem the unbroken ugliness of this corridor.

**your wish** Encourage a substantial revision of Option 4.4A.

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2806****Issue** 4.4

**comment** We support the preferred approach but recommend that the high habitat network value of Barmulloch – Lambhill disused railway be taken in to account in the early assessment of potential routes. It seems likely that this disused railway line could be considered for the north circumferential road, notwithstanding its status as a Safeguarded Rail Route.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****115/633****Issue** 4.4

**comment** The potential for any allotment site to be lost should be checked and if so plans made for a replacement equivalent site

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****124/3862****Issue** 4.4

**comment** ..the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2,.' should be revisited to consider how they best fit in with a cycling priority agenda.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****149/2957****Issue** 4.4

**comment** Development of the Easterhouse Regeneration route and the Gartloch Road upgrade must be integrated with the proposed Seven Lochs Wetland Park and include linked provision for walking and cycling which support wider access networks within and around the Wetland Park.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3409****Issue****4.4****comment**

Building more roads mitigates against work done to encourage public transport use. The cumulative effect of MIR options with respect to public transport and active travel must be acknowledged. Prioritising these will make alternative visions of regeneration and development which are not road and private car dependent more feasible.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3430****Issue****4.4****comment**

Consider congestion charging, creating streets for pedestrians and cyclists only and 20mph zones and implement where suitable.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3431****Issue****4.4****comment**

Retrofitting of the existing urban environment to capitalise on infrastructure that already exists; enhance and connect train station by making them accessible for bikes, wheelchairs and buggies; apply dropped kerbs, apply 20 mph zones to reduce congestion; introduce compulsory taxi, bus and heavy goods vehicle driver cyclist awareness training; enforce traffic law to penalise dangerous driving; increase pedestrian and cycling phases at junctions, introduce one-way contra flow systems to create direct routes for cyclists; prioritise cyclist and pedestrian safety, remove pedestrian barriers and other streets designs which encourage dangerous driving.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****174/764****Issue** 4.4

**comment** Regarding Option 4.4, roads associated with new community developments should be minimised to reduce carbon emissions, and ensure that such communities have safe attractive cycle paths and walking routes with good access to community amenities.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****191/1237****Issue** 4.4

**comment** Option 4.4A refers to feasibility studies for a north circumferential route and for integrating Anderston and Townhead M8 junctions to the local road network. This work should also examine the potential effects of such proposals on the M8.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****195/1823****Issue** 4.4

**comment** The respondents support the preferred option (4.4A), however, are concerned that the status of the safeguarded routes remains to be determined in the Proposed Plan.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****196/1173****Issue** 4.4

**comment** Any proposal within the plan must be supported by all agencies involved in public transport and subject of cross agency commitment. It will be for public transport agencies to establish feasibility of alternative transport facilities.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3571****Issue** 4.4

**comment** No – disagree with Option 4.4 A – the need for the North Clydeside route needs to be revived in the light of the removal of the toll from the Erskine Bridge, the completion of the M74 extension and the completion of the A80 upgrade.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****210/1138****Issue** 4.4

**comment** Supports GCC's preferred option 4.4A which includes the propmotion of the Duke Street realignment.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4018****Issue** 4.4

**comment** Option 4.4 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group, which recognises the importance of roads for the economic development and connectivity of our citizens. Green technology for motor vehicles is improving rapidly and will form a crucial component of transport in future years. The Group also notes that many local back lanes require to be developed and sustained; Council should consider guidance on the use and maintenance of back lanes to ensure their future as important local amenities. Consideration of efficient and safe routes for cyclists should be integral to all road construction and upgrades.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****243/401****Issue** 4.4

**comment** Yers, EER needs to be completed

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 524****Issue** 4.4

**comment** Option 4.4. B is preferred. This is more sympathetic to the preferred option in relation to rail schemes. It is noted that the LDP prefers retention of the "aspirations" for new and improved roads, thus giving new roads preferential treatment over new rail services generally.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****261 / 1390****Issue** 4.4

**comment** Development opportunities in North Glasgow constrained by traffic congestion/generation. Plan attached showing opportunity for provision of a new northern distributor/orbital road running from Summerston east to Milton linking onto Springburn expressway. All land owned by Caledonian Properties. Seen as opportunity to deliver meaningful change with substantial benefits in the Summerston/Milton/Possil areas of Glasgow. In principle, Caledonian Properties would be willing to make requisite land available and part assist in its funding.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to retain the local road proposals identified in City Plan 2, together with the potential M8 interchange proposals, for development during the lifetime of the plan. We would like to identify the Baillieston/Broomhouse bus link road proposal as an aspiration and further investigate a north circular route.

4.4

---

**Main Issues Report****147 / 2935****Issue** 4.4 A

**comment** The development of a north circumferential route would be inconsistent with the 'sustainable connections' theme which forms the basis of the future regeneration content on page 7 of the MIR.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3419****Issue****4.4B****comment**

Option 4.4B (rather than Option 4.4A) must - in the light of the Climate Change Act commitments – be the preferred option. Development and regeneration throughout the city are, and must be, attainable through other means than encouraging private car use, in order to achieve the legal carbon emissions reduction.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****10 / 226****Issue****4.5****comment**

Good luck. They will not like that. Any early burden of debt will scare them off.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****19 / 70****Issue****4.5****comment**

No I don't agree as Fastlink only serves the Harbour dev and not the rest of Whiteinch and Scotstoun and surrounding districts. Include all areas above in the proposals to benefit all.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****47 / 291****Issue****4.5****comment**

Yes, but please include South Street to terminate at the New Tesco Store.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****71 / 3844****Issue** **4.5****comment** The route for the third phase of Fastlink to Clydebank is unclear.**your wish** Publication of all proposed routes and an undertaking to prevent planning blight.**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.  
4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****90 / 574****Issue** **4.5****comment** Option 4.5 identifies proposals for the existing Fastlink route as in City Plan 2. In terms of the Fastlink route's proposed extension to the east of the city over time, it is not clear how any developer contribution policy would be applied to new development proposals in the vicinity of the route and we would appreciate further information.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.  
4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****93 / 645****Issue** **4.5****comment** 3. Need for more consideration of developer contributions in relation to Subway Modernisation as well as Fastlink and other sustainable transport proposals**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.  
4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****93 / 650****Issue** **4.5****comment** 8. Review of transport infrastructure options: Fastlink routings and next stages are not yet confirmed in detail**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.  
4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****93/652****Issue** 4.5

**comment** 10. Developer contributions: Welcome the opportunity this provides for transport and considers that the scope of projects should include additional projects including Fastlink, Park and Ride and Subway modernisation.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4056****Issue** 4.5

**comment** Fastlink routings and next stages are not yet confirmed in detail for all of the routes. We support the need to develop Fastlink to the east and agree this should be taken forward as an early phase, once the current phases of the project are underway. However, we are not able to support the indicative route shown in the MIR, pending further review and evaluation.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4082****Issue** 4.5

**comment** While SPT supports the inclusion of Fastlink and developer contributions as an Option, it is not considered that, at this stage, sufficient transport planning appraisal has been carried out to identify a firm route for Fastlink to the East End/ Clyde Gateway area. Updates to the specific routes for Fastlink will be provided when available in relation to this route and also the routes to the west on the the north and south banks of the Clyde. While City Plan 2 includes route for Fastlink, it should be noted that the specific routes are being refined and this work includes the routings through the city centre as well as to Renfrew. We would ask that the Proposed Plan reflects the best information on Fastlink available for your timescales.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

## Issue

## 4.5

## comment

SPT is the promoter of Fastlink and has secured funding for the delivery of the core section of this bus rapid transit project from the city centre to SECC and the new South Glasgow Hospital. City Plan 2 policy and guidance on developer contribution has secured funding to assist the delivery of the scheme and the continuation of this policy is strongly supported by SPT.

Developer funding is an important component of the funding for Fastlink and we welcome the Council's recognition of a need to refresh the terms of the Policy.

We note that paragraph 2.96 identifies Central station as a terminus for Fastlink services. To be most effective Fastlink will also require to penetrate to and through the city centre, linking the main rail stations and bus stations. The Fastlink concept includes a "roll-out" to other routes in the city and beyond the city boundary to West Dunbartonshire, Renfrewshire and other council areas in the conurbation.

SPT supports the principle of a Fastlink East End route. The precise routing of this is yet to be identified. The route shown on Map 13 should therefore be considered as indicative. SPT is working with Glasgow City Council to identify future routes and there is potential for other routes radiating from the city centre based on the work of the Conurbation Study and referenced in the Proposed Strategic Development Plan. It is essential that a developer contribution policy is in place for these additional routes. We agree that it is appropriate to review and update the policy guidance and welcome early and detailed involvement in this process.

The precise routing for Fastlink is being refined and this will inform the development areas that will be subject to a developer contribution policy.

Option 4.5A covers a number of different aspects of route reservation, the level of developer contribution for Fastlink and provision and funding for transport infrastructure for major development. The Proposed Plan should provide policies and guidance on all of these areas.

In addition we would also wish to see specific policy and guidance for developments in the vicinity of the Subway stations that could contribute to some aspects of Subway Modernisation. This could be based on the identification of catchment areas related to proximity to the stations, in line with the established Fastlink developer contribution policy.

We support the development of Supplementary Guidance to secure the provision and funding of public transport infrastructure and public transport services to enable new development. This should also be applied to existing consented development sites if a renewal of planning permission is sought.

## your wish

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****96/1731****Issue** 4.5**comment** SE supports the preferred option (4.5A).**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.  
4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****97/1405****Issue** 4.5**comment** Realistic developer contributions are critically important to ensure that attractive public transport is provided, not just on an expanded Fastlink network, but in all major new developments. While the preferred option refers to the level of developer contributions being updated by the cost index for road construction, it makes no reference to the need for ongoing financial support to underwrite the cost of providing public transport services during the period when the scale of a new development is insufficient to make such services sustainable.**your wish** Wish to see this issue included in the option regarding developer contributions.**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.  
4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1623****Issue** 4.5**comment** Fastlink Concerned about insufficient dedicated right of way between Broomielaw, Govan and South Glasgow Hospital and that the Fastlink East Route would operate separately from the west routes. Instead of Fastlink East, propose utilising former rail alignments (High Street - Bridgeton Cross -Parkhead) for light rail. While in favour of improved public transport links to both banks of the Clyde and the need to mitigate the costs of new transport systems, wary of extending the bus rapid transit concept where better alternatives could be available.**your wish** Generally support Option 4.5A but specific reservations about the Fastlink East proposal.**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.  
4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1624****Issue****4.5****comment**

Developer Contributions Supportive of more imaginative and robust approach to financing transport infrastructure improvements, such as workplace parking charges.

**your wish**

Generally support Option 4.5A but specific reservations about the Fastlink East proposal.

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****124 / 3863****Issue****4.5****comment**

The plans for '..the Fastlink proposals..' should be aligned to integrate with cycling, as seen in the bus schemes in Bogota and Curitiba.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****149 / 2958****Issue****4.5****comment**

We support the idea of a Network of Strategic Cycle Routes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****150/2884****Issue** **4.5**

**comment** The Main Issues Report suggests a requirement for a new key policy to allow for developer contributions towards transport infrastructure. The Partnership considers this approach to be rather vague and is unclear how the Council intend to take this forward. Reference is made to the need for the Council to produce supplementary guidance to detail how this would work in practice. Buchanan Partnership would request that any policy approach in this regard is clearly set out in a future draft of the LDP to allow for comment and scrutiny prior to the policy being adopted by the City Council. We refer you to the above point on the economic constraints on the delivery of development at present, and suggest that additional financial burdens on developers through planning policy will require a robust justification by the Council

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3693****Issue** **4.5**

**comment** We prefer option 4.5a, but hope that a cautious approach is taken to payment delays and methods. We have experience of subordinate companies being set up for a specific work and going bust before liable for retrospective payment and repair. Even the most reputable of firms may be vulnerable to the economic downturn

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3694****Issue** **4.5**

**comment** Flood issues may be relevant along the Clyde

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3695****Issue****4.5****comment**

Buses - not mentioned elsewhere. A full strategic transport plan is not possible without some re-regulation of the buses

**your wish**

Policies to regulate buses more to enable full strategic transport system

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****182/4055****Issue****4.5****comment**

While recognising the importance of a modern high quality public transport system and general transport system, Dawn would warn against any expectations re. developer contributions for example to fund the Clyde Fastlink as proposed in preferred Option 4.5A. It is suggested that the Council look for example to schemes such as Tax Incremental Funding (TIF).

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3963****Issue****4.5****comment**

The decision to route the Fastlink over the "Squinty Bridge" has removed its benefit from the north bank beyond the SECC and we would look for enhanced connections to its route from Yorkhill and Sandyford, bearing in mind journeys to and from the Museum of Transport and the new Southern General Hospital.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****190 / 1438****Issue** **4.5**

**comment** Notes the commitment to extend Fastlink to the boundary with Renfrewshire and welcomes continued joint working in delivering Fastlink along the southern boundary of the River Clyde.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1238****Issue** **4.5**

**comment** Welcome reference to Scottish Government contribution to Fast Link. Fastlink extension to the East is a matter for SPT and the City Council but seeking developer contributions is sensible provided Circular 1/2010 is met

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1239****Issue** **4.5**

**comment** A new railway station 'in order to enable development' is insufficient justification. SPP states best use should be made of the existing rail network before new stations are considered - as does Network Rail's Investment in Stations. Business case, engineering and operational feasibility, subsidy implications and initial capital costs should be explored in transport appraisal.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1174****Issue****4.5****comment**

The principle of Fastlink needs to be reconsidered and re - evaluated since the introduction of Fastlink onto existing roads is unlikely to achieve the objectives of an effective light rapid transport system. The extension of Fastlink to the east end on such a basis is entirely aspirational and with funding policies effectively reducing the viability of any future development adjacent to the selected route. This will reduce investment in essential regeneration projects.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****197 / 1776****Issue****4.5****comment**

CDL supports the Council's preferred option, but requests the level of contributions be revised to take consideration of current economic constraints.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3572****Issue****4.5****comment**

Yes – agree with Option 4.5 A re Clyde Fastlink and developer contributions

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****207 / 1671****Issue****4.5****comment**

There is conflict between the proposed increase in the level of developer contributions for Fastlink and the acknowledgement of the structural economic difficulties in enabling contributors to make these payments, as noted in the proposal to defer payments.

**your wish**

Resolve conflict between proposed increase in developer contributions and proposal to defer payment. Agree that the trigger for contributions should be changed to allow development to proceed and become established.

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****207 / 1672****Issue****4.5****comment**

Glasgow Harbour supports the concept of Fastlink, however its delivery has been slow and problematic. Glasgow Harbour's experience has been: DG/TRANS 4 provides no clarity on developer contributions or clear mechanism for calculating contributions for large scale developments; creates uncertainty in project delivery; the wide reservation strips for Fastlink have lead to sterilisation, uncertainty and potentially blight; uncertainty in the development of Fastlink beyond the core proposal has resulted in land sterilisation that adversely impacts on bringing forward the proposed development; reserving wide strips of developable land for a project that will not proceed (especially when coupled to footpath and cyclepath reservations), encourages disconnection between the existing and proposed development; Fastlink, if built, will create a greater disconnection from the Clyde Waterfront by creating a more impenetrable barrier to pedestrian connectivity than currently exists; there often appears to be uncertainty on what the Fastlink requirements are in respect of, for example, land reservations, lease agreements and payment triggers; the process of where payments lie, how these will be utilised and the level of payments required all need to be more transparent and robust; there should be adequate resourcing in place to avoid delays in securing any necessary legal agreements that a planning permission may depend on; there must be a time limit to delivery, particularly where developer contributions are provided.

**your wish**

There needs to be greater clarity in how the Council proposes to deliver Fastlink, what Fastlink will entail, how contributions will be calculated, who will be responsible for contributions and when these will be triggered. Should Fastlink be a dedicated bus service, the delivery strategy needs reconsidering to find a more deliverable alternative. Dedicated bus lanes should be considered as a preferred option rather than sterilising valuable development land. Where legal constraints are attached to any planning permission, there must be mechanisms in place to ensure resolution.

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****217 / 1681****Issue****4.5****comment**

Noted that DG/TRANS 4 provides explicit means of calculating developer contributions for Clyde Fastlink. Request that any new policy or supplementary guidance should be worded and applied appropriately and fairly, taking account site circumstances and the potential impact on viability and future investment; and that policy should not be applied to conversions or rebuilding of existing properties. Request that the level of contributions required not be increased from current levels. Given the current market conditions request that any re-examination of the Fastlink contributions be restricted to consideration of the approach, and not the quantum of contributions, as any increase would only be a further negative influence on the ability to deliver development.

**your wish**

Request that any new policy or supplementary guidance should be worded and applied appropriately and fairly, taking account site circumstances and the potential impact on viability and future investment; and that policy should not be applied to conversions or rebuilding of existing properties. Request that the level of contributions required not be increased from current levels.

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4019****Issue****4.5****comment**

Option 4.5 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group. This option supports the long-term expansion aspiration for Fastlink.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3482****Issue****4.5****comment**

We agree with Option 4.5.A and would welcome the opportunity to extend the proposed Fastlink route to East Glasgow. Taken in conjunction with selection of Alternative Option 4.2.B (the High Speed Rail terminus at Bellgrove), this development would provide a significant and much-needed boost to the regeneration potential of East Glasgow.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****232 / 1444****Issue** **4.5****comment** Fastlink may be an advantage. Concentrating hospital provision on the Southern General is a planning disaster.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.  
4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****240 / 309****Issue** **4.5****comment** Provide alternatives**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.  
4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****243 / 402****Issue** **4.5****comment** Yes, but...**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.  
4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 346****Issue** **4.5****comment** Agree**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.  
4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 525****Issue****4.5****comment**

Option 4.5 A is possibly acceptable, but it is noted that there is no justification for prioritising this development over others other than as an adjunct to the Commonwealth Games - once again emphasising the fact that funding for this temporary event is prioritised over other projects that might better serve the people of Glasgow. However, the need to cross-reference to City Plan 2 makes it impossible to assess this properly.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider extending the Fastlink proposals to include a potential route to the East End of the City and extend the requirement for developers of sites around the proposed route to contribute to its development. We would also like to update the level of developer contributions and give consideration to which stage in the process is the best to take this.

4.5

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1101****Issue****4.5A****comment**

SPF warns against relying on developer contributions to fund the Clyde Fastlink as proposed in preferred option 4.5A. While a key initiative its impossible for the development industry to fund it solely through these contributions, even with the proposed phased payments.

**your wish**

Suggests the Council to look at alternative funding for these schemes, such as Tax Incremental Financing (TIF)

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****1 / 67****Issue****4.6****comment**

Cycle routes at present are inadequate. Cyclists need physical separation from motorists. Green lines painted offer no protection and are not statutory. Cars park on them.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.

4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****3 / 15****Issue****4.6****comment**

Protect the cyclists - not the network!!

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.

4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****10/162****Issue** 4.6

**comment** That would be ideal. However, I can't cycle to my local park. The roundabout at Barrhead Road/Nether Auldhouse Road cannot be used safely by bikes. Also kerb islands to narrow the road and slow traffic don't work. They make cycling extremely frightening. Many cars come up fast from behind and expect the bike to stop and let the car pass.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****12/46****Issue** 4.6

**comment** I'd like to be able to cycle more but don't want to cycle on roads. A good cycle network, especially along the riverside, would be wonderful, if extended out past Glasgow Harbour.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****14/52****Issue** 4.6

**comment** Existing routes - i.e. green painted at the edge of the road, are no use due to parked cars. If you are serious about bikes - be serious about the routes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****23/84****Issue** 4.6

**comment** Perhaps a similar scheme to the bike initiative in London should be done. Bike rental should be cheap and affordable for all. More places from where to rent bikes should be introduced.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****25/98****Issue****4.6****comment**

Very good idea. Glasgow cycle lanes are less than useless. We need lanes that are well signed, unambiguous and can take children through traffic lights and junctions. Glasgow needs a cycle friendly infrastructure.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****26/118****Issue****4.6****comment**

As long as they don't impinge on roads. Keep them away from cars and pedestrians.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****31/191****Issue****4.6****comment**

Option 4.6A is rightly preferred but should be further strengthened. Experience in other cities such as Copenhagen shows that an assertive development of pedestrian and cycle routes can substantially reduce traffic levels, as well as improving quality of life for local residents. Significantly more safe routes for cycles need to be identified as the current routes are too often shared with cars and/or buses and are in no way safe

**your wish**

Significantly more safe routes for cycles need to be identified as the current routes are too often shared with cars and/or buses and are in no way safe. By comparison with the road proposals these are actually relatively cheap to achieve.

**posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****32/318****Issue****4.6****comment**

Strongly Agree. Many present "cycle tracks" are a dangerous farce - purely spin. Sharing with buses and taxis is a very dangerous idea. Why not use the central reservation of dual carriageways e.g. Great Western Road, West of Gartnavel.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****41/221****Issue** 4.6**comment** I would like to see more and better cycle paths throughout glasgow with dedicated cycle lanes for example recent developments by Gehl Associates.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****43/234****Issue** 4.6**comment** Yes.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****46/286****Issue** 4.6**comment** No. No increase in cycle lanes is required until they use the ones we have, instead of using the pavement. In addition it is a very rare sight to see a cyclist who obeys red traffic lights and know that the highway code applies to them as well. The answer is to pass an official test, pay road tax and insurance.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****51/289****Issue** 4.6**comment** Yes please. Getting to work in the morning is a bloody nightmare. One moment I'm car, then I'm pedestrian, then sometimes I get to be a cyclist and face the wrath of bus drivers and that's not to even mention the potholes. Not to moan but I do think this could be solved with careful planning. All we need is some safe direct routes that connect north, south, east and west.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****88/614****Issue** 4.6

**comment** There is support for the preferred option to consider establishing a network of strategic cycle routes that can be protected, maintained, and further developed. Cyclists should be meaningfully involved in this work as current provision for cyclists in Glasgow is patchy and dangerous in places.

**your wish** that there needs to be clearer leadership and greater resourcing of measures to create a safe, pleasant, accessible pedestrian and cycle infrastructure.

**posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****91/1714****Issue** 4.6

**comment** Diageo agrees with Option 4.6A and would encourage cycle facilities as part of the regeneration of Dundashill

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4095****Issue** 4.6

**comment** SPT supports the development of a more integrated network of strategic cycle routes within new development. However we would suggest that consideration is given to continue to providing safe, direct, segregated routes throughout the city, where possible. It is also important to continue to link the strategic network with rail and Subway stations to encourage "Cycle and Ride" for longer distance commuting or leisure trips.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****97/1406****Issue** 4.6

**comment** As part of a review of potential opportunities for creating a network of strategic cycle routes in the City, consideration should be given to the scope for encouraging interchange between cycle and bus at appropriate locations.

**your wish** Consideration should be given to the scope for encouraging interchange between cycle and bus at appropriate locations.

**posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1625****Issue** 4.6**comment** Supports proposals for the creation of a strategic cycle network.**your wish** Strongly in favour of the adoption of option 4.6A.**posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2807****Issue** 4.6**comment** We support the preferred approach.**your wish****posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****124/3860****Issue** 4.6**comment** The 'cycle routes' and 'comprehensive City network', suggested in the 'cycling' postcard, will need to be a part of the transformation. However this is has to be much more than a simple ' ... would like to look at the opportunities ... '. If the overall holistic approach is embraced these features would follow on as part of the process of bicycles being the mainstream, rather than a sidelined afterthought.**your wish****posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****125/1424****Issue** 4.6**comment** Introduce contraflow cycle lanes on all one way systems**your wish****posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****125/1425****Issue** 4.6**comment** Introduce cycle lanes along or parallel to all major roads. These should be cycle lanes at the side of the road and not shared paths with pedestrians.**your wish****posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****131 / 662****Issue****4.6****comment**

Although some of the aims of the plan are laudable, I am very concerned at the lack of inclusion of sustainable transport plans. Over the years I have lived in Glasgow (25) there has been an increase in train usership and a massive increase in the number of people cycling. This increase in cycling has been achieved without major infrastructure spending; indeed the majority of people commuting on cycles do so on roads where there is little, if any, cognisance of cycling as a means of transport.

**your wish**

If Glasgow is to live up to its name as a green city and to achieve its carbon reduction and transport targets then I propose that you look at the good work currently being done by the Glasgow Cycling Forum, a body hosted by the City Council, where cyclists from within the City Council, cycle groups and council officials with responsibility for cycling are working together to improve travel into and through the city for cyclists. A major success of this Forum is the current inclusion of Advanced Stop Lines for cyclists where any traffic light junction is being resurfaced and/or the road markings being renewed. This relatively simple measure highlights to all road users that there are cyclists about and will encourage people to use their bikes in what is, hopefully, becoming a cycle-friendly city.

**postcard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3410****Issue****4.6****comment**

It is true that 'better design of streets and places can help provide a good environment for walking and cycling at the local level'.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3411****Issue****4.6****comment**

The statement that 'providing for walking and cycling over longer distances is more challenging...retrofitting cycle routes into such complex environments can be extremely challenging and contentious' is un-evidenced, in fact there is plenty of evidence to the contrary. Cities such as Copenhagen, Amsterdam, Berlin and London, with the will and commitment to encourage cycling have done so often through low cost measures. For example, Transport for London has developed and mapped an extensive cycle network across London utilising less busy streets, signposting cycle routes for easy access and often stencilling an image of a bike onto the road to remind drivers of the cyclists right and likelihood to be sharing that road. Such strategies must be investigated and applied swiftly within the City as a whole to create a safe, navigable network for cyclists and walkers of all abilities and ages.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3412****Issue****4.6****comment**

Free Wheel North was commissioned by SCSP to undertake a cycling and access audit of the 2014 Commonwealth games area, focusing on footways and complex environments that weave through estates and commercial zones. This extensive research showed that, far from being 'extremely challenging and contentious' on the contrary, it is extremely easy, simple and cheap to make urban environments amenable to cycling. It only requires the modification of the odd kerb, the removal of a bollard here and there and changing barriers regimes (often just not introducing barriers in the first place is the answer). Many changes that would greatly enhance the permeability of communities, their epidemiological status, general health and economic vibrancy would cost little or nothing.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3420****Issue** 4.6

**comment** Strategic cycle routes are only part of developing a city which promotes cycling and walking and integrates these modes with public transport to tackle the Council's Climate Change Act commitments. A more useful option would be to research comparative cities, such as London, Portland, Copenhagen, Amsterdam that have undertaken similar commitments and emulate their strategies for promoting cycling as a mode of transport for all, through small scale, local and relatively cheap infrastructure changes and integrating active travel with public transport.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)

4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3421****Issue** 4.6

**comment** This 'strategic approach' to cycling misunderstands the nature of cycling behaviour. Cycling is not facilitated by Strategic Cycle Routes nor by Core Paths. All that is needed is a generally permeable urban realm, linking together good examples of well-designed streets.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)

4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3422****Issue** 4.6

**comment** Core path strategies are relevant to intercity travel by car not to daily commuter and leisure journeys that are, or could be, walked or cycled.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)

4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3423****Issue** 4.6

**comment** Cycling is a matter of connecting the micro fabric of community, of making a multiplicity of connections between points of usually less than 2 miles separation and that constitute the glue of society. Micro-connectivity helps the local economy and enhances the possibility of human interaction.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)

4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3424****Issue** **4.6****comment** Longer journeys by cycle can be made simple by passing through a number of adjacent well designed neighbourhoods.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3425****Issue** **4.6****comment** Glasgow, to a large extent already has a Core Path network, but this is ineffective in promoting cycling because the problem facing pedestrians and cyclist is lack of connectivity between their current location and the core path itself.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3426****Issue** **4.6****comment** Core Paths - Accessing the path is often difficult or even impossible depending on the level of need of the path user. The paths are sometimes even closed by locked gates.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****158 / 1756****Issue** **4.6****comment** Forth and Clyde Canal towpath should be identified within the strategic cycle routes within Glasgow, with potential to also be part of a wider national cycle network.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****169/836****Issue** 4.6**comment** Strategic cycle routes should also be linked in the LDP to existing and proposed green networks**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****174/765****Issue** 4.6**comment** Agree fully with Option 4.6, but would hope to see a timescale for implementation**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3964****Issue** 4.6**comment** Option A preferred.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3573****Issue** 4.6**comment** Yes – agree with option 4.6 A re the development of strategic cycle routes**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****207/1673****Issue** 4.6**comment** Concerned about the provision and protection of the strategic cycle routes which could lead to further uncertainty, land take from development sites and potentially no clear plan in place to demonstrate how this provision is made.**your wish** Requirement for clear plan for the provision of strategic cycle routes.**posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4020****Issue** 4.6**comment** Option 4.6 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3483****Issue** 4.6**comment** We agree with Option 4.6.A.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3484****Issue** 4.6**comment** We ask that consideration be given to development of disused rail track routes as key elements within a wider network of cycle routes.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****240/314****Issue** 4.6**comment** Go for alternatives**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****247/362****Issue** 4.6**comment** Serious concerns about this, the colleges cycle route in the West End was very unsatisfactory according to the cyclists (Go bike) and not good for Glasgow West Conservation Area. More care is needed in this matter.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****251 / 487****Issue****4.6****comment**

Creation of cycle lanes is a waste of resources if they are later compromised by failures of maintenance (examples are failure to repaint lines and Advanced Stop Lines, failure to restore surface colours after wear or roadworks, thoughtless planting of street furniture in shared paths, closures or diversion for construction workd with no or unhelpful signage).

**your wish**

Mechanisms to enforce the maintenance of existing cycle routes before the creation of new ones which will, in turn, be degraded. Prohibition of any street furniture which reduces path width below the standard. Protocols for temporary, or permanent, closure or diversion of cycle/footpaths which include standards for what information must be given on signs.

**posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1562****Issue****4.6****comment**

Strategic Cycle Routes - Must take account of Conservation Areas and older streets – not everywhere is actually suitable for cycle routes ... e.g Highburgh Road section of the Colleges Cycle Route was recently implemented by LES, which in the end was deemed unsatisfactory both by the Cyclists, and by the Conservation interests.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to look at the opportunities to create more cycle routes with a view to establishing, protecting and promoting a comprehensive City network.](#)  
4.6

---

**Main Issues Report****165 / 1311****Issue****4.6 A****comment**

sportscotland strongly supports this preferred option

**your wish**

It is important to appreciate the contribution such routes can make to recreational as well as functional cycling and to consider opportunities to join strategic routes up with other cycle networks in Glasgow, including routes that extend outwith the city and into the wider countryside.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****165 / 1312****Issue****4.6 A****comment**

In relation to strategic cycle routes it might be appropriate to refer to the proposals being taken forward by Scottish Natural Heritage to extend the John Muir Way from Musselburgh to Balloch.

**your wish**

While the main route will pass to the north of Glasgow City, proposals have been put forward to develop a spur that will extend into Glasgow. This will use the existing River Kelvin walkway to join the JMW up with the Clyde walk/cycle way.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****8 / 37****Issue****4.7****comment**

Yes, it is the right approach

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.

4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****10 / 169****Issue****4.7****comment**

Yes. Do it.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.

4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****27 / 124****Issue****4.7****comment**

Unsure what the first half of this proposal means. I'm delighted the A to B has reopened and would look to see an increase in private/socially rented housing alongside it. Also would support industrial developments and freight grants to businesses alongside.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.

4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****88/615****Issue** 4.7**comment** We are curious as to why walking as a means of travel and the influence of safe, well-lit, attractive environments is not mentioned here.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****93/644****Issue** 4.7**comment** 2. Need for a much greater emphasis on the role of the Subway Stations in regeneration of related areas. Recognition of the benefits of the £300M investment in Subway modernisation as a catalyst for local regeneration.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4096****Issue** 4.7**comment** We support the principle of permitting high density development in the most accessible locations in preference to lower density developments. SPT can provide accessibility maps for bus, rail and Subway based on network analysis, should be this required and as previously provided to support the development of the MIR.

Map 14 identifies a new station at Parkhead as a component of the completed Airdrie Bathgate improvements. Transport Scotland should be consulted regarding the desirability and deliverability of this within the life of the Proposed Plan. As noted above, it is relevant to consider the transport needs of the local areas in considering optimal transport solutions and, while we support the intentions of Option 4.7, we do not have sufficient information to comment on the feasibility of demand for a new station at Parkhead.

**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****97 / 1407****Issue** 4.7

**comment** Support for the option of maximising the connectivity benefit that could be obtained by encouraging higher density development, taking account other constraints, at locations offering a high level of public transport availability such as adjacent to bus stations or along high frequency bus corridors.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1626****Issue** 4.7

**comment** Strongly support general policy of orientating development towards existing transport infrastructure.

**your wish** Strongly support the adoption of Option 4.7A.

**posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3413****Issue** 4.7

**comment** Stations on the Airdrie/Bathgate line need to be made accessible which they currently are not, to wheelchairs, pushchairs and other users with disabilities.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****187 / 3965****Issue** 4.7

**comment** Option A preferred.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1240****Issue** 4.7

**comment** Welcome siting of developments in well connected places.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1175****Issue** 4.7**comment** This is again an essential requirement of plan making.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3574****Issue** 4.7**comment** Yes – agree with Option 4.7 A re enhanced connectivity**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4021****Issue** 4.7**comment** Option 4.7 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3485****Issue** 4.7**comment** We agree with Option 4.7.A. Taken in conjunction with selection of Alternative Option 4.2.B (the High Speed Rail terminus at Bellgrove), this development would provide a significant and much-needed boost to the regeneration potential of East Glasgow.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****232 / 1445****Issue** 4.7**comment** Many communities would welcome a better frequency train service.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 354****Issue** 4.7**comment** Agree**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 485****Issue** 4.7**comment** "Making better use of enhanced connectivity" does not belong in this theme, but relates to development and so belongs in Theme 2**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 526****Issue** 4.7**comment** Option 4.7 Investigate options for maximising the potential social and development benefits of existing/new high accessibility locations) relates to other issues, not to enhanced connectivity.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1563****Issue** 4.7**comment** Enhanced Connectivity - Support for Option 4.7A, with the proviso of taking proper cognisance of the Conservation Areas and Listed buildings that may be located at high accessibility locations in existing developed areas.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to consider how best to maximise the benefits of the City's most accessible locations and the re-opening of the Airdrie-Bathgate line.  
4.7

---

**Main Issues Report****2 / 7****Issue** 4.8**comment** Sooner or later you will have to enter the 21st century and ban private cars from the City Centre. The market will then take care of parking provision.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****3/13****Issue** 4.8

**comment** Temporary car parks fulfil another aim of these statements\* and are necessary to commuters, especially at Christmas - make up your mind! \*\*ref derelict sites ... use ... on a temporary basis".

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****10/228****Issue** 4.8

**comment** No. I'm disabled. I've a 2 and half year old car, it's done 1500 miles or less. I don't go anywhere because I fear I will not get to park.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****25/96****Issue** 4.8

**comment** City centre parking should be reduced. Park and ride schemes and home delivery etc should be developed. Renfield Street, Hope Street, Argyle Street, etc should become bus and taxi only.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****26/149****Issue** 4.8

**comment** Where are existing users going to go? Public transport expensive. In some cases, lack of flexibility of public transport.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****43/247****Issue** 4.8**comment** Yes**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****60/3325****Issue** 4.8**comment** If option C was included in the Proposed Plan, EDC would request involvement in working with SPT, Transport Scotland and other Clyde Valley Local authorities with regards to investigating park and ride facilities and bus priority measures.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****88/616****Issue** 4.8**comment** do not support the preferred option 4.8A and instead support option 4.8C as they feel there is insufficient evidence that further tightening of car parking in the city centre would undermine its attractiveness as a location for new investment in development.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4097****Issue** 4.8

**comment** SPT supports the preferred option 4.8A as a balanced response to retaining the viability of the retail centre. We are working to deliver transport solutions to enhance the attractiveness of public transport access and park and ride for the city centre to reduce the demand for city centre parking. However the ready availability of low cost parking on stalled sites encourages unsustainable travel patterns for commuting and other trips. Clearly there is a need to provide some city centre parking to support the vitality of the city centre and Option4.8A seems to provide a balanced response for the next Plan.

SPT considers that there is an issue in relation to the control of the existing private non residential parking in the city centre, and this should be considered as part of Proposed Plan's policies for new development.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****97/1408****Issue** 4.8

**comment** Support for not allowing the provision of further temporary car parks in the City Centre, which could lead to existing City Centre temporary car parks being phased out in due course, given the attractiveness of public transport serving the City Centre and the fact that temporary car parks appear to be aimed at attracting commuter traffic.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1627****Issue** 4.8

**comment** Propose long term objective of reducing car parking within the City Centre, as a discouragement to car use. However, recognise that less sustainable locations have an abundance of free parking, so parking will have to be retained to maintain the attractiveness of the City Centre as a location. Propose Council should be calling for workplace parking charges and parking charges in out-of-town shopping centres. Glad that the City intends to reduce over time the amount of temporary car parking, but feel that there should be a more robust policy towards parking in the City in general.

**your wish** While accepting the limitations on the Council, more in favour of Option 4.8C.

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****117/828****Issue****4.8****comment**

Do not agree with Option 4.8A – car parking The level of car parking recommended is not sustainable. Areas covered in tarmac are very difficult to recover.

**your wish**

The Council should think creatively about encouraging people to significantly reduce their reliance on cars. Existing car parks could be used for raised beds to engage people in growing their own food. New car parks should not be built on any land that can be used for growing. Reduce car parking standards across the city and engage in a vigorous campaign to explain what harm is being done to the land and environment with car parks

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.

4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****148/3815****Issue****4.8****comment**

John Lewis agree that tightening of maximum standards could potentially impact on the attractiveness of the city centre as a location for investment. Further limitation on parking could also deter shoppers from visiting the city impacting on viability. Therefore the preferred approach of restricting temporary car parks is supported as it will not severely hinder the city centre provided that the same parking standards are set for new developments and public transport is improved. John Leis would also like to see the introduction of off peak pricing policies for parking in the city to compete with free parking at out of centre shopping locations.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.

4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****151/3414****Issue****4.8****comment**

It is not only possible to reduce car use within they city centre further. It is in fact imperative to do so in terms of all the objectives set out within the Broad Future Regeneration Context.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.

4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****151/3415****Issue** **4.8**

**comment** There are already huge impacts on health and wellbeing due to excessive single occupant car commuters in the city centre, particularly during peak commuting hours. The city centre does not have the capacity for as many cars as already travel there, let alone more.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****174/766****Issue** **4.8**

**comment** Agree with Option 4.8 related to the limitation related to CO2 reduction and also pollution reduction.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****180/3452****Issue** **4.8**

**comment** The temporary use proposals currently being promoted by Selfridges include an element of temporary car parking. Whilst it has always been accepted that this has been contrary to City Plan policy, it should be acknowledged that in exceptional circumstances, and where the benefits of clearance and regeneration of an area can be justified there may be cases where the benefits of clearance and regeneration of an area can be justified there may be cases where this policy approach can be relaxed. Any alternative policy approach to this matter can be promoted on the basis of encouraging a robust assessment of the potential benefits of any particular scheme and ensuring that suitable time period can be enforced to prevent facilities becoming permanent, and to the longer term detriment of the surrounding area and regeneration potential. On this basis Option 4.8A is not supported in its current form.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1176****Issue** **4.8**

**comment** The City Centre should be as accessible as possible with parking standards provided to ensure an acceptable balance of parking, accessibility and efficient movement of traffic. There should be no attempt to restrict parking on any other policy basis with temporary car parks providing an effective use of land and a financial return to the City. The City Plan should consider the effectiveness and efficiency of public transport movement through the City Centre where the environmental pollution from buses is a major cause for concern. The approach tendered by the Main Issues Report is unacceptable.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.

4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3575****Issue** **4.8**

**comment** Yes – agree with Option 4.8 A however the LDP needs to compliment other strategies that seek to reduce the level of car use in the City Centre and also needs to investigate car free zones to make the city centre more accessible and enjoyable by active travellers

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.

4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****208 / 3457****Issue** **4.8**

**comment** It is suggested that the MIR promotes a too restrictive approach to the provision of temporary parking. A more flexible and pragmatic approach would be appropriate which would allow temporary parking on sites which do not yet have consent but would benefit from environmental improvements as a consequence of the provision of temporary parking.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.

4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4022****Issue** 4.8

**comment** Option 4.8 – Option C (alternative option) is supported by the SNP Group. This will result in a reduction in car parking capacity in the city centre, with the intention to force modal-shift on commuters while minimising the impact on shoppers.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3486****Issue** 4.8

**comment** We agree with Option 4.8.A.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3487****Issue** 4.8

**comment** Upon expiry of planning applications for temporary car parking facilities in city centre it is suggested that consideration be given to conversation to amenity / recreational areas, possibly via the Stalled Spaces initiative or similar scheme. For example, sites could be developed as play areas, skate parks, green space, market space, exhibition space, etc.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****241 / 304****Issue** 4.8

**comment** If I were in the business of city car parking and charging a capitalistic 60p per 15 minutes. I certainly would not like any others setting up businesses for themselves and have the audacity to charge £3.00 per day. (compared to £19.20 per day). To compound it, there are strategic meters on till 8pm and 10pm. Capitalism, greed, monopoly - its all the same - be ashamed!!! The quality of the finish of this card is difficult to write on, would this be discouragement.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 345****Issue** 4.8**comment** Agree, but reductions in temperature. Car parking must be accompanied by improvements in public transport.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 527****Issue** 4.8**comment** Option 4.8.A is preferred, but it only works in conjunction with improved Park and Ride schemes and improved public transport. Reducing city centre parking without improving access to public transport leads to frustration.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to keep existing City Centre parking standards and provision. We would prefer not to allow any further temporary car parks in the City Centre and not renew planning applications for any which have lapsed.  
4.8

---

**Main Issues Report****208 / 3453****Issue** 4.8A**comment** Option 4.8A continues the very restrictive policy (reference to CP2 Trans 11) with regards to parking provision. This approach is not supported as it does not take cognisance of the continuing poor economic climate and the subsequent delays in delivering projects nor the potential benefits that temporary provision can bring. This approach also takes no account of the cost and difficulties of delivering consent and implementing that consent on a major city centre site. Temporary parking on the subject site (Washington Street) could help to cross subsidise the cost of progressing proposals through the planning system and also help insulate site owners through the current economic climate.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****208 / 3454****Issue****4.8B****comment**

Although a more flexible and pragmatic approach, when compared to option 4.8A, 4.8b still falls short. This approach takes no account of the cost and difficulties of delivering a planning consent on a major city centre site. Again, it is worth re-iterating that temporary parking on the subject site (Washington Street) could help to cross-subsidise the cost of progressing proposals through the planning system and increasingly importantly, the wider development process.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3427****Issue****4.8C****comment**

There is a pressing need to address the impact on health and well being of a city centre congested with vehicles moving less than 5mph and emitting dangerous levels of pollution. This could be achieved by reducing parking places. The preferred city plan option is to maintain parking on economic grounds at the level of 14,600. No evidence is cited. Comparative studies show that removal of parking spaces positively impact traffic flow, safety and enterprise. Combining active travel with enterprise is not considered in the city plan, but should be: many cites now reduce the need for cars through human powered freight and passenger transport and by redesigning streets to prioritise walking and cycling.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3428****Issue****4.8C****comment**

The MIR lays out plans to improve public transport and active travel within the City, measures which will mitigate against any harm to the attractiveness of the city centre done by discouraging car use, and therefore reducing carbon emissions in line with Climate Change Act commitments.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****208 / 3455****Issue****4.8C****comment**

This approach promoted in Option 4.8c is draconian and would do nothing to enhance the attractiveness of the city centre to inward investment or harness potential environmental benefits that the provision of temporary parking can bring.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****1 / 3****Issue****4.9****comment**

It is too easy for licensed premises to get late licences. The Council is encouraging the opening of new pubs and licensed restaurants in residential areas. You routinely ignore the objections of local residents.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.

4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****10 / 42****Issue****4.9****comment**

I don't drink. I hate noise. I like peace.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.

4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****43 / 252****Issue****4.9****comment**

Yes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.

4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****71 / 3842****Issue** 4.9**comment** Noise Management Areas in Thornwood, Whiteinch and Scotstoun. We welcome these areas and hope that they can be used to minimise the effects of traffic noise in and around the area that we represent.**your wish** We feel that as the noise is traffic related the Byres Road and Dumbarton Road Ait Quality Management Area should be further extended to include the noise management areas in Whiteinch and Scotstoun.**posctard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1642****Issue** 4.9**comment** Programmes designed to specifically reduce traffic can make a substantial contribution to reduction in noise.**your wish** Support Option 4.9A with additional provision for traffic reduction in noisy areas.**posctard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****104 / 562****Issue** 4.9**comment** Include a small area of Old Drumchapel on the flight path to Glasgow Airport in map 15 'noise management areas (NMAs) and Quiet Areas'. If the airport is expanded, the operators may be required to assist with sound prevention measures in homes. The plan in its present form does not include this possibility.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****123 / 3341****Issue** 4.9**comment** There should be a public call for nominations for Noise Management Areas.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.

4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****123/3342****Issue** 4.9**comment** There seems to be no NMAs or quiet areas in the city centre.**your wish** I would like to nominate John Street between Ingram street and Cochrane Street, and the entirety of Wilson Street and Candleriggs as NMAs. I would further ask for Garnethill park to be a Quiet Area.**postcard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.  
4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3696****Issue** 4.9**comment** Option 4.9A is necessary. Noise is an increasing urban problem, particularly in densely populated areas and those surrounding to town centres**your wish** Look at areas where noise is distressing residents and causing problems**postcard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.  
4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3698****Issue** 4.9**comment** It has implications for guidance relating to town centres, private rented sector, entertainment in residential areas (and their shopping streets). In the case of Hillhead we have lost long term residents because of noise in some areas.**your wish****postcard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.  
4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3699****Issue** 4.9**comment** Implication for RES6(lanes) and SC11 (food drink and entertainment esp rear lanes). The full policy and detail of SC11 on rear lanes should be kept, despite the decision of a reporter on Bar Buddha only 3 months after a reported confirmed the policy stated here. We asked for an appeal(declined) and have since lost residents affected**your wish** Keep RES6 and SC11 (esp. rear lanes)**postcard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.  
4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3700****Issue** 4.9**comment** Policies on hours are important also**your wish** Have policy on hours**posctard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.  
4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3966****Issue** 4.9**comment** Option A, please. We look forward to the detail.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.  
4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****191/1241****Issue** 4.9**comment** GCC is one of the first LDPs to address issues under the Environmental Noise Directive.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.  
4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****196/1177****Issue** 4.9**comment** This is a matter for Protective Services and not for the Local Development Plan. All developments proposed within the City must adhere to stringent noise standards.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.  
4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3576****Issue** 4.9**comment** Yes – agree with Option 4.9 A in relation to managing noise**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.  
4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4023****Issue** 4.9**comment** Option 4.9 – Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.  
4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3488****Issue** 4.9**comment** We agree with Option 4.9.A.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.  
4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 344****Issue** 4.9**comment** Strongly agree. Especially Byres Road Licensing Overprovision Area - which is also right within a residential area. Need a planning policy on licensing overprovision.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.  
4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 483****Issue** 4.9**comment** It is not clear what managing noise has to do with "sustainable connections". In order to make sense of Map 15 it is necessary to refer to SSA Map 2. Fram SSA Map 2, it is revealed that the "quiet areas" are in the middle of conservation green spaces, so this has nothing to do with "sustainable connections". The topic would be better placed in Theme 3 as it relates to developing better places to live.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.  
4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 484****Issue****4.9****comment**

It is not clear why it is considered that noise management should only be a relevant factor in certain very restricted areas. By defining areas as "quiet" or "Noise management" the council would seem to be giving itself carte blanche in all other areas. Noise management, once identified as an issue for the Council, should apply to residential areas, not the middle of country parks, where applications for noisy development would be refused in any case.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.](#)  
4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 528****Issue****4.9****comment**

It is not agreed that issue 4.9 (noise management) is related to sustainable connection. However, it is also the case that the Council only proposes two options - its own selection or none. There are other options - e.g. propose residential areas or areas near hospitals, universities etc as "Quiet Areas" and a policy of "noise management" in and adjacent to all residential areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.](#)  
4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1564****Issue****4.9****comment**

Managing Noise - Support Option 4.9A (preferred option)

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to manage noise in the City's 37 Noise Management Areas and discourage noisy development affecting the City's 10 Quiet Areas.](#)  
4.9

---

**Main Issues Report****165 / 1313****Issue****4.9 A****comment**

sportscotland notes preferred option 4.9 A on managing noise and that it does not appear to apply to events or sports activities. However, if it does there may be an issue with this policy in relation to the areas used for events, activities or competitions which might generate noise, (in particular Pollok Country Park).

**your wish**

sportscotland would not like to see this policy prevent the current or future opportunity to host events, including sporting events, activities and competitions, nor does it want the SPG to result in pressure for these types of activities to be removed from the park. As noted, however, we assume that this is not the intention of this SPG.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****3/12****Issue** **5.1****comment** Don't just talk about it - do it!!**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****8/34****Issue** **5.1****comment** Yes, it is the right approach.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****9/92****Issue** **5.1****comment** Yes, right approach.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****10/174****Issue** **5.1****comment** Yes. Also, they scrap all the steam engines. Then 50 years pass and museums are making them for display. So what you conserve might need to include things we all think are worthless now.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****26/147****Issue** **5.1****comment** Keep commercial heritage - little if nothing of docks, granary, etc. Refurb property rather than demolish. No need to replace old with building which is not in keeping. Heritage=Culture=Strategy!**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****41/219****Issue** **5.1**

**comment** I wish to oppose proposals to make the Wyndford Estate into a conservation area as it does not appear to provide a high enough level of amenity for residents who live there.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****43/254****Issue** **5.1**

**comment** No

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****44/384****Issue** **5.1**

**comment** Extend conservation areas in Dennistoun along to Cumbernauld Road. This would improve all areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****45/272****Issue** **5.1**

**comment** The priority must be to enforce planning regulations in existing conservation areas before expanding. This is currently not being well resourced. We need dedicated enforcement officers and a willingness to implement the recommendations in conservation area appraisals.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****48/285****Issue** **5.1**

**comment** Yes please. Ensure protection of existing ones and protect more of Glasgow's famous city centre architecture.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****90/568****Issue****5.1****comment**

The MIR does not specify the details of the proposed changes to the Glasgow Central Area Conservation Area boundary. We previously commented on these proposals (August 2010) noting that we would hope that the potential extension of the northern boundary of the Conservation area to Cathedral Street would not have an adverse impact on our forthcoming planning application for the City Campus.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.

5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4098****Issue****5.1****comment**

We note that Partick is being considered as a potential conservation area. Partick Interchange and Kelvinhall Subway Station are on Dumbarton Road and external works to upgrade the stations forming part of the Subway Modernisation project will be taken forward in line with the Project's Design Guidelines developed in conjunction with the Council.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.

5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****117/820****Issue****5.1****comment**

Potential for new/ extended conservation areas Option 5.1. Allotments and parks have made significant contributions to the culture and well being of the citizens.

**your wish**

The historical heritage of the use of the land should be assessed as part any discussion on conservation areas. Any land which was once an allotment site should be conserved because this is probably uncontaminated. Not only should they be conserved but regenerated - people need beautiful gardens and be able to grow plants

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.

5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2844****Issue****5.1****comment**

Urban Orchards have an historic precedent within Glasgow . The city has had orchards growing since medieval times and the Merchant City is built on the site of an ancient pear orchard. Pears are still part of the ceremonies of the Incorporation of Gardeners of Glasgow.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.

5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2845****Issue****5.1****comment**

Because orchards have a historic place in the city they should contribute to woodland development and streetscape. Ideas such as "Let Glasgow Blossom" and "The Fruitful City", have resonance at a number of levels. It is unfortunately true that Glasgow's fruit growing has declined – but that has started to be reversed. The Malus or Apple lawn at Glasgow Botanicus now has a first apple tree growing on it. St Mungo's Museum has planted a number of pear trees recently. It would however be great to get the city council to support this in a strategic way.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.](#)

5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2846****Issue****5.1****comment**

We suggest the following modification to the second sentence on this page: "The conservation areas, etc... add in retain and redevelop urban orchards, and historic growing places"

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.](#)

5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****150/2886****Issue****5.1****comment**

City Centre Conservation Area Boundary The MIR does not specify the details of the proposed changes to the Glasgow Central Area Conservation Area boundary. In this regard we wish to note that the proposed enlargement of the boundary to Cathedral Street will encompass part of the land earmarked for the Galleries Extension and may have implications for their forthcoming planning application for these sites. Given the timescales since the initial consultation (2010) and the comments provided by representees, we are surprised that the opportunity has not been taken to include the proposed finalised conservation area boundary within the MIR.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.](#)

5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3702****Issue** **5.1****comment** Strongly supported. We have one caveat. Sandstone buildings or buildings of merit should not be demolished**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****174/767****Issue** **5.1****comment** Strongly support aim of Option 5.1**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3967****Issue** **5.1****comment** This list is accepted but some minor adjustment could be made in our area during the period of the Plan. Stronger policies regarding the setting of Conservation Areas should also be considered.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****188/1814****Issue** **5.1****comment** GHA request that they are involved in the review of conservation areas.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****191/1242****Issue** **5.1****comment** The commitment to protection of the historic environment through Conservation area appraisals is welcomed.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****196/1178****Issue** 5.1**comment** The designation of Conservation Areas should be based only on architectural merit. It is however essential that such designation is not meant to deter future investment in the City.**your wish****poscard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3578****Issue** 5.1**comment** Yes – agree with Option 5.1 A in relation to conservation areas**your wish****poscard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****221/807****Issue** 5.1**comment** We understand that the Council are seeking to review some Conservation Areas within the Glasgow area and potentially identify new areas (option 5.1).**your wish** request that the site known as Osborne Street car park remain located outwith a Conservation Area as we believe that this protective designation would be inappropriate for this site.**poscard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4026****Issue** 5.1**comment** Option 5.1 - Option A is the preferred one by SNP**your wish****poscard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3475****Issue****5.1****comment**

We would certainly like to support this and look to extend Dennistoun Conservation Area. Although the Dennistoun Conservation Area appraisal was completed and approved June 2005, it is suggested that further consideration be given to extending Dennistoun Conservation Area as follows: □□a) to include 'the Drives' between Duke Street and Alexandra Parade. This would add value in relation to retention of traditional sandstone buildings outside conservation areas (as per City Plan 2). Extending the Dennistoun Conservation Area (in much the same way as Glasgow west has been designated) would also be a unifying factor in presenting Dennistoun as one of the first residential suburbs in Glasgow.; and □□b) to connect with the Necropolis and City Centre Conservation Area. □

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.](#)

5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****234 / 2869****Issue****5.1****comment**

BCC raises concerns regards the time-scale taken to resolve conservation status for above since the issue being first raised in City Plan 1 in 2003, the apparent confusion regards the desirability or otherwise of this being adopted and the need for clarification of the issues involved and further requirement for consultation with resident and non resident stakeholders in the Broomhill area. As an example of the apparent confusion on the issue we note the contradiction in Glasgow City Council's MIR (City Plan 3), Key Regeneration Issues and Options, Section 2.116 "The Council will investigate potential conservation area status for a number of additional areas" which appears to contradict the explicit undertaking already given in City Plan 2, PART 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT, Section 7.66 regards the intention of GCC where it "proposes to designate Broomhill as a new conservation area". No apparent justification is cited within the MIR as to this apparent change in the decision of GCC from that stated in City Plan 2 on conservation status.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.](#)

5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****234/2870****Issue****5.1****comment**

General concerns arising from matters flagged by issue 1 above. We also as outlined below site the above conservation area assessment process to raise more general matters of concern in relation to City Plan 3 and the Glasgow LDP process regards the quality of oversight given to ongoing issues from previous inceptions of the LDP being carried forward. Together with this we are concerned at apparent inadequacies in the consultation process on the MIR and LDP, citing again the Broomhill Conservation Area Assessment and apparent failures in the required transparency of the process.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** [We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.](#)  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****234/2871****Issue****5.1****comment**

Issues carried forward from City Plan 1 and 2. We highlight the matter in 1 above as an example of concerns on the quality of oversight and scrutiny given to issues brought forward from previous inceptions of the LDP, particularly in relation to City Plan 2 currently, feeling Broomhill conservation assessment process to be illustrative of such ongoing issues having insufficient or lack of appropriate scrutiny regards there relevance in relation to the developing City Plan.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** [We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.](#)  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****234/2872****Issue****5.1****comment**

Public Consultation and Transparency of the MIR/LDP Process We also raise concerns on the general effectiveness of ongoing public consultation regards the MIR and lack of true transparency within the LDP consultation process in general, as provided currently by GCC. The information available is legion but access to this is less than transparent or easy to navigate for the uninitiated or even those with any existing knowledge, and the Conservation Assessment process for Broomhill appears to illustrate this with regard to the apparent state of awareness on the subject of the conservation assessment generally within BCC and the wider community.

**your wish**

Please refer to the email with attachment of this complete document submitted contemporaneously 12/12/11, 23:40, including addition of Appendices for reference to documents referred to within the submission.

**postcard no:** [We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.](#)  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 333****Issue** **5.1**

**comment** Excellent idea. Also tighten up our traditional shopfront policy in all conservation areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****270 / 415****Issue** **5.1**

**comment** This is crucial. Conservation Areas protect the character and uniqueness of the City of Glasgow.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1569****Issue** **5.1**

**comment** New / Extended Conservation Areas - Support for preferred option 5.1A. The Glasgow University Gilmorehill Campus must be included as a potential new Conservation Area, as stated in the draft Glasgow West Conservation Area Appraisal. Glasgow University is currently preparing a new Campus Plan which would chime in with this very well.

It would not be good practice for Glasgow City to allow Glasgow University to attain a sort of "self-governance by campus plan", by not applying the usual Conservation Area principles that so obviously apply in an area of this quality.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate designating some new Conservation Areas and extending some existing ones.  
5.1

---

**Main Issues Report****10 / 161****Issue** **5.2**

**comment** Yes. Cathcart is near to me and floods badly, often. I firmly believe that if the many blocked drains were unblocked (Kerbside Gully) then the ground would not get waterlogged so easily. Trees are good for drinking away lots of water.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****21/77****Issue** **5.2**

**comment** This has to be applied to Govan which always gets left behind in the Grand Designs for this City. The drainage in Govan is bad. Every time it rains heavily, my street floods as the drains block. In some cases, raw sewage rises, which is usually left on the street after contractors unblock the drain. I won't be surprised if Govan has the first cholera outbreak of the 21st century in Glasgow.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****43/244****Issue** **5.2**

**comment** Yes

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****52/295****Issue** **5.2**

**comment** Yes

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4099****Issue** **5.2**

**comment** No adverse comment

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****96/1732****Issue** **5.2****comment** SE supports the preferred option (5.2A).**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****102/2921****Issue** **5.2****comment** It is therefore our opinion that option 5.2 must be expanded with a substantially more positive discussion and analysis for the major riverine corridors. That must preclude development for a defined corridor except in terms of Integrated habitat, Green networks and Core Path networks or as part of sustainable urban drainage systems i.e. SUDS detention ponds for adjacent housing or industrial development**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****102/3874****Issue** **5.2****comment** It is appreciated that the onus is on developers to comply with this aspect of national planning policy. The Council has, in the riverine corridors, an ideal opportunity to ensure a holistic approach to all four issues of flood risk, green networks and core path and integrated habitat networks, to ensure they are sustained and improved with opportunities for access to the water environment. However, the Council approach is to describe, in general terms, flood risk management which, in part, simply echoes national policy. With 3 main rivers within its boundaries, the Council has an opportunity to take the lead in policy terms with a specific river corridor element of planning policy.

Option 5.2 must be expanded with a substantially more positive discussion and analysis for the major riverine corridors. That must preclude development for a defined corridor except in terms of Integrated habitat, Green networks and Core Path networks or as part of sustainable urban drainage systems i.e. SUDS detention ponds for adjacent housing or industrial development.

**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****102/3875****Issue** **5.2****comment** The publication of drainage studies and surface water management plans will be welcome.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2808****Issue** **5.2****comment** We agree with the preferred option and look forward to continuing to be a partner in the MGSDP. We also welcome the acknowledgement in the text of the important role green networks can play in helping find flood management and drainage solutions.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****147/2936****Issue** **5.2****comment** We support the aim to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009. The LDP should aim to deliver sustainable solutions to flood risk, which incorporate multiple benefits, including for biodiversity.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****149/2959****Issue****5.2****comment**

We strongly support the use of naturalised SUDS solutions to manage surface water while delivering additional benefits such as recreational opportunity, biodiversity value and aesthetic appeal. (examples provided). The development of the proposed Seven Lochs Wetland Park offers opportunities for increased natural flood storage in this area. There is therefore an opportunity for the Wetland Park to be part of a comprehensive approach to flood management in the N.E of the city. Consideration should also be given to upper catchment planting to intercept rainfall prior to entering drainage systems.

**your wish**

There is therefore an opportunity for the Wetland Park to be part of a comprehensive approach to flood management in the N.E of the city. Consideration should also be given to upper catchment planting to intercept rainfall prior to entering drainage system

**posctard no:** [We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management \(Scotland\) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.](#)  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****158/1757****Issue****5.2****comment**

The Canal should be identified as a recognisable solution in the LDP for SUDS.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management \(Scotland\) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.](#)  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3703****Issue****5.2****comment**

We agree with most of this but have concerns about the effectiveness of drainage to the Clyde in flood conditions and storm surges.

**your wish**

Co-operation with other authorities in the Clyde river network is necessary, as advised in government and I am sure the council recognises.

**posctard no:** [We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management \(Scotland\) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.](#)  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3704****Issue****5.2****comment**

Prefer option 5.2A. Revisions may be necessary to flood management schemes and drainage

**your wish**

The matter of flooding and drainage will need to be addressed in much more detail in the forthcoming plan (or supplementary guidance and policies) especially as it affects development strategies, green networks and established communities and their access to services

**postcard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3705****Issue****5.2****comment**

Previous plans may be out of date: precipitation has increased in the Clyde Valley by 20 - 30% in 10 years and the Clyde is no longer dredged, which means the river bed rises as silt accumulates. This affects tributaries such as the Kelvin.

**your wish**

One of our advisers has sent us attached document ( see hard copy file) which may be useful in relation to the final plan.

**postcard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****169/837****Issue****5.2****comment**

This section should reference the role green infrastructure (e.g. street trees, urban greenspace, sustainable urban drainage systems, green roofs, allotments, gardens, parkland) can play in flood risk management by slowing water runoff after a rainfall event. Water catchment management should consider 'soft' flood risk management options which can also add value by increasing biodiversity value and improving the quality of place.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****174/768****Issue****5.2****comment**

Option 5.2: Agree as very necessary

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****187 / 3968****Issue** **5.2**

**comment** Option A seems sensible. Practical attention to the constant, regular clearing of drains would also clearly be sound policy. "Run off " must be strongly addressed. A recent article on London's new green drainage infrastructure policy is enclosed as relevant.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1243****Issue** **5.2**

**comment** Welcome the proposed partnership approach to flood management

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1244****Issue** **5.2**

**comment** The requirement in para 2.120 that applicants should submit a flood risk assessment in certain circumstances relates to Section 42 of the Flood Risk Management Act which has not been commenced to date. Scot Gov and SEPA are considering how to implement Section 42.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1179****Issue** **5.2**

**comment** The requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 is a function of Local Government and Planning.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3579****Issue** 5.2**comment** Yes – agree with Option 5.2 A in relation to flood management**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4027****Issue** 5.2**comment** Option 5.2 - Option A is the preferred one by SNP**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****247/334****Issue** 5.2**comment** Agree**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****249/532****Issue** 5.2**comment** Issue 5.2 relates to flooding and drainage and rightly belongs to sustainable design, not to "sustainable environment". Thus sustainable urban drainage would be better placed in "sustainable design".**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****249/536****Issue****5.2****comment**

It is suggested that flood management belongs in sustainable design. However, there is a missed opportunity to consider the use of suitable "brownfield" sites for flood control - which would be an interesting and exciting policy to pursue. Also the justifications for Option 5.2.A are not clearly set out in the preceding paragraphs.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to meet the requirements of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 by continuing to work with our partners in the Metropolitan Glasgow Strategic Drainage Plan.  
5.2

---

**Main Issues Report****3/25****Issue****5.3****comment**

Surely this is already part of the existing Plan?

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****9/94****Issue****5.3****comment**

Good approach. Need to think about how informal green spaces are firstly safeguarded before being enhanced.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****10/175****Issue****5.3****comment**

Yes. Last winter I put chicken pie out for the foxes in Auldhouse Park next to the River Cart. I was amazed when it was eaten by an otter.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****26/116****Issue** 5.3**comment** Maintain and enhance current space. If need be increase space. With Commonwealth Games in 2014 activities in outdoors essential.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****27/123****Issue** 5.3**comment** Having undertaken upgrades at Botanic Gardens, Tollcross and Alexandra Park, please ensure an adequate maintenance budget for all greenspace. Would like to see Springburn Park given a 'makeover', including the Glasshouse.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****28/133****Issue** 5.3**comment** Yes please.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****32/316****Issue** 5.3**comment** Yes. E.g. Clouston Street Playing Field**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

**Issue****5.3****comment**

The Green Network should be extended to include all of NKM. The Green Network of course enables the movement of wildlife around the City. NKM is a logical extension from Dawsholm Park, along the River Kelvin through NKM and then further North to the Canal area and then Ruchill Park.

The reasons for this request is that NKM is a Greenfield site which has never been built on and therefore should never have been registered as a possible housing site (Site 1703). Since its use as a Playing Field declined due to Local Authority neglect it has been used by the local community as recreation space, allotments and a green space for wildlife – both flora and fauna. The site is now part of Glasgow West Conservation Area.

I regularly visit a friend who stays in the area and it is very pleasing and encouraging to see the land being put to great use. It has certainly helped to invigorate and bring a far greater sense of community to the neighbourhood, neither of which the building of additional housing would do.

I believe its designation should reflect it's present usage rather than that of several failed development plans.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.](#)  
5.3

**Issue****5.3****comment**

The Green Network should be extended to include all of NKM. The Green Network of course enables the movement of wildlife around the City. NKM is a logical extension from Dawsholm Park, along the River Kelvin through NKM and then further North to the Canal area and then Ruchill Park.

The reasons for this request is that NKM is a Greenfield site which has never been built on and therefore should never have been registered as a possible housing site (Site 1703). Since its use as a Playing Field declined due to Local Authority neglect it has been used by the local community as recreation space, allotments and a green space for wildlife – both flora and fauna. The site is now part of Glasgow West Conservation Area.

We believe its designation should reflect it's present usage rather than that of several failed development plans.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.](#)  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****40/217****Issue****5.3****comment**

The Green Network should be extended to include all of NKM. The Green Network of course enables the movement of wildlife around the City. NKM is a logical extension from Dawsholm Park, along the River Kelvin through NKM and then further North to the Canal area and then Ruchill Park.

The reasons for this request is that NKM is a Greenfield site which has never been built on and therefore should never have been registered as a possible housing site (Site 1703). Since its use as a Playing Field declined due to Local Authority neglect it has been used by the local community as recreation space, allotments and a green space for wildlife – both flora and fauna. The site is now part of Glasgow West Conservation Area.

We believe its designation should reflect it's present usage rather than that of several failed development plans.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.](#)

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****42/224****Issue****5.3****comment**

The Green Network should be extended to include all of NKM. The Green Network of course enables the movement of wildlife around the City. NKM is a logical extension from Dawsholm Park, along the River Kelvin through NKM and then further North to the Canal area and then Ruchill Park.

The reasons for this request is that NKM is a Greenfield site which has never been built on and therefore should never have been registered as a possible housing site (Site 1703). Since its use as a Playing Field declined due to Local Authority neglect it has been used by the local community as recreation space, allotments and a green space for wildlife – both flora and fauna. The site is now part of Glasgow West Conservation Area.

We believe its designation should reflect it's present usage rather than that of several failed development plans.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.](#)

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****60/3326****Issue** **5.3**

**comment** EDC is supportive of GCC's approach to the green network, particularly given the benefits to the Glasgow and Clyde Valley region that the green network will provide.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****88/617****Issue** **5.3**

**comment** Opportunities to enhance the green network are welcomed,

**your wish** ensuring that all city residents have access to quality green-space should be a priority.

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4100****Issue** **5.3**

**comment** SPT supports a prioritisation of green network enhancements that includes consideration of prioritising active travel opportunities.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4103****Issue** **5.3**

**comment** In supporting OPTION 5.3A, it is considered that the Proposed Plan should prioritise green network enhancements that include active travel opportunities within the selected areas and also active travel opportunities to provide links between the priority areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****96 / 1733****Issue** **5.3**

**comment** SE recognises the contribution the green network makes to the objectives of creating place and encouraging investment.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1643****Issue** **5.3**

**comment** Encourage the Council to realise the Options in conjunction with sustainable transport - specifically active travel - corridors.

**your wish** Support both Options 5.3A and 5.3B in conjunction with sustainable travel corridors.

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****102 / 2922****Issue** **5.3**

**comment** It is appreciated that the enhancement of networks has a degree of limited opportunity in these economic circumstances but again the positive protection of the major riverine corridors is worthy of inclusion and not left unstated in favour of only defining priorities for future investment. Community expansion areas must be defined with regard to the riverine corridors and not left to the discretion of private developers however much the impact of the current economic circumstances which will tempt developers in the direction of maximising their returns by squeezing the open space and the river corridors to the minimum necessary to meet flooding criteria. Instead of leaving the private developer in these areas a free hand to include or otherwise these issues why not prescribe a minimum corridor width to be retained on each bank. That width could be set at the higher of the widths defined by the 1 in 200 year flood risk analysis or a notional 50m for each of the three major rivers. That area would be reserved for footpaths, cycle ways, suds detention ponds and to extend Integrated Habitat Networks of Managed Woodland. As a policy much of that would be an aspirational aim for the future but it must be present to preserve and enhance all river corridors now where these are either fallow in regeneration terms or currently undeveloped.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****102/3876****Issue****5.3****comment**

Green networks. This issue seems simply to reflect national policy. It is appreciated that the enhancement of networks has a degree of limited opportunity in these economic circumstances but the positive protection of the major riverine corridors is worthy of inclusion and should not be left unstated in favour of only defining priorities for future investment. In particular, the Community expansion areas must be defined with regard to the riverine corridors and not left to the discretion of private developers. Instead of leaving the private developer in these areas a free hand to include or otherwise these issues why not prescribe a minimum corridor width to be retained on each bank? It could be set at the higher of the widths defined by the 1 in 200 year flood risk analysis or a notional 50m for each of the three major rivers, and reserved for footpaths, cycle ways, suds detention ponds and to extend Integrated Habitat Networks of Managed Woodland.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.](#)

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2809****Issue****5.3****comment**

We support the preferred option but we recommend that wider green network opportunities should be considered alongside the other development opportunities in the LDP in order to encourage a city wide approach to green networks.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.](#)

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2810****Issue****5.3****comment**

We welcome the embedding of the existing green network within the Spatial Policy Framework (Map 2), as this allows for its protection. However this mapping does not represent the need to also enhance the quality and connectivity of the green network across the city. We believe that such enhancements should be a major element of the City's regeneration and are necessary in order to deliver the aims of the Central Scotland Green Network within Glasgow. Therefore we recommend that the principal areas where enhancements will be sought (as a minimum, those on Map 17 of the MIR) should also be shown on the Spatial Regeneration Framework (Map 1).

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.](#)

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2811****Issue****5.3****comment**

We agree that the Open Space Strategy has a major role in delivery of finer-grain enhancement opportunities, which are less amenable to detailed consideration and mapping in the LDP. However, the development of sites not classed as open space can also make significant green network contributions, particularly those sites bordering existing greenspace. Therefore, we strongly recommend that the appropriate policy (KP11) and supplementary guidance ensures that the green network will also be delivered through the development management process, masterplans and local development strategies.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2812****Issue****5.3****comment**

In addition to the mapping of the green network as a single entity, we recommend that the Proposed Plan has separate maps for the different designations comprising the green network. By linking to relevant sections of KP11, These maps, linked to relevant sections of KP11, would provide clarity to developers on the designation and degree of protection applying to each location within the green network.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****115/634****Issue****5.3****comment**

LES Allotment Strategy should be a guide document i.e Key Commitment 4: increase allotment availability / provision in the city.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2848****Issue****5.3****comment**

We consider that there has been sufficient work done already to determine greenspace priorities by the Glasgow Clyde Valley Green Network Partnership. We consider that resources and effort should be devoted to actually delivering on the improvements, rather than commissioning further studies. I would suggest a preferred option that involves implementing and developing priorities that have already been agreed.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2849****Issue****5.3****comment**

In the context of our response to Option 5.3A above we suggest that this text should be amended to: "Pursue and deliver green network enhancements – in collaboration with a wide range of partners."

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****139/1296****Issue****5.3****comment**

Fork agrees with much of the emphasis contained within LDP/MIR with regard to the environment and the use of space. We agree that ecosystems and biodiversity play a significant role in underpinning the well-being of citizens. The River, its valley and the immediate city surroundings are a major starting point for this.

**your wish**

We would wish to see some added emphasis on the River Kelvin and its immediate environs in the LDP in order to safeguard what is currently there but also to enhance and build on that for the good of the City and its residents

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****139 / 1331****Issue****5.3****comment**

Fork agrees with much of the emphasis contained within LDP/MIR with regard to the environment and the use of space. We agree that ecosystems and biodiversity play a significant role in underpinning the well-being of citizens. The River, its valley and the immediate city surroundings are a major starting point for this.

**your wish**

We would wish to see some added emphasis on the River Kelvin and its immediate environs in the LDP in order to safeguard what is currently there but also to enhance and build on that for the good of the City and its residents

**posctard no:** [We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.](#)

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****143 / 1526****Issue****5.3****comment**

Green space issues are generally well assessed and valued, although in older neighbourhoods they might be better protected from encroachment. A garden setting of a dwelling should not be designated as brownfield for the purposes of redevelopment beyond the original footprint.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.](#)

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****147 / 2937****Issue****5.3****comment**

Green network strategic priorities. Whilst we support the principle of the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Green Network (GCVGN) we are disappointed at the lack of delivery towards green infrastructure and in particular for biodiversity, that has been seen to date. For example, the masterplans for many of the Community Growth Areas being developed with the GCVGN area failed to make any real attempt to deliver against the aims of the GCVGN and there was no obvious difference between these areas and similar schemes either outside the GCVGN or before it was instigated. As such, we are generally supportive of Option 5.3 A, but we would like to see a reasonable level of detail as to how it will be applied in the form of Supplementary Planning Guidance.

**your wish**

We are generally supportive of Option 5.3 A, but we would like to see a reasonable level of detail as to how it will be applied in the form of Supplementary Planning Guidance.

**posctard no:** [We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.](#)

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****149/2960****Issue****5.3****comment**

We would also encourage the use of the SDP and subsequent Glasgow Green Network Opportunities Mapping to develop specific Green Network Policies for the LDP. These could be based around the following three key principles set out in the SDP Technical Background Paper: The Green Network should be integrated into the design process for all developments; Planning for the Green Network must be based on spatial analysis; and Planning for the Green Network must be based on spatial analysis.

**your wish**

We would also encourage the use of the SDP and subsequent Glasgow Green Network Opportunities Mapping to develop specific Green Network Policies for the LDP.

**posctard no:** [We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.](#)  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****149/2961****Issue****5.3****comment**

We also feel that stronger links could be made between the text in the MIR and the Open Space Strategy which currently doesn't mention the Priority Areas.

**your wish**

links could be made between the text in the MIR and the Open Space Strategy

**posctard no:** [We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.](#)  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****158/1758****Issue****5.3****comment**

The findings of the Canal Environmental Framework Tool should be taken into account in the LDP.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.](#)  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3706****Issue****5.3****comment**

This should not mean that other parts of the green network are devalued or unprotected. See our response to GOSS

**your wish**

Local volunteers might be approached?

**posctard no:** [We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.](#)  
5.3

---

---

**Main Issues Report****169 / 838****Issue****5.3****comment**

We are also supportive of analysing areas where green infrastructure/networks can deliver multiple benefits particularly in relation to prioritising resources to areas of multiple deprivation.

**your wish**

Section 2.126 should also refer to the role green networks can play in flood risk management. we believe all types of development (where appropriate) should maximise the opportunity to contribute to the green network through the provision of high quality green infrastructure within the development site design.

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1245****Issue****5.3****comment**

The commitment to Green Network Enhancement is welcomed.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1246****Issue****5.3****comment**

Support Green Network Mapping Opportunities. It will be important that masterplanning and place making approaches are adopted and early proposals from Goss should feed into the proposed plan to reinforce the evidence based approach of open space audit- strategy-development plan

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1247****Issue****5.3****comment**

In line with SPP para.154 the LDP or supplementary guidance should set specific requirements for the provision of open space as part of new development and make clear type, quality and accessibility requirements. On and off site provision should be considered and if there is a preferred approach to the maintenance of open space then this should be set out in the Plan or Supplementary Guidance.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1180****Issue****5.3****comment**

Any enhancement of the Green Network should be considered within the wider interest and planning issues relevant to the social and economic regeneration of Glasgow its many communities. The viability of implementation, management and sustainability of the Green Network should be considered within the context of any policy and not simply as an aspiration.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3580****Issue****5.3****comment**

Yes – agree with Option 5.3 A in relation to green networks

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4028****Issue****5.3****comment**

Option 5.3 - Option A is the preferred one by SNP

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****230 / 899****Issue****5.3****comment**

The Forestry Commission welcomes the prioritisation of Green Network and recognition of the Central Scotland Green Network.

**your wish**

Section 2.127 should also make reference to the Forestry and Woodland Strategy which is part of the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3477****Issue** **5.3**

**comment** DCC supports this and suggests that a particular focus be made on Alexandra Park, The Necropolis and a host of smaller green spaces throughout the DCC area.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****246 / 501****Issue** **5.3**

**comment** We support option 5.3 A and ask that the open space between New City Road and Braid Square be included as a priority area for green network enhancement.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 338****Issue** **5.3**

**comment** Agree. Very important

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 533****Issue** **5.3**

**comment** While para 2.126 refers to the prioritisation of a green network, the rest of the proposed plan addresses a series of 7, unrelated and unjoined green islands at considerable distance from each other. Para 2.127 points out that, the prioritisation of the 7 areas relates to "development", and not to ecological priorities, nor to areas that would link greenspaces to create green networks. There is no policy on greenspaces as such. This is needed. There is reference to "green network" and "ecosystems" but not to "greenspaces".

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 537****Issue****5.3****comment**

The concept of green network has been around for the past 30 years at least. Glasgow City Council has, in that time, done little to join the dots on the city map. It is not making any new proposals to do so now. It is suggested that, particularly in this time of cut-backs, yet another expensive consultant-led document is a waste of resources and takes funding away from real projects. There are past open space strategies that could be taken off the shelf and reviewed.

Therefore neither of the options is preferred. There is a range of better policies. Both of those offered is an excuse for inaction.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****251 / 486****Issue****5.3****comment**

Paras 2.126-130 contain worthy sentiments, but the message is that not everything (possibly, anything) can be afforded. Investment should, therefore, go first to maintaining existing green spaces. One example is the badly deteriorated ex-Garden Festival water features in Festival Park.

**your wish**

Option 5.3 should include the commitment of resources to maintaining existing green spaces, which are already attempting to provide all the benefits desired, before investment in enhancements.

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.  
5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****117 / 810****Issue****5.3 A****comment**

Place –making for sustainability, health and strong communities is addressed but how this will this be implemented?. What connections will be made and how are issues to be prioritized in local areas where conflict occurs?

**your wish**

All built up areas should be integrated with parks and allotments. Everyone who wishes should be able to grow their own food within walking distance of their dwelling.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****165/1314****Issue** **5.3 A**

**comment** In relation to strategic cycle routes it might be appropriate to refer to the proposals being taken forward by Scottish Natural Heritage to extend the John Muir Way from Musselburgh to Balloch. (see comment 4.6 A)

**your wish** sportscotland notes that an extension to the Kelvin Walkway is identified on Map 1 and wonders if this is connected to the proposed JMW spur? In addition to this extension sportscotland understands that a range of work will be required to upgrade and align the route in the north of the city (from Maryhill to city boundary); this could usefully be indicated on Map 1.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****165/1315****Issue** **5.3 A**

**comment** If the intention is to develop a regionally important mountain bike facility at Cathkin Braes for the long term benefit of the people of Glasgow,

**your wish** It might also be appropriate to identify Cathkin Braes as a green network priority.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****174/769****Issue** **5.3/5.4**

**comment** Agree with Options 5.3 and 5.4, but we'd emphasise the need for wide consultation with stakeholders. Although Option 5.4 on Ecosystems is generally sensible, it is somewhat vague: it suggests progressing beyond the Glasgow LBAP, but gives no real detail. We'd be anxious if there was any intention to sideline or undermine the LBAP which has been one of the City's environmental policy successes, as recognised nationally by its high score in Forum for the Future's Sustainable Cities Index (where, otherwise, the city scores poorly).

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****117/822****Issue** **5.3A**

**comment** remember that allotments provide green areas and contribute to networks for animals, insects, birds etc which are beneficial for growing spaces.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****1/2****Issue****5.4****comment**

Yes. It is important for the health of residents to have more green areas inside the City. They should not all be manicured, some should be made for wildlife.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****10/167****Issue****5.4****comment**

Yes. We have owls and otter(s) in Glasgow South. Being held responsible for the death of an otter would not be good P.R.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****25/103****Issue****5.4****comment**

Good idea.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****49/279****Issue****5.4****comment**

In order to enhance ecosystems and habitats there needs to be a move away from Amenity Gardening in the parks i.e. Beds of begonias and Busy Lizzies, neither of which are nectar rich, to wildflower meadows and perennials which attract bees and butterflies and thereby boost biodiversity. The council should also move towards sustainable organic gardening and phase out pesticides and herbicides. Let's be the first city to go organic! Motorway verges are often cut unnecessarily close thereby killing wildlife.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4101****Issue****5.4****comment**

No adverse comment

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****102/2923****Issue****5.4****comment**

Once again we would argue that the issue as defined does not go far enough in the direction of positive protection of the river corridors and their adjacent green habitat. There must be a positive declaration of intended protection without risk of degradation in the general interest of all river corridors throughout the city This is particularly appropriate in the case for example of the community growth areas. The suggestion therefore that a minimum riparian corridor of 100m be retained must therefore be defined in the first instance where development has not already taken place or in areas of regeneration. It is essential that the existing ecosystem which a riverine corridor provides is maintained and where possible enhanced. There is a simple methodology (the ecosystem approach to the councils biodiversity action plan). The simple focus on the water habitat provides a single one stop approach to the protection of all species which inhabit or make use of the riverine corridor and its adjacent habitat We believe that although the council biodiversity plan is in place with its species directed approach that there is an opportunity to bring forward an enlightened policy to all three issues discussed above by adoption of a specific river corridor approach A holistic policy for all three major river corridors is essential

**your wish**

A holistic policy for all three major river corridors is essential

**posctard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****102/3877****Issue****5.4****comment**

Integrated Habitat Networks - we would argue that the issue as defined does not go far enough in the direction of positive protection of the river corridors and their adjacent green habitat. There must be a positive declaration of intended protection without risk of degradation in the general interest of all river corridors throughout the city, particularly appropriate in the case of, for example, the community growth areas. The suggestion that a minimum riparian corridor of 100m be retained must, therefore, be defined in the first instance where development has not already taken place. There is a simple methodology which exists at present which other councils have adopted i.e. the ecosystem approach to the Council's biodiversity action plan. The simple focus on the water habitat provides a single one stop approach to the protection of all species which inhabit or make use of the riverine corridor and its adjacent habitat. We believe that, although the Council biodiversity plan is in place with its species directed approach, that there is an opportunity to bring forward an enlightened policy by adoption of a specific, river corridor approach.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.](#)

5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2813****Issue****5.4****comment**

We support the preferred option but we recommend that future development of KP11 and Supplementary Guidance should emphasise that land use planning decisions should reflect the full range of natural heritage considerations including IHN, landscape, species and designations.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.](#)

5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2814****Issue****5.4****comment**

We recommend that clearer cross reference is made between the generalised policy approach to Option 5.4 and the highly prioritised spatial approach to the green network (5.3).

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.](#)

5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****115/635****Issue****5.4****comment**

Allotments are an essential part of the urban ecosystem and have been shown to provide habitats which – with the trend towards containers and hard standing - are being increasingly lost from gardens .

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****147/2939****Issue****5.4****comment**

We welcome the general tone of this section, however we would like to see more explicit mention of the need to protect and enhance designated sites, such as SSSIs and Local Nature Reserves.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****149/2962****Issue****5.4****comment**

The Partnership strongly supports the ecosystems approach as set out in the MIR which should be informed by the Integrated Habitat Network Model and subsequent Habitat Priorities Modelling. The Partnership would be happy to work with GCC to develop this approach.

**your wish**

The Partnership strongly supports the ecosystems approach as set out in the MIR which should be informed by the Integrated Habitat Network Model and subsequent Habitat Priorities Modelling. The Partnership would be happy to work with GCC to develop this

**posctard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****169/839****Issue** **5.4****comment** We are pleased that the MIR recognises that local authorities have responsibilities under the biodiversity duty (section 2.131).**your wish** Section 2.133 should reference ecosystem services such as flood prevention, improving air quality and carbon sequestration. We would like the LDP to describe what integrated habitat networks are, and what they contain and make reference to how they connect protected sites and other significant habitats (e.g. local nature conservation sites, ancient woodlands, parkland). The LDP should show where they already exist and what opportunities exist for joining up and expanding the green network through integrated habitat network modelling (i.e. this should be mapped in the LDP). This should be directly related to green network priorities. It should also be recognised that some of GLAP objectives can be delivered through the IHN.**posctard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****196/1181****Issue** **5.4****comment** The priority of the Local Development Plan is the social and economic regeneration of Glasgow and its communities for the benefit of residents. The focus of the Main Issues Report on natural landscapes and ecosystems is entirely unbalanced. The natural landscapes and ecosystems should be considered as part of a balanced approach to social and economic regeneration.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3581****Issue** **5.4****comment** Yes – agree with Option 5.4 A in relation to ecosystems and integrated habitat networks**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4029****Issue** **5.4****comment** Option 5.4 - Option A is the preferred one by SNP**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****230/900****Issue** **5.4**

**comment** The Forestry Commission welcomes this approach and is keen to deliver the aspirations of the Forestry and Woodland Strategy of the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****247/342****Issue** **5.4**

**comment** Strongly Agree

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****249/538****Issue** **5.4**

**comment** Although the word "network" is used throughout the Ecosystems and Integrated Habitat Networks issue, there is no policy for the development of green networks and the City Council appears to be committed to the development of green islands, not to the development of green networks.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****249/539****Issue** **5.4**

**comment** The presentation of the two options is confused. While it is, of course, important to adopt an integrated approach to biodiversity, this is not and cannot be an alternative to the protection and prioritisation of the habitats and species set out in the LBAP. The City Council cannot go back on its LBAP commitments without re-writing its LBAP. Therefore option 5.4.A and 5.4.B must run together, not as alternatives.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****270/411****Issue** 5.4**comment** This is a laudible goal.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to protect and promote the expansion and enhancement of ecosystems and habitat networks to help safeguard these natural resources.  
5.4

---

**Main Issues Report****147/2940****Issue** 5.4 A**comment** We support this aim. We would welcome the inclusion of a biodiversity checklist in the LDP or possibly through Supplementary Planning Guidance.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****117/823****Issue** 5.4A**comment****your wish** add- Allotments are part of the urban eco-system**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****3/14****Issue** 5.5**comment** I'm sure many are known to exist - do you mean can we afford them?**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****10/158****Issue** 5.5**comment** Yes. Also knowledge of local features is not well marked or noted. I lived next to Cathcart Castle Golf Course. I read that a druid site was unearthed there years ago. In a proper Scottish History Book yet nobody in that area has heard of it. I didn't know.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****26/117****Issue** **5.5****comment** Should be a normal part of any city cultural strategy. Need to be properly communicated to the public.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****48/282****Issue** **5.5****comment** Yes, please.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****64/319****Issue** **5.5****comment** I think this is a very good approach and our group would be pleased to help with compiling a list of geographical features.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4102****Issue** **5.5****comment** No adverse comment**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2815****Issue** **5.5****comment** We support the preferred option. The inclusion of geodiversity as a Main Issue is very welcome, as there is currently no protection for locally and regionally important geodiversity within the City.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2816****Issue 5.5**

**comment** we recommend that the geodiversity assessment identifies the best earth science features within the relevant area, regardless of whether they might be “worthy of protection” in any wider context. We hope that from this assessment the best features shall be designated as geological LNCS, with the LDP both protecting them and encouraging their promotion and enhancement For information on the approach to assessing these there is “Guidance on Establishing and Managing Local Nature Conservation Site Systems in Scotland” and can be found here <http://www.snh.org.uk/publications/on-line/heritagemanagement/LNCS/legislation.asp> We recommend that Geological LNCS should be afforded the same treatment within the plan as biological LNCS (SINCS).

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****196/1182****Issue 5.5**

**comment** Geological features are already defined within the City and elsewhere with a real concern that establishing this measure as a main issue in a Local Development Strategy will encourage definition of geological features which are of little national, regional and geological importance and which could restrict development options within the City.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3582****Issue 5.5**

**comment** Yes – agree with Option 5.5 A in relation to geodiversity

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4030****Issue 5.5**

**comment** Option 5.5 - Option A is the preferred one by SNP. It could be strengthened by fully accepting that there are geological features worthy of protection, and could be better worded to say “Undertake an assessment to determines which sites will be protected through the LPD.”

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****245/503****Issue****5.5****comment**

Welcome the inclusion of the Geodiversity section in the MIR. Should be noted that geological sites are important not just on educational grounds but also in terms of underpinning ecosystems and biodiversity.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****245/504****Issue****5.5****comment**

Para 2.135 states that there may be other geological features which have not been identified. We would be keen to be involved in an audit of geodiversity sites and assets worthy of protection in Glasgow. The Geological Society will be happy to provide a list of suggested sites within Glasgow.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****245/505****Issue****5.5****comment**

Alternative option 5.5 B would not be preferred as it offers no protection for any geodiversity sites other than those identified as SSSIs, where there is already a legislative duty of care.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****247/343****Issue****5.5****comment**

Agree

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 540****Issue** **5.5**

**comment** There are other options than those suggested in relation to geodiversity. The preferred option would be to consult with Glasgow University to identify any additional geological features worthy of protection etc.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****270 / 416****Issue** **5.5**

**comment** This is an inspired idea.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1570****Issue** **5.5**

**comment** GeoDiversity - Support for preferred option 5.5A – which is to undertake an assessment of possible additions to the current list of protected geological features.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****274 / 453****Issue** **5.5**

**comment** Glad to see geodiversity addressed in the Glasgow Local Development Plan Main Issues Report.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to investigate whether there are additional geological features in the City which are worthy of special protection.  
5.5

---

**Main Issues Report****10 / 154****Issue** **6.1**

**comment** Yes, Glasgow is not the same as Aberdeen or Montrose.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to produce guidance on “Design for Residential Development” which will interpret the Scottish Government’s Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****14/51****Issue** 6.1**comment** I have no idea what this means - your cards are far too jargony!**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****25/105****Issue** 6.1**comment** Good idea. 20 mph speed limit for all residential areas.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****48/281****Issue** 6.1**comment** This is essential. Communities must be protected and encouraged.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****88/618****Issue** 6.1**comment** While a design guide may be useful, there is some concern about what it would contain and how it would be used**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****88/621****Issue** 6.1**comment** This measure would be welcomed.**your wish** Furthermore, design has a key influence on neighbourhoods and how they are used. In line with 'Designing Streets', streets should be adequately scaled and reflective of the local characteristics of the area. Creating pedestrian friendly environments should also be a priority.**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4104****Issue****6.1****comment**

The new Guidance for Designing Streets and Places should consider provisions for bus access and active travel links to stations and bus routes. Guidance should include "bus friendly" traffic calming, bus infrastructure, the location of bus stops, different provisions for different types of buses. SPT would welcome close involvement in the development of the Guidance.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****97/1409****Issue****6.1****comment**

Support for the preferred option of producing a 'Design for Residential Development' to supplement the Scottish Government's 2010 publication 'Designing Streets'. It offers scope to emphasise the importance of designing streets and places to incorporate safe, convenient and attractive public transport services in order to achieve wider aims.

**your wish**

Would welcome the opportunity to contribute to the design guide should this option be adopted.

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****99/1644****Issue****6.1****comment**

Sustainable travel should be built into developments from the outset.

**your wish**

Support Option 6.1A as long as it includes specific measures to incorporate public transport / active travel access and discourage the use of private cars.

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2817****Issue****6.1****comment**

We support the preferred option. We recommend that the supplementary guidance should show how green infrastructure and green networks can be incorporated into the design principles to achieve good design and create successful, sustainable new places. The recently published Scottish Government document Green Infrastructure: Design and Placemaking <http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2011/11/04140525/0> has useful information on these multiple benefits.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.

6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****117/809****Issue****6.1****comment**

The city should be designed for the people and their needs in terms of well being, quality of life and a sustainable environment must come first. The local plan should be about creating at mixed communities with vibrant high streets, small business premises and eco-friendly housing, allowing as many people as possible to walk to work, to engage in community activities and to shop

**your wish**

An assessment must have been made and the preservation orders in place before any planning consent is given for new build or regeneration

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.

6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****123/3343****Issue****6.1****comment**

It has not been possible to implement some of the aims for better design of streets, as embodied in City Plan 2, along the lines of Home zones/ Play streets etc, due to a conflict of policies between the roads department in LES and the planning department in DRS. This conflict is unacceptable and has to be resolved as part of this LDP, so that aspirations in the proposed SG are not overturned at the first planning application.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.

6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3432****Issue****6.1****comment**

The criteria mentioned in para 2.136 (Designing Streets) is highly commendable and should logically be applied to all streets, not just those of new residential development, because the all citizens of the city have the right to live equally well. This approach should be retrofitted to all streets in the city to make this a better place.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164 / 3708****Issue****6.1****comment**

Appears to be aimed at new developments of some size

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164 / 3709****Issue****6.1****comment**

Option 6.1A is very welcome, but does it refer exclusively to new development?

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****169 / 840****Issue****6.1****comment**

This section should recognise the contribution that high quality green infrastructure and green networks can make to the design of successful places.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****179 / 1433****Issue** **6.1**

**comment** Cycling and a more cycle-able City are catalysts for many improvements across Glasgow (increasing human interaction, supporting local economic development, mental and physical health and making the City safe for our children, older, vulnerable and disabled people). The Development Plan for Glasgow should bring about a more health conscious and cycle friendly City.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****187 / 3969****Issue** **6.1**

**comment** Certainly important for new residential development but design studies and guidance should also apply to other areas of potential change in civic, business and industrial contexts, not least in promoting the enhancement of space between buildings.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****188 / 1816****Issue** **6.1**

**comment** GHA would welcome the opportunity to participate in consultation on design guidance.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1248****Issue** **6.1**

**comment** The reference to Designing Streets is welcomed and Option 6.1A is supported. Scot Gov reiterates that there are 5 policies in Designing Streets that should be implemented, it applies to all streets not just residential, it is important that all key principles in Designing Streets are included with additional information on local context/materials, the selection of only certain elements can impeded the effectiveness of street safety measures. Designing Places, PAN83 and Green Infrastructure: Design and Placemaking should also be drawn on.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1183****Issue** **6.1****comment** Designing Streets already exists as a statement of National Policy to which all Council plans and development must comply.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****197 / 1777****Issue** **6.1****comment** CDL supports the Council's preferred option.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3583****Issue** **6.1****comment** Yes – agree with Option 6.1 A in relation to designing streets and places and this guidance should also to be extended to the re-development or enhancement of existing streets and places and not restricted to the development of new streets.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4033****Issue** **6.1****comment** Option 6.1 - Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group, however the SNP Group feels that Designing Streets guidance should be the basis of any Glasgow design guidelines.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3489****Issue** **6.1**

**comment** We agree with Option 6.1A as it is important to produce a 'Design for Residential Development' in line with the Scottish Government's 'Designing Streets' and 'Designing Places' in a Glasgow context.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3490****Issue** **6.1**

**comment** It should be noted that a key consideration in this issue is achieving appropriate traffic speed in both existing and new residential proposals. Design should be used to influence driver behaviour to reduce traffic speeds to levels that are appropriate for the local context and especially in Conservation Areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 332****Issue** **6.1**

**comment** Excellent idea

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****250 / 443****Issue** **6.1**

**comment** Through recent discussions with Council representatives, we recognise the need for guidance on designing streets and places to be prepared as quickly as possible and in conjunction with the key agencies in residential development for their input.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****252/511****Issue****6.1****comment**

We agree with Option 6.1A, however, the design of "healthy sustainable places" is a cultural issue as well as a physical one, and education is required to develop an attitude and sense of individual responsibility amongst our citizens. Without this, the places are quickly ruined. A sense of individual responsibility must be nurtured and, when necessary, properly enforced.

We realise that it may be thought that this is beyond the remit of the Local Development Plan, but we would argue that it is essential that some joint thinking is required here and that the issue should not be ignored. There is a lack of self-criticism in much of the plan: some problems are difficult to address, but an attempt should still be made.

**your wish**

The design of streets and places includes an important cultural and educational issue that the Council will address through all relevant departments. This will seek to improve understanding of how the action of an individual can make a difference to our environment, encourage and reward responsible behaviour and penalise anti-social tendencies.

**postcard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****271/1572****Issue****6.1****comment**

Designing Streets and Places - This policy should also address how we can improve established places as well as best practice for new residential developments.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****271/1573****Issue****6.1****comment**

Land and Env. Services use of streets for recycling, and a myriad of cycling, parking, etc etc notices and clutter, must come under some new policy to which they must adhere – otherwise they appear to give a very bad impression to the public, and negate significant spending on other aspects of the visual environment.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to produce guidance on "Design for Residential Development" which will interpret the Scottish Government's Designing Streets document in a Glasgow context.  
6.1

---

**Main Issues Report****147 / 2941****Issue****6.1 A****comment**

We support this aim. We would welcome the inclusion of a biodiversity checklist in the LDP or possibly through Supplementary Planning Guidance.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****165 / 1317****Issue****6.1 A****comment**

In relation to the proposed SPG on "Design for Residential Development"

**your wish**

sportscotland consider it important that provision for formal and informal sport and physical recreation should be considered as part of this SPG. This will ensure that such provision is made and is protected, that it joins up with existing networks, including links to the wider countryside, where appropriate, and has multi use i.e. walking and cycling and where appropriate horse riding as a starting point (in accordance with the Land Reform (Scotland) Act).

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****107 / 690****Issue****6.1/6.3****comment**

Homes for Scotland welcomes the commitment to review design policies, and consider the Scottish Government's 'Designing Streets' approach. The Council must take a corporate approach to implementing Designing Streets, however, not only through planning. imposition of excessive garden sizes, separation distances, inefficient positioning of garages and driveways, excessive open space and parking requirements, all inhibit development.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****117 / 825****Issue****6.1A****comment**

Option 6.1A Endorse as long as allotments are seen as integral to urban design There has been significant research on incorporating allotments in housing schemes with higher densities so all those who wish have an opportunity to grow their own food

**your wish**

Allotments must be added to any design guide for residential developments

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****10/157****Issue****6.2****comment**

Yes. High density housing. Where many don't work is often noisy at night. It is very hard for people who do work to sleep. Often. Drab low-standard housing with no appeal will never last for long.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****26/114****Issue****6.2****comment**

Accessible transport links and not rural type transport services. Local services need to be available. If necessary, reduce costs to Glasgow Council Tax payers.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****43/238****Issue****6.2****comment**

Yes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****78/3900****Issue****6.2****comment**

The council should reclaim open spaces in parts of the city centre for vibrant residential development, as the correct housing close to the city centre will attract a diverse range of persons, including families. Land values should come second to the need to encourage development.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****91 / 1715****Issue** 6.2

**comment** Diageo agrees with Option 6.2A, which advocates a review of policy relating to housing densities. Diageo would however wish to comment upon this issue further as the review process continues.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****93 / 4105****Issue** 6.2

**comment** SPT supports the analysis provided for a review of CP2 residential densities in recognising the link between housing density and how the resultant demand to travel can support the development and retention of demand for high frequency mass transit – such as bus, Fastlink, Subway and rail. It would be useful to consider a corridor approach to densities using the main transport corridors in the development of Option6.2A. These corridors have been defined in the Conurbation Study, based on modelled CP2 densities.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****93 / 4110****Issue** 6.2

**comment** In the development of Option 6.2A in the Proposed Plan, it would be useful to consider a corridor approach to identifying higher density areas using the main transport corridors, including radial routes and the Subway loop around the inner city. These corridors have been defined in the Conurbation Study, based on modelled CP2 densities.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****97 / 1410****Issue** 6.2

**comment** Support a review of current policy regarding housing density on the basis that it would allow an enhanced emphasis to be placed on accessibility issues and might lead to a more attractive environment for public transport operations.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1645****Issue****6.2****comment**

Density is particularly important to the provision of high quality public transport.

**your wish**

Support Option 6.2A with particular reference to the provision of sustainable transport.

**posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****108 / 2818****Issue****6.2****comment**

We support the preferred option. We believe it is important that higher densities do not sacrifice the quality of people surroundings and consider that green infrastructure and green networks should be included in residential development to create successful, sustainable new places.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****115 / 636****Issue****6.2****comment**

'Sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live' are those areas which provide that which people want from their neighbourhood; increasingly it is clear that people want to be able to 'grow their own' in their own locality.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****123 / 3344****Issue****6.2****comment**

There should be more areas designated as exclusion zones for HMO's, with the exception of student hostels of over a medium to large size

**your wish**

To nominate the Yorkhill, Garnethill and Merchant City areas for an initial review of actual HMO density.

**posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****143/1524****Issue****6.2****comment**

Promoting increased densities in already dense tenemental areas will be counter-productive and even stressful. NO community will interact with empathy if there is competition for space in meeting places or on transport. Density should be carefully assessed and have limits just as services have.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3712****Issue****6.2****comment**

Option 6.2A preferred but there have been problems over stressed transport nodes and densely occupied areas already developed, particularly in tenemental areas.

**your wish**

Some consideration should be to established communities and conservation areas

**posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3713****Issue****6.2****comment**

The plan should also consider other aspects of housing.

**your wish**

More particular reference is needed to tenements and flatted dwellings, which form 60% of Glasgow's urban housing and have particular problems. Create another section on this?

**posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3714****Issue****6.2****comment**

This is a major interest of Hillhead and other tenemental areas

**your wish**

We are particularly interested in policies to ensure that tenements remain viable as places to live

**posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3716****Issue****6.2****comment**

Tenements and flatted dwellings (including four in a block) are the main form of housing in Glasgow. The proposed link with part V of the housing act only covers HMO's. Similar problems are also in flats which do not meet the definition of an HMO, or are owner occupied. They are as destructive of the community and tenements as problem HMO's.

**your wish**

To build and maintain strong communities and the fabric of the tenements this needs to be addressed.

**postcard no:** [We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.](#)  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3717****Issue****6.2****comment**

Policy is needed to address the moving of stacked water and drainage services (kitchens and bathrooms), not just to link with the new Housing Act regulation of Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs) - Part V Private Rented Accommodation, but to deal with owner-occupiers and other landlords not covered by the legislation

**your wish**

We suggest moving the (traditionally stacked) water and drainage services of a flat in a tenement of flatted dwellings is not permitted unless integral to the refurbishment of the whole tenement

**postcard no:** [We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.](#)  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3970****Issue****6.2****comment**

Option A should be pursued.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** [We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.](#)  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****188/1817****Issue****6.2****comment**

GHA would welcome flexibility on residential density.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** [We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.](#)  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1249****Issue** **6.2**

**comment** The principle that higher densities may be appropriate at transport nodes is supported. Density should also be related to local context - e.g. local centres in walkable neighbourhoods.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1250****Issue** **6.2**

**comment** Option 6.2A is supported. Demographic pressures on family housing and housing for the elderly should be considered in line with the need to create mixed communities with a range of house types, densities and tenure.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1184****Issue** **6.2**

**comment** Urban densities and affordable housing are already addressed in current national, regional and local planning policy, however, it is clear that local Housing Associations may not want to increase densities on the basis of tenant demand for lower density housing stock. Planning policies already seek to encourage higher densities adjacent to good public transport connections but demand by Housing Associations is for 2 storey low density housing as evidenced by some of the housing provided in the Central Govan Action Plan. The use of private land for such development can be achieved, however, this will require financial provisions or enabling policies within the plan including options for additional greenfield release to support additional affordable housing stock.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3584****Issue** **6.2**

**comment** Yes – agree with Option 6.2 A in relation to residential densities

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****203/3000****Issue****6.2****comment**

Private sheltered accommodation schemes, specifically for the elderly, will have a key role to play in addressing Greater Glasgow's future housing needs, partly as this releases larger houses for families.

**your wish**

New policy in new City Plan: Development proposals for accommodation designed specifically for the elderly will be encouraged, provided that they are accessible by public transport or a reasonable walking distance to community facilities such as shops, medical services, places of worship and public open space.

**posctard no:** [We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.](#)  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****203/3001****Issue****6.2****comment**

Paragraph 2.140 Projected demographic change also suggests ... that housing suitable for a more elderly population may arise in the future.

**your wish**

New Plan needs to acknowledge the role that owner occupied sheltered housing schemes play in meeting older person housing needs and n providing housing choice.

**posctard no:** [We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.](#)  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4034****Issue****6.2****comment**

Option 6.2 - Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.](#)  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3491****Issue****6.2****comment**

We agree with Option 6.2A. However population loss from City Centre is not acceptable. In fact an increase would be preferable.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.](#)  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****247/331****Issue** 6.2**comment** Excellent**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****249/545****Issue** 6.2**comment** I find this difficult to follow, as it says that there should be a balance between need and design and accessibility. It is not explained what this means in practical terms. I would have assumed in any case that that has always been the aspiration. Why is that new?**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****249/551****Issue** 6.2**comment** This is too non-committal, and suggests that GCC has not yet considered the options available. This document purports to be a Local Development Plan. 6.2A merely suggests that the council will review an existing policy!**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to review our housing density policy to identify how this can best contribute to the delivery of more sustainable neighbourhoods and places to live.  
6.2

---

**Main Issues Report****117/826****Issue** 6.2A**comment** There are many good examples (including Paris, Copenhagen and Freiburg) on the continent of allotments being integrated into the residential developments.**your wish** Glasgow must consider these examples and recognise the benefits to the people.**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****3/18****Issue** 6.3**comment** My father was suggesting this 50 years ago!! Hurry up!**your wish****posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****7/76****Issue** 6.3**comment** Right approach, although I think this should be mandatory on all new buildings.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****10/156****Issue** 6.3**comment** Yes, Please. You could add more parking. It can be a distance away from 'the amenity', a good walk is nice.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****43/240****Issue** 6.3**comment** Yes.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****88/619****Issue** 6.3**comment** Some find it difficult to follow the arguments presented in relation to residential parking requirements and ask, "Would it not be OK to provide 1 parking space per residential unit as an alternative? Why 1.25? Is below ground parking required for new blocks of flats?" Perhaps this section could be made clearer.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****91 / 1716****Issue** **6.3**

**comment** Diageo has no objection to the preferred Option 6.3B, however requirements for type of car parking must be flexible and must take into consideration the financial viability of the options available.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****93 / 4106****Issue** **6.3**

**comment** We support the analysis of residential parking requirements and Preferred Option 6.3B as it is likely to reduce the demand for on-street parking since this has an adverse effect on the capacity of streets for active travel and public transport. However, there is a concern that the provision of separate new multi storey parking blocks, without enforceable controls on their use, could lead to additional parking spaces being made available for general use during the day. This would then increase the use of cars for commuting trips for work and for education.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****93 / 4108****Issue** **6.3**

**comment** The development of Option 6.3B should include guidance on how the use of any separate parking provision is to be controlled so that it does not increase the availability of parking spaces for general use, This will be a particular concern in or near to the city centre, local centres and in mixed use areas

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****97 / 1411****Issue** **6.3**

**comment** Support for a policy change which might lead to off-street or underground car parking arrangements in new developments. This could lead to some reduction in on-street parking in residential areas, which can have a detrimental effect on public transport operation.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****99 / 1646****Issue****6.3****comment**

Recognise that in the short term, reducing residential car parking availability may give rise to specific problems, however would like to see a general reduction in the provision of residential parking and specific encouragement of car free development.

**your wish**

Support Option 6.3A with additional measures to discourage car ownership within the City.

**posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.

6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****115 / 637****Issue****6.3****comment**

Off street parking areas should not compromise areas which could be designated as 'growing areas'

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.

6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****123 / 3345****Issue****6.3****comment**

The maximum level of car parking associated with residential developments should be reduced yet further and the actual provision be made in some manner discouraging, perhaps by having it at a distance. The fallback of not allowing new applicants to the residential parking permit scheme should remain and high density tenemental areas promoted as 'low car ownership' areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.

6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3433****Issue****6.3****comment**

Electric cars are not the solution to the problem of the private car in Glasgow, as the paragraph 2.142 seems to suggest. They are just as dangerous to the health and well being of citizens, affect the safety of the city, encourage obesity and related illnesses, make streets unsafe and impassable for the children, the elderly and disabled residents and visitors to the City.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.

6.3

---

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3434****Issue** **6.3****comment** Discouraging car use and encouraging active travel and public transport use are fundamental parts of the MIR and should be reflected here also.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****158 / 1759****Issue** **6.3****comment** Residential moorings should not always require to meet parking requirements of traditional housing.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****162 / 1786****Issue** **6.3****comment** Old Mill Studios/Credential Holdings strongly supports the preferred option (6.3A).**your wish****posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****162 / 2998****Issue** **6.3****comment** Completion of masterplan for Templeton Business site is prevented by (1) an existing shortage of car parking for Templeton Business Park and (2) a 100% (or higher) parking requirement per unit, which makes further residential development unviable.**your wish** Key requirements of Templeton Business Site need to be recognised in new City Plan to support the redevelopment of this site, i.e. reducing parking provision to allow residential development to proceed and increasing parking provision to support business uses (multi-storey car park).**posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3971****Issue** 6.3**comment** We definitely go for Option B. In common with much of Glasgow's higher density areas we already suffer from indiscriminate parking in uncontrolled streets.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****188/1818****Issue** 6.3**comment** GHA support reduction in parking requirement.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****196/1185****Issue** 6.3**comment** Underground parking will increase the cost of development and reduce the financial viability of housing projects. Mandatory provision of underground parking would reduce the attraction of Glasgow for many investors and developers. The introduction of such facilities must remain as an option for consideration against market forces.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3585****Issue** 6.3**comment** Yes – agree with Option 6.3 A in relation to car parking requirements however this needs to be supported by other developments i.e. public transport network and other measures to encourage people out of cars**your wish****posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4035****Issue** **6.3**

**comment** Option 6.3 - Option B (the preferred option) is broadly supported by the SNP Group. The proposal should go further, though, to ensure that parking areas are not at the expense of informal grass areas or other amenity spaces. The SNP Group feels that back courts should be better managed as informal areas to foster greater community activity and reduce the compartmentalised nature of many back court areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3492****Issue** **6.3**

**comment** We agree with Option 6.3B. Modifications to existing guidance may be necessary in relation to the potential need for developers to provide residential parking underground or in separate provision off street. Other modifications may be possible in relation to on street parking provision, the provision of power points in new developments and elsewhere for electrical vehicles.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****233/3930****Issue** **6.3**

**comment** WJG supports the need to challenge climate change and one effective way in which to enforce this is through limiting the role of motor car provision in new development. WJG supports the existing City Plan 2 policy of flexibility to vary the 1 space per 20 students/staff standard to enable car free student developments. Where parking is required by policy, then this should encourage limited on-street parking for staff, disabled residents and visitors, rather than dedicated space on-site.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****247/330****Issue** **6.3**

**comment** Strongly agree. Also has to meet Conservation Area Article 4 directions.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 546****Issue****6.3****comment**

It is to be deplored that Glasgow has not followed the lead of other major cities in planning for a reduction in the use of motorcars by positive management techniques and improved benefits for low car ownership developments. A list of the possible ways of providing car parking spaces at the standard rate is not in the least enlightening.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 552****Issue****6.3****comment**

Option 6.3.A is preferred - but must be assessed on a case-by-case basis. Other local authorities manage to successfully offer benefits for reducing car ownership in the city, and models for successful action are readily available.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1574****Issue****6.3****comment**

Residential Parking requirements - Option 6.3B Support for preferred option. Note however that there should be the ability, for example, in specific social housing contexts where the car ownership of the tenants is known to be very low, to reduce the minimum requirement and thereby free investment to improve other aspects of the development.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like developers to provide either underground or off street parking in new residential buildings, where possible and appropriate.  
6.3

---

**Main Issues Report****117 / 827****Issue****6.3A****comment**

Agree - 1.25 cars is excessive. What is the percentage of open space in the city used for roads and car parking? Some other alternatives must be found

**your wish**

Some other alternatives must be found

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****7/33****Issue****6.4****comment**

I do think this is right and should be mandatory for all types of new building, with others being done gradually to the same level.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.

6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****10/43****Issue****6.4****comment**

Yellow LED are cheaper and more efficient than white. A blend of yellow and white works well. With no mercury.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.

6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****31/192****Issue****6.4****comment**

The council's preferred option is significantly superior to the alternative. Energy use reduction is likely to be a more productive approach in a dense urban environment than a concentration on generation technologies.

**your wish**

Increase further the emphasis on improving the energy performance of existing buildings

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.

6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****43/259****Issue****6.4****comment**

Yes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.

6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****91 / 1717****Issue** **6.4**

**comment** Diageo agrees with Option 6.4A, however would wish to reserve the right to comment on Supplementary Guidance relating to this issue at the appropriate time.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.  
6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****107 / 691****Issue** **6.4**

**comment** Building regulations, not the planning system, is the appropriate mechanism to deliver energy efficient homes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.  
6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****108 / 2819****Issue** **6.4**

**comment** We support the preferred option.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.  
6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1104****Issue** **6.4**

**comment** Question 10. Sustainable Built and Natural Environment- recognise the requirements for sustainable development set out in the Climate change Act and accept new buildings should be required to meet specific reductions in greenhouse gas emissions/ energy use.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.  
6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1106****Issue** 6.4**comment** Question 10. Believe some of the policies in the Climate Change (Scotland) Act 2009 relating to the requirement for low and zero carbon technologies in existing buildings may be unrealistic and impractical.**your wish** Believes that in relation to these demands, the LDP must adopt a pragmatic approach, as suggested by SPP (2010).**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.  
6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****187 / 3972****Issue** 6.4**comment** Option A is strongly supported. It would be even better if these measures could be brought in during the lifetime of the present City Plan.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.  
6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****188 / 1819****Issue** 6.4**comment** Policy must consider the economic downturn and related reduction in availability of housing subsidy.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.  
6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1251****Issue** 6.4**comment** Content with preferred options provided retrofitting of historic buildings conforms with conservation policy. Historic Scotland will be happy to work with the council to identify opportunities for retrofitting historic buildings.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.  
6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1252****Issue** 6.4

**comment** The reference to Section 3F of the Planning Act is welcomed but the policy in the plan needs to conform fully with Section 3F including the requirement for low/zero carbon generating technologies and the need for specific and rising emissions reductions.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.  
6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1186****Issue** 6.4

**comment** These issues are addressed under Building Control Regulations and do not need clarification in the Local Development Plan.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.  
6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3586****Issue** 6.4

**comment** Yes – agree with Option 6.4 A in relation to reducing the need for energy

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.  
6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****203 / 3002****Issue** 6.4

**comment** Option 6.4B represents a more measured and reasonable approach to carbon reduction than Option 6.4A. Thermal efficiency of the fabric/construction of the building is a more sustainable option than an insistence on renewable energy generation.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.  
6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****209 / 1282****Issue****6.4****comment**

The University of Glasgow has a desire to continue to reduce carbon emissions but would raise a cautionary view that this policy should be flexible enough to accommodate specialised buildings that are intensive in their energy consumption. There is also a suggestion that the policy review will include specific guidance for listed buildings.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.

6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4036****Issue****6.4****comment**

Option 6.4 - Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group. Designed-in energy reduction measures such as natural ventilation and waste heat recovery can be of significant benefit.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.

6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3493****Issue****6.4****comment**

We agree with Option 6.4A. However we would say that much supplementary research is required for alternative technologies.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.

6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 329****Issue****6.4****comment**

Strongly agree

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.

6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 547****Issue** **6.4**

**comment** As this stands, issue 6.4 (reducing the need for energy in new developments) relates only to new developments. It should relate to existing buildings also.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.  
6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 553****Issue** **6.4**

**comment** Although Option 6.4.A is preferred, there is a need to separate provision for new and existing buildings.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to ensure that all new buildings help deliver reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy use through use of low carbon technologies and other considerations such as design.  
6.4

---

**Main Issues Report****147 / 2942****Issue** **6.4 A**

**comment** We support specific targets to be set for carbon emission reductions. To ensure effective implementation we suggest that the policy makes reference to the energy hierarchy i.e. steps should be taken to reduce energy demand and improve efficiency followed by the implementation of sustainable energy technologies once all reasonable steps to minimise energy demand have been taken. We would also support consideration of sustainability assessments such as BREEAM and EcoHomes to cover wider sustainability issues such as biodiversity, water efficiency and material use etc.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****123 / 3346****Issue** **6.4 and 6.5**

**comment** Planning constraints should be removed for residential retrofitting of domestic rooftop solar water heating or PV panels, even on listed buildings or in conservation areas.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****123/3347****Issue** 6.4 and 6.5**comment** Design guides and approved panel styles should be developed for solar water heating and PV.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****174/770****Issue** 6.4/6.5**comment** Options 6.4 and 6.5: This policy is needed both for new developments and retro-fitting.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****10/155****Issue** 6.5**comment** Yes. Heat Pumps!! Windmill turned heat pump? White roofs to reflect hot sun.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.  
6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****26/139****Issue** 6.5**comment** Renewables and energy efficiency must be installed as standard when property is either refurbished or new. It should be part of local planning conditions.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.  
6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****43/245****Issue** 6.5**comment** Yes**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.  
6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****48/278****Issue** 6.5**comment** Yes, please. This should be taken for granted.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.  
6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****52/308****Issue** 6.5**comment** Yes**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.  
6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****88/620****Issue** 6.5**comment** Option 6.5 A seems vague to us, and potentially allows for nothing to be done. Could this be strengthened?**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.  
6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2820****Issue** 6.5**comment** We support the preferred option.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.  
6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2852****Issue** 6.5**comment** We consider that the wording of this option should be altered in order to emphasise the role of fruit trees and orchards in retrofitting the landscape, streetscape and open spaces in addition to proposed works involved with buildings.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.  
6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****169/841****Issue** 6.5

**comment** We are fully supportive of section 2.151 which advocates retrofitting green roofs, SUDs street trees as part of the low carbon technology mix. Such measures can also increase biodiversity value, make better places, reduce flood risk and mitigate climate change. This section should link to IHN and green network section.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.  
6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3973****Issue** 6.5

**comment** We like the pro-active approach of Option A.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.  
6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****188/1820****Issue** 6.5

**comment** GHA supports a policy that encourages underused buildings being brought back into active use.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.  
6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****196/1187****Issue** 6.5

**comment** These issues are addressed under Building Control Regulations and do not need clarification in the Local Development Plan.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.  
6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****199/3587****Issue** 6.5

**comment** Yes – agree with Option 6.5 A in relation to retro-fitting the urban environment

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.  
6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4037****Issue** 6.5**comment** Option 6.5 - Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3494****Issue** 6.5**comment** We agree with Option 6.5A. However we would say that much supplementary research is required for alternative technologies.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****240/313****Issue** 6.5**comment** MIR pursue alternatives**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****247/327****Issue** 6.5**comment** Strongly Agree. But where "historic" - (Victorian/Edwardian/Georgian period) - buildings are involved, suitable care must be taken with any extensions etc.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****249/548****Issue** 6.5**comment** An alternative option is preferred, which would be to develop projects using tried and tested techniques in selected suitable locations. There is no need for further "investigation" of the type suggested - it has already been done by others. Regrettably the list of types of "retrofitting" is extremely limited.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.6.5

---

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 549****Issue****6.5****comment**

Unless the current techniques and practices for "introducing more trees" to streets are thoroughly revised, we will continue to plant occasional lollipop trees that die or fail to thrive. It is well known that trees modify the local environment - but the current planting practices used in the city will make no difference. This policy COULD usefully be tied in to:

Issue 1 - sustainable use of resources ( using pockets of land for tree planting) and development of biomass for energy generation.  
Issue 3 - development of community woodlands aimed at providing shelter.  
Issue 5 - the development of real green networks, linking one green island to another.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.](#)

6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 554****Issue****6.5****comment**

Neither option is preferred. A lot of the study and "investigation" for ways of introducing energy conservation to an existing building/ greenspace has already been done and is in the public domain. The options should therefore include one to identify opportunities to put this into practice and to seek ways to bring into practice energy conservation projects.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.](#)

6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1575****Issue****6.5****comment**

Retro-Fitting the Urban Environment - Option 6.5A preferred option is supported IF Heritage issues are properly addressed in any new policy – especially in the case of extensions being made to Listed Buildings.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would like to investigate options for improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings when extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment is proposed.](#)

6.5

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1105****Issue****6.5 (A)****comment**

Question 10. Similarly accept that retrofitting older buildings can ensure that they also reduce emissions as set out in preferred option 6.5 (A). These initiatives will undoubtedly achieve energy reductions.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****147 / 2943****Issue** **6.5 A****comment** We support this aim. Again, a biodiversity checklist could be useful here.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3435****Issue** **6.5A****comment** Energy efficiency and adaptation of the environment should include retrofitting of small scale changes to the street environment which would make them negotiable by bike, buggy, wheelchair and pedestrian. Such small scale retrofitting implemented across the city would be hugely resource efficient and have wide scale implications; making streets more cycling and walking friendly, safer to cross and support local economies and amenities. Many existing streets have more than adequate capacity to make significant improvements for cyclists (e.g.. Edinburgh Road) without affecting traffic flow. Making existing streets more attractive for active travel will encourage a modal shift away from the motor car.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****10 / 151****Issue** **6.6****comment** Yes, I think this is the right approach. Students like to party. While others have work in the (early) morning.**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.  
6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****90/571****Issue****6.6****comment**

A revised policy is proposed to expand existing Policy ENV2 (City Plan 2; December 2009) to include student housing and other "quasi-residential" uses as being uses that should contribute towards the amenity space requirements set out in the policy.

The justification offered is that the policy is intended to ensure that proposals for student housing have sufficient amenity space and public realm provided on site for the needs of the student residents. We would appreciate clarification of the proposed changes to the policy and how these changes link to the Council Executive Committee Report (March 2011) which appeared to change the existing policy along similar lines. We would appreciate further information on the rationale and justification for the proposed policy change to include student housing.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4107****Issue****6.6****comment**

We note the particular issues identified in considering how to integrate student accommodation within existing communities and that this will be considered in a new policy under Option 6.6. The choice of highly accessible locations such as close to Subway stations and rail stations with high frequency services should be identified as a key criteria for such accommodation. This should reduce the need for on-site parking provision and also ensure student residents can make use of existing facilities in local centres or stimulate a demand and so contribute to the regeneration of local centres.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4109****Issue****6.6****comment**

The development of a new policy under Option 6.6 should prioritise highly accessible locations such as close to Subway stations and rail stations with high frequency services as key criteria for such accommodation.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****143 / 1525****Issue****6.6****comment**

High density new build, such as student accommodation, must be limited in residential areas to avoid too much pressure on services and transport. They will also be a transient and changing element in the community.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.  
6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****143 / 1528****Issue****6.6****comment**

Presumption to grant student accommodation should be removed as it allows developers to ignore other provisions intended to safeguard conservation areas, streetscape and make buildings relate to their context. It also encourages unnecessary concentrations of such accommodation.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.  
6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****164 / 3718****Issue****6.6****comment**

We are delighted that the plan is to address these problems and fully supportive.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.  
6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3720****Issue****6.6****comment**

This issue should also consider all the types of accommodation in 2.154 which are not necessarily large scale but collectively have equal or greater impact

**your wish**

Concentrations of all such uses need to be addressed. They contribute to undermining the stability of communities. Licensing regulations should also involve planning consent for any change of use: it should not be possible to add to existing HMO's in Hillhead on the grounds that a hostel or old folks home are not much different. They differ in kind and impact. Need a specific policy.

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3721****Issue****6.6****comment**

The council also needs to look at a means of coordinating homeless and other placements so that local authorities do not create additional problems eg:- Local auth outwith Glasgow regularly use hotels and HMOs / rented accommodation in Glasgow for their own homeless. A means needs to be found to deal with this additional impact in areas under pressure. Different branches of social work can inadvertently place drug addicts or prison releases in the same street as a children's home

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3722****Issue****6.6****comment**

Please note that it is recognised that the homeless need to be housed. We are addressing collective impact and issues relevant to sustainable communities.

**your wish**

Where there is already a largely transient population and demographically imbalanced population there should be a policy to take this into account.

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3723****Issue****6.6****comment**

Please also note that Private rented accommodation is not classed as an HMO and is not regulated by part V of the Housing Act.

**your wish**

Problems with some of those are considerable and need to be addressed by the new plan and supplementary guidance

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3724****Issue****6.6****comment**

It would be advisable to look at tightening up the policies around HMOs where such accommodation has been refused planning consent but continues to operate

**your wish**

Any application for lawful use should start from the date of refusal or, preferably should not be entertained since the use was refused (needs some careful thought). We would be happy to contribute further to this and related topics. We can provide further information

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3725****Issue****6.6****comment**

Option 6.6A is preferred. We approve the general aim of ensuring that purpose built student accommodation on a large scale should have associated amenities.

**your wish**

We would also hope that higher standards for energy consumption / waste management required on other new developments should also apply to these and other large scale accommodation

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3726****Issue****6.6****comment**

There is a balance to be strike here. Although the economic impact of the student population in an area like the west end can be quite positive as well as problematic in some respects, it needs to be managed.

**your wish**

Any application for pupose built accommodation in established communities needs very careful scrutiny

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3727****Issue****6.6****comment**

The whole question of student accommodation and large scale accommodation in Hillhead and other parts of the west end is a problem which we agree needs to be addressed.

**your wish**

We recommend removing the presumption to grant student accommodation as a matter of urgency. It has resulted in buildings which do not relate to their surroundings in massing, scale and footprint and do not accord with the requirements of the City Plan 2 even in conservation areas. These have been destructive of the built environment

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3728****Issue****6.6****comment**

Pressure in the west end is now such that new accommodation should be refused and directed elsewhere

**your wish**

refuse new student accommodation in west end and direct elsewhere - with care to see that the problems we have are not repeated

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3729****Issue****6.6****comment**

Purpose built accommodation of this kind does not solve the HMO problem.

**your wish**

Unless the educational establishments require students to live in such accommodation it cannot. Demand for living in the west end also comes from students who are attending higher education outwith the west end.

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3730****Issue** **6.6**

**comment** There are problems with some accommodation. They do not provide wardens (deemed necessary in such accommodation by Glasgow University). They can distort the university's own provision

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.  
6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3731****Issue** **6.6**

**comment** There are 4 others in the pipeline which have some serious implications for the area.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.  
6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3732****Issue** **6.6**

**comment** See also the points made under issue 6.2 with regard to tenement conversion

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.  
6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****174/771****Issue** **6.6**

**comment** We approve the general aim in Option 6.6 of ensuring large scale purpose-built student accommodation has associated amenities. There is a careful balance to strike here, however, as the student population economic impact in an area like the West End can have a positive economic impact, helping to maintain a range of amenities that might not otherwise thrive. Higher standards for energy consumption/ waste management required on other new developments should also apply to student accommodation.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.  
6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****187 / 3974****Issue** **6.6**

**comment** Our area, like others close to the Universities, is already under heavy development pressure for student housing and the guidance recently published has come into effect too late to influence some major applications. While we welcome the preferred Option with its reference to residential amenity, many more such proposals may well take place during the long gestation of this new Development Plan. We would therefore urge the adoption of these stronger measures without further delay.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1188****Issue** **6.6**

**comment** Student accommodation by definition has to be close to educational establishments and will therefore in places be concentrated. Each project should be considered on its merit.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3588****Issue** **6.6**

**comment** Yes – agree with Option 6.6 A in relation to student accommodation

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****209 / 1283****Issue** **6.6**

**comment** The University of Glasgow would welcome the policy for student accommodation providers to include quality amenity space standards.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****217 / 1682****Issue****6.6****comment**

Support the addition of new policy and guidance within the LDP for student accommodation and other large scale quasi residential uses, however we request that the wording of such policy and guidance is not overly restrictive; the policy does not restrict the provision of associated facilities on site only; each proposal should be judged on its own merits against relevant criteria, not on the basis of a sequential approach; should not contain restrictions or caps in terms of scale or location; and there should be no specific zones for new student residence developments nor zones preventing student residence development proposals.

**your wish**

Support the addition of new policy and guidance within the LDP, however we request that the wording of such policy and guidance is not overly restrictive; the policy does not restrict the provision of associated facilities on site only; each proposal should be judged on its own merits against relevant criteria, not on the basis of a sequential approach; should not contain restrictions or caps in terms of scale or location; and there should be no specific zones for new student residence developments nor zones preventing student residence development proposals.

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.  
6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4038****Issue****6.6****comment**

Option 6.6 - Option A (the preferred option) is supported by the SNP Group. We welcome the principle of student residences as a well managed alternative to HMOs, but would like to see them spread out more than is happening at present. A healthy mix of residential types is in the best interests of the community (ties in with mix of target demographics in our response to Question 6, above).

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.  
6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3495****Issue****6.6****comment**

We agree with Option 6.6A. To ensure that new student accommodation and other large scale quasi-residential users have on-site facilities and do not have an impact on residential amenity.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.  
6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****233/3928****Issue****6.6****comment**

Whilst WJG supports planning policy to ensure that development is of a high quality and appropriately located, they consider that Issue 6.6 will provide additional and unnecessary barriers and burdens on the planning and development process, and place significant constraints on the viability of potential schemes.

WJG supports the principle of policy that ensures that student accommodation developments include a suitable level of indoor and outdoor on-site facilities. However, there is a need to ensure that this policy does not require all such space to be located on-site only and that flexibility remains, in certain justified circumstances, to provide a financial contribution to compensate for any shortfall where circumstances dictate that on-site provision cannot be achieved without compromising the viability of the development as a whole.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****233/3929****Issue****6.6****comment**

Whilst WJG supports planning policy to ensure that development is of a high quality and appropriately located, they consider that Issue 6.6 will provide additional and unnecessary barriers and burdens on the planning and development process, and place significant constraints on the viability of potential schemes.

An associated issue is the impact of student accommodation upon residential amenity. The MIR indicates that the Council will investigate this issue further with a view to determining what role any new policy could play in addressing amenity concerns. Such wording should not be restrictive in terms of preferred locations or employing a map or capacity based approach to where development should and should not be located. City Plan 2 already provides sufficient guidance on this matter. With modern designs and operational arrangements, it is unreasonable to assume that concentration would automatically impact upon residential amenity.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****246 / 502****Issue****6.6****comment**

We support Option 6.6 A and ask that a policy be produced which gives clear guidelines to developers and which enhances the meagre on-site facilities at present tolerated.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.  
6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 328****Issue****6.6****comment**

A new policy is definitely required. The PPG guidance introduced in March 2011 was publicl not consulted and appears rather incomplete. Action on new policy is needed immediately. Good to have indoor recreation space.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.  
6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 555****Issue****6.6****comment**

Option 6.6.A is preferred as provision of basic standards of amenity and recreational space should not be reduced for students! It would be an embarrassment to the City Council to admit that high-density student and short-stay accommodation falls behind the standards considered appropriate for other development.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.  
6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1576****Issue****6.6****comment**

Student Accommodation and other Large Scale Quasi Residential Uses - The current Planning Policy Guidance on Student Accommodation etc (the only PPG ever to be issued for City Plan 1 or City Plan 2) was NOT consulted with the community or other stakeholders, and was passed by the GCC Executive on 3 March 2011. The new PPG was then not circulated in any way to the public, nor intimated on the City Plan Website, nor to Glasgow's 90 Community Councils, until a complaint was made in July 2011, when these matters were attended to within 14 days.

The Council should undertake appropriate consultation on the approved PPG, revise and publish as non-statutory guidance in advance of the adoption of the Local Development Plan (anticipated in 2014). Such guidance would not be part of the Local Development Plan, but (provided sufficient consultation had been undertaken) would be a material consideration in the determination of planning applications and appeals, and would be replaced by Supplementary Guidance once the new Local Development Plan had been adopted."

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to ensure that new student accommodation includes on-site facilities and investigate whether a new policy is required to ensure these and other similar types of development are not concentrated in a way which impacts on other residents.

6.6

---

**Main Issues Report****61 / 777****Key Policies****comment**

Agrees with the issues considered in relation to the sustainable use of resources

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****61 / 780****Key Policies****comment**

supportive the development of a new town centre at Robroyston

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****61/781****Key Policies**

**comment** Broadly support the review of the role of the other retail and commercial/leisure centres identified in City Plan 2, but would not support policies which are overly restrictive on the sale of bulky goods.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****61/783****Key Policies**

**comment** town centres can be converted to other uses, such as Class 4 use, as the LPA have pointed out. If restrictions have to be placed on the development of superstores outwith town centres (and we don't believe they do) we would prefer to see an approach which specifies particularly problematic town centres and puts an exclusion zone around them rather than a blanket ban across the city. .

**your wish** believe there may be opportunities to develop megastores within the city, particularly given the review of industrial and business land which is being undertaken. There may also be opportunities for existing stores to expand their operations on site in several locations. we would prefer to see an approach which specifies particularly problematic town centres and puts an exclusion zone around them rather than a blanket ban across the city

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****61/784****Key Policies**

**comment** Members of the community council broadly agree with the issues identified in relation to industrial and business land and agree, with certain reservations that a review of industrial and business areas is required.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****75/796****Key Policies**

**comment** Key Policies KP 6 on page 94 indicates that Retail and Commercial Leisure Development is outlined in Issue 2.5, but this issue only deals with retail Superstores and no mention of any commercial leisure. If shopping is seen as 'leisure' what are your entertainment and cultural facilities classified as and where are they in the document?

**your wish** provide detail of entertainment and cultural facilities classified in the document

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****167/1793****Key Policies**

**comment** There is no key policy on housing land supply or choice in terms of type and range of opportunity.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****169/843****Key Policies**

**comment** KP 2 Energy and related developments: it would be useful to apply a strategic approach to the siting of single□turbines, such that the maximum number of turbines over a given area is mapped. This would help avoid□cumulative impacts to ecological and ornithological receptors from numerous small scale wind farms. If the□strategy was produced in conjunction with a high level of community engagement, the planning process□would proceed more smoothly and at less cost in terms of objections.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****169/844****Key Policies**

**comment** KP11 Environment and greenspace: The policy should have a spatial dimension and refer to how it□contributes to the other five key policies. The Scottish Wildlife Trust would like to be kept informed of the progress of the LDP and we would be□grateful for the opportunity to comment further if required.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1265****Key Policies** 12

**comment** Historic Scotland is broadly content with the policy however the title of DES 3 better explains the scope of the policy than Conservation and Archaeology

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164 / 3738****Key Policies** 7

**comment** This key policy is very welcome and overdue.Strong communities are key to the success of residential areas, but a combination of factors underpin them

**your wish** The key policy will need to look at several aspects of City Plan 2. One or two are identified elsewhere in the MIR

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164 / 3739****Key Policies** 7

**comment** We have had this policy in mind when raising other issues in response to the MIR.

**your wish** Many of our specific suggestions are relevant

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164 / 3740****Key Policies** 7

**comment** Key to this policy are the problems affecting local amenity and the causes of flight of long standing residents from the area.

**your wish** Please consult on suggestions for improvement

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164 / 3741****Key Policies** 7

**comment** The problems associated with tenements and flats needs to be addressed

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3742****Key Policies** **7**

**comment** Others are HMO's and other forms of rented accommodation, density of occupation and types of occupation, conservation area guidance, open and private amenity space ( back courts) as well as new development

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3743****Key Policies** **7**

**comment** There are other aspects which affect the amenity of some residential areas eg:- noise, air quality, traffic, services, relation to major institutions etc.

**your wish** The MIR appears to recognise the relevance of these to key policy 7

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3744****Key Policies** **7**

**comment** Supplementary guidance and policies can mitigate the failings of some legislation

**your wish** Coordinated policies and SG can be framew to safeguard and, at the very least, improve amenity for all residential areas. Please ensure you consult on this policy.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****161/2997****Key Policies** **DEV11**

**comment** Site at Corselet Road, Darnley was allocated as Greenbelt in City Plan 2. This is incongruous with its surroundings, as site is surrounded on 3 sides by housing. Site has little value as open space, as it is adjacent to open space and given the planned expansion of countrypark nearby. Site was not surveyed in preparation for GOSS consultative draft. Site attracts anti-social activities, near existing houses. Development would ensure funding for a car park and would enhance access to countryside park. Site would be effective as a housing site and would assist in achieving higher housing completions.

**your wish** Site at Corselet Road Darnley to be allocated within the urban area for housing, with the frontage of the site allocated as greenspace/car parking associated with the country park.

**posctard no:**

---

---

**Main Issues Report****163 / 1300****Key Policies****KP 1****comment**

The re-use of brownfield sites for redevelopment may have serious consequences to biodiversity at sites with OMHPDL. At least 67 derelict sites across Glasgow have been identified during remote assessments of vacant and derelict land by Buglife for SNH as potentially having open mosaic habitat.

**your wish**

Prior to the redevelopment of any urban site the presence of OMHPDL should be assessed. The importance of a particular area of OMHPDL as a link in a habitat network in the wider context should also be assessed using an Integrated Habitat Network (IHN) model wherever possible. In the case of individual developments, ground truthing should be completed by the developer through appointment of a suitably qualified and experienced ecological consultant so as to provide the planning authority with the necessary information to make an informed decision, following appropriate methods. Ground truthing should also be undertaken by the relevant authority for its LDP or equivalent to ensure brownfield sites are allocated for development only where appropriate.

[posctard no:](#)

---

**Main Issues Report****163 / 1335****Key Policies****KP 1****comment**

The re-use of brownfield sites for redevelopment may have serious consequences to biodiversity at sites with OMHPDL. At least 67 derelict sites across Glasgow have been identified during remote assessments of vacant and derelict land by Buglife for SNH as potentially having open mosaic habitat.

**your wish**

Prior to the redevelopment of any urban site the presence of OMHPDL should be assessed. The importance of a particular area of OMHPDL as a link in a habitat network in the wider context should also be assessed using an Integrated Habitat Network (IHN) model wherever possible. In the case of individual developments, ground truthing should be completed by the developer through appointment of a suitably qualified and experienced ecological consultant so as to provide the planning authority with the necessary information to make an informed decision, following appropriate methods. Ground truthing should also be undertaken by the relevant authority for its LDP or equivalent to ensure brownfield sites are allocated for development only where appropriate.

[posctard no:](#)

---

**Main Issues Report****177 / 1061****key policies****KP 1**

**comment** supports the general principle of the plan that maximises new building on Brownfield land.

**your wish** supports principle

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****89 / 1607****Key Policies****KP 10**

**comment** Welcomes specific policy on developer contributions and supplementary guidance on Sustainable Connections provided that it covers all forms of transport and is not overly focused on Fastlink. Where growth areas or significant housing areas are identified close to existing rail infrastructure, the potential impacts need to be assessed through a Transport Assessment and any rail improvements required funded through developer contributions. Network Rail should be excluded from having to make developer contributions as it is a not-for-profit infrastructure provider.

**your wish** The policy and SG should provide a requirement for developer contributions to deliver improvements to the rail network where appropriate, a requirement for Transport Assessments to take cognisance of impacts to existing rail infrastructure and a commitment to consult with Network Rail where development may impact on the rail network.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****147 / 2944****Key Policies****KP 11**

**comment** Support

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****149 / 2966****Key Policies****KP 11**

**comment** Given the Central Scotland Green Network is now a National Development defined in National Planning Framework 2, we suggest KP 11 "Environment and Green Space" becomes "Green Network and the Environment" and is based around the three key principles set out in Option 5.3 above

**your wish** We suggest KP 11 "Environment and Green Space" becomes "Green Network and the Environment" and is based around the three key principles set out in Option 5.3 above

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****177 / 1063****key policies****KP 11****comment** Welcomes the recognition of the importance of a Green Network**your wish** Welcomes recognition**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****175 / 1661****Key Policies****KP 9****comment** Any reference to aspirational reservations should be deleted from this new Key Policy.**your wish** Any reference to aspirational reservations should be deleted from this new Key Policy.**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1107****key policies****KP14 KP15****comment** Question 10. For sustainable buildings there is a need to ensure the requirements are not overly stringent to the point where they threaten viability.**your wish** Caution should be taken when drafting the policy for sustainable buildings, to ensure that the requirements are not overly stringent to the point where they threaten viability. SPF is engaging with Scottish Government on the S63 Climate Change Consultation and are raising their concerns to the proposals. SPF willing to work with Council to develop strategies and guidance for sustainable construction and design that meet requirements of Act without overly constraining viability.**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****128 / 738****Key Policies****KP2 and KP3****comment** key energy policies are proposed (KP2 and KP3) but no detail is provided. Can Peel Environmental's comments on Issues 1.2 and 1.3 also be given due regard in the formulation of these policies.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****210 / 1144****Key Policies****KP6**

**comment** Requests that GCC provide an informed review of the Forge Retail Park and acknowledge that it forms part of the wider Parkhead town centre.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****177 / 1060****key policies****para 1.1 and KP3**

**comment** supports the general principle of the plan that promotes sustainable communities

**your wish** supports principle

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****177 / 1064****key policies****Para 2.17 Ref 29 map 1**

**comment** Options to address climate change and reduce carbon emissions are well documented in the report. Concerns over the proposals to promote a Wind Power site at Nethererton Braes. This proposed site is adjacent to the residential area of Netherlee and could impact adversely on the amenity of residents in that locale.

**your wish** Would welcome more detail with regard to this proposal and would be happy to meet with GCC and discuss the matter further

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****55 / 774****Key Policies****SC 11 Food drink & entertainment**

**comment** Our client supports the proposed review & health check of all town centres. One such centre is Partick/Byres Rd.

**your wish** need for a comprehensive assessment of need and demand to allow a more flexible approach that will allow for class 1 uses at correct the locations

**posctard no:**

---

---

**Main Issues Report****3/16****Other****comment**

We "would like to" - does not express an intention - only a wish - I would like to fly to the moon - but I know I never will!

This seems an expensive and ineffective way of consultation and to me seems like "keeping the masses happy" with no promises of results!

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****5/27****Other****comment**

In the last few weeks I have watched the Glasgow tour bus as it makes its way north on Byres Road towards Great Western Road  
It struck me very forcibly that perhaps there were tourists on board this bus who might have wished to stop and wander around the two sets of Lanes on either side of Byres Road which have real potential, as yet untapped to provide a focus for short-stop tourism in the city. There is scope for improvement to make this little area a focal point and improve the area by bringing increased investment.

**your wish**

I would propose that a survey is carried out of the potential to create a focal point in Glasgow stretching from the old but beautiful Arnold Clark building in Vinicombe Street and along the Ashton Lane until it meets Great western Road and then on across the road to the network of lanes on the other side of Byres Road. I would propose that arches or a similar way of announcing the entrances to Lanes on both sides of Byres road be considered along the lines of the way in which , for example Chinatown/Camden Passage in the west end of London are delineated. This would provide a focus for a small tourist visiting location called "The Lanes".

Two developments are currently being considered which could impact on this proposal. These are the underground development on Byres Road and the as yet unresolved issue of the grade A listed former Arnold Clark building in Vinicombe street. By linking these to the expanding potential of the existing variety currently in lanes ranging from fine dining ,authentic pubs , live music , antiques, and fashion the ingredients of a highly successful tourist location are already in place.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****5/3898****Other****comment**

The most appropriate use of the A Clark building as a third artisanal /art /design /market place offering higher quality goods and services combined with Scottish /Glasgow products in imaginative ways which would make this building the culmination of tourist visit to the Lanes.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****6/28****Other****comment**

On behalf of Horizon Housing Association, I confirm that I feel the plan is comprehensive. I do feel however that the Plan has lost some its focus in relation to people with a disability. This is not as central a theme within this plan as it has been previously, as reflected for example, in the Council's Local Housing Strategy ( supplemented by Planning measures to increase the supply of accommodation for those with a physical and or other disability). We acknowledge that the Council's Social work Commissioning Strategy has still to be finalised.

We would however like to see Disability higher on the agenda in terms of the identification of needs, care provision, funding, key partnerships (including the private sector) and the introduction of measures to ensure that the supply of fully wheelchair accessible housing continues.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****9/93****Other****comment**

Good exercise to go through. Be interesting to see how the feedback from the public is utilised.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****10/39****Other****comment**

World Population is too high.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****15/61****Other****comment**

I would like old buildings to be restored, especially London Road and Gallowgate. I feel the character of these roads should have brick built houses. I don't like the new build in the Gallowgate between Melbourne Street and Graham Street.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****16/62****Other****comment**

We need a faster process for a plan that enables faster adaptation to changing technologies.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****16/63****Other****comment**

We need a collaborative, "whole system" planning process (scenario insight).

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****16/64****Other****comment**

We need more digital. Enable 50% of the value of the City through information - replacing energy and resources with information and design.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****18/69****Other****comment**

I find nothing to object to or disagree with in the statements made on the cards. However, I would question the use of this medium as a cost efficient method of seeking public opinion. Working with the likes of Radio Clyde, Radio Scotland etc. on issues for phone in discussion would be more likely to yield useful feedback as its only a minority who will bother to write a response. I don't know how much these booklets cost to produce but it would have been a good idea to employ a proof reader before going to print. The error "do you think we should we pursue?" does no credit to the city and reinforces the opinion that Council's are not careful with our money.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****25/101****Other****comment**

Glasgow needs cycle routes that are child/family friendly through junctions and lights etc - a cycle culture.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****26/109****Other****comment**

Cheap, flexible public transport card for all public transport in Glasgow.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****26/110****Other****comment**

Cheaper facilities for council tax payers. Issues special card to residents to obtain cheaper/free access to services. Make those that live outside pay more e.g. sports/culture/transport.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****26/111****Other****comment** Integrate culture with environmental - more vision!!!**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****29/136****Other****comment** Immediate repair of Glasgow streets by sound resurfacing of all roads which have potholes rather than the continuous ineffectual temporary repairs currently carried out!!**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****53/2790****Other****comment** concerned at the lack of improvement/investment in the Cities first Conservation Area, Park. unable to get rusting street lighting poles painted in this area. Architectural features around the Kelvingrove Park perimeter are collapsing...would like Conservation areas recognised as historic attractions of this city.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****54/3982****Other****comment** Build on and support the City's social/entertainment/retail/educational businesses**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****54/3983****Other**

**comment** Promote flexible and connected transport routes in and out of the city and put in place adequate maintenance; GARL advantageous.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****54/3984****Other**

**comment** Minimise derelict land to generate/enhance sense of security, investment and attractiveness. Effective policing and security should be promoted.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****54/3985****Other**

**comment** Enhance existing open space and create better links to them.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****58/2873****Other**

**comment** I feel very strongly that the Council's focus must be on further stimulating the economy

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****58/2874****Other**

**comment** the Council be looking at Business rates as a way to encourage more businesses to come to Glasgow and/or expand in Glasgow

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

---

**Main Issues Report****58/2875****Other****comment** need to generate a sense of self respect and self worth in our citizens**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****58/2876****Other****comment** parenting skills pilot projects**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****60/3312****Other****comment** The MIR focuses on sustainable development and the continued regeneration of Glasgow City. The majority of the MIR is therefore in line with the shared Strategic Development Plan and would not have any adverse impacts on East Dunbartonshire**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****60/3315****Other****comment** The continued regeneration of Glasgow City will have wider benefits not just for Glasgow but for the wider region, including East Dunbartonshire.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****68/3516****Other****comment**

I wish to register my objection to the proposed development in the former pitches at Clouston Street, now popularly known as North Kelvin Meadow. As this is a Greenfield site, any proposal to build thereon contravenes what I understand to be Glasgow Council's own policy that such sites should not be used for housing and other commercial purposes. There are numerous Brownfield sites in the area which could be developed instead. The proposed development is being undertaken in what is now a designated conservation area. Architecturally the plans are completely out of context with the surrounding buildings, dwarfing existing housing and placing severe pressure on the area with respect to access and parking. The local community has done much to turn what was a derelict piece of land into a thriving urban wildlife area. The destruction of over 100 trees is unacceptable at a time of growing awareness with respect to the function of eco-systems.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****75/797****Other****comment**

Please include a section on Glasgow's cultural and entertainment offer – museums, libraries, theatres, cinemas, art galleries etc.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****88/622****Other****comment**

It may be useful to have a strategy on how to deal with derelict or inefficient listed buildings – a key problem within the city.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****88/623****Other****comment**

General Comments on MIR - Proposals to engage people at the preparation stage of planning frameworks, as opposed to the final stages, is potentially a positive change as it could help to change some current negative public perceptions about planning. Furthermore, it should allow local people to influence the decision making process early on, meaning that places develop in line with local priorities and that local people feel more connected to their area. Achieving a more equitable city, on a range of dimensions, should be a priority.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****88/625****Other****comment**

We also feel that opportunities have been missed that would make the proposals even more progressive. For example, issues such as safety and social isolation may be addressed through considered planning policies, and while there is some consideration for this, it should be an explicit policy objective. Housing and design are other areas in which there is a direct correlation with health and this should be reflected in any supplementary guidance. It may be also useful to consider how policies that are designed to bring economic or sustainable environmental benefits might also benefit health. A more holistic approach which recognises the linkages between these things might help the process of deciding which policies should be accorded priority.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****88/626****Other****comment**

It also might be helpful if links with the Strategic Clyde Valley Plan were made more explicit and clear, especially in two areas: (1) the proposed re-balancing of the economy “away from the service economy towards a growth in specialist high-value products and related services associated with green technology sectors, green environmental sectors, tourism and leisure”, and (2) the role of Glasgow (and Glasgow City Centre) as a key employment centre for the broader Glasgow & Clyde Valley area. Although the Glasgow LDP operates on a different timescale and spatial framework to the GCV Plan, more emphasis on the links between the two (and in some cases, acknowledgement of the challenges that brings) might enhance each

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****90/495****Other****comment**

Welcome many of the principles set out in the MIR, including:

- maintaining a strong and vibrant City Centre and protecting its planning status
- promoting the re-use of brownfield land within the City
- encouraging investment in additional and improved infrastructure to support economic growth

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****90/572****Other****comment**

No mention is made of the proposed designation of the TIF initiative for the Buchanan Quarter in the Main Issues Report. This has direct implications for the College in that the Business Case boundary extends along Cathedral Street (Council Executive Committee Report dated 27 October 2011) and identifies it as a "quality bus corridor" programmed for completion by October 2015. We also understand that the TIF initiative will lead to changes that affect Queen Street Station access arrangements.

The College has important property assets, including North Hanover Street and Charles Oakley Buildings inside the TIF red line. It appears certain that these changes would have land use implications for the College's assets and operations and we would therefore prefer that these are addressed in the Local Development Plan.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****95/3380****Other****comment**

The Development Plan Team should be congratulated on the production of a comprehensive document that highlights many, if not all, of the main issues relevant to the City's current and future land use strategy.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****96 / 1727****Other****comment**

SE highlighted increasing reliance by both traditional and new economy sectors on superfast broadband. There is a need for greater focus and partnership working to enhance the City's infrastructure, encourage service provision and business uptake.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****102 / 3873****Other****comment**

The Mid Clyde AA has an interest as both a salmon proprietor and tenant in the proposals for development which might impact on the river Clyde from Dalmarnock bridge upstream to Daldowie. Prime amongst the issues we therefore address are the Rivers, their Quality and Accessibility.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****106 / 1595****Other****comment**

The Council needs to re-assess the policies relating to poster advertising and why it should adopt an authorised advertising programme in the forthcoming Local Development Plan.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****108 / 2791****Other****comment**

welcome the approach of the MIR, in particular its emphasis on the green network, environmental protection and enhancement, place making and tackling climate change; and we are keen to contribute to the further development of the LDP on these issues through policies, supplementary planning guidance and the action programme

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****125 / 1420****Other****comment** Retain public open spaces with free access (no commercialised ventures)**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****125 / 1421****Other****comment** No major new road construction**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****125 / 1422****Other****comment** Close the City's motorways**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****125 / 1423****Other****comment** Introduce a 20 mph speed limit throughout Glasgow**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****125 / 1426****Other****comment** Increase pedestrianisation, particularly in shopping areas**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****125 / 1427****Other****comment**            Narrow two way bike lanes in the centre of pedestrian streets**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****125 / 1428****Other****comment**            Increase cycle parking, and where there are groups of stands, these should be roofed.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****125 / 1429****Other****comment**            No parking or driving on pavements with enforcement measures**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****125 / 1430****Other****comment**            Traffic lights to be turned off between 11pm and 6am where there is little traffic**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****125 / 1431****Other****comment**            A programme of free house insulation**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****125/1432****Other****comment** Measures taken to make travel easier for blind and visually impaired people**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2829****Other****comment** We are concerned that that there is no mention of urban community orchards in any part of the MIR. We have therefore examined the MIR (and also the Glasgow Open Space Strategy) to determine where this could be adapted to include provision of urban orchards in the city plan.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****150/2882****Other****comment** Tax Incremental Finance (TIF) - Glasgow City Council (supported by Buchanan Partnership) has been preparing a robust Business Case for the Buchanan Quarter to be included amongst the Scottish Government's pilot TIF projects. Given the significance of the infrastructure investment (£80 million over 20 years), Buchanan Partnership are surprised that no mention has been made of the potential TIF project in the LDP Main Issues Report. This level of investment will have a direct bearing on land use patterns and development investment into Glasgow City Centre, as well as improvements to one of the principal transport interchanges in the city (Queen Street Station) and proposing significant enhancements to public realm in the city centre. The Partnership is of the view that a specific TIF designation to reflect the Business Case would be a useful addition to the LDP. This designation could (for instance) follow the "red line" boundary in the TIF Business Case**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****159/1760****Other****comment** Forth and Clyde Canal should be identified as a strategic regeneration priority within the city - of similar standing to the Clyde Waterfront, City Centre and Clyde Gateway Projects.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****159 / 1763****Other**

**comment**                      Need to consider whether Supplementary Planning Guidance may be required for the Canal.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****162 / 1787****Other**

**comment**                      Old Mill Studios/Credential Holdings wish to be kept informed of the progress of the Calton and East Centre Development Framework.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176 / 848****Other**

**comment**                      We found the MIR to be well structured and easy to read. We welcome the ethos of sustainability underpinning the six identified themes of environment, resources, connections, economy, design and strong communities which form the foundation principles of the Future Regeneration Context. The strategic policy direction of the LDP will be determined by the development of Key Policies, supported by supplementary guidance, for each of the six themes. We look forward to continued engagement in the plan process and the chance to work closely with your team to help transpose the preferred approaches in the MIR into the Proposed Plan

**your wish**                      We would welcome the opportunity to contribute and informally comment on any new and revised policy and would be particularly keen to assist with the policies/supplementary guidance on energy, sustainable flood risk management, ecosystems, water habitats, river basin management planning and waste.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****185/1081****other**

**comment** Para 2.76 issue 4.5 para 2.96 para 2.97 para 2.98 para 2.99 Option 4.5 KP 10 Para 2.128 CP 2 Trans 8 and CP2 DG/Trans 4 Against current finance environment, it is unlikely that the development industry will be able to meet previous demands for up-front developer contributions and will only be able to make proportionate and directly relevant contributions on a much more limited basis.

**your wish** Where contributions are necessary, feels local authorities will need to accept some flexibility in how they are implemented and collected. This needs to be considered in the wider context of the plans deliberations.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****185/1082****Other**

**comment** Question 1. Future Regeneration context seems adequate, however would like to see some things relating to concerns (see your wish) and to ensure opportunity not being missed.

**your wish** Plan needs to encourage private sector investment and demonstrate that Glasgow really is "open for business" and it is debatable if this can be achieved. Members have suggested MIR needs to be more ambitious and forward looking as has been criticism that it is amalgamation of existing plans and concern opportunity to replace ineffective allocations being missed.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****185/1108****Other**

**comment** The proposed GCVSDP proposed plan highlighted collaboration with Edinburgh through the Glasgow-Edinburgh Collaboration Initiative but this is not mentioned in the MIR. This is regarded as disappointing since promoting the central belt as a destination would increase the "critical mass" and attractiveness of the region and Scotland to inward investment.

**your wish** Would like to see this initiative supported in this proposed plan

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1109****Other**

**comment** MIR is long document and it can be challenging to navigate.

**your wish** Would like to see the proposed plan being a more map based documents that sets out a clear and concise spatial strategy, which is more in line with government advice.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1110****Other**

**comment** SPF would like to play its part in contributing to the development of the LDP and look forward to engaging further at the proposed plan stage.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1190****Other**

**comment** MIR is comprehensive, easy to use and understand and makes good use of maps and visuals. The approach taken to climate change, waste and renewable energy issues is particularly commended.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1191****Other**

**comment** The importance of securing economic growth and the need to respond to the downturn could have been given more weight in developing MIR options. Any new policy approached should not deter investment.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1192****Other****comment**

In many cases the MIR issues would have benefited from being worked up to a greater level of detail to allow a more meaningful discussion to take place at this stage. There is a risk that concrete proposals will emerge for the first time at the Proposed Plan stage without the benefit of stakeholder views or potentially the environmental assessment of options.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1193****Other****comment**

The MIR shows a sound knowledge of Scottish Government Policy and accords with the Strategic Growth Areas and Community Growth Areas in the SDP proposed plan. It correctly concentrates on the key changes that have occurred since the previous plan. Scottish Govt would have preferred to see reference made to the Land Use Strategy.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1194****Other****comment**

There has been little exploration of the role of engagement pre and post MIR e.g. future consultation events. However the postcard concept has been an interesting way to stimulate public interest.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1195****Other****comment**

Scottish Government expects that Proposed Plans may be shorter than Main Issues Reports since lengthy discussion about various options does not need to be set out.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1257****Other**

**comment**            The Spatial Regeneration Framework Map details 4 major regeneration sites including Cowglen and Darnley Mains. Transport Scotland has had previous involvement in these 2 sites and would encourage continued engagement as these sites progress.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1189****Other**

**comment**            Many of the questions raised in the Main Issues Report seek to increase obstruction to development within the City and are irrelevant to the need for social and economic regeneration.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****200 / 3986****Other**

**comment**            I am representing the Avenue of the Arts partnership and the wider regeneration partnership which spans from around Renfrew Street in Glasgow city Centre all the way to Speirs Locks in the North West of the City. The Chair of the Board is Bailie Liz Cameron. Our work has been outlined in the Glasgow Economic Commission report and we will hope to support our work through support from the Government, TIF, Structural Funds and BIDS. I support the approach adopted to complete this Local Development Plan for Glasgow. I believe the 6 themes are appropriate and the methodology is commendable. The Local Development Plan to take into consideration the work which this partnership hopes to achieve such as developing area brand, environmental improvement, changing in area road layout (MVA and planning), in transport and linking of areas, employability, economic development, improvement in local infrastructure and streetscape and in lighting and wayfinding developments. See additional information provided as part of the response.

**your wish**            Happy to be on hand to discuss any element of the work underway and the work planned. We would hope to have some mention of our partnership plans and work in any future Local Development Plans if possible.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****200/3987****Other****comment**

We would wish to integrate the key concepts of the Local Development Plan as closely as possible and therefore would like to work closely with the themes as a partnership.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****221/805****Other****comment**

Osborne Street car park is located within the Clyde Waterfront Strategic Development Priority area and we support the site's inclusion within this designated area

**your wish**

respectfully request the Council's support for mixed use development at this site.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****222/3923****Other****comment**

As a result of clinical strategies and estate rationalisation within NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde, the following hospital properties in Glasgow have been declared surplus to requirements: Lightburn Hospital; Mansionhouse Clinic; Orchard Wards; Parkhead Hospital; Queen Mother's Hospital & Royal Hospital for Sick Children (Yorkhill Campus); Stobhill Hospital (part of existing site); Victoria Hospital; and Western Infirmary Phase 2 (part of existing site) - (See accompanying document for details of each of the properties). Each of the sites should be considered individually by the Council. The use of campus plans, as per City Plan 2, is a critical part of the disposal mechanism and should be continued in the new Local Development Plan.

**your wish**

The use of campus plans in delivering alternative development on sites identified in terms of policy DEV 9 Civic, Hospital and Tertiary Education of City Plan 2

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****223 / 1129****Other**

**comment** GCC should seek to include policy that allows for considered improvements at Springfield Quay to be brought forward over the lifetime of the Glasgow LDP rather than seeking to be prescriptive from the outset.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****224 / 3836****Other**

**comment** The LDP should recognise the need for small convenience stores in close proximity to residential neighbourhoods to meet sustainable living objectives.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****233 / 3932****Other**

**comment** WJG consider that there are other impediments to the future viability of student residential schemes. These include the excessive charging regime for HMO licensing in Glasgow and the changing requirements of the building standards, which do not appear to adequately address the specific user requirements of student accommodation. WJG would welcome open and constructive dialogue with GCC on these matters.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 407****Other**

**comment** Stronger links needed to LES and their permitted developments as can have negative effects on streets - as "PLACES".

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 408****Other****comment** HMOs: extend exclusion zone to west of Byres Road.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 409****Other****comment** A new student res policy is needed now to take care of residential amenity.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****247 / 410****Other****comment** Commercial waste collection bins on streets, recycling etc <->  
Placemaking???**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 434****Other****comment** It should be of some embarrassment to the City Council that the document is not produced on recycled paper. The maxim for sustainable use of resources is "reduce, reuse, recycle". The Council clearly is not buying into sustainable use of paper, nor into the essential policy of supporting the recycled paper industry. The hugely wide margins mean that considerably more paper was used in its production than was necessary.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 444****Other****comment**

The list of key drivers of change in the introduction to the MIR does not include any reference to respect/protection for the natural environment/biodiversity or related topics. Only "environmental legislation" is included in the list, so that the implication is that they key drivers of change will only be protective of the environment insofar as legislation requires and that GCC is not prepared to extend protection beyond that strictly required by law!

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 445****Other****comment**

The LDP at this stage is considerably incomplete, as several of the "policies" are for the preparation of a policy and several of the "preferred options" are to "identify a preferred optiopr". Thus it is difficult to see how the City Council considers that we can comment on the policies and preferred options when they have not been written.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 447****Other****comment**

The document makes frequent reference to City Plan 2. It is unrealistic to expect people reading the LDP to be familiar with this or to have the time to go and find it and look up the relevant references. They need to be clearly described in the LDP.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 449****Other****comment**

Throughout the LDP, tourism, commercial development and commercial regeneration are placed over and above quality of life for residents as preferred instigators of change.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 450****Other**

**comment**                      Where everything is described as "sustainable" the word ceases to have any meaning!

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****262 / 1369****Other**

**comment**                      Promotion of a site at Carlisle Street, Cowlairs as a Mixed Use development comprising superstore, other non-food retail, residential, offices, leisure, industrial, care home and associated landscaping and access in the LDP.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1534****Other**

**comment**                      National pressure to make a small LDP document is the least appropriate in Glasgow which, within Scotland, has most extreme economics, diverse housing quality, range of life expectancy etc within its boundaries, and by discouraging the appropriate level of detail in describing the future solutions and strategies, disadvantages Glasgow relative to other parts of Scotland. Glasgow has to take a stand on this point.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1535****Other**

**comment**                      General related Comment: The Planning Enforcement Section in Glasgow must get a) more human resources and b) more financial resources to do their job properly, and enable the entire system to run much more smoothly.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1085****Other** **1.2 1.3 1.4 NewSG1****comment** Question 1 Future regeneration context**your wish** SPF would like to see more support given to the green low carbon economy, which has the potential to create new employment opportunities and can contribute to diversifying the local economy. Believes that the Council should do all it can to attract the low carbon economy investment to the city and ensure the city is competitive.**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****96 / 1736****Other** **Additional Topics****comment** SE would like to see additional policy/supplementary guidance on: Digital Connectivity, More focus on key and growth sectors and alignment and co-ordination in Strategic Investment Areas.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****96 / 1737****Other** **Additional Topics****comment** Proposed Plan should take cognisance of implications of the above for the city economy. SE would welcome a collaborative approach to future development planning.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****266 / 1449****Other** **Introduction****comment** The MIR fails to sufficiently press home the human aspect of sustainable development. There appears to be an over emphasis on climate change mitigation and environmental legislation i.e. 'the green agenda'. In a Glasgow context, sustainable development should have an emphasis on the social and economic aspects of life quality. In other words, in Glasgow, climate change is a far less pressing issue than the problem of poverty and disadvantage in certain communities.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1200****Other****Issues and Options****comment**

Overall the range of issues covered is comprehensive and the user friendly maps and visuals is welcomed. The approach to climate change, waste and renewable energy issues is commended. In particular the approach to district heating is forward looking and sets a bench mark other MIRs may wish to follow.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1201****Other****Issues and Options****comment**

Given the economic situation more onus should be place on responding to the downturn and how to secure economic growth opportunities. This will enable development to be in the right place and of the right quality.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****158 / 1747****Other****Main Issues Report MAP 3****comment**

Map 3 does not include reference to the Canal LDS produced in 2007. Need to consider whether Supplementary Planning Guidance may be required for the Canal.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****159 / 1761****Other****Main Issues Report MAP 3****comment**

Map 3 does not include reference to the Canal LDS produced in 2007. Need to consider whether Supplementary Planning Guidance may be required for the Canal.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****185/1076****Other****Para 1.1**

**comment** SPF supports the principle of establishing a new plan for the Glasgow area with the view to securing sustainable economic growth.

**your wish** supports principle

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3767****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** Over development ( encouraged by reference to transport network

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3768****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** Unsuitable materials and design in conservation areas

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3769****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** Over reliance on night time economy which has disastrous consequences for this residential area

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3770****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** Failure to appreciate importance of undesignated spaces

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3771****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** TPO's: there is confusion on whether trees are protected as if by TPOs within conservation areas

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3772****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** Materials should be defined especially in conservation areas.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3773****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** The need to control the interiors of tenements.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3774****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** Stacked services should not be moved without planning consent.  
Subdivision to increase HMO occupation should require planning consent.  
Both lead to a deterioration of tenements and cause long standing owner occupiers to move out.

**your wish** City policyu can remedy the deficiencies of planning law.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3775****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** Elevations should relate to the eaves level of the neighbouring building not the ridge line.

**your wish** This should be made clear in the plan.

**posctard no:**

---

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3776****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** No amendment should be made to the embargo on extension of business - including seating, in the lanes. No extension of hours or number of restaurants or cafes

**your wish** The council has a habit of increasing these hours without reference to the community or in anticipation of change which is then denied.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3777****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** Attention is required to deliveries and rubbish containment and uplift within town centres and shopping streets

**your wish** Littering the streets with high rubbish containers is now a serious problem. All applications should be required to submit plans for deliveries and rubbish containment

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3778****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** Flues are not necessary.

**your wish** Guidance should be given on modern methods. No flues should be permitted up the back of buildings. Applications should be notified to the owner and tenants of such building.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3779****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** Co owners of buildings and back courts

**your wish** Their rights should be respected

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3780****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** No further licensed premises should be permitted in Hillhead

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3781****Other****Questionnaire****comment** Repairs and maintenance - lack of coordination is a problem**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3782****Other****Questionnaire****comment** The rights of co owners of buildings and back courts are ignored when commercial interests are considered**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3783****Other****Questionnaire****comment** It is a grave mistake to have a presumption in favour of student accommodation - unite building, Eldon Bridge, Gibson St - which were granted in anticipation of this presumption**your wish** All student accommodation, like every other building, should comply with the requirements of the City plan and the conservation area**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3784****Other****Questionnaire****comment** Creation of jobs should not preclude the other concerns.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3785****Other****Questionnaire****comment** Supermarkets - we have enough**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3786****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** Air quality - no further traffic should be introduced to Byres Rd, Gibson St and other through routes in Hillhead.

**your wish** Policies should be developed to take account of this

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3787****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** Glasgow University's campus plan is a cause for concern. It turns its back on the community in which it sits (unlike Italian universities)

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3788****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** There should be no shops in Church St.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3789****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** The use of the western infirmary is not clear

**your wish** We propose that its use return to ( or remain) civic and tertiary education.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3790****Other****Questionnaire**

**comment** Add Southpark 5-11 to openspace / greenspace or create a category of undesignated spaces which should be retained as recommended in the conservation area appraisal.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3791****Other****Questionnaire****comment**

Hospitals not enough thought has been given to access and flooding issues, or to the event of a major incident involving large hospitals. The major part of Glasgow's population lives north of the river

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3792****Other****Questionnaire****comment**

It is too restricted in its designation of important spaces in local areas.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3793****Other****Questionnaire****comment**

It does not restrict the growth of the night time economy in the west end.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3794****Other****Questionnaire****comment**

It does nothing to prevent relocation of services in tenements and flatted dwellings

**your wish**

We would include the need to provide context of planning applications within buildings as well as in the context of the building itself ( we have an example of a toilet relocated above a kitchen which then leaked onto the cooking surfaces and table. Sound planning decisions cannot be made in the absense of such context. It has not prevented DRS from avoiding SHEP requirements.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3795****Other****Questionnaire****comment**

Existing policies have not protected residents from increased nuisance of noise, licensed premises, accumulation of rubbish and dangerous delivery practices ( eg double parking, lanes) flues beside bedroom windows, smells, dumping, over development, DRS ignoring clear direction within City Plan, illegal tree felling and clearance to promote development. Disregard of property rights and deeds. ( i have a long list)

**your wish**

All these mitigate against policies to stabilise the populations and encourage families into the area.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3796****Other****Questionnaire****comment**

Some policy needs to be revised to return the City Centre to a functioning shopping area and reform the image of Glasgow in the evenings. It puts people off and drives people to the west end as an alternative, which only imports city centre problems to the west end.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3797****Other****Questionnaire****comment**

In our view it is a mistake to build so close to the River Clyde or to require development as proposed for Otago Lane along the River kelvin

**your wish**

Space should be left

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3798****Other****Questionnaire****comment**

The height of buildings alongside river fronts may need to be considered.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3799****Other****Questionnaire****comment** Flood plains should not be built on.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3800****Other****Questionnaire****comment** Encouragement of well designed development in deprived areas and large brownfield sites should be encouraged.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3801****Other****Questionnaire****comment** It would help the process if areas could be marked for no further development within the lifetime of the plan ie:- west end particulary Hillhead**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3458****Other****Use of Resources****comment** Card 1 - DCC supports this action. Locally, community members are very concerned about perceived neglect of sites and buildings of the former Golfhill School and Haghill primary schools. The area adjacent to former Golfhill primary school has been identified by DCC and Dennistoun Conservation Society as a site for potential development as community green space (including allotment provision). □Temporary micro-businesses to be encouraged to take up space in empty commercial units on short lets? e.g. Young Enterprise from local schools, or business projects connected to local universities/colleges/art schools.

Development of more allotments, as per Reidvale HA plots (adjacent to the railway) to be encouraged. Wider scope for promotion of other greening (e.g. Dennistoun Diggers' garden) of spaces.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****231 / 3459****Other****Use of Resources****comment**

Card 1 - DCC is keen that the LDP be a driver in enabling spaces that have traditionally been used as shops (or similar) to be appropriated for alternative uses in a way that has a long term positive outcome for Dennistoun.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****186 / 3912****Other****Vision****comment**

Support the 'Vision for the City Region' on page 4. Its important, however, to return to work towards a strategy that embraces the Glasgow and Edinburgh city regions together, in additiopn to ither areas in the central belt.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****61 / 793****Para****comment**

Members of the community council support the proposed approach to simplify the policy framework which supports the plan's development strategy

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****97 / 1395****Para****1.1****comment**

Support for the continuation of the existing broad future regeneration context and support for measures intended to promote the prudent and sustainable use of natural and other resources, including the public transport network. Also, support for the sustainable connections theme with its aim of developing a more significant role for public transport.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****120/695****Para****1.1****comment**

Ways of addressing persistent long-term deprivation and unemployment in Glasgow's communities are required in order to achieve the aim of Glasgow making Glasgow one of Europe's most sustainable cities.

**your wish**

Policies and programmes which explicitly promote greater sustainability and prosperity for all of Glasgow's citizens and neighbourhoods, focusing on narrowing wealth and health inequalities.

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****134/1473****Para****1.1****comment**

The future regeneration context is complimentary to the release of land at Damshot Crescent as the site is located within walking distance of major bus routes, very close to Pollok Town Centre and other community facilities. Development of land at Damshot Crescent could also provide the regeneration of unsightly land on the urban fringe. Whilst the site is currently in a greenspace zone, the release of this site will not lead to setting a precedent for future expansion in the future. The boundaries are well protected by planting and its topography ensures urban definition. The zone is greenspace and a significant part of the site will be retained as greenspace. Reallocation as residential would provide a useful choice of housing in this sector of the City.

**your wish**

Reallocation of this site as residential would provide a useful choice of housing in this sector of the City.

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****147/2925****Para****1.1****comment**

We welcome the onus on maintaining and enhancing the natural environment and the broad sustainability focus. In particular we welcome point 5 and the aim to promote biodiversity.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****190/1434****Para****1.1****comment**

Acknowledgement that the new LDP will be built on the legacy of City Plan 2 and that in general the current development strategy is still relevant.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** [We would prefer not to release any more land in the greenbelt for private housing](#)

1.1

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3625****Para 2.1****comment** Builders will always argue for easier and more profitable option.**your wish** The council should take a determined stand on this**posctard no:** We would like to limit the amount of shopping development outside the City Centre and clearly identify the areas where it would be appropriate.  
2.1

---

**Main Issues Report****107/676****Para 2.10****comment** While market demand has decreased, it is also the case that much land in the City which was marginally viable and marketable in a strong market is no longer so. Lack of mortgages is less of an issue, but more to do with site viability, particularly for brownfield sites requiring major infrastructure. The Council has not provided evidence that sites remain viable and attractive to the market.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****120/697****Para 2.10****comment** Based on the high levels of vacant and derelict land in the city, the Council is correct to take a long-term strategic view of the role of private sector housing in regenerating communities, as this promotes sustainable development of the city**your wish** Continue to prioritise brownfield sites for development**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****231/3479****Para 2.103****comment** The draft LDP makes reference to Council's "long-term aspiration to develop a new station at Parkhead". Given the importance of this site in relation to Commonwealth Games venues, Parkhead Forge shopping centre, and to Celtic Park, it is suggested that a revised LDP includes this development as a specific option for action, and not simply a footnote as a future aspiration.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****117/821****Para** **2.12****comment** Allotment sites and greenspace help alleviate flooding which is exacerbated by tarmac areas.**your wish** Open Space Strategy is significantly revised. All green networks include allotment sites**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3626****Para** **2.12****comment** Builders will always argue for easier and more profitable option.**your wish** The council should take a determined stand on this**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****188/1798****Para** **2.12****comment** GHA supports the final sentence of this paragraph.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****227/884****Para** **2.121****comment** In general, the questions that have been set out are not applicable to Scottish Water but I am keen to comment on the text of the document as there are some changes that Scottish Water recommend for the finalised plan going forward**your wish** Scottish Water recommends "eg new water treatment plants" should be removed. Additionally, we would ask that the last sentence in the paragraph "It also recognises the need to improve water quality in bodies of water" is replaced with "improve the water quality in watercourses and rivers such as the Clyde".**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****147 / 2938****Para** **2.122****comment** We welcome the recognition that green corridors can deliver multiple benefits.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****188 / 1815****Para** **2.122****comment** Transformational Regeneration Areas should be referred to in this paragraph.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****227 / 885****Para** **2.122****comment** In regards to the MGSDP, "it aims to co-ordinate the delivery of such solutions with other investment and projects, such as the M74 extension, East End Regeneration Route, Commonwealth Games Athletes village and the development of the Glasgow and the Clyde Valley green network."**your wish** We would recommend that this sentence is removed as it does clearly state out the aims of the MGSDP**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****227 / 886****Para** **2.122****comment** We would also ask that the last sentence solutions include improved surface water management to prevent the waste water system from being overwhelmed, by diverting into green corridors, flood plains or storage areas until a storm passes be changed to "By dealing with the surface water at source and conveying it through green corridors to watercourses thereby mimicking the natural process".**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****227 / 887****Para****2.123****comment**

We would look to change the paragraph to read “ Capacity restrictions within the waste water system can cause issues for development. To overcome these, surface water management plans (SWMP) are being prepared. These will identify the scope for removing surface water from the combined sewer network system, treating the surface water and then discharging it to the River Clyde, or nearest watercourse, thereby freeing up capacity for wastewater discharges from new development.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****126 / 2847****Para****2.126****comment**

The first sentence reads ..“Networks of linked good quality open spaces are important for amenity and role in nature conservation etc...” We suggest that food growing and provision of space for urban orchards is added to the list of potential benefits.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164 / 3627****Para****2.13****comment**

Relevant to housing supply is that the dependence on private housing for sale may need to change.

**your wish**

More help and consideration should be given to Housing Associations either in refurbishment of existing housing or, where appropriate, new developments. A change from paying subsidies on completion to paying at specified stages coincide with staged payments during building would greatly assist the smaller associations and mitigate against rent rises from borrowing costs. It would help housing if Glasgow ceased to demolish more than it builds

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****117 / 824****Para****2.137****comment**

Enjoying the natural environment and having the opportunity to garden and grow your own food is a fundamental human need.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3710****Para** **2.138****comment** Some areas of the city are already densely occupied.**your wish** Any policy on increasing density in inner urban areas should be carefully worded.**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3711****Para** **2.138****comment** Does the plan have brownfield sites in mind here?**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2850****Para** **2.15****comment** Making better use of land – including vacant and derelict, existing parks and spaces, gardens and streets can help to make the city more liveable. Fruit trees and orchards should be included in any plans to retrofit buildings and townscapes.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****147/2926****Para** **2.15****comment** The renewables target should be updated as it is now 100%.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****126/2851****Para** **2.151****comment** Adapting Streets to introduce more trees (including fruit trees) and other green spaces and food growing spaces to provide shade and wind breaks and opportunities for retrofitting SUDS.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****120/707****Para** **2.153**

**comment** Retro-fitting the urban environment will create difficulties given Glasgow's tenements, older properties owned by social landlords, and mixed ownership pattern

**your wish** GWSFHA wishes to work with the Council on energy efficiency and renewables in regard to examining the practicalities of retro-fitting older housing stock.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3719****Para** **2.154-2.156**

**comment** Pleased to see some of the recognition of the problems of which we have wide experience and considerable knowledge

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****128/732****Para** **2.16**

**comment** Support the recognition that the City offers opportunities for larger scale renewable infrastructure, but given the extent of urban development and the City's administrative boundary, in reality there are few opportunities.

**your wish** The Plan should be practical in this regard and provide additional supportive weight to those proposals that are brought forward. .

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****128/733****Para** **2.17**

**comment** The Plan should set policies that strongly support the exploitation of wind by way of larger scale wind turbine developments, wherever feasible.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****147 / 2927****Para** **2.17**

**comment** It is important to ensure that any development at Cathkin Braes or Netherton Braes do not compromise the biodiversity value of Cathkin Braes Country Park or the Cart and Kittoch Valleys SSSI.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164 / 3629****Para** **2.17**

**comment** Although some wind turbines are inevitable (already on the Cathkin Braes), we should not concentrate on these. There is too great a reliance on wind. Turbines are still when we most need their output: last winter the continental ones were idle for 6 weeks in the coldest spell. Output in Scotland was 0.4% of capacity in December 2010. They are heavily subsidised by the tax payer and do not produce electricity at a feasible cost. Their location often interfere with peat, releasing CO2 etc.

**your wish** We consider that all options should be explored, but without bias towards any. Unbiased explorations of all forms of power and heat generation and their impact.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****147 / 2928****Para** **2.18**

**comment** Should be small-scale and heat only or CHP in line with national policy (Draft Electricity Generation Policy Statement). Local sourcing on a small-scale would be more sustainable than imports.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3634****Para****2.18****comment**

We are opposed to biomass as the benefits are outweighed by the problems. See para 2.19 where health problems are mentioned. There has been considerable public debate about biomass - if land is adequately productive, should it not be used for other crops? And if this is a "temporary" use, how much biomass will really be generated.

**your wish**

Consultation and SEA's before any plans are implemented. The Council recognises on paper the benefit of consulting communities, but this sometimes appears to be a paper exercise. The community is often the source of relevant information not known to planners or applicants.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3635****Para****2.18****comment**

The global impact has not been considered. To sustain large power stations proposed for the UK would require the entire agricultural area of France and Germany. (The amount of biomass required varies in estimates. It is many lorries @ 50 tonnes each per day, which is more than can be achieved in and around Glasgow from its own resources) Biomass is currently imported from South America

**your wish**

We should not be encouraging problems in other countries, nor contributing to the destruction of forests. The carbon footprint of such biomass is excessive. Emissions harmful to the atmosphere can not be excluded

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****230/898****para****2.18****comment**

The Forestry Commission welcomes the comment around the opportunities for Biomass production on vacant and derelict land where appropriate with local site conditions.

**your wish**

mention also needs to be given to promoting positive management of existing woodlands, the Forestry Commission is keen to work with all woodland stakeholders in the Glasgow area to deliver this. There also opportunities to link Biomass into issue 1.3 Low Carbon Heating / Combined Heat and Power especially around the issues of Woodfuel Boiler installations and retro fitting existing buildings such as Schools and Community buildings.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****128/734****Para** **2.18-2.20**

**comment** EU Directive 2009/28/EC, the UK Renewable Energy Roadmap, and the Biomass Action Plan for Scotland, all promote the use of energy from renewable sources, including biomass. The MIR, however, fails to recognise that waste is the largest indigenous source of biomass within the City, and it is arguably the City's largest renewable energy resource.

**your wish** The LDP should explicitly recognise that the biomass fraction of waste is one of the City's key renewable resources and provide assessment as to the level of that resource and its energy generation potential. It should also include policies permissive of proposals to exploit this resource and the contribution this could make to national and local greenhouse gas emissions reductions and renewable energy generation targets.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****128/735****Para** **2.19**

**comment** SEPA is required to regulate emissions from large scale biomass plants and, in terms of emissions from waste biomass facilities; these are required to comply with the stringent limits prescribed within the Waste Incineration Directive. This should be acknowledged in the Plan.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****128/736****Para** **2.19**

**comment** Air quality is one of a series of environmental issues that applications for larger scale biomass schemes need to address. The discussion in paragraph 2.19 should be reconsidered to encompass both small scale and larger scale schemes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****128/737****Para** **2.20**

**comment** Support the point that plants should not result in deterioration of air quality, but policies within the Plan should be presumptive in favour of all renewables development unless it can be clearly demonstrated that unacceptable adverse environmental effects would occur.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****120/698****Para** **2.23****comment** Strong support for district heating systems**your wish** Prioritise district heating systems in areas of fuel poverty**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****128/742****Para** **2.24****comment** Retrofitting heat distribution pipe networks is very difficult and expensive, but installing them in new build developments, and linking them to the nearest available energy source, is also difficult and very expensive. As such the Plan should not place unrealistic or overly onerous district heating requirements on new development.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3637****Para** **2.26****comment** We are opposed to fracking (shales). We are concerned about contamination of aquifers**your wish** Consultation is required on any plans before they are implemented. Independent advice is also required, not consultants acting for developers**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****120/696****Para** **2.3****comment** The 'Sustainable Use of resources' box should address the potential of environmental sustainability initiatives can contribute to job creation in the City**your wish** A holistic strategy which addresses the training and employment opportunities of the most deprived communities.**posctard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****133 / 1467****Para** **2.3**

**comment** Generally agree with the aims of sustainable uses of resources but not the conclusions reached on the options for housing land release.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****134 / 1474****Para** **2.3**

**comment** Generally agree with the aims of sustainable uses of resources but not the conclusions reached on the options for housing land release. Consider the strategy of no housing release misplaced and non sustainable from a housebuilding perspective.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to undertake a study that investigates the impact of creating a new town centre at Robroyston.  
2.3

---

**Main Issues Report****128 / 747****Para** **2.31**

**comment** The Council must adopt a technology neutral stance and allow industry to bring forward proposals that will deliver the necessary infrastructure to achieve the Zero Waste objectives. The Plan should recognise the limitations of certain technologies and their ability to only manage certain waste streams.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****120 / 699****Para** **2.35**

**comment** Existing physical regeneration is, in some cases, being threatened by blight caused by stalled private sector and/or reduced funding for social rented housing and mixed use developments.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****186/3914****Para** **2.35**

**comment** Legal agreements between the Council and landowners/developers to ensure interim 'green' uses will not endanger the future development of the site should be flexible enough to respond to rapidly changing circumstances. Generally support Option 1.5A, but reserve judgement until Supplementary Guidance is produced.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****227/897****Para** **2.36**

**comment** You state that the "sewerage capacity , in certain parts of the City, is acting as a constraint to development, particularly in the north and east"

**your wish** Again, we would prefer if this could be changed to " There are issues with surface water capacity in certain parts of the City and Scottish Water will work closely with all relevant parties to try and overcome these problems".

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****156/3909****Para** **2.4 - 2.13**

**comment** Option 1.1B should be adopted, rather than the Preferred Option 1.1A to allow greenbelt land release to help secure and maintain an effective 5 year effective land supply. This is necessary as current economic circumstances limits opportunities to develop on brownfield sites. This approach is supported by Scottish Planning Policy and the Proposed SDP.

**your wish** Adopt Option 1.1B as the Local Development Plan approach to provide developable residential sites in the current economic climate, and provide long-term flexible growth.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****120/700****Para** **2.43-2.44**

**comment** Numerous small town centres in Glasgow could be transformed by modest investment to improve environmental amenity, connectivity, quality of life, economic opportunity and the sustainability of fragile inner city neighbourhoods e.g. Possilpark, Govan.

**your wish** Council and key partner support for small scale, local town centre initiatives.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****194/2897****Para****2.49****comment**

Also paragraph 2.49 states that “these newer formats tend to compete more directly with the range of goods and services which might be expected to be found in a town centre”. This statement potentially runs counter to the generally accepted position that retail development trades against “like for like”, a common theme in many assessments which seeks to consider trade diversion and impact. Any policy based on the statement in paragraph 2.49 would need to be supported by evidence to demonstrate that the starting premise is indeed correct. In the absence of such evidence, concerns remain over the validity of comments made in paragraph 2.49.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****194/2898****Para****2.5****comment**

The MIR also contains further claims regarding the future requirement for the development of new superstores. It states “that there are strong grounds for believing that there is little further requirement for the development of new superstores, outwith town centres.” However, at paragraph 2.50 of the MIR, it states that “assessments to determine the potential for further comparison and convenience retail development within the City are currently being undertaken”. Given that assessments are on-going, it is premature to conclude that there is little further requirement. Only on the completion of appropriate assessments and studies can robust conclusions be drawn. It is not clear therefore why the MIR is drawing conclusions on the future potential retail requirements before the appropriate studies and assessments have actually been completed. This sets out a pre-determined position and seeks to identify perceived “issues” without any evidence to support these issues. This approach runs contrary to due process and is unhelpful and negative.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would prefer not to allow any new superstores, outside existing Town Centres with the exception of those that already having planning consent.  
2.5

---

**Main Issues Report****136/1124****Para****2.58****comment**

Welcome that GCC is prepared to undertake a review of existing business areas. The subject site is considered to be appropriate for redevelopment for a mix of uses.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****120/701****Para** **2.63****comment** Welcome the Council's commitment to engaging local communities in the physical regeneration of their areas**your wish** GWSFHA's would like to contribute to the proposed guidance in paragraph 2.63**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****120/702****Para** **2.65-2.67****comment** Welcome the strong emphasis on renewal and regeneration, and the recognition that the LDP must place a stronger emphasis on placemaking. Health and sustainability. It is essential that planning policy is fully integrated with these wider aims. It is critical that areas which have already benefitted from regeneration are also included in this holistic approach.**your wish** A new neighbourhood based approach which ensures the key themes of placemaking, sustainability and improving health outcomes can be maximised in the most deprived areas. Given their presence in deprived neighbourhoods, community based housing associations should be key partners in regenerating deprived areas.**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****147/2932****Para** **2.66****comment** We welcome the inclusion of the protection and enhancement of natural heritage**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3623****Para** **2.7****comment** Agree, but will be interested to see how it is achieved**your wish****posctard no:** We would like to undertake a review of Glasgow's industrial and business areas to consider if they should remain as they are or whether other uses might be more appropriate.  
2.7

---

**Main Issues Report****120/703****Para** **2.70-2.71**

**comment** Accept that some aspects of the affordable housing need identified in the HNDA need to be investigated further, particularly in relation to intermediate rented housing products. Draft LDP (MIR) seems to have a stronger view that additional social housing is required. GWSFHA's view is the HNDA clearly indicates a need for more high quality social rented housing. Although the financial climate is challenging, the LDP and LHS should still set out the long-term strategies needed in Glasgow.

**your wish** A clearer financial strategy for delivery

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****188/1810****Para** **2.71**

**comment** Typing error, presumably 2001 should read 2011-12.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****120/704****Para** **2.72**

**comment** GWSFHA members are concerned about the damaging effect of undeveloped land earmarked for private sector development e.g. New Neighbourhood Initiatives, and the reluctance to invest in social rented housing where private sector development has not materialised.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****120/705****Para** **2.72**

**comment** Support the suggestion that it might be appropriate to examine if the private sector land supply could contribute to meeting affordable housing need. This could have benefits such as addressing stalled spaces.

**your wish** GWSFHA's would like to contribute to discussions on this issue.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****156/3910****Para** **2.72**

**comment** Option 3.4B should be adopted, rather than Preferred Option 3.4A, as it will allow the consideration of greenfield housing release to contribute to meeting affordable housing requirements. Allowing consideration of different housing tenures to contribute to affordable housing, and an affordable housing quota policy applicable to greenfield release housing sites should be included. An approach without greenbelt release sites will be contrary to national policy and will not provide a generous land supply. It is necessary in view of projected population growth in the City. (See accompanying detailed reports)

**your wish** Option 3.4B should be adopted as the approach in the Local Development Plan.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****188/1811****Para** **2.72**

**comment** In relation to subsection 2.72 (i), any development of an affordable housing policy should have a detailed consultation process, with GHA being a key partner. The section should also make direct reference to welfare reform and fuel poverty; both are current issues which are likely to have significant impacts upon housing affordability across the tenures. There is also no specific reference to a Housing Options approach which GCC/ GHA and other partners are currently developing.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****120/706****Para** **2.76**

**comment** The community infrastructure section could expand on the role of the Scottish Futures Trust in delivering community infrastructure; the need for strong community engagement, and; the need for community infrastructure to address the needs of all the disadvantaged communities in the City.

**your wish** The LDP should address these points

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****186 / 3921****Para** **2.76**

**comment** Welcomes the recognition that the private sector currently faces difficulties in delivering local services and amenities.

**your wish** The Supplementary Guidance in this regard is subject to consultation.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****126 / 2841****Para** **2.78**

**comment** While we agree with the plans to create a legacy for the 2014 Games, we are disappointed that our efforts to engage with the process of developing the Commonwealth Games have proved so frustrating. We have suggested various proposals which have not been supported. Our observation is that the Commonwealth Legacy is being delivered by companies, agencies and by the city council and that there is very little scope for ordinary Glaswegians to contribute to a "grassroots" legacy. We are of the opinion that regeneration is achieved through the efforts of local people as well as agencies, and the greater the sense of involvement and ownership that local people have the greater the chances that regeneration will really happen. We suggest that Glasgow City Council reviews its procedures to allow grassroots initiatives to gain "official" support. We consider that GCC should be tapping into people's ideas, creativity and energy, rather than constricting their involvement.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****126 / 2842****Para** **2.78**

**comment** Landscaping around the Games Village, and other Commonwealth developments should include suitable orchard planting –this would help to change the perception of Glasgow as the sick man of Europe and seek to put in place healthy and sustainable food growing for the future. Orchards were included in the masterplan for the Games village, but was taken out, we understand, because of opposition by Glasgow City Council officers.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3429****Para****2.82****comment**

Further points should be considered, including how to: - integrate public transports systems - integrate public transport with active travel – create pleasant, safe, convenient, direct routes for walking and cycling by all citizens – elderly, disabled, children, young people, - prioritise public transport over cars to promote use – e.g.. traffic lights in favour of buses. - make existing transport infrastructure accessible to all – elderly, disabled, young, cyclists, e.g.. Many of the City's train stations e.g.. Bellgrove, High street, Camstradden, Partick, cannot be accessed by wheelchair, buggy, with a cycle etc or by anyone unable to negotiate a long stretch of steps. This would increase social inclusion, employment opportunities and public health.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1226****Para****2.82****comment**

Transport Scotland considers that re. new railway stations, the potential benefits for new passengers need to be balanced against the negative impacts on existing passengers and services. Value for money, passenger demand, fare revenue and the impact on the Scotrail franchise subsidy also need to be considered. Parkhead Forge assessment of a new station is justified by retail activities however increased journey times elsewhere resulting from an additional stop should be considered. Appraisal should be undertaken by the developer and a source of funding for the capital cost would require to be identified. Ibrox - This is considered too close to the city centre for a heavy rail station to be viable. A station would also need significant additional infrastructure if this proposal is pursued, appraisal should be undertaken by the developer and delivery and capital funding would require to be identified.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1227****Para****2.83****comment**

Reference is made to STPR 24 West of Scotland Strategic Rail Enhancements. Scottish Govt looks forward to working with partners on delivering Intervention 24 outcomes subject to Ministers priorities and availability of funds.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****95/3385****para****2.88****comment**

Para 2.88 page 54 discusses the limited support from Transport Scotland and Network Rail for new rail alignments and no support for additional stations on the network. It is suggested that the LDP could adopt the stance whereby no developer contribution is sought for 'aspirational reservations' within the lifetime of this LDP. This could simplify and remove financial burden from certain development schemes, consents and masterplans.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****74/3615****Para****2.9****comment**

The Local Development Plan (LDP), Main Issues Report (MIR) states (Para 2.9, p.9) "the SDP Proposed Plan concludes that the private housing supply across the SDP area is more than sufficient to meet demand". Note should be taken that the current proposed housing is at the high end of affordability in the market.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****107/679****Para****2.9****comment**

The MIR relies on the Strategic Development Plan's unsubstantiated view that there is sufficient land coming forward in the future.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3624****Para****2.9****comment**

Agree

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1236****Para****2.9****comment**

GARL infrastructure is operational or shortly will be and does not need to be protected in the Plan. The line indicated on MIR maps as GARL Paisley to Glasgow Airport Spur was cancelled by Scottish Ministers in 2009.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****175 / 1656****Para****2.93****comment**

Object to the Garngad Chord being designated as a aspirational reservation due to Transport Scotland/Network Rail withdrawing it from the Edinburgh - Glasgow (Rail) Improvement Project. As such its inclusion in the LDP is contrary to the SPP and PAN 75. Concern is expressed about the blight caused to the Garngad Chord site.

**your wish**

Reference to the Garngad Chord should be deleted.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****207 / 1670****Para****2.95****comment**

Glasgow Harbour is currently only one of a handful of parties required to contribute a substantial sum towards the improvements proposed to Junction 19 of the M8. Concerned that funding arrangements for public transport and major road improvements need to be open and transparent. No recognition has been given to the £25m already invested in roadworks by Glasgow Harbour Ltd in the level of contributions being sought in respect of Fastlink or additional infrastructure requirements.

**your wish**

The requirements being made of Glasgow Harbour Ltd are disproportionate with too much burden being placed on too few developers. The implication is that the burden on Glasgow Harbour Ltd should be reduced and other developers should carry more of the burden.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****156 / 3908****Para** **3.10 - 3.17**

**comment** Site at Cathkin Road, Carmunnock, is not identified as a residential development site in the preferred strategy (see accompanying representation report). The accompanying report indicates that constraints can be overcome.

**your wish** The site at Cathkin Road, Carmunnock should be identified as a residential development site in the preferred strategy.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1264****Para** **3.16/3.17**

**comment** Previous discussion with the City Council indicated that they were undertaking transport modelling to inform the emerging spatial strategy. Para. 3.16 reports that modelling to date indicates existing areas of pressure on the trunk road network as negligible change 2011-2014. Para 3.17 indicates further modelling will identify potential impacts of the preferred strategy to 2024. This integrated approach will provide a robust assessment process. Transport Scotland would value engagement with the council to reach a mutual understanding of the likely implications of the Plan on the strategic transport network and any mitigation.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****165 / 1319****Para** **3.2**

**comment** sportscotland supports the principles behind the Spatial Strategy, we particularly welcome the emphasis on health

**your wish** sportscotland would stress that providing opportunities for formal sport and more informal physical activity are critical in delivering opportunities for a healthy lifestyle.

**postcard no:** We would like to continue to focus on renewal and regeneration, but with a stronger emphasis on placemaking, health and sustainability.

3.2

---

**Main Issues Report****133 / 1472****Para** **4.1**

**comment** There is no key policy on housing land supply or choice in terms of type and range of opportunity. There is also no mention of the ways to stimulate the housing market and create more mixed communities, especially in areas close to large estates such as Pollok.

**your wish**

**postcard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.

4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****134 / 1479****Para** **4.1**

**comment** There is no key policy on housing land supply or choice in terms of type and range of opportunity. There is also no mention of the ways to stimulate the housing market and create more mixed communities, especially in areas close to large estates such as Pollok. The critical omission in this part of the City is the non completion of the South West Greenbelt Study.

**your wish**

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****165 / 1323****Para** **4.1**

**comment** In relation to what the policy framework responding to re bulletpoint 8 'enhanced green network',

**your wish** that specific consideration is given to outdoor sports areas, as these form part of the green network.

**posctard no:** We would like to work with SPT, Transport Scotland and others to plan and deliver a modern, high quality public transport system for Glasgow with the resources likely to be available.  
4.1

---

**Main Issues Report****227 / 895****Para** **4.102**

**comment** Please add web address of MGSDP

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****227 / 896****Para** **4.105**

**comment** "The means of delivering infrastructure, including water, drainage and sewerage infrastructure, in the current economic climate".

**your wish** Please delete as the current economic climate has no bearing on Scottish Water's investment programme.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****227/890****Para****4.92****comment**

“Scottish Water’s investment programme Quality and Standards III covered the period 2006-2010 and aims to address strategic water and sewerage infrastructure constraints”. We would ask if the sentence be changed to “Scottish Water’s investment Programme Quality and Standards III covered the period 2006-2010 and aimed to address strategic water and sewerage issues”. We would ask “The remaining objectives from this programme are being taken forward through the Scottish Water Delivery Plan 2010-2015” is changed to “The remaining objectives from this programme are being taken forward through the delivery of Scottish Waters Quality and Standards III (b) programme. Also it states “... to provide new strategic capacity to meet the requirements of all new housing development, as well as commercial and industrial customers” Scottish Water ask this is changed to “ to provide new strategic capacity to meet the requirements of all new housing development and the domestic element of commercial and industrial customers”.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****227/891****Para****4.93****comment**

Please note, our growth criteria as it is slightly different to what you state in the MIR. Scottish Water is committed to enabling development within Scotland and will continue to work with Glasgow City Council to highlight where there is available capacity within Scottish Water’s network. This allows development to occur in areas where the need to upgrade existing infrastructure is minimal, therefore reducing developer costs. However, insufficient capacity should not be seen as a barrier to development, as Scottish Water will provide additional capacity if the development meets our 5 growth criteria which are:

1. The development is supported by the Local Plan and has full planning permission. If the capacity in the Scottish Water system is the only reason preventing a development gaining full planning then outline planning would be accepted.
2. The developer can confirm land ownership or control through a solicitor’s letter.
3. The developer can confirm plans are in place to mitigate any network constraints that will be created by the development through a Minute of Agreement with us or alternatively a letter showing commitment to mitigate network impact through Part 3 investment.
4. The developer confirms any time remaining on current planning permissions with the local council.
5. The developer can demonstrate reasonable proposals in terms of the development’s annual build rate.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****227 / 892****Para****4.94****comment**

Scottish Water asks this paragraph is changed to "Responsibility for the provision of infrastructure to connect to the strategic network remains with the developer and is supported by reasonable cost contribution for domestic properties".

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****227 / 893****Para****4.95****comment**

Please change "Scottish Water Delivery Plan 2010-2015 to" Quality and Standards

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****227 / 894****Para****4.95 3(b)****comment**

You also refer to Scottish Water's website

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****90 / 567****Proposed SG****comment**

We would appreciate clarification of how the approach to policy formulation will provide appropriate opportunities for stakeholder comment on supplementary guidance. Our preference would be that the supplementary guidance with relevant details is subject to consultation and then published within the final version of the Council's Proposed Plan.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1258****Proposed SG**

**comment** Circular 1/2009 sets out legal tests/advice for the use of supplementary guidance. The LDP must specifically identify each topic to be covered in Supplementary Guidance and guidance must be limited to the provision of further detail or information with the headline set out in the LDP. Items where financial or other contributions are sought, including affordable housing, should be included in the LDP.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1259****Proposed SG**

**comment** Green networks and Open Spaces SG may be prepared on a general policy or site brief basis as part of a place making approach. The Council should make clear whether it intends this to be prepared on a statutory or non-statutory basis.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1260****Proposed SG**

**comment** Historic Scotland is broadly content with the proposals for supplementary guidance. Specifically it is content with the proposal to separate Development and Design Guidance for the Forth and Clyde Canal Corridor and would be happy to discuss the additional content in terms of how it affects the scheduled areas of the canal.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1261****Proposed SG**

**comment** Council may wish to consider whether these policies could be combined - it may be preferable to refer to scheduled monuments and other archaeological sites and monuments.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1262****Proposed SG**

**comment** Historic Scotland welcomes the proposal to introduce new guidance on management of change affecting historic battlefields and is happy to advise.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1263****Proposed SG**

**comment** Changes to DES11, Des 10 and GD/DES9 should ensure any amendments are compatible with historic environment policies.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****192 / 3825****Proposed SG**

**comment** Any future SG should be fully consulted on and publicised.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****223 / 1128****Proposed SG**

**comment** Suggest that supplementary planning guidance should be brought forward during the lifetime of the plan to set out the direction for the regeneration of Springfield Quay.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1593****Proposed SG****comment**

The tables provided on p96 – p106 are very useful to track new vs old policy. All parts of the final working policy – should be consulted fully. Simplifying the structure should not include a hidden cost, of loss of detail. While what is proposed seems to have an understandable logical structure, and likely to be satisfactory as a working set of documents (which the City Plan 2 is also), we find it very difficult to assess whether this new structure will be an advantage or a disadvantage to a local group with heritage and amenity aims such as ours.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****149 / 2967****Proposed SG****5.3****comment**

The partnership would strongly urge the development of a Green Network SPG which draws together the threads of existing and proposed SPGs such as Biodiversity and Open space Protection and also including Green Infrastructure and elements of SUDS, Landscape, Designing Streets and Residential and Commercial Development. The Partnership would be delighted to work with GCC on the development of a Green Network SPG.

**your wish**

The partnership would strongly urge the development of a Green Network SPG

**posctard no:** We would like to identify priorities for enhancing the green network based on the proposed Glasgow Open Space Strategy and the priorities identified in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan.

5.3

---

**Main Issues Report****147 / 2945****Proposed SG****All****comment**

We are content with the list of Supplementary Planning Guidance topics.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****30 / 179****Proposed SG****ENV 1 Open Space Protection****comment**

I conditionally support the incorporation of the policy into supplementary guidance, subject to an appropriate designation of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field on the Open Spaces Map. The Glasgow Open Spaces Map currently appears to show NKM as a possible house-building site affecting protected open space. This is misleading as housing proposals on the site currently have no planning status. The Glasgow Open Space Map should clarify what type of protected open space the NKM is.

NKM used to be a playing field but its current characteristics are more akin to a community garden and semi-natural greenspace

**your wish**

NKM should be re-designated on the Glasgow Open Space Map from a potential housing site to a protected open space as a community garden and/or a semi-natural greenspace.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****32 / 194****Proposed SG****ENV 1 Open Space Protection****comment**

I conditionally support the incorporation of the policy into supplementary guidance, subject to an appropriate designation of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field on the Open Spaces Map. The Glasgow Open Spaces Map currently appears to show NKM as a possible house-building site affecting protected open space. This is misleading as housing proposals on the site currently have no planning status. The Glasgow open Space Map should clarify what type of protected open space the NKM is. NKM used to be a playing field but its current characteristics are more akin to a community garden and semi-natural greenspace

**your wish**

NKM should be re-designated on the Glasgow Open Space Map from a potential housing site to a protected open space as a community garden and/or a semi-natural greenspace .

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****34/200****Proposed SG****ENV 1 Open Space Protection****comment**

I conditionally support the incorporation of the policy into supplementary guidance, subject to an appropriate designation of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field on the Open Spaces Map. The Glasgow Open Spaces Map currently appears to show NKM as a possible house-building site affecting protected open space. This is misleading as housing proposals on the site currently have no planning status. The Glasgow open Space Map should clarify what type of protected open space the NKM is.

NKM used to be a playing field but its current characteristics are more akin to a community garden and semi-natural greenspace

**your wish**

NKM should be re-designated on the Glasgow Open Space Map from a potential housing site to a protected open space as a community garden and/or a semi-natural greenspace .

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****35/203****Proposed SG****ENV 1 Open Space Protection****comment**

I conditionally support the incorporation of the policy into supplementary guidance, subject to an appropriate designation of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field on the Open Spaces Map. The Glasgow Open Spaces Map currently appears to show NKM as a possible house-building site affecting protected open space. This is misleading as housing proposals on the site currently have no planning status. The Glasgow open Space Map should clarify what type of protected open space the NKM is.

NKM used to be a playing field but its current characteristics are more akin to a community garden and semi-natural greenspace

**your wish**

NKM should be re-designated on the Glasgow Open Space Map from a potential housing site to a protected open space as a community garden and/or a semi-natural greenspace .

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****38/210****Proposed SG****ENV 1 Open Space Protection****comment**

I conditionally support the incorporation of the policy into supplementary guidance, subject to an appropriate designation of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field on the Open Spaces Map. The Glasgow Open Spaces Map currently appears to show NKM as a possible house-building site affecting protected open space. This is misleading as housing proposals on the site currently have no planning status. The Glasgow open Space Map should clarify what type of protected open space the NKM is.

NKM used to be a playing field but its current characteristics are more akin to a community garden and semi-natural greenspace.

**your wish**

NKM should be re-designated on the Glasgow Open Space Map from a potential housing site to a protected open space as a community garden and/or a semi-natural greenspace.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****39/213****Proposed SG****ENV 1 Open Space Protection****comment**

I conditionally support the incorporation of the policy into supplementary guidance, subject to an appropriate designation of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field on the Open Spaces Map. The Glasgow Open Spaces Map currently appears to show NKM as a possible house-building site affecting protected open space. This is misleading as housing proposals on the site currently have no planning status. The Glasgow open Space Map should clarify what type of protected open space the NKM is.

NKM used to be a playing field but its current characteristics are more akin to a community garden and semi-natural greenspace

**your wish**

NKM should be re-designated on the Glasgow Open Space Map from a potential housing site to a protected open space as a community garden and/or a semi-natural greenspace.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****170/3544****Proposed SG****ENV 1 Open Space Protection****comment**

I conditionally support the incorporation of the policy into supplementary guidance, subject to an appropriate designation of North Kelvin Meadow / Clouston Street Playing Field on the Open Spaces Map. The Glasgow Open Spaces Map currently appears to show North Kelvin meadow as a potential house-building site affecting protected open space. This is misleading as housing proposals on the site currently have no planning status. The Glasgow open Space Map should clarify what type of protected open space is appropriate for North Kelvin Meadow.

North Kelvin Meadow used to be a playing field but its current characteristics are more akin to a community garden and semi-natural greenspace.

**your wish**

North Kelvin Meadow should be re-designated as greenspace on the Glasgow Open Space Map and change its zoning from residential to protected open space for use as a community garden and/or a semi-natural greenspace .

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****59/3496****Proposed SG****ENV1****comment**

I conditionally support the incorporation of the policy into supplementary guidance, subject to the appropriate designation of the North Kelvin Meadow on the Glasgow Open Space Map. The Glasgow Open Space Map currently appears to show the North Kelvin Meadow as a housing site affecting protected open space. This is considered misleading as housing proposals on the site currently have no established planning status. The Glasgow Open Space Map should clarify what type of protected open space the North Kelvin Meadow is in order to determine how a proposal for housing on the site would be assessed against Policy ENV 1. The North Kelvin Meadow used to be a playing field but currently displays characteristics more akin to a community garden or a semi-natural greenspace.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****114/3539****Proposed SG****ENV1- Open Space Protection****comment**

I conditionally support the incorporation of the policy into supplementary guidance, subject to an appropriate designation of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field on the Open Spaces Map. The Glasgow Open Spaces Map currently appears to show NKM as a possible house-building site affecting protected open space. This is misleading as housing proposals on the site currently have no planning status. The Glasgow open Space Map should clarify what type of protected open space the NKM is. NKM used to be a playing field but its current characteristics are more akin to a community garden and semi-natural greenspace ☐

**your wish**

NKM should be re-designated on the Glasgow Open Space Map from a potential housing site to a protected open space as a community garden and/or a semi-natural greenspace .

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****90/576****Proposed SG****KP 10****comment**

Proposed Policy KP10 suggests a new policy on transport infrastructure contributions to be set out in supplementary guidance. The same point as outlined above in relation to supplementary guidance would apply in this case. The College would welcome further clarification on how these transport infrastructure contribution policies will work in practice.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****67/3512****Proposed SG****Open Space Protection****comment**

I conditionally support the incorporation of the policy into supplementary guidance, subject to an appropriate designation of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field on the Open Spaces Map. The Glasgow Open Spaces Map currently appears to show NKM as a possible house-building site affecting protected open space. This is misleading as housing proposals on the site currently have no planning status. The Glasgow open Space Map should clarify what type of protected open space the NKM is.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****170/846****Proposed SG****p.103****comment**

I conditionally support the incorporation of the policy into supplementary guidance, subject to an appropriate designation of 5-11 Southpark Avenue, on the Open Spaces Map. The Glasgow Open Spaces Map currently appears to show 5-11 Southpark Avenue, as a possible house-building site affecting protected open space. Planning consent has been granted to the Belgrave Lane Association to restore the ground, replacing trees which were illegally removed and repairing the wall which was illegally damaged.

**your wish**

5-11 Southpark Avenue should be re-designated on the Glasgow Open Space Map from a potential housing site to a protected open space as a community garden and/or a semi-natural greenspace

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****210/1145****Proposed SG****Retail and the City's Network of Centres****comment**

The MIR does not set out the approach to be adopted, however we would welcome to participate in the review of the proposed supplementary guidance.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****175/1660****Proposed SG****TRANS 1****comment**

Object to the continued reference to the Garngad Chord. Note: Representation incorrectly objects to DEV 1, which does not deal with reservations.

**your wish**

TRANS 1 should be revised in accordance with SPP and PAN 75 and all references to the Garngad Chord deleted.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****31/181****Question****1****comment**

We think the Future Regeneration Context statement is a very good summary of the overall position and the correct aspirations for the new plan. In particular we support the strong emphasis on sustainability as well as economic and social regeneration.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****57 / 587****Question****1****comment**

Yes – the future regeneration context clearly aligns with the principles of sustainable development (e.g. sustainable use of land, strong communities and planning for a reduction in the need to travel). Client owns land at Causewayside St (plan attached). Site is currently vacant, however residential devs have recently completed close by.

**your wish**

Site to be given consideration for reallocation to residential

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****91 / 1700****Question****1****comment**

Diageo agrees with the future regeneration context described in Section 1 of the MIR.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****91 / 3802****Question****1****comment**

McDonald Estates is concerned that economic growth is not being given the priority it deserves as the key driver for the city. The MIR effectively recommends a preferred option for no additional housing or retail development through the plan period. The negative approach puts the City's economic future at risk. The LDP should be looking at ways to encourage development and secure investment.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****92/3933****Question** 1

**comment** The MIR implies that current strategy for sustained growth is still relevant but this is not borne out in the suggested issues and policies set out in the document. It is Macdonald Estates belief that economic growth is the key driver for Glasgow City and Scotland and this needs to be at the forefront of any planning or Council policy. Simply without sustained economic growth there is little chance of delivering the more social and environmental policy aspirations of the Council.

It is Macdonald Estates concern that economic growth is not being given the priority it deserves, particularly in the current market conditions.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****92/3934****Question** 1

**comment** Housing and retail development are two key drivers of the economy that Macdonald Estates has a direct interest in but the MIR report effectively has recommended preferred options that opt for no additional housing and no additional retail development throughout the plan period.

This negative approach to development is potentially putting the City's economic future at risk and displays a lack of understanding of the economic realities facing the development industry. The MIR and forthcoming LDP should be looking at innovative and flexible ways to encourage development at all levels and should be seeking to secure new investment where appropriate and possible. If the Council continues in this direction it will be to the detriment of the Glasgow City economy as it is effectively closing the door to potential new investment in housing and retail development at a time when every effort should be made to encourage such investment and development.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4057****Question** 1

**comment** SPT supports the future regeneration context outlined. We note that sustainable transport provision is placed at the core of the future regeneration context. This is welcomed and we suggest should be carried forward to the objectives of the Proposed Plan.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2792****Question** 1

**comment** Do you agree with the future regeneration context set out above? Yes. A quality green network would make a significant contribution to each of the six themes so we recommend that the LDP should contain contextual/vision statements that clearly refer to the protection and enhancement of Glasgow's green network.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****116/2979****Question** 1

**comment** Regeneration has to be sustainable. KPCC also feel that the physical and social plans for the city should be integrated

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****123/3328****Question** 1

**comment** No I do not agree with aspects of the Regeneration Context. (See representation)

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****132/337****Question** 1

**comment** We consider that the future regeneration context is complimentary to the release of land at Leverndale Hospital as the site is located within walking distances of major bus routes, a railway station and close to local facilities. It would provide a regeneration of unused/scrub land on the urban fringe. Miller Homes therefore agrees that whilst the basic principles of regeneration can be applied to a range of sites and none of the criteria are an unrealistic aim of the City Council in themselves, there has to be a consistency that these also apply to non-urban sites.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****133/708****Question****1****comment**

The Broad Future Regeneration Context set out in pages 7 and 8 is complementary to the release of land at Kennishead Farm because the site is located within walking distances of major bus routes, is very close to Kennishead Railway Station, and it would regenerate unsightly land and steadings on the urban fringe. It is important to realise that regeneration also applies to non-urban sites. The site will not set a precedent for future expansion, the boundaries are well protected by planting, and together with topography, will ensure a long term urban edge.

**your wish**

The release of the site at Kennishead farm for housing development

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****135/717****Question****1****comment**

The Broad Future Regeneration Context set out in pages 7 and 8 are complimentary to the release of land at Mid Netherton, Carmunnock, (site boundary supplied) because the site is adjoining a major bus route, is close to facilities, and would regenerate unsightly land on the urban fringe. The site is not prominent, nor will it set a precedent for future expansion. The southern boundary will be planted, and with topography, will ensure a long term urban edge. A significant part of the site is brownfield and non-agricultural use.

**your wish**

The release of the site at Mid Netherton, Carmunnock for housing development

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****140/353****Question****1****comment**

We consider that the future regeneration context is complimentary to the release of land at Leverndale Hospital as the site is located within walking distances of major bus routes, a railway station and close to local facilities. It would provide a regeneration of unused/scrub land on the urban fringe.

Miller Homes therefore agrees that whilst the basic principles of regeneration can be applied to a range of sites and none of the criteria are an unrealistic aim of the City Council in themselves, there has to be a consistency that these also apply to nonurban sites.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146 / 3348****Question** 1**comment** Broadly, yes.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3389****Question** 1**comment** The broad future regeneration context of the MIR focuses on sustainability of economic growth. Sustainability is a key element of the future city and bringing the sustainable use of resources to the forefront of the MIR, as this document has done, is vital.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3390****Question** 1**comment** There are strong arguments for an overarching vision for the City of Glasgow in 2018 which places the health and well-being of the population at the heart of this vision (there is infrequent and only passing mention of health within the MIR).**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****151 / 3391****Question** 1**comment** Responsible custodianship of the wider natural resources and equitable distribution of and access to the resources by which we meet our human needs must also be central to the future regeneration context .**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****165 / 1301****Question** 1**comment** sportsotland fully supports the broad future regeneration context on which the MIR is based.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****167 / 1788****Question** 1**comment** Taylor Wimpey agrees with the basic principles of regeneration can be applied to a range of sites, however, for consistency the criteria should also apply to non-urban sites.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176 / 849****Question** 1**comment** We welcome the ethos of sustainability underpinning the six identified themes of environment, resources, connections, economy, design and strong communities which form the foundation principles of the Future Regeneration Context**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****182 / 4044****Question** 1**comment** Dawn Group support the aspirations expressed in "Vision for the City Region" on page 4. It is important, however, to return to previous efforts to work towards a strategy that embraces the Glasgow and Edinburgh City regions, together with other related areas, with the Central Belt. This would produce an area with the critical mass to compete more effectively with other city regions within the UK and mainland Europe.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1083****Question****1****comment**

Question 1. Future Regeneration Context. Concerned many of development options require significant levels of public and private sector funding for remediation and development. Concern this will hinder delivering development in the short to medium term. Failing to identify opportunities in these locations and directing economic development to lower demand areas will result in allocations that are undeliverable impacting on choice and constraining supply.

**your wish**

Believes the LDP should include flexible policies and encourage smaller proposals that are capable of coming forward. Also allocations must be in areas where there is marketable demand and that developers want to build.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****185 / 1084****Question****1****comment**

Question 1 Future regeneration context. Glasgow City Council must take full account of the longer term benefits of proposals for commercial development, including wider benefits to national, regional or local economies when determining planning applications and calculating developer contributions.

**your wish**

recommend LDP adopts a more positive and constructive attitude towards proposals that generate economic benefits and we suggest that those that create sustainable economic growth be encouraged and treated favourably. This is particularly important for proposals where there is evident need and demand, such as Grade A office space in Glasgow City Centre. If this provision is not supplied, then companies will be attracted elsewhere and the regions economy will suffer.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****187 / 3941****Question****1****comment**

We consider the BROAD FUTURE REGENERATION CONTEXT, with its emphasis on sustainability, to be promising as a basis for the next Development Plan

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****188 / 1796****Question** **1**

**comment** GHA broadly endorses the future regeneration context. It is important that the context interacts with wider Glasgow City Council policy and the aims and objectives of Glasgow's Transformational Regeneration Area (TRA) programme.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3546****Question** **1**

**comment** Yes - agree with the context set out.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****210 / 1131****Question** **1**

**comment** Supports GCC's renewed emphasis on how to deliver sustained growth in Glasgow.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****211 / 3979****Question** **1**

**comment** Part of a re-invigorated approach to the delivery of the six themes carried forward from earlier documents should perhaps include an additional theme of 'Management'. At a time of resource constraint, the co-ordination/prioritisation of action/resources across the themes becomes more critical. Various parts of the City Council produce strategies and plans which appear to be independent of the current city plan but overlap it. This has been mentioned to us by students as a source of confusion in assessing what kinds of development will be acceptable to the Council. The new plan has the potential to address this. The 2006 Act's concern for efficiency justifies better coordination across the City Council with the new LDP as the key point of reference for perspective developers. If this cannot be achieved, then web links from the City Plan website would be beneficial.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****211 / 3980****Question****1****comment**

The introduction of a 'Management' theme should also allow a re-evaluation of the City's roles -which activities are expanding, which are contracting, which offer the best scope for city success during and/or after the recession – and whether the new plan can assist these, if they are desirable. At least some of the growth activities of the pre-recession period, we now know are unlikely to return. For example, a number of writers on UK urban regeneration attributed a significant role in city centre regeneration to the expansion of further and higher education. Post-recession, and in the light of the Scottish Government's consultation document 'Putting Learners at the Centre: Delivering Our Ambitions for Post-16 Education,' rationalisation rather than expansion is anticipated. A return to pre-recession trends cannot be assumed. There may, however, be more emphasis on the recruitment of overseas students and in this regard the attractiveness of the City's environmental quality can be important. Attracting students from outwith Scotland can be a precursor to tourism visits, by relatives and alumni, if the city is a distinctive, high quality destination.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****211 / 3981****Question****1****comment**

A number of plans for European cities have come to our attention through overseas links and may be of interest. The Berlin Land Use Plan and Stockholm Plan are of interest.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 3988****Question****1****comment**

Question 1. Yes. The emphasis on sustainability provides a solid basis for the long term requirements of our communities, economic development and built environment.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 3995****Question** 1

**comment** Question 1. Yes. The emphasis on sustainability provides a solid basis for the long term requirements of our communities, economic development and built environment.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 452****Question** 1

**comment** Agree broadly with the list, but suggest that it does not equate with the "key drivers for change" on page 4.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****250 / 436****Question** 1

**comment** Meridian supports the broad future regeneration context proposed as part of the Main Issues Report. This is in keeping with the over arching purpose of the Scottish Government of increasing sustainable economic growth and the requirement of the Planning etc. (Scotland) Act 2006 for development plans to be exercised with the objective of contributing to sustainable development.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****252 / 507****Question** 1

**comment** Whilst the fine words in Section 1 cannot be criticised, it is essential that these principles are extended to the routine operations of the Council. A more self-critical approach is also required, identifying the need to reverse the neglect of important buildings by their owners, how the effect budget cuts have had on our existing parks will be addressed, what will be done about the lack of progress in making links with existing disadvantaged communities and new developments, how the problem of rubbish in our streets will be overcome.

**your wish** A re-written "Broad Future" that recognises and addresses the faults of our current position and does not assume that we are starting from a tabula rasa. It should, at the very least, acknowledge the issues listed above.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/855****Question****10****comment**

We would agree that the above issues chosen for consideration in the MIR are appropriate in relation to a sustainable built and natural environment

**your wish**

In addition to those issues already identified, we would suggest that the following topics should also be considered, thus ensuring a comprehensive suite of issues. Air Quality; The Protection and Enhancement of Soil and its functionality; River Basin Management Plans.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4031****Question****10****comment**

Question 10 - The SNP Group broadly agrees with the view expressed within paragraphs 2.114 to 2.135.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249/529****Question****10****comment**

Do not agree with the issues considered under sustainable built and natural environment. Built environment and natural environment should not be considered as one topic. This section erroneously lumps together the built and the natural environment, and, worse, only includes "historic" buildings. Apparently the only justification for conservation of these areas is "enhancing the City's tourism offer".

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249/530****Question****10****comment**

There is no policy proposed for the general protection of the built environment. It is suggested that there should be no further erosion of the historic built environment.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249/531****Question** **10**

**comment** There is no policy to protect the amenity of the Clyde waterfront through the city centre. The Clyde waterfront is becoming entirely devoted massive buildings fronted by hard landscape or poorly maintained, highly formalised landscapes that are not "user-friendly" and do not encourage local or tourist pedestrian use. Other cities manage to give their waterfronts a degree of charm and pleasure. Glasgow is so far failing to do this.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271/1565****Question** **10**

**comment** FINE but should include: Presumption to retain traditional sandstone buildings outside conservation areas should be carried into the new LDP – danger of deleting the longstanding character of the city - there are plenty of places to build on, without destroying the old tenements, terraces and villas. Unless there is a specific and valid reason case by case.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271/1566****Question** **10**

**comment** FINE but should include: Enhancement of Traditional Shopfronts in all or some Conservation Areas esp. Glasgow West, should become a requirement when a planning application is made, in future, i.e. whenever they are being altered. The long-term erosion of this valuable asset is a complete certainty if nothing is done.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271/1567****Question** **10**

**comment** FINE but should include: Big national companies e.g. Santander, should be required to install their "Conservation" style shopfronts, as seen in other parts of the UK.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1568****Question** **10**

**comment** FINE but should include: Conversion of a Shop to a Flat in a Tenement  
Some current conversions are sadly lacking and a policy is required to raise the standards, as this may happen more in future for a range of reasons.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****116 / 2991****Question** **10 and 11**

**comment** It is imperative that Kinning Park is part of the Green Network Priorities, given its' history.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****64 / 3867****Question** **10/11**

**comment** 2.131/2.132 Glasgow has a Local Biodiversity Action Plan (GLBAP) which covers how "the Council proposes to conserve and enhance populations of particular animal and plant species" But Glasgow also supports species and habitats which are not protected in their own right. The issue states that "An integrated approach to the protection of these species and habitats is, therefore, necessary". We would propose that this integrated approach also covers the geodiversity which governs these habitats and ultimately the species which rely on them. It should be noted that soils can be recognised as part of our geodiversity.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****64 / 3868****Question** **10/11**

**comment** 2.133 "...the wider roles which ecosystems and biodiversity play..." The definition of an ecosystem includes the living and non-living elements of an interdependent system. Geodiversity either provides or influences a wide range of ecosystem services. We would prefer to see Option 5.4A stating that an integrate approach takes into account the close ties between geodiversity and biodiversity, both of which underpin ecosystem services.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****64/3869****Question****10/11****comment**

We welcome wholeheartedly the inclusion of this section in the Main Issues Report and would like to add some points we have noted. 2.134 "Geological sites and features are important on grounds of their educational value" ..... but also in terms of underpinning ecosystems and biodiversity "City Plan 2 provides for the protection of a limited number of geological features ...." At present these are limited to the SSSI's at the Fossil Grove and at Waulkmill Glen but there are no other designated site of geological interest i.e. RIGS or their present equivalent of LGS (Local Geodiversity Sites) as far as we are aware no geological sites have been designated SINCS.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****64/3870****Question****10/11****comment**

2.135 "..... there may be other geological features which have not been identified ...." We would be keen to be involved in an audit of geodiversity sites and assess which are worthy of protection in the context of Glasgow City. 5.5A (Preferred Option) We agree with this preferred option, but would prefer the wording "Undertake an assessment to determine which sites will be protected through the LDP." This option is preferred because – It would help protect examples of the City's geological assets which are essential in the understanding of landscape formation and subsequent management both locally and globally. 5.5B This alternative option would not be preferred in our view as it has no protection for any geodiversity sites other than those identified as SSSI's where there is already a legislative duty of care.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****64/3871****Question****10/11****comment**

P103 - INTEGRATED HABITAT NETWORKS "Policies ENV7 and DG/ENV 4 may need changes in relation to geodiversity". We would strongly encourage a change in ENV7 to incorporate geology and to widen environmental designations to include Local Geodiversity Sites. Changes to the present Scottish Biodiversity Strategy are to be made to bring it into line with EU and UN targets which aim to have a strong ecosystems/ ecosystem services approach. This ecosystem approach will have to be integrated into ENV7 and DG/ENV4 and as geodiversity underpins biodiversity it will have to include recognition of the underlying and essential nature of the City's geodiversity.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/856****Question** **11**

**comment** While we would agree that the council's preferred options for the selected issues are correct, we would recommend the additional topics identified above are also considered.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4032****Question** **11**

**comment** Question 11 - the SNP Group broadly supports the preferred options

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249/535****Question** **11**

**comment** Do not agree that the Council's preferred options re sustainable built and natural environment are the right ones.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3369****Question** **12**

**comment** Broadly agrees with the proposed policies. It should be noted that all development, no matter how energy efficient, could still result in a rise in carbon emissions, therefore there should be a strong focus on retrofit options that reduce the emissions of buildings already in place.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3370****Question** **12**

**comment** New developments could be used to reduce the emissions of already-existing developments. For example, if the new development was of sufficient scale to be an anchor client for a district heating network, nearby buildings could be connected together via a district heating network to share a common heat source (such as combined heat & power – CHP).

**your wish** A stipulation to developers to review adjacent developments for opportunities to share energy infrastructure would be a wise addition to the existing proposed policy.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3371****Question** **12**

**comment** The Council should also consider setting up vehicles to help fund infrastructure of this nature should the developer consider its inclusion too heavy a burden to take on.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3372****Question** **12**

**comment** When considering implementation of low and zero carbon technologies, developers should consult with Scottish Power Energy Networks to understand the impact on the grid – if any – of their proposals. Working collaboratively in this manner will ensure the plans proposed can actually be realised – allowing the Council to provide planning permission to the development.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****165/1316****Question** **12**

**comment** sportscotland fully supports the issues outlined, particularly the opportunity this provides for increased physical fitness

**your wish** application/implementation re the LDP of the principles outlined on page 75.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4039****Question** **12****comment** Question 12 - The SNP Group broadly agrees with the view expressed within paragraphs 2.136 to 2.156.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 541****Question** **12****comment** It is considered that the issues need to be reviewed. Sustainable drainage should be included in this theme [sustainable design], as "SUDS" is part of sustainable design solutions.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 542****Question** **12****comment** Retrofitting energy efficiency measures to existing buildings should be an "Issue" on its own, not hidden in Issue 6.4. - which relates to new buildings.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 543****Question** **12****comment** Conservation of existing features or facilities that are of importance to the local population should be added. Sustainable design includes the conservation of what is good.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 544****Question****12****comment**

A section on the need to keep up standards for amenity and usable open space in new developments should be added. The emphasis on the "benefits" of high density housing can hide a reluctance to require developers to provide adequate common spaces, and/or play areas when they are trying to maximise profits at the expense of amenity.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1571****Question****12****comment**

FINE but should include: The City Centre Skyline / Roofscape is a wonderful character asset to Glasgow, and should have an appraisal carried out. This will ensure key features are preserved or enhanced whenever a new development with TALL Buildings is proposed.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****116 / 2992****Question****12 and 13****comment**

Use of the two ancient canoes on the south side of the Clyde river bed by Clyde Arc should be promoted.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****116 / 2993****Question****12 and 13****comment**

How about a project with Galgael and the South Rotunda project?

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****116 / 2994****Question****12 and 13****comment**

The impact of quasi-residential developments on "regenerated" areas should be minded. The impact of two worlds (existing residents and people with chaotic lifestyles) colliding through gentrification cannot be underestimated.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146 / 3373****Question****13****comment**

Broadly, the preferred options appear correct (however see the representation for issues set out in answer Qu. 12)

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4040****Question****13****comment**

Question 13 - the SNP Group broadly supports the preferred options.

**your wish**

The Council should also make greater efforts to ensure the long-term durability of the built environment. Policy could be strengthened without making developments unviable, to encourage the use of high quality construction materials, which will require less maintenance and give the building a longer lifespan. Aside from being more environmentally sustainable, it would put us in a better position to leave an architectural heritage for future generations to enjoy.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 550****Question****13****comment**

Generally, don't think that the Council's preferred options re Sustainable Design are the correct ones.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****72/1119****Question** **14**

**comment** It is not clear if Map 1 constitutes GCC's preferred strategy for the area. If it does, West Dunbartonshire Council is concerned to see the inclusion of South Street (Tesco) on the map. This would prejudice the current planning application for this site owing to its out-of-centre location. This should have been identified as a main issue.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****72/1120****Question** **14**

**comment** West Dunbartonshire Council has concerns regarding the identification of the Glasgow Harbour retail opportunity. The granting of development plan status to the site will reduce controls on what can be developed there. This presents significant risk to the vitality and viability of Clydebank town centre.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****72/1121****Question** **14**

**comment** The identification of retail development opportunities at Glasgow Harbour and South Street appear to be at odds with GCC's preferred options contained within the MIR which favour a city and town centre led approach. The identification of extension opportunities at Silverburn and the Fort add to the confusion.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****97/1412****Question** **14**

**comment** Does not disagree with the strategy set out in the Spatial Framework Maps

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2821****Question****14****comment**

Spatial Policy Framework (Map 2) - see our comments on Option 5.3 above. Site assessment – sites proposed by developers We support the position taken on the 24 developer-proposed sites, with the following additional comments related to Appendix 1: • Teal Drive – we strongly support the proposed retention of this site as greenspace. This is because of its association with one of the unculverted fragments of the Garscadden Burn and its proximity to the green network enhancement priority at Yoker. We believe the site does not have capacity for even a significantly reduced number of houses. • Skirsa St – the current designation of this site as a SINC is mentioned as a “consideration”. We have not visited the site recently. However we believe the habitat quality may be so low that a built development with appropriate new planting (especially on the south-east side) might amount to a net enhancement. In this respect we advise that the SINC designation may not be a constraint on development at this location. • Cathkin Rd (2 sites) – we strongly support the proposed retention of these green belt areas.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****116/2995****Question****14****comment**

The Core Paths Plan can be viewed as cheeky and intrusive. GCC should create a Clyde Walkway on the north bank of the river, and needs to invest to make the collapsed quay wall safe to use (possibly via a charity with EU funding). That is far better than to assume the right to use private land on the south bank to create a walkway, which is fraught with safety issues, some of which are happening already.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****132/349****Question****14****comment**

Spatial Framework Maps: We do not agree with the specific extent of the greenbelt entirely incorporating all the land at Leverndale Hospital. However, the general framework appears acceptable, notwithstanding the time taken to establish the S W Greenbelt Study. We recommend that the area not being developed around Leverndale and Crookston be re-designated as greenspace and not greenbelt.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****140/359****Question** **14**

**comment** Spatial Framework Maps: We do not agree with the specific extent of the greenbelt entirely incorporating all the land at Leverndale Hospital. However, the general framework appears acceptable, notwithstanding the time taken to establish the S W Greenbelt Study. We recommend that the area not being developed around Leverndale and Crookston be re-designated as greenspace and not greenbelt.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3374****Question** **14**

**comment** Any attempt to highlight future development is welcome.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3375****Question** **14**

**comment** For areas of anticipated energy load growth due to increased development, Scottish Power Energy Networks would urge close consultation to ensure any required electrical grid infrastructure upgrades can be planned within appropriate timescales.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3376****Question** **14**

**comment** Close consultation with Scottish Power Energy Networks may allow increased innovation to occur – such as the implementation of smart grids – which can bring considerable benefit to the City, particularly in achieving its carbon emissions reduction targets.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3377****Question** 14**comment** Scottish Power Energy Networks should also be consulted when, and if, the Council implements the wind turbines shown on the map.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****151/3436****Question** 14**comment** The strategy of the Spatial Framework Maps is unclear and demands attention. This indicates that there is a need for spatial supplementary guidance which explores, at a relevant mapping scale, the interconnections between walking routes, cycling routes, public transport facilities and land use (for example). Only in this way, can holistic city planning and development occur.**your wish** To be useful, the maps themselves should be made to a fine enough scale at which walking and cycling issues can be examined and resolved.**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****156/3911****Question** 14**comment** Cathkin Road site would contribute to sustainable economic development, as set out in the Planning Etc (Scotland) Act 2006 and Scottish Planning Policy. It meets national planning policy and advice with regard to site effectiveness, landscape and natural heritage, fitting new housing development into the landscape, transport, and flooding and drainage. It would also support Strategic Support Measure 10 of the SDP (See accompanying detailed reports).**your wish** The site at Cathkin Road, Carmunnock should be identified as a residential development site in the preferred strategy.**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3733****Question** 14**comment** We are unable to use the spatial maps as they are too small. We could not enlarge them on screen and they pixelated. We shall try to respond to maps if we can have access to the necessary enlargers**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****175 / 1659****Question****14****comment**

Do not agree with the strategy set out in the Spatial Framework Maps due to the inclusion of the Garngad Chord.

**your wish**

Amend strategy.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176 / 857****Question****14****comment**

We would agree that the spatial strategy should be based on the four key elements of: preferred spatial options, SDP proposals, retained elements and national developments

**your wish**

We welcome the greater emphasis to be placed on climate change, sustainable development and health. we would expect the spatial framework maps also to take account of our previous comments relating to the issues of Waste, River Basin Management Plans, Air Quality and Soil Protection which will impact, in varying degrees, on climate change, sustainable development and health.  In general we support the strategy of promoting brownfield development.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3589****Question****14****comment**

Yes – with the exception of the North Clydeside route as outlined in Option 4.4 A as outlined.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4041****Question****14****comment**

Question 14 - The SNP Group is broadly in agreement with the strategy as set out in the Spatial Framework Maps.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****252/510****Question****14****comment**

The Strategic Spatial Agenda maps do not clearly identify existing communities, nor do they show connections: rather they show boundaries that would appear to close off areas instead of making connections. For example, the existing town centre of Cessnock and Walmer Crescent Conservation Area is not identified on SSA Map 3, and where it is shown on Map 2, no re-connection with the river is identified. We consider that the graphics of the maps should be completely reconsidered.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****91/1718****Question****15****comment**

Diageo has no objection to the proposed approach to the policy framework simplification.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****97/1413****Question****15****comment**

Does not disagree with the proposed approach to simplify the policy framework which supports the Local Development Plan's strategy.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2822****Question****15****comment**

We agree with the proposed approach provided that it still contains sufficient detail to give clarity to the user, particularly as some of the Supplementary Guidance may not be published at the same time as the Proposed Plan. We have the following recommendations for further simplification and clarity around green network policy: We recommend that DEV11 and DEV12 be replaced by a single DPP named Green Network, which would accord with the mapping of the green network as a single entity and which would allow Options 5.3 and 5.4 to be integrated.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2823****Question** **15****comment** We recommend that Key Policy 11 be renamed “Green Network”.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2824****Question** **15****comment** We recommend that the Proposed Plan provides a broader overview of the proposed content of SG, highlighting any new elements within them, especially Green Network considerations.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2825****Question** **15****comment** We recommend that the “IHN” SG be renamed “Green Network” and that it incorporates the proposed “Landscape in New Development” SG. These changes would help deliver one of the key principles of the CSGN, which is that delivery of connectivity for wildlife, landscape character and recreation must be integrated rather than separate.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2826****Question** **15****comment** In our post-scoping advice, we welcomed the principle of formalising mitigation within SG. However, with regard to the main natural heritage Environmental Objectives in the current DG/ENV5, there is no obvious justification for the proposed Broad Environmental Mitigation Measures SG standing alone. We recommend that mitigation measures should be addressed within the “IHN” SG (which should be renamed Green Network – see above). This would simultaneously simplify the LDP and underpin integrated green network delivery.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****132/350****Question****15****comment**

Policy Framework: There is no key policy on housing land supply or choice in terms of type and range of opportunity. No mention of ways to stimulate the housing market and create more mixed communities, especially in areas close to large Council estates such as Crookston.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****140/360****Question****15****comment**

Policy Framework: There is no key policy on housing land supply or choice in terms of type and range of opportunity. No mention of ways to stimulate the housing market and create more mixed communities, especially in areas close to large Council estates such as Crookston.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3378****Question****15****comment**

Any attempt to simplify the process is welcomed, as long as essential detail is not lost.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****165/1322****Question****15****comment**

sportscotland agree with the principles that sit behind this, but would request that the following is considered in the development of this

**your wish**

we request that

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/858****Question** **15**

**comment** We support the aim of adhering to the government's guidance for development plans by including detailed development and design guidance in Supplementary Guidance. Supplementary guidance adopted and issued under section 22(1) of the Act in connection with a particular strategic development plan or local development plan may only deal with the provision of further information or detail in respect of the policies or proposals set out in that plan and then only provided that those are matters which are expressly identified in a statement contained in the plan as matters which are to be dealt with in supplementary guidance.

**your wish** It is therefore essential that the key policies include all the core principles which will become embedded in the plan and which will allow SG to carry forward. The key policies and supplementary guidance should reflect the preferred options detailed in section 2 of the MIR.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3976****Question** **15**

**comment** Yes, in principle. We may, however, find it more difficult to compare the next draft with City Plan 2 and would want this process made as easy as possible.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4042****Question** **15**

**comment** Question 15 - The simplified framework will be easier to understand than the detailed policies of City Plan 2. It will be important during the transition to the new LDP to highlight explicitly where there has been a significant change in policy.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****133/716****Question** **15 and 16**

**comment** The Policy Framework doesn't contain a policy on housing land supply, or choice, in terms of type and range of opportunity. In addition, it doesn't suggest ways to stimulate the housing market and creating more mixed communities, especially in areas close to large Council estates such as Pollok.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****135/727****Question** **15 and 16**

**comment** The Policy Framework doesn't contain a policy on housing land supply, or choice, in terms of type and range of opportunity. In addition, it doesn't suggest ways to stimulate the housing market and creating more mixed communities, especially in areas close to large Council estates such as Castlemilk.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****91/1719****Question** **16**

**comment** No. Diageo will be interested in commenting on a number of the Supplementary Guidance issues including the 'Development and Design Guidance for the Forth and Clyde Canal Corridor'.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2827****Question** **16**

**comment** As stated above, we recommend that the LDP should contain clear policy protection and spatial representation for the green network in order that the existing network is safeguarded and priorities/opportunities for enhancement are clearly identified.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****108/2828****Question****16****comment**

We recommend that separate Supplementary Guidance should be prepared for greenfield housing development within the Easterhouse-Gartloch area in order to secure the public benefits being sought from the Seven Lochs project. The existing Wetland Park Study could form the basis for the SG. We understand that the Council anticipates separate masterplanning for each housing area. The role of the SG would be to provide an overarching framework for these separate masterplans and so ensure consistent standards of development.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****117/819****Question****16****comment**

Under Allotments ENV 9 the document states that 'Retain as guidance and update, as required – any changes likely to be minor' In the light of the Governments strategy on food and the forthcoming Community Regeneration and Impowerment Bill, ENV9 should be revisited as a matter of urgency

**your wish**

Revisit ENV 9, consult and make major changes

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****141/2859****Question****16****comment**

We agree with your proposal to 'retain and separate the guidance for the River Corridor and the Forth and Clyde Canal Corridor in City Plan 2 into SG and update, as required'. When updating this SG we would draw your attention to the following policies of the Firth of Clyde MSP: ENV 6 Appropriate location and scale; ENV 10 Seascape and landscape; COM 1 New developments; COM 2 Re-engaging with the coastal environment and maritime heritage; HER 1 Protect and safeguard maritime heritage; R&T 2 Slipways and shoreside infrastructure; R&T 9 Community engagement; S&T 6 Terrestrial Developments.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****141 / 2860****Question** **16**

**comment** It would be worth including specific guidance on the use of the Clyde waterway for public transport and how to link this to terrestrial transport systems. This is covered within the Firth of Clyde MSP by the following policies: S&T 1 Ferries; S&T 2 Public transport integration.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146 / 3379****Question** **16**

**comment** Scottish Power Energy Networks is keen to accelerate their 'Smart Grid' energy solutions to underpin Glasgow's ambitions to be a low carbon industry hub and enhance the low carbon offer to potential investors and developers.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164 / 3715****Question** **16**

**comment** Think about tenements

**your wish** Would like to see a policy and supplementary guidance which includes tenements or is specifically targeted

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164 / 3745****Question** **16**

**comment** Please add a policy topic on refurbishment and restoration of the built environment

**your wish** The MIR is focussed mainly on new development but needs to be balanced by paying more specific attention to existing communities and buildings. Refurbishment and repair are less wasteful of scarce resources, create jobs, retain buildings essential to sense of place and strong communities, conforms to climate change concerns, often built to higher standards and life span than current. Needs to be supported by appropriate supplementary guidance

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3746****Question****16****comment**

Policy needed for tenements and flatted dwellings

**your wish**

Link to part V of Private rented sector legislation. Apply similar policy to all flatted dwellings: the problems addressed in the legislation on moving the traditional stacked service are not restricted to HMOs. Owner occupiers' and other landlord's activities also need to be controlled in the interests of the tenement and neighbours. Suggested policy - Moving the (traditional stacked) water and drainage services in a tenement or flatted dwelling is not permitted unless integral to the refurbishment of the whole tenement. Note building regs cannot solve this problem. We have tried.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3747****Question****16****comment**

Policy needed to address problems of over occupation in tenements and density problems.

**your wish**

Ensure better coordination of social work and other agency placements in pressured areas :- Hillhead, Govan. Take advantage of the link between planning and licensing of GHMOs to improve regulation on amenity areas and othe HMO problems

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3748****Question****16****comment**

Need policy on education and health

**your wish**

They are major employers

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3749****Question****16****comment**

Review campus plan of Glasgow University

**your wish**

A source of many problems for Hillhead

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3750****Question****16****comment**

Review plans of other educational establishments, most of whose students live in the west end

**your wish**

To ensure that they have viable accommodation plans

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3751****Question****16****comment**

Restore policy restricting height of buildings in the city centres and conservation areas

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3752****Question****16****comment**

Need a policy on flooding

**your wish**

Compliance with EU directive. Measures re flooding and drainage . These will have a bearing on other policies such as development

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3753****Question****16****comment**

Remove the presumption to grant student accommodation as a matter of urgency.

**your wish**

The relevant statutory guidance of the city plan is ignored, as are restrictions applying to conservation areas. Design in sensitive areas pays no attention to the locality in massing or scale or footprint. There are too many for the wet end to absorb, including at least 4 more in the pipeline. They do not solve the HMO density problem.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3754****Question** **16****comment** Supplementary guidance needs refined on tall buildings**your wish** Tall buildings need to be defined and located on the periphery of the city. Suggested definition - A tall building includes one whose upper floors can be seen from a distance to rise above the skyline of its context / street (excluding spires and domes)**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3755****Question** **16****comment** Supplementary guidance needed on HMO's**your wish** Define the relationship with licensing**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3756****Question** **16****comment** Supplementary guidance needed on open space 9 see response to GOSS)**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3757****Question** **16****comment** Supplementary guidance needed on bed and breakfast establishments and hostels**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3758****Question** **16****comment** Supplementary guidance needed on traffic and its development impact on air quality**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3759****Question** 16**comment** Supplementary guidance needed on elevations**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3760****Question** 16**comment** Supplementary guidance needed on sandstone buildings**your wish** Keep sandstone buildings even if outside a conservation area ( benefits economy and climate)**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3761****Question** 16**comment** Supplementary guidance on the**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3762****Question** 16**comment** Specific supplementary guidance is desirable for the west end**your wish** West end has problems arising from town centre policies, the university, private rented sector and a transient population etc which are not fully addressed in City Plan 2. Pressure for development in sought after areas. Some SG could be refined. Also problems where CP2 ignored: we suggest some measures to mitigate this.**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3763****Question** 16**comment** Specific supplementary guidance for the city centre**your wish** Tall buildings, design, streetscape, place making policies, transport - all should have strengthened guidance that addresses more specifically**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3764****Question** **16**

**comment** Problem areas such as Govanhill share similar problems with regard to HMO and tenements as Hillhead and should have specific supplementary guidance

**your wish** Note as some problems migrate there needs to be strong SG where necessary to be applicable to all areas

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3765****Question** **16**

**comment** Need specific policies and guidance on flooding

**your wish** These are only touched on in the document and need detailed consultation for LDP. Relevant factors have changed since CP2 consultation. Other areas of the plan will be affected by the flood and drainage policies which are not yet published. LDP should comply with EU water directive

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3766****Question** **16**

**comment** Following are outwith scope of LDP but are mentioned as relevant to its success - Removal of VAT on repairs, Housing court: Alex Neill in final debate in Housing Bill part V recognised housing court necessary. We would add environmental court to the housing court as problems often allied.

**your wish** We would be happy to take part in discussion on our areas of expertise to assist

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/859****Question** **16**

**comment** In line with our comments above, we would wish to see the inclusion of key policies specifically addressing issues with respect to Air Quality, the Protection and Enhancement of Soil and its functionality, River Basin Management Planning and the movement of Waste Management up the waste hierarchy.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****187 / 3977****Question** **16**

**comment** The most important redevelopment prospect in our area will result from the closure of the Yorkhill Hospitals and the opportunity for building reuse and area regeneration that this will make possible. We consider it vital that this should not be left purely to the pressure of commercial market forces and strongly recommend that a masterplan, or at least a rigorous site assessment should be part of the Development Plan.

In fact, work on this should begin as soon as possible as the hospitals may have shut down before the plan has been adopted. This study should address the role of Yorkhill Park, at present a backwater isolated from the local population by the Health Board estate and it should seek to relieve the traffic and other problems generated by the hospital use. The Community Council would, of course, be keen to be involved in this process from the beginning, as a model to all of local public participation.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****204 / 566****Question** **16**

**comment** Suggest policy/SG addition of putting in place requirements for 'strong communities'.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4043****Question** **16**

**comment** Question 16 - The proposed list appears to cover all required areas of policy, and the system of Supplementary Guidance is flexible enough to enable additional guidance to be added during the life of the LDP, should this be required.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1594****Question** **16**

**comment** Add Byres Road Action Plan (see above).

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****91 / 1701****Question** **2**

**comment** Diageo agrees with the issues considered and in particular is interested in the focus on the north city centre area in relation to the potential for low carbon heating (Issue 1.3). If there are opportunities to investigate the use of district heating within the regeneration of the Dundashill site then these will be fully considered at the earliest stage of the planning process. In relation to Issue 1.5, the Council envisages that legal agreements could be drawn up in order to limit the usage of the site for alternative uses until such time as development is forthcoming. Although there is no opposition to this principle, the commentary contained here does not describe sufficient detail to allow for an opinion to be expressed as to the suitability of the policy options proposed. Diageo agrees that issue 1.6, Urban Lighting, is an issue that should be considered in the revised local development plan in respect of energy consumption and urban design.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****132 / 339****Question** **2**

**comment** We agree with aims of sustainable uses of resources generally, however not the conclusions reached on the options for housing land release.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****140 / 355****Question** **2**

**comment** We agree with aims of sustainable uses of resources generally, however not the conclusions reached on the options for housing land release.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3349****Question** **2**

**comment** In the section on 'Local Renewable/Low Carbon Sources of Heat and Power (Option 1.3A) there is a heavy focus on East End Zone. This is understandable given the current and predicted levels of development in this area, but significant city carbon emissions reductions, i.e. to the levels stated in the Sustainable Glasgow report, will only come if city centre zones are tackled too.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3350****Question** **2**

**comment** High sources of carbon emissions may need to be retrofitted with lower-carbon sources of heat such as district heating or CHP.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3351****Question** **2**

**comment** There is no mention of GCC creating an ESCo or, indeed, developing a prescriptive policy framework in which district heating can evolve.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3352****Question** **2**

**comment** GCC will have to underwrite, in part or in full, the development and/or capital costs relative to the associated transmission networks that carry the heat.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3353****Question** **2**

**comment** GCC need to commit to acting as anchor clients in every case a district heating network is near to their buildings, sending a clear message to the private sector that the Council is fully behind this initiative.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3354****Question** **2**

**comment** Some District Heating networks may not be possible without the Council acting as anchor client.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3355****Question** **2**

**comment** Option 1.4A - no reference to any commitment to take off excess heat, where possible, from waste treatment facility.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3356****Question** **2**

**comment** Option 1.6A- only appears to reference ornamental lighting and not basic street lighting.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3357****Question** **2**

**comment** Significant savings could be made through better street lighting and metering, etc and this should be noted in the MIR as an ambition for the council.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3650****Question** **2**

**comment** See responses to options in sustainable resources section. While the issues raised are relevant to any local plan, the options do not in every case go far enough. Where we have agreed with the preferred option we have also made some caveats. Safeguards could be added. Some ignore other policies agreed by the City Council. Some are unlikely to be realised without change, full SEA and further consultation.

**your wish** Please see our response under sustainable resources all paras and options and response to MIR. The options will depend very much on what is in the supplementary guidance. Discussion on that should not be constrained by the MIR. A full SEA as required by EU law. Full consultation when development published for consultation. Appropriate policies to ensure safeguards are present.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****165/1302****Question** **2**

**comment** Cathkin Braes is identified as a site with potential for wind power. It is also the location for mountain biking for the 2014 Commonwealth Games and will provide an important legacy facility for mountain biking after the Games.

**your wish** It is important to ensure that any wind power proposals do not impact negatively on the mountain bike trails and to look at any opportunities that might emerge to support them e.g. cheap or free electricity for indoor support facilities which might be put on site, such as a café or showers.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****167/1789****Question** **2**

**comment** Taylor Wimpey does not agree with the conclusions reached on the options for housing land release.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/850****Question** **2**

**comment** We would agree that the above issues chosen for consideration in the MIR are both appropriate and comprehensive in relation to assessing the sustainable use of resources

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****188 / 1797****Question** **2**

**comment** GHA supports the issues explored relative to the sustainable use of resources and will continue to support the Council's commitment to bringing positive uses into stalled sites.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 3996****Question** **2**

**comment** Question 2. The SNP Group broadly agrees with the view expressed within paragraphs 2.1 to 2.38.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 455****Question** **2**

**comment** I do not agree with the issues considered in relation to the sustainable use of resources. It is suggested that the topic should cover a much wider range of topics - e.g. why only land for private housing, and not land for public sector housing, commercial development, land for community recreation, land for sport, etc - in fact all land use.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1536****Question** **2**

**comment** Fine.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

---

**Main Issues Report****116/2980****Question** **2 and 3****comment** There are issues with city wind power aspirations - must be built away from habitation due to noise and other concerns.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****116/2981****Question** **2 and 3****comment** We should look towards harnessing energy from (tidal) river Clyde and also consider the further use of PV cells.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****116/2982****Question** **2 and 3****comment** A review of energy efficient housing should be undertaken, maybe using GHA's greening project as an example of good practice.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****116/2983****Question** **2 and 3****comment** Could communal heating plan devised for the north of the city in 1990s be reviewed and used to shape CHP?**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****116/2984****Question** **2 and 3****comment** Residual Treatment Facility (see para 2.30) is a very good idea for the environment and for jobs.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****133/709****Question****2 and 3****comment**

Agree generally with the aims of the sustainable use of resources, but not that part related to housing release. The preferred land release strategy for private housing i.e. brownfield site and Community Growth Area development, but no greenfield release, will not deliver the required level of housing to meet demand, and is not a deliverable housing strategy. A range of housing options is essential and a scottish Government policy requirement. A limited number of housing release sites in the greenbelt/greenspace would not compromise the overall strategy.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****135/718****Question****2 and 3****comment**

Agree generally with the aims of the sustainable use of resources, but not that part related to housing release. The preferred land release strategy for private housing i.e. brownfield site and Community Growth Area development, but no greenfield release, will not deliver the required level of housing to meet demand, and is not a deliverable housing strategy. A range of housing options is essential and a scottish Government policy requirement. A limited number of housing release sites in the greenbelt/greenspace would not compromise the overall strategy.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****132/340****Question** **3****comment**

We do not consider the preferred release strategy for private housing will deliver the necessary capacity to meet demand within the Plan period. The blanket policy of no greenfield release justified on existing supply adequacy, no Structure Plan requirement and only development as brownfield land and Community Growth Areas is not a deliverable housing strategy.

Whilst the Issues Report acknowledges that release is possible under SDP Support Policy 10, we do not, and cannot, agree that a limited amount of housing release from the greenbelt/greenspace would compromise the overall strategy of the Plan.

In previous City Plans, the recognised value of greenfield release, whether Structure Plan directed or not, centred on not only choice, but retaining families within the City on sites of equivalent quality to the suburban authorities.

There is little, in our view, changed in that need, the City Plan 2 Community Growth Areas with the exception of the Miller Development at Broomhouse/Ballieston, have not delivered.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****133/710****Question** **3****comment**

Support the need to use vacant and derelict land for greening purposes, especially allotments, or for green network purposes.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****133/711****Question** **3****comment**

There remains a need to retain families within Glasgow, but the Community Growth Areas have, largely, not delivered. There is the opportunity to encourage and stimulate the local housing market by producing a range and choice of housing sites, both in terms of geographical spread and size and type of site. A more flexible approach, such as that adopted policy SSM10 of the proposed SDP and by East Renfrewshire Council, is required.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****133/712****Question** **3**

**comment** It is dissapointing that there is a recognition of the issues in the MIR, but a refusal to acknowledge that limited greenfield release could offer a solution. Depending on the outcome of the SDP Examination, the City Council might be required to identify further greenfield release sites.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****135/719****Question** **3**

**comment** Support the need to use vacant and derelict land for greening purposes, especially allotments, or for green network purposes.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****135/720****Question** **3**

**comment** There remains a need to retain families within Glasgow, but the the Community Growth Areas have, largely, not delivered. There is the opportunity to encourage and stimulate the local housing market by producing a range and choice of housing sites, both in terms of geographical spread and size and type of site. A more flexible approach, such as that adopted by SSM10 of the proposed SDP, and that of East Renfrewshire Council, is required.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****135/721****Question** **3**

**comment** It is dissapointing that there is a recognition of the issues in the MIR, but a refusal to acknowledge that limited greenfield release could offer a solution. Depending on the outcome of the SDP Examination, the City Council might be required to identify further greenfield release sites.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****135/722****Question** **3**

**comment** The site at Mid Netherton, Carmunnock, could provide up to 100 housing units. Sites in the 50 – 100 unit capacity with a 3 – 5 year build programme, are the most likely to be successfully developed in this hard economic climate.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****140/356****Question** **3**

**comment** We do not consider the preferred release strategy for private housing will deliver the necessary capacity to meet demand within the Plan period. The blanket policy of no greenfield release justified on existing supply adequacy, no Structure Plan requirement and only development as brownfield land and Community Growth Areas is not a deliverable housing strategy.

Whilst the Issues Report acknowledges that release is possible under SDP Support Policy 10, we do not, and cannot, agree that a limited amount of housing release from the greenbelt/greenspace would compromise the overall strategy of the Plan. In previous City Plans, the recognised value of greenfield release, whether Structure Plan directed or not, centred on not only choice, but retaining families within the City on sites of equivalent quality to the suburban authorities.

There is little, in our view, changed in that need, the City Plan 2 Community Growth Areas with the exception of the Miller Development at Broomhouse/Ballieston, have not delivered.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3358****Question** **3**

**comment** Broadly, the preferred options appear correct (however see the representation for issues set out in answer Qu. 2)

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3651****Question** **3**

**comment** See responses to options in sustainable resources section. While the issues raised are relevant to any local plan, the options do not in every case go far enough. Where we have agreed with the preferred option we have also made some caveats. Safeguards could be added. Some ignore other policies agreed by the City Council. Some are unlikely to be realised without change, full SEA and further consultation.

**your wish** Please see our response under sustainable resources all paras and options and response to MIR. The options will depend very much on what is in the supplementary guidance. Discussion on that should not be constrained by the MIR. A full SEA as required by EU law. Full consultation when development published for consultation. Appropriate policies to ensure safeguards are present.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/851****Question** **3**

**comment** we agree with the preferred first five option

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/852****Question** **3**

**comment** Waste Management: We would agree with the Council's preferred option to address the treatment of residual waste in order to meet the requirements of the Zero Waste Plan. We also consider that in line with the waste hierarchy, which underpins the Zero Waste Plan, this option should be supported by policies which would lead to a reduction in the amount of waste produced in the first instance, and which promote the reuse and recycling of materials.   Establishing a policy framework which enables the movement of waste management up the waste hierarchy is an important concern for local development plans; this not only entails planning for waste management facilities but also ensuring that policies to support waste minimisation are included in relevant sections of the plan, including for example policies relating to sustainable design, climate change, resource use and so on.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3553****Question** **3**

**comment** The Council also need to consider how to generate productive space in terms of food, biomass and products for medicinal and well being use and how these can be delivered via land planning such as community orchards and fruit bushes in new residential developments.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3554****Question** **3**

**comment** The Council need to consider how to generate productive space - new developments need to include infrastructure for sustainable resource management including dry recycled and organic waste management such as in-vessel composting and anaerobic digestion.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 3997****Question** **3**

**comment** Question 3. As noted above, the SNP Group broadly supports the preferred options.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

**Question****4****comment**

The Council is showing a change in attitude toward the supply of industrial and business land. Instead of focussing on the requirement to maintain a 10 year supply of land, there is a recognition that this could actually contribute to blighting some sites and may not focus on those sites most in demand i.e. suitable for class 4 uses. Diageo agrees that this is an extremely important issue. If these issues are not considered seriously then not only will this have an effect on business and industrial land supply but also the future of economic recovery in all areas. □□ The key points to be considered in Issue 2.7 are the recognition that some areas which previously played an important role as industrial locations may have a limited role for industry and business going forward, the consideration that vacant and derelict land can act as a constraint on economic growth and the need to consider where there are suitable alternative uses for some sites. Diageo agrees with these as being the important points to note in the review of industrial and business land. □ Issue 2.8 does not appear to be entirely accurate in its commentary. The first sentence of paragraph 2.60 states that “any review of industrial and business areas needs to recognise that these areas continue to accommodate a significant number of businesses, providing much needed employment.” This statement does not take into account those industrial and business areas that are not thriving and that are not currently supporting employment. Paragraph 2.61 suggests that where sites are rezoned for other uses, developers should contribute financially to the improvement of other, retained business and industrial areas. This notion does not appear to take into consideration the very reasons as to why vacant industrial sites require to be rezoned i.e. in order to facilitate the future success of that site. It is possible that development would be stymied by a requirement to financially support other, unrelated sites. The commentary here seems almost to suggest that site owners or developers choose not to use their sites for industrial and business uses and therefore should be liable for payments to other retained business locations. Rather, it must be recognised that where sites are rezoned from business and industry to other uses, there will be fundamental reasons for this. □□

**your wish****posctard no:****Question****4****comment**

Agrees with any policy that consolidates development in areas such as the city centre (Option 2.1A), as this will ensure the services already in place – electrical grid, etc – are utilised fully.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3360****Question** 4

**comment** It is proposed that the review of the City's industrial and business areas (Option 2.7A) is done in conjunction with Scottish Power Energy Networks in order to consider factors such as grid constraints when choosing areas for re-designation.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3361****Question** 4

**comment** The proposal to improve already existing developments is welcomed (Option 2.8A). The potential to use contributions from business to fund improvements could be extended to the grid or other energy infrastructure, which could reduce running costs for the businesses involved.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3683****Question** 4

**comment** The report needs to take more account of developments in areas outside Glasgow, which affect Glasgow's ability to improve these issues

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****224/3831****Question** 4

**comment** Sainsbury's believe a positive approach should be taken towards development contributing to economic growth. Retail development provides major employment opportunities, generates wealth and attracts further investment. Retail development continues to be active despite difficult economic circumstances.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4005****Question** 4**comment** Question 4. As noted above, the SNP Group broadly supports the preferred options.**your wish****poscard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 469****Question** 4**comment** I do not agree with the issues as they imply that a sustainable strong economy is dependent only on retail, commercial and industrial development. Active resident communities need to be included.**your wish****poscard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1544****Question** 4**comment** Fine.**your wish****poscard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****117 / 814****Question** 4 & 5**comment** The city centre and retail developments need not be tarmac deserts. There are many opportunities for 'greening and growing' which will contribute to a strong economy by providing information and experience which can feed into urban market gardens etc Masterplanning should be used to improve the health of town centres. Allotment contribute to health and well being – should be essential part of all areas where people live and work**your wish** An assessment of the possibilities for greening and growing in the City Centre and in retail developments. In the city centre temporary allotments and raised beds could add to awareness of gardening and food. Retail developments required to link to 'growing' areas and encouraged to support allotments in their locality**poscard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****116/2985****Question****4 and 5****comment**

KPCC prefer the option of "no new superstores". Simply building an Asda (for example) and a community centre does not make a community (see para 2.46). Council should study impact of new "town centres" in England and draw lesson from failures.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****116/2986****Question****4 and 5****comment**

With reference to the other retail/commercial centres there should be a study on the impact of regeneration on the existing centres, such as the media quarter on Kinning Park, Ibrox & Cessnock, for example.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****123/3334****Question****4; and 5****comment**

An additional subsection needs to be added relating to the Role of the River Clyde, since this is hardly mentioned in the document and all previous plans have either neglected it or allowed it to be neglected for decades. The subsection should include the consideration of the river's value as transport route, leisure facility, natural environment/wildlife route, tourist attraction. A strategic plan to preserve and develop all of these aspects and more must be included. Consultation with the River Users Group on its proposals for a new management protocol would go some way to filling this appalling gap in the LDP.

**your wish**

The subsection should include the consideration of the river's value as transport route, leisure facility, natural environment/wildlife route, tourist attraction. A strategic plan to preserve and develop all of these aspects and more must be included. Consultation with the River Users Group on its proposals for a new management protocol would go some way to filling this gap in the LDP.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146 / 3362****Question** **5****comment** Broadly, the preferred options appear correct (however see the representation for issues set out in answer Qu. 4)**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164 / 3684****Question** **5****comment** It depends a lot on the outcomes of research and policies.**your wish** Will we be consulted on more specific proposals?**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4006****Question** **5****comment** Question 5. As noted above, the SNP Group broadly supports the preferred options.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****31 / 189****Question** **6****comment** While the issues considered are generally the right ones, the consultation/involvement proposed is still inadequate. The Council retains a strongly centralised approach to planning and decision-making.**your wish** A more thorough exploration of ways in which local people can be empowered to get involved and have a strong say in decisions about their neighbourhood, particularly in areas of poverty.**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****91 / 1709****Question****6****comment**

Diageo agrees with the issues considered under 3.1 'Engaging with Local Communities'. Diageo is in agreement with the issues raised in 3.2, in particular the focus on directing new development to brownfield sites, redeveloping vacant land and improving public transport access. The issues considered under 3.4 appear to be comprehensive both in recognising the unknowns with regard to the amount of land required for affordable housing and the current constraints on developers. Issue 3.5 examines the aspiration of providing further integrated services and amenities within new residential developments. The issues raised appear to be comprehensive however the means of achieving this type of development, in terms of funding, is unclear.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****132 / 348****Question****6****comment**

We agree with the need to review the housing density policy, the use of private sector land supply and the issue of affordable housing. It would also be critical to review the issue of achieving more mixed tenure in communities. However, the delay in the comprehensive greenbelt/greenspace study has not helped the prospects for forward planning in this sector of Glasgow.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****140 / 358****Question****6****comment**

We agree with the need to review the housing density policy, the use of private sector land supply and the issue of affordable housing. It would also be critical to review the issue of achieving more mixed tenure in communities. However, the delay in the comprehensive greenbelt/greenspace study has not helped the prospects for forward planning in this sector of Glasgow.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3363****Question****6****comment**

Welcome any approach to plan utility infrastructure for future developments, as outlined in Option 3.5A.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3364****Question****6****comment**

A rolling infrastructure fund to front-fund essential infrastructure would be welcome.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****165/1310****Question****6****comment**

sportscotland agrees with the issues.

**your wish**

Having access to opportunities for sport and informal activity is a crucial part of creating sustainable and strong communities and this should be considered in the development of the new LDP.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4013****Question****6****comment**

Question 6. The SNP Group broadly agrees with the view expressed within paragraphs 2.62 to 2.81.

The SNP Group believes that the Council should consider the wider issues of mixed tenure and variety in the type and size of domestic property, target demographics, and more flexibility in terms of live/work units, to ensure that there is sufficient stock for the needs of current and future citizens and their families. The question of improvements in mixed tenure could be addressed by, for example, encouraging the addition of clauses in large private-sector housing development planning applications for a proportion of new-build properties on site to be designated as affordable homes to buy or rent. Back courts and communal areas should also be properly useable areas and not just bin stores. Consideration should be given to combining all back courts within a block, rather than having small compartmentalised areas. This would encourage more social interaction, and could allow for better layouts of the space by combining bin stores, and allowing space for growing vegetables, composting, barbecues, etc. The SNP Group also considers that further research and analysis into spatial variations in demand for affordable and social housing. Data on an area (perhaps ward) basis would inform strategy, policy and help identify areas where intervention is required to improve the supply of affordable and social housing.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 475****Question****6****comment**

Do not agree with all of the issues - within the list of decision-making factors in para 2.66, there is no reference to the protection and enhancement of open spaces and play areas within communities. These are continually being eroded to favour developers. Sustainable communities need open spaces for casual meeting and health and recreation.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1552****Question****6****comment**

FINE but could also include: Barrier Free alterations involving Listed Buildings or Conservation Areas need to have the appropriate protections retained.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1553****Question** **6**

**comment** FINE but could also include: Current "Exclusion Zone" FOR NEW HMOs in Hillhead, needs to be extended WEST of BYRES ROAD into TENEMENTAL DOWANHILL and also into HIGHBURGH ROAD and HYNDLAND.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1554****Question** **6**

**comment** FINE but could also include: Breaking the "Stacking of Services" within traditional tenements must be ended, in order to preserve residential amenity for truly residential occupants, in the presence of the "commercial" use of buildings for HMOs and (less so) ordinary private lets.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****167 / 1791****Question** **6 & 7**

**comment** Taylor Wimpey agrees with the need to review the housing density policy, the use of private sector land supply and the issue of affordable housing. It would also be critical to review the issue of achieving more mixed tenure in communities. However, the delay in the comprehensive greenbelt/greenspace study has not helped the prospects for forward planning in this sector of Glasgow.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****116 / 2987****Question** **6 and 7**

**comment** There should be more engagement with the local communities. Make community councils the body to deliver them and upskill and resource them!

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****133/713****Question****6 and 7****comment**

Support the need to review housing density policy, the use of private sector land supply and a possible affordable housing policy in meeting affordable housing requirements.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****133/714****Question****6 and 7****comment**

In addition, a review of the how to deliver more mixed tenure communities, such as Pollok, is critical. A similar approach to that adopted in Drumchapel and Easterhouse where significant levels of greenfield private housing supports and compliments the public sector stock, should be followed. The delay in the comprehensive greenbelt/greenspace study has not helped the prospects for forward planning in this sector of Glasgow.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****135/724****Question****6 and 7****comment**

Support the need to review housing density policy, the use of private sector land supply and a possible affordable housing policy in meeting affordable housing requirements.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****135/725****Question****6 and 7****comment**

In addition, a review of the how to deliver more mixed tenure communities, such as Castlemilk, is critical. A similar approach to that adopted in Drumchapel and Easterhouse where significant levels of greenfield private housing supports and compliments the public sector stock, should be followed.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3365****Question** 7**comment** Broadly, the preferred options appear correct (however see the representation for issues set out in answer Qu. 6)**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****165/1318****Question** 7**comment** More formal sports facilities – built and pitches form an integral part of successful places. Glasgow has done much to provide accessible, good quality sports facilities**your wish** this should be recognised and further developed in any SPG in this area. In relation to pitch based sports, GCC's existing pitch strategy would be a useful basis in this policy area. sportscotland can undertake facility planning modelling (FPM) in relation to halls and pools and this may be something which could also usefully inform this policy area.**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4014****Question** 7**comment** Question 7. As noted above, the SNP Group broadly supports the preferred options.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3366****Question** 8**comment** In relation to the provision of parking, consideration should be given to electric vehicles (EVs).**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146/3367****Question** 8

**comment** When planning infrastructure for EV charging, Scottish Power Energy Networks should be consulted as early as possible to ensure sufficient grid capacity exists.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/853****Question** 8

**comment** Insofar as our interests are concerned, we have very little comment in this respect, however we would recommend that road and rail infrastructure with its resultant traffic emissions is considered in relation to its impact on air quality.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****185/1102****question** 8

**comment** Question 8. Cost to developers of the conversion from heavy rail to Light Transport Rail (LRT) has been drawn to SPF attention by members- who advise the development industry is unable to provide funding in the current economic climate.

**your wish** Suggest the Council revise the contributions requested for this initiative and considers the cumulative impact on the viability of development.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229/4024****Question** 8

**comment** Question 8. The SNP Group broadly agrees with the view expressed within paragraphs 2.82 to 2.113.

**your wish** The Council should also consider a strategic overview of new development locations and their connectivity between locations across the city, by public transport, cycling, walking as well as driving. However the SNP Group is disappointed that the MIR makes no mention of the River Clyde as a key potential transport route. Council should develop a strategy to encourage the use of the river as a key transport connection for commuting, leisure and other uses.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249/482****Question** 8**comment** Do not agree with the issues raised in relation to sustainable connections.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271/1559****Question** 8**comment** FINE but could also include AQMAs - Air quality issues due to transport need more prominence eg Byres Road Area**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****116/2988****Question** 8 and 9**comment** With reference to Fastlink, KPCC would caution talk of expansion before the original is built and operational. KPCC can foresee problems with Fastlink negotiating the roundabouts at Govan Road/Langlands Road and at Elder Park and we believe that double yellow lines would have to be painted by Fairfield's yard to allow the road to be clear enough to run Fastlink.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****116/2989****Question** 8 and 9**comment** Crossrail should not be given up on. It would serve media quarter and IFSD well.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****116/2990****Question** 8 and 9**comment** KPCC feel that the station at Parkhead is a priority.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****146 / 3368****Question** **9****comment** Broadly, the preferred options appear correct (however see the representation for issues set out in answer Qu. 8)**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176 / 854****Question** **9****comment** Insofar as our interests are concerned, we have very little comment in this respect, however we would recommend that road and rail infrastructure with its resultant traffic emissions is considered in relation to its impact on air quality**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****199 / 3577****Question** **9****comment** There is no mention of core paths and the development of a network that will allow people to walk safely around the City. This needs to be included to ensure that developers can contribute to improvements to pedestrian areas. This needs to compliment the Open Space Strategy.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****229 / 4025****Question** **9****comment** Question 9. As noted above, the SNP Group broadly supports the preferred options, with the exception of Option 4.8 where the SNP Group supports Option C.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****202/802****Question** **Q15****comment** Client agrees with approach taken providing guidance is subject to robust assessment as part of a consultation exercise**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****61/776****Section** **1****comment** Agrees with the future regeneration context set out in section 1**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4063****Section** **1****comment** We would suggest that a higher level of priority is afforded to "A Sustainable, Strong Economy" in the Proposed Plan consistent with the Community Plan, Scottish Government's strategic purpose and the Strategic Development Plan**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4064****Section** **1****comment** We support the principle of the sustainable use of resources and for transport this should include maximising the existing capacities in the Subway, rail and bus networks to support development sites.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****109/2970****Section** **1****comment** Broad aims for future regeneration within MIR are supported.**your wish** The Plan should be more expansive to address housing supply in current financial climate.**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****124/3851****Section** **1**

**comment** The graphic on page two showing SUSTAINABLE at the core of the six themes is a commendable measure for all interventions. By definition, sustainability has to be the only way we can continue to have a future.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****124/3852****Section** **1**

**comment** Within terms of the Plan, cycling is probably the only sustainable way to comply with all the six themes. Cycling should be adopted and promoted as a major contributor to giving Glasgow a vibrant, civilised and prosperous future.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****156/1824****Section** **1**

**comment** The Main Issues Report is entirely unbalanced in the assessment of the Main Issues which are essential priorities in the preparation of a review of the City Plan. As the MIR intimates "current strategy is based on sustained growth with particular emphasis on economic and social regeneration" and these elements of the plan making process must remain as the top priorities for the City to secure a viable and sustainable city.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****156 / 1825****Section****1****comment**

It is clear from information readily available that unemployment and social deprivation continue to be significant issues in a substantial number of city communities. It is also clear from similar sources that the economics of the City present difficulties in maintaining the level of services which the City has provided in the past. Whilst it is relevant that government fiscal policy may impact greatly on such matters there is no doubt that the balance of population and the high percentage of dependent sections of the community are important elements in this issue. In these circumstances, the City Plan should continue to promote the development of sustainable communities and to increase the development of private housing within the City wherever there is a potential for such development to improve the sustainability of communities within the City.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****169 / 829****Section****1****comment**

The Scottish Wildlife Trust is pleased to see that the principles of sustainable development are embedded in the MIR and that Glasgow Council has ambitions to make Glasgow one of Europe's most sustainable Cities. We also welcome the plan's recognition that a sustainable environment - in which natural features help promote biodiversity, recreation and active travel, have a role in place making, and provide ecosystem services such as flood protection - is a key part of Glasgow's Future Regeneration (page 7).

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 451****Section****1****comment**

Although the list of 6 "themes" of the regeneration strategy do seem to reflect the need to protect and enhance the natural environment, it is difficult to relate this list to the list of [key drivers of change?]. Too many lists of aims/policies etc make it difficult to follow where this document is leading and how individual elements fit into any or all of them.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1531****Section** 1**comment** The 6 elements of the Broad Future Regeneration Context look fine.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1532****Section** 1**comment** There are 3 proposed “enhanced importances” which we fully agree with - Placemaking, Health and Sustainability. BUT - Only sustainability is shown in the centre of the “top level” structure diagram in Section 1. We think that Health, definitely, and Placemaking, possibly, must also be in full view at the top level diagram beside Sustainability. This is a more innovative approach - but Glasgow in 2011 absolutely needs it, whether it is precisely a “planning concept” or not!**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1533****Section** 1**comment** Explicit reference must be made to Glasgow Centre for Population Health findings, and “Healthy Urban Planning”. There is a far more to using Planning to address health issues, than simply encouraging people outdoors via the Open Space Strategy.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****95 / 3381****Section** 2**comment** It is pleasing that due cognisance has been given to the use of legal agreements for interim green uses.**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****95/3382****Section** 2

**comment** Where temporary greening schemes end up having a greater degree of permanence than expected (i.e. due to slow recovery of economic situation) there could be access/right to roam issues which should not be allowed to prejudice the rights of landowners seeking to regain control/use of their land.

**your wish** This may require something stronger than local supplementary planning guidance for its regulation and perhaps some Scottish Government involvement and/or legislative guidance.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****95/3383****Section** 2

**comment** High Speed Rail Terminus and Route - This national project is welcomed by the University.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****95/3384****Section** 2

**comment** High Speed Rail Terminus and Route - The University agrees that the suggested Terminus at High Street East - per option 4.2A represents the optimum location of those considered.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****95/3386****Section** 2

**comment** The intention to bring forward policy to ensure the provision of on site facilities in new student accommodation and to ensure concentrations of such developments do not impact on residential amenity is noted.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****95/3387****Section** 2

**comment** The provision of onsite facilities in new student accommodation should not be detrimental to Educational Institutions who may require to refurbish, redevelop or re-provision student accommodation within existing campuses given the level of ancillary services (e.g. student union, sports centres, playing fields, canteens and laundry etc) already provided by many of these Institutions.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****95/3388****Section** 2

**comment** The University wish to express its discomfort at the designation of part of the John Anderson Campus within a Site of Landscape Importance (SSLI).

**your wish** The part of the John Anderson Campus within the SSLI should be removed during the LDP process.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****107/692****Section** 2

**comment** A policy framework which continues the existing focus on brownfield land; on delivering affordable housing as the basis of community regeneration; which focuses design policies on standards which do not deliver what the market wants; which seeks to impose new costs on development simply leads to a continuation of the decline of development in Glasgow.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****136/1122****Section** 2

**comment** Supports Uption 1 - Sustainable use of Resources. The Springburn Depot site is located within a sustainable location and is considered to be appropriate for a mixed use scheme including retail, leisure and employment.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****210/1132****Section** 2

**comment** Seeks GCC to recognise the wider regeneration benefits that the Forge Retail Park brings to the east end of Glasgow and how it should be included in the Parkhead town centre.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****123/3339****Section** 2.71

**comment** Typo/clarification on LHS proposed date for completion - 2001?

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****109/2973****Section** 3

**comment** Much of the land supply in Glasgow has become unviable because of the present financial climate.

**your wish** We would like to see a more expansive approach adopted by the MIR and bring forward more choices in location and supply of sites.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****210/1139****Section** 3

**comment** Supports GCC's allocation of the East End as a District Heating Zone in Map 1. New development should be designed to accommodate CHP/DH infrastructure (Option 1.3A).

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3734****Section** 4

**comment** The organisation of the development plan and supplementary guidance needs to be user friendly for people who are not planners.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3735****Section** 4**comment** Organising key policies under the groups as shown is sensible**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3736****Section** 4**comment** Relating supplementary guidance to key policies and vice versa is more problematic. The samples shown risk losing some very important SG's**your wish** It would be helpful if the main SG were organised as in City Plan 2 ie:- all RES, Des, ENV guidance and policies grouped together. Showing their relationship to the main areas is also good, but we still need to be able to consult each as a group with their attendant policies - with a very good index**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3737****Section** 4**comment** We are reassured that the whole plan and SG will be consulted on. It is not easy at this stage to do more than comment on aspects of the light touch approach, as we cannot be sure how it will translate into the final plan and guidance**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191/1197****Section** **Broad Future Regeneration context****comment** Scottish Govt is pleased with the content of the Broad Future Regeneration Context. It may be useful to highlight that economic growth is equally important as placemaking and health/sustainability.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1198****Section****Broad Future Regeneration  
context****comment**

Green Networks could be weaved into this section as they could deliver in each of the themes listed as a cross cutting theme.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1199****Section****Broad Future Regeneration  
context****comment**

Scottish Government welcomes the inclusion of historic features within theme 5. The potential benefits could be expanded to include placemaking, local regeneration and education. Historic environment has a role to play in all the themes and Scottish Govt encourages the Council to ensure potential contributions are embedded within these themes.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****174 / 759****Section****Key Regeneration Issues and  
Options****comment**

Very surprised the sections related to energy consumption/carbon footprint don't address a) improved home insulation standards: b) solar panels

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****96 / 1735****Section****Policy Framework****comment**

SE are generally supportive of the proposal to simplify the policy framework with the view to making the Development Plan clearer, more succinct and user friendly.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****254 / 1377****Site Assessment**

**comment** The Western Infirmary will become surplus to requirements in 2015. Part of site sold to Glasgow University. Remainder of site would represent an opportunity to regenerate a brownfield site with suitable uses including residential, commercial and student accommodation etc.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****255 / 1380****Site Assessment**

**comment** The Royal Hospital for Sick Children (Yorkhill) and Queen Mothers Hospital will become surplus to requirements in 2015. The site would represent an opportunity to regenerate a brownfield site with suitable uses including residential, commercial and student accommodation etc.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176 / 860****Site Assessment****1**

**comment** n the capacity as the local flood prevention authority Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer. We note the site is adjacent to the Forth and Clyde Canal and there is therefore a residual risk associated with failure of canal walls etc. We suggest that contact is made with British Waterways to verify the structural integrity of the canal at this location and the inspection and maintenance regime currently in place.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176 / 869****Site Assessment****10**

**comment** Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/870****Site Assessment** **11**

**comment** Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/871****Site Assessment** **12**

**comment** Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/872****Site Assessment** **13**

**comment** In the capacity as the local flood prevention authority Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer. We note the site is adjacent to the Forth and Clyde Canal and there is therefore a residual risk associated with failure of canal walls etc. We suggest that contact is made with British Waterways to verify the structural integrity of the canal at this location and the inspection and maintenance regime currently in place.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/873****Site Assessment** **14**

**comment** Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/874****Site Assessment** **15**

**comment** Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****248/1694****Site Assessment** **15**

**comment** Note: The site analysed by the consultants is different from the Proposal 15 site submitted for the MIR. It is larger than the Proposal 15 site and incorporates some of the Proposal 16 site minus Garrowhill and most of the north field adjacent to Cathkin Road.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****248/2915****Site Assessment** **15**

**comment** In relation to the site assessment which was carried out to inform the main issues report, we disagree with the non-allocation of the site at Cathkin Road, Carmunnock in the preferred spatial strategy as a residential development opportunity site. Indeed, it is submitted that the site is capable of positive consideration. The accompanying representation report demonstrates that the issues identified in the site assessment can be overcome and resolved.

**your wish** The site at Cathkin Road, Carmunnock should be included within the preferred spatial strategy as a residential development opportunity site.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/875****Site Assessment** **16**

**comment** Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

---

**Main Issues Report****176/876****Site Assessment** **17**

**comment** Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/877****Site Assessment** **18**

**comment** Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/878****Site Assessment** **19**

**comment** Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/861****Site Assessment** **2**

**comment** Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/879****Site Assessment****20****comment**

In the capacity as the local flood prevention authority Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer. We note the site is adjacent to the Forth and Clyde Canal and there is therefore a residual risk associated with failure of canal walls etc. We suggest that contact is made with British Waterways to verify the structural integrity of the canal at this location and the inspection and maintenance regime currently in place.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191/1271****Site Assessment****20****comment**

The boundaries of this site are coterminus with the boundary of a scheduled monument (SM6773) so scheduled monument consent may be required in addition to careful consideration of the setting.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/880****Site Assessment****21****comment**

In the capacity as the local flood prevention authority Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer. We note the site is adjacent to the Forth and Clyde Canal and there is therefore a residual risk associated with failure of canal walls etc. We suggest that contact is made with British Waterways to verify the structural integrity of the canal at this location and the inspection and maintenance regime currently in place.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191/1272****Site Assessment****21****comment**

The boundaries of this site are coterminus with the boundary of a scheduled monument (SM6774) so scheduled monument consent may be required in addition to careful consideration of the setting.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/881****Site Assessment****24****comment**

In the capacity as the local flood prevention authority Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer. We note the site is adjacent to the Forth and Clyde Canal and there is therefore a residual risk associated with failure of canal walls etc. We suggest that contact is made with British Waterways to verify the structural integrity of the canal at this location and the inspection and maintenance regime currently in place.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191/1273****Site Assessment****24****comment**

The proposed nature of the development (marina) suggests an impact on scheduled monument SM6773. Development may therefore require scheduled monument consent.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/882****Site Assessment****25****comment**

Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****225/2913****Site Assessment****25****comment**

No specific land use designation for the NS&I land, it is acknowledged that in relation to the wider Cowglen site, Proposal 0025 indicates a proposed office designation in the southern area of the site, and primarily encompassing the Hammerson landholding, and on the basis of the content of the Cowglen planning study/masterplan. Whilst the general principle of regard to the definitive nature of particular designation in the context of the wider Cowglen masterplan area, and NS&I's ongoing exercise to identify a suitable site to deliver their required facilities to meet operational requirements.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****161/1693****Site Assessment****26****comment****your wish**

Allocate site within urban area as housing with the frontage of the site allocated as greenspace/car parking associated with the country park.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/883****Site Assessment****26****comment**

Most of the site is elevated in comparison to the watercourse and is hence unlikely to be at significant risk of flooding. Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer. A minor watercourse (a mill lade) runs adjacent to the southern edge of the site.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/862****Site Assessment****3****comment**

Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer. The culverted Camlachie Burn runs close to the southern edge of site. It is unclear from the information we have what the condition and carrying capacity of this culvert is and therefore what the level of residual flood risk is.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/863****Site Assessment** 4

**comment** Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer. A culverted section of Camlachie Burn runs close to the south edge of the site. We do not know the carrying capacity or condition of this culvert so we cannot comment further as to the associated residual risk of flooding.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/864****Site Assessment** 5

**comment** Some of the site is setback from the Garscadden Burn and might be suitable for development. Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer. The Garscadden Burn flows through the site.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/865****Site Assessment** 6

**comment** Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/866****Site Assessment** 7

**comment** We accepted the FRA submitted in November 2010 (Environ) in support of developing this site for student housing.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/867****Site Assessment****8****comment**

Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****176/868****Site Assessment****9****comment**

Glasgow City Council may wish to consider any additional information they hold with regards to other sources of flooding such as pluvial, groundwater or sewer.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****170/845****Site Assessment****DEV11 Green Space****comment**

I conditionally support the retention of the policy and the review of designated areas, subject to the identification of 5-11 Southpark Avenue, G12 as such a designated area. 5-11 Southpark Avenue is an open space which is very important to the local community and worthy of significant protection. I strongly oppose building on the site from which it should be protected by DEV11 designation. Please refer to the Scottish Executive's Reporter.s decision ( P/PPA/260/183).

**your wish**

5-11 Southpark Avenue, should be identified under designation DEV11 – protected Greenspace.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****77 / 2919****Site Assessment Maps**      **13**

**comment**      The current area in question in the area of Cadder is currently green space, and all 3 organisations, along with the local residents of Lambhill, are all in very strong agreement that the area should be left as green space. With regards to the area becoming residential, as stated in the Main Issues Report, there has been no assessment performed with regards to the specific need for affordable social housing in Glasgow. As a result of this, we feel that it would be unwise to lose a great area of green space for only the slight possibility of social housing.

**your wish**      The current area in question in the area of Cadder is currently green space, and all 3 organisations, along with the local residents of Lambhill, are all in very strong agreement that the area should be left as green space.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****259 / 1386****Site Assessment Maps**      **17**

**comment**      Victoria Infirmary surplus to requirements in 2015. Fully support redevelopment of site for residential use.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****258 / 1385****Site Assessment Maps**      **18**

**comment**      Mansionhouse Geriatric Unit surplus to requirements in 2015. Site should be considered on its own merits and not part of Victoria Infirmary Campus Plan. Site should be redeveloped for residential use.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****256 / 1382****Site Assessment Maps**      **19**

**comment**      Support Stobhill Hospital site for residential use.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****91 / 3808****Site Assessment Maps**                      **2**

**comment**                      Stroned Street offers the opportunity to significantly improve the retail offer at Possilpark.

**your wish**                      The site should be included in an edge of town centre area and promoted in the LDP as a suitable site for retail development particularly convenience use.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****158 / 1743****Site Assessment Maps**                      **20**

**comment**                      Request that this site be allocated DEV 2 Residential in LDP.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****158 / 1744****Site Assessment Maps**                      **21**

**comment**                      Request that this site be allocated DEV 2 Residential in LDP.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****152 / 3819****Site Assessment Maps**                      **25**

**comment**                      RPH supports the position in CP2 that that the land adjoining Silverburn east of the M77 be identified as edge of centre and suitable for a range of town centre uses not capable of accommodation within Pollok TC. The LDP instead refer to the Council's Planning Study and Map 1 and Appendix 1 site assessment table and 2 Site assessment Maps identify RPH land at Cowglen as proposed for office use. The council commissioned agents to undertake a market appraisal in relation to Cowglen which indicated that office use is not viable. Pollok does not contain the full range of retail, commercial leisure and related uses and is constrained on three sides - land to the east is the only area into which it can expand as recognised in CP2. RHL is happy to work with the council on the mix of uses at Cowglen but it must allow for some retail/commercial leisure - the current planning study proposal will in time have a detrimental effect on the role and function of Pollok TC.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****239 / 2968****Site Assessment Maps**      **26**

**comment**      This area would have been part of the original development site when Wimpy first carried out house building within the Parkhouse area in the early 1980s. As such the area in question would have been deemed as part of the site and therefore changed from greenbelt at that time to residential use. Through the passage of time the site became unused and may have reverted back to greenbelt. However the fact that it has houses on three sides and is adjacent to the vast land of the Dams to Darnley Country Park I do not feel there is a need for more open space and would support this area, as outlined in the Main Issues Report, to be designated Residential and suitable for development.

**your wish**      I do not feel there is a need for more open space and would support this area, as outlined in the Main Issues Report, to be designated Residential and suitable for development.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****226 / 3838****Site Assessment Maps**      **8**

**comment**      Asda support the boundary shown at Prop0008

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****96 / 1734****SSA Map**

**comment**      SE offers broad support for the spatial strategy set out in the maps.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****117/818****SSA Map**

**comment** Map 2 shows that there are many areas in Glasgow that are not part of the green network nor part of the conservation area appraisals, These seem to be areas of multiple deprivation. The green network should be throughout the city and the areas where there are no allotments or accessible growing spaces within walking distance should be top priority.

**your wish** Assessments of the potential of back courts for mixed use (flowers, sitting, orchard are, small allotment) should be included in the analysis. Produce a map of showing the quality of the soil in the open space land together with the land that has or could have growing areas. Then produce an action plan to address the issues that will be raised

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****167/1792****SSA Map**

**comment** Taylor Wimpey does not agree with the land at Auchinairn Road being incorporated in the Green Belt.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191/1253****SSA Map**

**comment** Mapping of the green Network and open spaces should be developed in the proposed plan. The Council is commended for developing the Glasgow Open Space Strategy alongside the MIR.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191/1254****SSA Map**

**comment** The legend titled 'listed/scheduled ancient monumnets' should be amended to read 'scheduled monuments'

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1255****SSA Map****comment**

Historic Scotland has reviewed the sites that are proposed to be taken forward in the preferred spatial strategy/proposed plan and are content that the proposed policies/supplementary guidance are adequate to ensure that all proposed sites can be developed without adverse impact on historic environment interests.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1256****SSA Map****comment**

Historic Scotland is satisfied that there is potential to accommodate the proposed development where there are listed buildings on a site however the council should make clear to developers that there is a presumption to retain listed buildings. Development briefs will help to protect their setting. Several proposed sites share a boundary with the Forth and Clyde Canal. In addition to their setting potential developers should be made aware that any development affecting the scheduled area may require scheduled monument consent.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****90 / 577****SSA Map****1****comment**

The MIR identifies Campus Plans for University of Strathclyde, Glasgow University and Glasgow Caledonian University, but not City of Glasgow College. Given the significant investment that will be made over the next few years and important role the College plays within the City, the College would strongly encourage the inclusion of City Campus and Riverside Campus plans.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****90/578****SSA Map****1****comment**

The College Campus appears to be excluded from the following designations: "major regeneration sites" and "City Centre Action Plan Area". We would request that both the City and Riverside sites are considered for inclusion under the former and the Riverside site under the latter designation in order to emphasise the significance of the scale of investment in these locations within the City Centre.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4113****SSA Map****1****comment**

The Subway Modernisation project should be included in Map1. The scale of investment in Subway Modernisation should lead to regeneration benefits in adjacent areas, particularly for the Southside stations as the system is improved to provide the certainty of enhanced connectivity for the longer term. This is not currently clearly reflected in Map1.

There are opportunities for improved interchange at a number of Subway stations and it is suggested that the Subway stations at Govan, St Enoch, Buchanan, Shields Road are included as opportunities for Interchange Improvements whether with rail, bus, Fastlink and Park and Ride, as well as at Partick.

Comments are provided above on the rationalisation of some elements noted on Map 1 which we would wish to see changed for the Proposed Plan.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****165/1320****SSA Map****1****comment**

sportscotland notes that Cathkin Braes is not identified as a Culture and Sport facility on Map 1

**your wish**

This may be something the Council want to address.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****190 / 1439****SSA Map****1****comment**

Notes the intention to safeguard the rail link to King George V Dock and supports the re-use of the existing line which extends from Hillington towards the dock and Braehead.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 556****SSA Map****1****comment**

The so-called Duke Street realignment is not on Duke street at all! It seems to be realignment of Cumbernauld Road. It is difficult to see what the benefit of this would be to residents, quite where it would go and what happens to the existing road? As a local resident this looks like a complete mess, and designed for commercial interests.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 557****SSA Map****1****comment**

Park and Ride - the map demonstrates that there are too few park and ride sites; 4 is a totally inadequate number to persuade people out of the car and onto public transport.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 558****SSA Map****1****comment**

Housing sites. Only two sites are noted (in the notation column) to be Greenfield sites to be released for housing. However, Cowlairs Park is to be developed as a major housing site with no proposal to create new accessible open space to replace it, a huge area around Auchinleck is designated for housing - and this is all Greenfield land - as are the areas to the south of Baillieston designated for housing development over 200 units. The map is misleading.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****262 / 1376****SSA Map** **1**

**comment** The site at Carlisle Street, Cowlairs should be identified as a Major Regeneration Site, alongside the wider Cowlairs area.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1577****SSA Map** **1**

**comment** The severe shortage of local public community facilities (via GCC or Glasgow Life) in the West End must be addressed via a citywide audit and review.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****102 / 2920****SSA Map** **1, 2 and 3**

**comment** We have concerns that the approach taken in mapping of the zones on maps 1, 2 and 3 does not appear to reflect adequately a number of issues and while they may be addressed at the development plan level it is not self evident from the main issues report. In particular, and most importantly, the Flood Risk areas associated with river corridors are not illustrated.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****102 / 3924****SSA Map** **1, 2 and 3**

**comment** We have concerns that the approach taken in mapping of the zones on maps 1, 2 and 3 does not appear to reflect adequately a number of issues and while they may be addressed at the development plan level it is not self evident from the main issues report. In particular, the community expansion area of Carmyle, Daldowie, Broomhouse and Baillieston are simply illustrated by a dot.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****102/3925****SSA Map****1, 2 and 3****comment**

We have concerns that the approach taken in mapping of the zones on maps 1, 2 and 3 does not appear to reflect adequately a number of issues and while they may be addressed at the development plan level it is not self evident from the main issues report. In particular, the aspirational Core path network on Map 2 seems poorly defined.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****102/3926****SSA Map****1, 2 and 3****comment**

We have concerns that the approach taken in mapping of the zones on maps 1, 2 and 3 does not appear to reflect adequately a number of issues and while they may be addressed at the development plan level it is not self evident from the main issues report. In particular, the Green Networks on map 2 are poorly defined.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****102/3927****SSA Map****1, 2 and 3****comment**

We have concerns that the approach taken in mapping of the zones on maps 1, 2 and 3 does not appear to reflect adequately a number of issues and while they may be addressed at the development plan level it is not self evident from the main issues report. In particular, there are only the prioritised Integrated Habitat Networks shown.

**your wish****posctard no:**

**SSA Map****2****comment**

I support in principle the concept of the green Network, but advocate the inclusion of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field. This is because the Green Network has been too narrowly defined by GCC. The aim of the Central Scotland Green Network (CSGN) outlined in NPF2 is to deliver:

“ . . . a step change in environmental quality, woodland cover and recreational opportunities ,  
 . . .(and) make Central Scotland a more attractive place to live in, do business in and visit; help absorb CO2; enhance biodiversity and promote . . .healthier lifestyles.”

The outcomes of the CSGN are : creating an environment more in balance, to thrive in a changing climate; creating an environment for sustainable economic growth; creating an environment which supports healthy lifestyles and well-being and creating an environment where nature can flourish.

NKM currently meets all these outcomes - not only contributing to local recreational opportunities but providing a home to a huge variety of wildlife – both flora and fauna and therefore should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**your wish**

NKM in its entirety, and other urban open spaces which contribute towards meeting the goals of the CSGN should be identified as part of the Green Network

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****32 / 195****SSA Map****2****comment**

I support in principle the concept of the green Network, but advocate the inclusion of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field. This is because the Green Network has been too narrowly defined by GCC. The aim of the Central Scotland Green Network (CSGN) outlined in NPF2 is to deliver:

“ . . . a step change in environmental quality, woodland cover and recreational opportunities ,  
. . .(and) make Central Scotland a more attractive place to live in, do business in and visit; help absorb CO2; enhance biodiversity and promote . . .healthier lifestyles.”

The outcomes of the CSGN are : creating an environment more in balance, to thrive in a changing climate; creating an environment for sustainable economic growth; creating an environment which supports healthy lifestyles and well-being and creating an environment where nature can flourish.

NKM currently meets all these outcomes - not only contributing to local recreational opportunities but providing a home to a huge variety of wildlife – both flora and fauna and therefore should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**your wish**

NKM in its entirety, and other urban open spaces which contribute towards meeting the goals of the CSGN should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****33 / 197****SSA Map****2****comment**

Similarly NKM should be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map (Map 2 of the MIR) as a protected open space.

**your wish****posctard no:**

**SSA Map****2****comment**

I support in principle the concept of the green Network, but advocate the inclusion of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field. This is because the Green Network has been too narrowly defined by GCC. The aim of the Central Scotland Green Network (CSGN) outlined in NPF2 is to deliver:

“ . . . a step change in environmental quality, woodland cover and recreational opportunities ,  
 . . .(and) make Central Scotland a more attractive place to live in, do business in and visit; help absorb CO2; enhance biodiversity and promote . . .healthier lifestyles.”

The outcomes of the CSGN are : creating an environment more in balance, to thrive in a changing climate; creating an environment for sustainable economic growth; creating an environment which supports healthy lifestyles and well-being and creating an environment where nature can flourish.

NKM currently meets all these outcomes - not only contributing to local recreational opportunities but providing a home to a huge variety of wildlife – both flora and fauna and therefore should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**your wish**

NKM in its entirety, and other urban open spaces which contribute towards meeting the goals of the CSGN should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****35/204****SSA Map****2****comment**

I support in principle the concept of the green Network, but advocate the inclusion of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field. This is because the Green Network has been too narrowly defined by GCC. The aim of the Central Scotland Green Network (CSGN) outlined in NPF2 is to deliver:

“ . . . a step change in environmental quality, woodland cover and recreational opportunities ,  
. . .(and) make Central Scotland a more attractive place to live in, do business in and visit; help absorb CO2; enhance biodiversity and promote . . .healthier lifestyles.”

The outcomes of the CSGN are : creating an environment more in balance, to thrive in a changing climate; creating an environment for sustainable economic growth; creating an environment which supports healthy lifestyles and well-being and creating an environment where nature can flourish.

NKM currently meets all these outcomes - not only contributing to local recreational opportunities but providing a home to a huge variety of wildlife – both flora and fauna and therefore should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**your wish**

NKM in its entirety, and other urban open spaces which contribute towards meeting the goals of the CSGN should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****36/206****SSA Map****2****comment**

Similarly NKM should be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map (Map 2 of the MIR) as a protected open space.

**your wish****posctard no:**

**SSA Map****2****comment**

I support in principle the concept of the green Network, but advocate the inclusion of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field.

This is because the Green Network has been too narrowly defined by GCC. The aim of the Central Scotland Green Network (CSGN) outlined in NPF2 is to deliver:

“ . . . a step change in environmental quality, woodland cover and recreational opportunities ,

. . .(and) make Central Scotland a more attractive place to live in, do business in and visit; help absorb CO2; enhance biodiversity and promote . . .healthier lifestyles.”

The outcomes of the CSGN are : creating an environment more in balance, to thrive in a changing climate; creating an environment for sustainable economic growth; creating an environment which supports healthy lifestyles and well-being and creating an environment where nature can flourish.

NKM currently meets all these outcomes - not only contributing to local recreational opportunities but providing a home to a huge variety of wildlife – both flora and fauna and therefore should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**your wish**

NKM in its entirety, and other urban open spaces which contribute towards meeting the goals of the CSGN should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****39/214****SSA Map****2****comment**

I support in principle the concept of the green Network, but advocate the inclusion of North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) / Clouston St. Playing Field.

This is because the Green Network has been too narrowly defined by GCC. The aim of the Central Scotland Green Network (CSGN) outlined in NPF2 is to deliver:

“ . . . a step change in environmental quality, woodland cover and recreational opportunities ,

. . .(and) make Central Scotland a more attractive place to live in, do business in and visit; help absorb CO2; enhance biodiversity and promote . . .healthier lifestyles.”

The outcomes of the CSGN are : creating an environment more in balance, to thrive in a changing climate; creating an environment for sustainable economic growth; creating an environment which supports healthy lifestyles and well-being and creating an environment where nature can flourish.

NKM currently meets all these outcomes - not only contributing to local recreational opportunities but providing a home to a huge variety of wildlife – both flora and fauna and therefore should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**your wish**

NKM in its entirety, and other urban open spaces which contribute towards meeting the goals of the CSGN should be identified as part of the Green Network.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****40/216****SSA Map****2****comment**

Similarly NKM should be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map (Map 2 of the MIR) as a protected open space.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****41/218****SSA Map****2****comment**

Please can you advise why the Kelvinside Meadows site facing onto Clouston Street is not identified as future greenspace. Like many other residents I would prefer to see this site retained as a community - ran open space.

I am especially concerned that the area currently the location of a number of larger trees facing onto Clouston Street is not retained and that the trees are not protected for the future.

It was difficult to work out what was going on here because some maps show limited detail and the openspace map does not appear to have a key.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****42/223****SSA Map****2****comment**

Similarly NKM should be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map (Map 2 of the MIR) as a protected open space.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4111****SSA Map****2****comment**

While the approximate route of Glasgow's subway is included on Map 1 (sic U, Underground Refurbishment), it would be helpful to developers if the consultation zone for planning applications within the vicinity of the tunnels was included in the Proposed Plan. This would contribute to the joint working between SPT and the Council in seeking to ensure new developments do not adversely affect the integrity of the Subway tunnels which can lie at shallow depths.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****133 / 1471****SSA Map** **2**

**comment** Disagree with the incorporation of Kennishead Farm in the Greenbelt. Part of the site should be allocated greenspace with an area zoned for housing. The delay in establishing the South West Greenbelt Study has not assisted the situation. This study will properly define the extent of the urban fringe development opportunity in this part of the City.

**your wish** Need to complete the South West Greenbelt Review Study

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****134 / 1478****SSA Map** **2**

**comment** Disagree with the specific extent of the greenspace entirely incorporating all the land at Damshot Crescent, however the general framework seems acceptable.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****165 / 1321****SSA Map** **2**

**comment** Whilst we agree broadly with the strategy, in relation to Map 2 'The Spatial Policy Framework' we would make the following point; SPP para 156 states that playing fields (including those within educational establishments) required for existing or future needs should be identified in the LDP. It is expected that most of these will be picked up under the 'Green Network' designation. However the list of uses in Map 2 does not include pitches.

**your wish** sportscotland request that specific reference is made to pitches in the Green Network in this Plan in the LDP and also that care is taken to ensure that all are identified.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 559****SSA Map** **2**

**comment** This shows clearly that the "green network" is not a network, but a series of islands. Far from promoting a policy of enhancing the green network and promoting the joining-up of existing green spaces, some of the Housing areas in Map 1 will be found to lie over some of the designated green network areas in Map 2. Therefore it would appear that the creators of Map 1 have not looked at Map 2.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 560****SSA Map** **2**

**comment** This map makes it clear that the quiet areas are all in existing greenspaces - but not in all the green spaces, so this policy really does not make sense. Does it mean that it will be permitted to develop noisy projects near to Queens Park ( but not Kings Park) and Kelvingrove Park but not Ruchill Park! And whereas Bishop Loch and Lochend are designated "Quiet Areas" (why?) the area immediately adjacent is to be developed as a large new housing estate. There are far too many contradictions on these maps. It is suggested that areas that are already "quiet areas" are designated as such so that GCC can, without any effort, claim later to have "created" 7 "quiet areas" in the city.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****267 / 431****SSA Map** **2**

**comment** North Kelvin Meadow should be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map (Map 2 of the MIR) as a protected open space.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****267 / 432****SSA Map** **2**

**comment** The green network should be extended to include all of the North Kelvin Meadow. The Green Network, of course, enables the movement of wildlife around the City. North Kelvin Meadow is a logical extension from Dawsholm Park, along the River Kelvin through NKM and then further North to the Canal area and then Ruchill Park.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****268 / 426****SSA Map** **2**

**comment** North Kelvin Meadow should be included in the Glasgow Open Space Map (Map 2 of the MIR) as a protected open space.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****268 / 427****SSA Map****2****comment**

The green network should be extended to include all of the North Kelvin Meadow. The Green Network, of course, enables the movement of wildlife around the City. North Kelvin Meadow is a logical extension from Dawsholm Park, along the River Kelvin through NKM and then further North to the Canal area and then Ruchill Park.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1578****SSA Map****2****comment**

Add the following under "Green Network":

1. Small Park at corner of Julian Avenue & Cleveden Drive in Kelvinside. This is looked after by the local community, with the help of LES.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1579****SSA Map****2****comment**

Add the following under "Green Network":

2. Dudley Drive, Hyndland, from Clarence Dr to Turnberry Rd: has central trees like Queensborough Gardens' central trees, and should be designated the same.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1580****SSA Map****2****comment**

Add the following under "Green Network":

3. Airlie Street, Hyndland, from Clarence Dr to Turnberry Rd: has central trees like Queensborough Gardens' central trees, and should be designated the same.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1581****SSA Map** **2****comment** Add the following under "Green Network":

4. Park at Airlie Street at Queensborough Gardens, south-west corner, in Hyndland: There is a small park here which is a greenfield gap site, containing an electricity substation. This park is maintained by Glasgow City Council LES. It should be designated as any other park.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1582****SSA Map** **2****comment** Add the following under "Green Network"

5. Old Station Park, Hyndland Road at Hughenden Road, Hyndland. Currently being upgraded by Friends of Old Station park in collaboration with LES. Very popular facilities.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1583****SSA Map** **2****comment** Add the following under "Green Network":

6. Lauderdale Park at Novar Drive, Hyndland. Currently in heavy use by local children. Lauderdale Park Association is very active.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1584****SSA Map** **2****comment** Add the following under "Green Network"

7. 5-11 Southpark Avenue, Hillhead. (see Hillhead Community Council submission)

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1585****SSA Map** **2****comment**

Add the following under "Green Network":

8. Wildlife Corridor along River Kelvin west bank from Eldon Street northwards (see Hillhead Community Council submission)

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1586****SSA Map** **2****comment**

Add the following under "Green Network":

9. Hayburn Park, Turnberry Road, Partickhill. Very well used local park.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1587****SSA Map** **2****comment**

Add the following under "Green Network":

10. Dyce Park, Hayburn Crescent at Banavie Road, Partickhill. Well-used local park.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1588****SSA Map** **2****comment**

Add the following under "Green Network":

11. North Kelvin Meadows at Clouston Street, North Kelvinside. Should not be built on. See the North Kelvin Meadow Campaign Submission.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4112****SSA Map****3****comment**

Map 3 The Spatial Planning Activity Framework sets out the boundaries for the long list of separate planning strategies and studies, etc. It would be helpful if these could be rationalised into those that will be taken forward as Supplementary Guidance and additional information provided to clarify the status of each designation being carried forward to the Proposed Plan. Where the elements are being taken forward in conjunction with different bodies and may have a different status in the Proposed Plan– e.g. the Campus Plans and the Other Studies, it would be helpful if this is noted and timeframes provided.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****187/3975****SSA Map****3****comment**

Part of the north bank of the Clyde in our area is shown to have potential for a Local Development Strategy. The Community Council would be interested in taking part in developing the strategy as it affects our territorial area and early consultation would be appreciated. No other comment at this stage.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****198/2976****SSA Map****3****comment**

The agenda set out in the MIR (Spatial Planning Activity Framework) is welcomed.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****198/2977****SSA Map****3****comment**

The Milton Study (Ref: 27) should be carried out in the context of the surrounding area. City Plan 1 and 2 initiated and then commented on the Comprehensive Planning Study for the Balmore/Summerston area.

**your wish**

This should be re-examined to consider the urban edge sites around the Milton/Summerston areas. It is questionable if some of this area still merits Greenbelt status and therefore the Milton Study should include or contribute to a review of the Greenbelt boundary. This exercise should also be set in the context of the northern end of the ISIS Canal Initiative and the proposal to upgrade Backhill Road, which in turn will bring added pressure for the upgrading of Balmore Road.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****225/2911****SSA Map****3****comment**

The circumstances relating to the Cowglen site, and NS&I interests here are therefore of prime importance in terms of the emerging LDP, and as such the policy context of the emerging LDP should provide support and encouragement for the regeneration of this area taking into account the requirements for NS&I's employment use, residential and other associated land uses to deliver comprehensive redevelopment and regeneration of the site.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****225/2912****SSA Map****3****comment**

The potential of the site is acknowledged in the MIR through the identification of Cowglen as a major regeneration site within the spatial regeneration framework. Furthermore the site is also acknowledged in terms of the existence of the Cowglen Planning Study in the spatial planning activity framework. The absence of any specific land use designation for the Cowglen site is helpful in that it provides an opportunity for the masterplan and planning study to be further developed to deliver the regeneration benefits associated with the redevelopment of the site.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****225/2914****SSA Map****3****comment**

key comment from NS&I in relation to the content of the MIR is to ensure that the land use designations in relation to the Cowglen area, and in particular their own landholding are sufficiently flexible to accommodate the range of uses envisaged within the Cowglen masterplan in a flexible manner to meet existing user requirements, whilst also respecting the need to deliver a viable and deliverable masterplan for the wider site area.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249/561****SSA Map****3****comment**

It is great to see that the area to the north of Easterhouse is to be developed as the Seven Lochs wetland park - but it happens to be overlain in Map 1 by an area designated as "Greenfield Release" for housing - so which is it to be?

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271/1589****SSA Map****3****comment**

The existing Shawlands Action Plan should be included on this Map.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271/1590****SSA Map****3****comment**

A new Byres Road Action Plan should be added to this Map.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1591****SSA Map****3****comment**

54. Proposed Wyndford / Maryhill Barracks Conservation Appraisal is supported.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****271 / 1592****SSA Map****3****comment**

57. Proposed Partick Conservation Area Appraisal is supported.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****149 / 2963****SSA Map****Map 1****comment**

Community Growth Area - Site 21: Gartloch/Gartcosh Site Selection and Development guidance and the draft masterplan for the Seven Lochs Wetland Park examine the development area and outline design for these CGAs. These studies must be considered in future detailed masterplanning for these sites. The masterplanning of these sites must ensure they are integrated with, and support the development and long term maintenance of, the proposed Wetland Park – including opportunities to bring further land into public ownership and expand existing LNRs at Bishops Loch, Commonhead and Cardowan.

**your wish**

The Seven Lochs Wetland Park studies examine the development area and outline design for the Gartloch/Gartcosh CGAs. These studies must be considered in future detailed masterplanning for these sites.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****149/2964****SSA Map****Map 1****comment**

Major Housing Sites. The major housing site shown at the south of the Easterhouse Regeneration route is considerably larger than the existing Gartloch site which provides for greenfield release identified in the earlier city plan. This planned development, when combined with the adjacent Community Growth Area, already has a significant impact on the viability of the boundary of the proposed Seven Lochs Wetland Park. Any expansion of proposed development would be detrimental to the creation of the Wetland Park, and in particular the development of a visitor gateway at Provan Hall. Development in this area must be designed to ensure a strong link between the visitor gateway at Provan Hall and the core wetland area of the Seven Lochs Wetland Park

**your wish**

Any expansion of this proposed development would be detrimental to the creation of the Wetland Park, and in particular the development of a visitor gateway at Provan Hall. Development in this area must be designed to ensure a strong link between the visit

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****149/2965****SSA Map****Map 1****comment**

36. Greenfield Release Housing – Easterhouse: This greenfield housing release proposal is accommodated by the existing development at Gartloch Hospital, planned development at Gartloch pools and the proposed Community Growth Areas. As noted above any further greenfield release in this area would be detrimental to the proposed Seven Lochs Wetland Park.

**your wish**

Any further greenfield release in this area would be detrimental to the proposed Seven Lochs Wetland Park.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****155/3821****SSA Map****MAP 1****comment**

MAP 1 identifies major retail proposals but does not include the approved Phase 2 extension at St Enoch.

**your wish**

Amend Map 1 to show St Enoch Phase 2 extension.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****155 / 3822****SSA Map****Map 1****comment**

While the principle of high speed rail is supported Map 1 identifies High St Goods Yard as the most appropriate location for any new terminal. The MIR does not fully justify this - at this stage it may be more appropriate to identify options. Option 4.2 sets out 3 options but does not include the St. Enoch East Site . The site was part of the old St Enoch station and still has potential high level bridge connections to the rail network. It should be considered as an option for the high speed rail terminal possibly linked to Phase 2 of the St Enoch centre extension.

**your wish**

Amend Map 1 to show St Enoch East site as an option for high speed rail terminal.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****157 / 1278****SSA Map****Map 1****comment**

St Aloysius would like to be kept informed of any proposals that emerge through the LDP process regarding any developments in Robroyston Community Growth Area that could directly affect their property at Millerston

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****175 / 1658****SSA Map****Map 1****comment**

Object to the Garngad Chord being included as a aspirational reservation as it has no status and is contrary to the SPP and PAN 75.

**your wish**

Reference to the Garngad Chord should be deleted.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****207 / 1663****SSA Map****Map 1****comment**

Question the reasoning behind the inclusion of Glasgow West as a potential District Heating Zone and whether it is right at this stage to define boundaries where there is uncertainty on how it will be delivered. The Glasgow West Zone includes land in the ownership of Glasgow Harbour to the east of the Riverside Museum and this inclusion is challenged.

**your wish**

Exclude the area in Glasgow Harbour ownership from the Glasgow West District Heating Zone.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****207 / 1665****SSA Map****Map 1**

**comment** Glasgow Harbour is designated under item 41 as a 'Major Retail Proposed Site', however the boundary of the site is incorrect as it excludes a strip of land to the south of the site, facing the River Clyde.

**your wish** The Map should be amended to include the strip of land south of item 41, facing the Clyde.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****207 / 1674****SSA Map****Map 1**

**comment** There has been no discussion with Glasgow Harbour Ltd concerning the park and ride facility at Glasgow Harbour. Parking levels at Glasgow Harbour have been calculated to reflect the level of development proposed, compensated by the accessibility of Glasgow Harbour to Partick Interchange and the proposed Fastlink, to which Glasgow Harbour Ltd is required to contribute financially.

**your wish** Object to the proposed park and ride at Glasgow Harbour.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****207 / 1675****SSA Map****Map 1**

**comment** The alignment indicated for the Proposed Kelvin Walkway Extension suggest a new bridge to be built across the Kelvin, north of the Expressway and railway line from Beith Street development site owned by Glasgow Harbour. A riverside walkway is proposed by Glasgow Harbour along the west bank of the Kelvin through the Beith Street site to Glasgow Harbour and from there across the new footbridge that will connect Glasgow Harbour to the Riverside Museum. An additional footbridge is unnecessary. Local geometry does not allow the proposal shown to be implemented.

**your wish** Object to the line shown for the new bridge.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****207 / 1676****SSA Map****Map 1****comment**

The Core Path for the Clyde Walkway is delineated encroaching into Glasgow Harbour from the east. There has been no discussion with Glasgow Harbour on this provision to date. The Glasgow Harbour retail development will accommodate cycleways and walkways.

**your wish**

Reserve opinion on the proposal until discussions have taken place on what is proposed.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****217 / 1679****SSA Map****Map 1****comment**

Support the site's inclusion within the Clyde Waterfront Strategic Development Priority area. Believe that promoting and supporting further investment and development at Skypark will contribute to the Council's objectives for this area and request the Council's support for the mixed use development at Skypark and it's promotion as a strategic location for development.

**your wish**

Maintain site's inclusion in Clyde Waterfront Strategic Development Priority area.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****89 / 1604****SSA Map****Map 2****comment**

Green Network - Network Rail have no objection to operational land being included in the Green Network, however they ask that it be noted that this land is not undisturbed habitat and has no right of public access.

**your wish**

Note that railway land is operational.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****147 / 2946****SSA Map****Map 2****comment**

We have some concern with the potential biodiversity impacts of the Potential Community Growth Development Local at Easterhouse/ Gartloch. If this is included in the LDP it is important that reference is made to the need to maintain the biodiversity interests of the area and deliver the proposed Seven Lochs Wetland Park.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****155 / 3823****SSA Map****Map 2**

**comment** Map 2 identifies the city centre principle retail area. This appears to be unchanged from CP2 though the scale makes it difficult to read. SECI supports the continued boundary of the PRA in City Plan 2.

**your wish** Map 2 should have a city centre inset clearly identifying the boundaries of the principal retail area as per CP2 and including ST Enoch centre, square and St Enoch East.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****207 / 1677****SSA Map****Map 2**

**comment** Edge of centre retail sites should be defined and protected in the same manner as Town Centres with Special Retail Areas defined. This should be supplemented with appropriate policy wording recognising and protecting their status.

**your wish** Edge of centre retail sites should be defined and protected in the same manner as Town Centres with Special Retail Areas defined. This should be supplemented with appropriate policy wording recognising and protecting their status.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****207 / 1678****SSA Map****Map 2**

**comment** The area at King George V Dock identified as protected Green Corridor is operational land in the ownership of Clydeport Ltd. Any attempt to curtail operational activity would have serious economic and operational impacts on how Clyde port undertake their port functions at these docks.

**your wish** Object to the designation of this land.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****157 / 1275****SSA Map****Map 3**

**comment** Glasgow School of Art Campus Plan - St Aloysius College has no objection to the Campus Plan. However given the proximity to its own college buildings it will be important to ensure no conflict between the activities of the college and any proposals arising from the Campus Plan.

**your wish** The college requests that it be kept informed of any developments in relation to the Campus Plan through the LDP process.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****191 / 1274****SSA Map****SRF 14 Paddlesport Centre and  
Whitewater Course at****comment**

Historic Scotland supports the principle of the proposal and will work with partners to ensure that the setting of the canal is fully considered in the finalised design. Scheduled monument consent required for all elements.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****93 / 649****SSA Maps****1****comment**

7. Review of transport infrastructure options: SPT is not proposing public transport options for many of the safeguarded solums.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****165 / 1330****Table****A1****comment**

It is noted that some of these are former school sites and/or have pitches

**your wish**

Adequate pitch compensation would be required in order to accord with the policy presumption against their development, outlined in SPP and the Council's Playing Pitch Strategy.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****61 / 778****Themes****comment**

agrees with the preferred options identified in theme 1

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****88/624****Themes**

**comment** General Comments on MIR - Many of the proposals are well thought out and could play a key role in tackling the city's enduring health problems. However it is not always made clear exactly what the links to health are

**your wish** it might be useful to refer to the Health and Well-being chapter in the Glasgow Climate Change Action Plan as it specifically touches upon a number of themes in the report from a public health perspective including energy, resource management, transport, etc.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****117/817****Themes**

**comment** Providing services/amenities within walking/cycling distance –enhance to include opportunity to engage with allotment activities within walking distance off every dwelling

**your wish** Include an opportunity to engage with allotment activities within walking distance in the services/amenities to be provided within walking/cycling distance of every dwelling

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****169/830****Themes**

**comment** protecting and enhancing the environment as part of sustainable development will also contribute to a strong economy (attractive high quality environments create places where people want to live their lives and attract inward investment), strong communities (high quality easily accessible greenspace encourages local people to take pride in and invest in their community as well as fostering a sense of wellbeing and increased physical activity) and sustainable design (high quality places have high quality green infrastructure).

**your wish** There should be recognition that the six themes which all contribute towards sustainable development are cross-cutting issues.

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****169/831****Themes****comment**

Whilst we believe it is sensible to re-use brownfield land, even on a temporary basis as part of a 'greening strategy', it should be remembered that some of these 'neglected' habitats can have high biodiversity value.

**your wish**

special features within such sites should be retained during temporary greening or when new development is undertaken. A pre-development ecological survey will be required to ascertain the biodiversity value of the site and should help guide development away from biodiversity hotspots

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****117/812****Themes****1****comment**

Please note a strong objection to Paragraph 2.34 Temporary allotments have a short term benefit in introducing people to local food growing. However they are not cost effective nor sustainable. They will not satisfy the unmet demand for allotment sites.

**your wish**

At least balance any recommendation for temporary allotments or raised beds with the recognition these should be linked to permanent allotment sites so people who wish can really grow their own food

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****124/3854****Themes****1****comment**

Adopt Cycling as a major contributor to giving Glasgow a vibrant, civilised and prosperous future - 'Resources' required to enable and maintain a widespread adoption of Glasgow as a cycling City are only a tiny fraction of those needed for motor transport, including electric vehicles.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****132/335****Themes****1****comment**

The emerging LDP should take a flexible approach to identify land for all types of housing and, in particular, sites of between 100 – 200 units, which are extremely valuable from a housebuilders/developer financial perspective. Whilst the Crookston site D is currently in a greenbelt zone, that function (or more appropriately a greenspace function) can be retained in part whilst accommodating an attractive residential development. The release of the site will not lead to setting a precedent for further expansion in the future. The boundaries are well protected by planting and a new enhanced open space function which will ensure a long-term urban definition.

The current zoning is greenbelt, however a significant part (over 50%) of the wider site context contained by the river, will be retained as greenspace. Site D's reallocation as residential with the redefined urban area would provide a useful choice of housing location in this sector of the City, which is adjacent to a deprived population in need of new housing to compliment existing stock.

Miller Homes fundamentally disagrees, for the reasons stated in Section 3, with the Council's strategy of no housing release and consider it misplaced and non-sustainable from a housebuilding perspective.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****132/336****Themes****1****comment**

Miller Homes further await with anticipation to get sight of the long awaited South West Greenbelt Study, proposed as part of the City Plan 1 Strategy and earmarked for completion to be included in City Plan 2 in 2007/8.

**your wish****postcard no:**

**Themes****1****comment**

In general Buglife agree with the LDP for Glasgow however, we have concerns regarding brownfield sites (vacant and derelict land). Despite their potential to support biodiversity, there is a strong negative public image due to the lack of management and perceived untidiness. It is the lack of management that makes brownfields such good sites for wildlife as it creates an open mosaic of habitats, Open Mosaic Habitat on Previously Developed Land (OMHPDL) is a UKBAP priority habitat that is scarce in the over managed and farmed countryside. Restoration of post-industrial sites offering OMHPDL into greenspace can destroy much of the existing wildlife interest through the importation of large quantities of topsoil and tree planting. Site restoration can result in the loss of particular niches at brownfields which will have a knock on effect on the wildlife found at that site.

**your wish**

It is important that a strategic view is taken of the redevelopment of vacant and derelict land. By ensuring that areas of OMHPDL are retained in urban areas it is possible to provide 'stepping stones' for the wildlife that depend on this habitat. Without management intervention OMHPDL is likely to have a lifespan of about 15 years. By giving temporary protection to OMHPDL sites it would be possible to produce a redevelopment schedule whereby sites without it or those with it coming to the end of its natural lifespan were developed first. Reviewing the availability of OMHPDL in an area on a 5 yearly basis and adjusting protection appropriately would ensure that sufficient 'stepping stones' were present to allow the associated wildlife to prosper.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****163 / 1333****Themes****1****comment**

In general Buglife agree with the LDP for Glasgow however, we have concerns regarding brownfield sites (vacant and derelict land). Despite their potential to support biodiversity, there is a strong negative public image due to the lack of management and perceived untidiness. It is the lack of management that makes brownfields such good sites for wildlife as it creates an open mosaic of habitats, Open Mosaic Habitat on Previously Developed Land (OMHPDL) is a UKBAP priority habitat that is scarce in the over managed and farmed countryside. Restoration of post-industrial sites offering OMHPDL into greenspace can destroy much of the existing wildlife interest through the importation of large quantities of topsoil and tree planting. Site restoration can result in the loss of particular niches at brownfields which will have a knock on effect on the wildlife found at that site.

**your wish**

It is important that a strategic view is taken of the redevelopment of vacant and derelict land. By ensuring that areas of OMHPDL are retained in urban areas it is possible to provide 'stepping stones' for the wildlife that depend on this habitat. Without management intervention OMHPDL is likely to have a lifespan of about 15 years. By giving temporary protection to OMHPDL sites it would be possible to produce a redevelopment schedule whereby sites without it or those with it coming to the end of its natural lifespan were developed first. Reviewing the availability of OMHPDL in an area on a 5 yearly basis and adjusting protection appropriately would ensure that sufficient 'stepping stones' were present to allow the associated wildlife to prosper.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****196 / 1146****Themes****1****comment**

It is essential that the City of Glasgow continues to seek population growth and to maximise housing development opportunities within the City. Against this background it is incomprehensible that the Main Issues Report seeks to change direction and to reduce the priority for social and economic regeneration for enhanced protection of natural landscape and ecosystem.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****254 / 1378****Themes****1****comment**

Support Option 1 - Sustainable Use of Resources

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****255 / 1379****Themes****1****comment**

Support Option 1 - Sustainable Use of Resources

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****257 / 1384****Themes****1****comment**

Support Option 1 - Sustainable Use of Resources

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****61 / 779****Themes****2****comment**

does not agree entirely with the issues identified in section 2. Our differences revolve around the issues of capital concentration in the retail industry, the locational requirements of class 4 business use and the potential redesignation of industrial and business land.

**your wish**

Members of the community council agree that the role and function of town centres needs to be reviewed. In particular we wish to see a return to the approach adopted in City Plan 1 where what is identified is a hierarchy of town centres whose roles and functions are clearly delineated, not the 'network of town centres' adopted in City Plan 2 where every town centre is seen as amenable to any type of development, of any size. Members of the community council also believes that as well as reviewing the roles and functions of town centres, the LDP may also have to alter the policies in relation to non retail uses in town centres. In several places in the monitoring statement and main issues report the LDA point out that the new business class, Class 4 in the Use Classes Order is more likely to locate in city centre and town centre locations. Town centre policy will therefore have to be adjusted to accommodate this. Given what is said in the report regarding the vitality and sustainability of the city and town centres this should be welcomed by the local authority

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****88 / 604****Themes****2****comment**

Sustainable Strong Economy - opening message acknowledges that the city's economic performance is strongly linked to place. The infrastructure, connections and quality of the physical environment are important in terms of attracting investment and it is good to see this has been recognised.

**your wish**

Option 2.2-Option 2.6 seem consistent with the vision and context for a strong, sustable economy

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****124 / 3856****Themes****2****comment**

Adopt Cycling as a major contributor to giving Glasgow a vibrant, civilised and prosperous future - 'Strong Economy' which boosts local trading and commercial activity have been shown to follow the removal of motor vehicle clutter from cities. A prime example in Glasgow is the dramatic success of the traffic free Buchanan Street compared to the parallel Union and Renfield Streets which suffer in many ways. Both these thoroughfares have similar architecture and width but the later is burdened with three lanes of traffic and a row of on street parking, so continues to struggle. Cycling infrastructure and cycle based commercial activities can be a source of employment for considerable numbers.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****171 / 3437****Themes****2****comment**

One of the main priorities of the new LDP should be to built upon the City Visioning exercise, the Economic Commission, Government Economic Strategy and Proposed Strategic Development Plan to define what will be the 'next chapter' in the city's transformation and clearly identify the various planning related elements needed to deliver this.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****171 / 3438****Themes** **2**

**comment** The scale, vitality and character of the city centre make it a national asset which attracts business investment and visitors in a manner which is unlikely to be replicated by out of town locations.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****188 / 1804****themes** **2**

**comment** GHA suggests that greater emphasis might be given in the Development Plan to business start-ups and incentives for new ventures.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****262 / 1370****Themes** **2**

**comment** The site at Carlisle Street, Cowlairs, should be identified as a regeneration priority in the new LDP given the aim of the new plan is to ensure the sustainable use of resources. Glasgow North suffers from one of the highest unemployment rates in the City (and Scotland).

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****61 / 788****Themes** **3**

**comment** This community council agrees with the issues & preferred options considered in section 3

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

---

**Main Issues Report****74/3598****Themes** **3**

**comment** North Kelvin Meadow (NKM) enhances the living environment in a sustainable way that strengthens this community which has been trying to shape its destiny for a long time.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4078****Themes** **3**

**comment** SPT expects that the Plan should and will be developed taking account of sustainable access principles and in particular that high density development is related to the sites with highest accessibility to existing high frequency public transport services.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****124/3858****Themes** **3**

**comment** Adopt Cycling as a major contributor to giving Glasgow a vibrant, civilised and prosperous future - 'Strong Communities' are enhanced by the interactions among people. Those on foot or bike, who are free to move about without fear of being run down, are much more likely to have a social exchanges, and indeed all sorts of dealings, than those in cars. Creating environments where children in particular can enjoy safe mobility goes a long way to achieving positive behaviour and social cohesion.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****188/1805****themes** **3**

**comment** GHA is broadly supportive of the issues considered in relation to sustainable, strong communities, particularly in relation to 3.2 A.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****188 / 1806****themes** **3**

**comment** There is a lack of reference to the role of the Glasgow Community Planning Partnership and the Local Area Community Planning Partnerships. The lack of reference is apparent throughout the document.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****188 / 1807****themes** **3**

**comment** There is no specific reference to the role of Housing Associations (HAs).

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****188 / 1808****themes** **3**

**comment** Specific reference should be made to the National Standards for Community Engagement, as well as the roles of the CPPs and HAs.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****188 / 1809****themes** **3**

**comment** The importance of the continued delivery of the Reprovisioning Programme should be specifically referenced in the report.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****31 / 190****Themes** **4**

**comment** While the City Council is realistic not to include in its plan those rail developments not being supported by Transport Scotland, it is right to safeguard them and should continue to lobby TS and the Scottish Government to bring forward these plans.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****61/789****Themes** 4**comment** This community council agrees with the issues & preferred options considered in section 4**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****66/3841****Themes** 4**comment** Reservation from any proposed development by others (e.g. Tesco enlarged store ) of 4m wide strip at Milngavie railway station for the possible re-opening of Platform 3 as usage of passenger trains increases. Most terminal west of Scotland electrified stations have three platforms, eg, Helensburgh, Gourrock, Wemyss Bay, etc.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****88/610****Themes** 4**comment** Despite acknowledging the negative influence of motorised transport on the environment, there is little in the report to suggest that the policies pursued will address this significantly**your wish** It may be useful to promote walking and cycling further as forms of active travel. Significant funding should be allocated to transforming the existing disjointed cycle network into something that can become widely useable. Where appropriate, it may be beneficial to provide clear walking routes, potentially through better signage, although the design of streets will play a key role in influencing whether or not people walk to reach services/for leisure.**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****88/611****Themes** 4**comment** There is little mention of how to access might be improved for those who currently have limited access to public transport.**your wish** It would be useful to have a strategy that outlines how this will be achieved.**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4079****Themes** 4**comment**

While SPT agrees with the issues specifically identified and supports the principles behind the options identified, we are disappointed to note very little reference to the major modernisation of the Glasgow Subway which is already underway and will be completed during the Plan period or the need to enhance the role of bus through the provision of infrastructure so that it can better serve existing and new communities and developments

SPT will continue to provide updated information on the progress of transport projects and initiatives throughout the development of the Proposed Plan. In this connection, both the Subway Modernisation scheme and Fastlink have received funding from the Scottish Government and the Council is working with SPT on the rollout of these transport improvements in line with the approved business cases.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****93/4083****Themes** 4**comment**

Some consideration should be given to the distribution of electric vehicle charging points within the city (where these would require Planning permission) within Option 4A.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****124/3855****Themes** 4**comment**

Adopt Cycling as a major contributor to giving Glasgow a vibrant, civilised and prosperous future - 'Connections' within a city like Glasgow are nearly all at relatively easy cycling distances. Journeys around the City centre are usually significantly quicker by bike, door to door, than any other means. They can include the movement of light goods and services as well. An improved integration of bike and public transport would also greatly expand the capability of both.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****124/3859****Themes** 4

**comment** The city can no longer be moulded around the spurious demands of the motor car but will have to be re-ordered to give primary consideration to its citizens, workers and visitors based on people friendly active travel. Given the political and operational will the opportunities are all there.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****124/3864****Themes** 4

**comment** There are plenty of examples from other successful, prosperous cities of the positive civilising effect of adopting a cycling culture. Even though it may take a long time to bring about similar changes in the way of life and infrastructure of Glasgow, the LDP is for long term thinking. It is now important that the City Plan 3 seriously embraces, in a meaningful way, the basis of this Report. Glasgow has a track record of reinventing itself and with the right commitment and programmes cycling could readily be adopted for the SUSTAINABLE future of the City.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****171/3439****Themes** 4

**comment** Convenient and reliable surface access by a range of modes of transport is of fundamental importance to the operation and success of any airport. The Airport Welcomes the recognition that public transport access to the airport merits consideration in the LDP.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****171/3440****Themes** 4

**comment** The need to reduce emissions from transport is also a key consideration.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****171/3441****Themes** 4

**comment** While recently completed projects such as the M74 and M80 have improved general access to the airport, the issues of public transport and peak time congestion remain unaddressed.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****171/3442****Themes** 4

**comment** There may be a timeous opportunity for the outputs of this process (LDP) to inform the definitions of the Strategic Transport Projects Review Intervention 24.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****171/3443****Themes** 4

**comment** The Airport supports the principle of Glasgow being connected to a UK High Speed Rail network on the basis of the enhanced accessibility and economic opportunity this would provide.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****171/3444****Themes** 4

**comment** Glasgow Airport will be the primary source of connectivity for the foreseeable future as there are no plans by the UK Government to extend the HSR network beyond the English Midlands (albeit it is noted that there is a vigorous campaign led by the two main Scottish cities to rectify this.)

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****171 / 3445****Themes** 4

**comment** It is vital for the city-region (and indeed Scotland as a whole) to carefully consider its competitive position, the connectivity required to sustain and grow the economy and how this can be achieved. This includes links to London and Heathrow in particular, with the effects of constrained capacity at Heathrow arguably beginning to manifest with the withdrawal of some services from Glasgow and the complete withdrawal of services from other regional airports such as Inverness.

**your wish** It is suggested that the Local Development Plan should make specific reference to the importance of both international and domestic connectivity from Glasgow Airport and continues to provide focus and support to the development of improved air links and airport related infrastructure.

[posctard no:](#)

---

**Main Issues Report****171 / 3446****Themes** 4

**comment** The Airport considers that Cross rail offers the potential to greatly enhance cross city and cross country connectivity and is an importance element for onward travel between the airport and the rest of the country.

**your wish**

[posctard no:](#)

---

**Main Issues Report****189 / 3880****Themes** 4

**comment** Our general response is that this plan, while including many positive aspirations for cycling, still seems written with cars as the default for transport. A sustainable city should start to rethink some very basic decisions about infrastructure, and aspire to make cycling the future for transport in the city. This plan does not go far enough. Cycling could be used to help solve a lot of the problems from which Glasgow sufferers.

In fact, we can see this attitude to cycling in section. 2.102 "Cycling can be an attractive alternative to the car over short-medium distances where routes are safe, direct and can bypass the delays posed by traffic signals."<sup>1</sup> This still sees cycling as something unusual and still a minority thing. Glasgow should focus on making cycling very much a routine and preferred transport activity.

**your wish**

[posctard no:](#)

---

**Main Issues Report****189/3881****Themes** 4

**comment** We would like to see the council plan for a city where cycling becomes the default activity – either on its own or easily merged with public transport – not the exception. We would like to see an expressed aim for cycling over the short to medium distance account for a significant amount, perhaps even 30-50% of journeys by 2020.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****189/3882****Themes** 4

**comment** Cycling addresses a number of problems from which Glasgow suffers, including widening affordable transport. Cycling is a democratic and cheap activity.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****189/3883****Themes** 4

**comment** Cycling addresses a number of problems from which Glasgow suffers, including congestion and pollution. Glasgow has an issue with pressure of traffic and pollution. Building new roads, and 'improvements' (widening roads) will only make that worse. Many studies show that adding capacity to roads merely makes it possible for more cars to drive on these roads.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****189/3884****Themes** 4

**comment** Cycling addresses a number of problems from which Glasgow suffers, including safety and crime - the more people cycling and walking on the streets, the more potential people there are to discourage anti-social behaviour. Encouraging walking and cycling can create a beneficial cycle making areas more convivial, and safer.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****189/3885****Themes****4****comment**

Cycling addresses a number of problems from which Glasgow suffers, including economics - cyclists tend to spend more locally and benefit their areas. Glasgow faces challenges in competition from out-of-town retail parks. If cycling were seriously encouraged and provided for, much needed custom could be diverted back to the city and 'cyclable' shops and features. It is noted that the plan does make some arguments towards this, however they do not nearly go far enough.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****189/3886****Themes****4****comment**

Cycling addresses a number of problems from which Glasgow suffers, including obesity and a generally unhealthy lifestyle. These are two key factors in the epidemiology of many chronic and acute diseases, e.g. cancer and diabetes. Regular cycling as transport enables people to exercise while they get around cheaply, and with speed. The health benefits of cycling are huge compared to the disease risks associated with lack of physical activity.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****189/3887****Themes****4****comment**

To help cycling flourish in the city, and to transform the city into a more sustainable, convivial place, a number of things have to be addressed, including a different attitude to the car in Glasgow, with car driving not the assumed norm.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****189/3888****Themes****4****comment**

To help cycling flourish in the city, and to transform the city into a more sustainable, convivial place, a number of things have to be addressed, including much better infrastructure for cyclists - infrastructure cannot just be created where there is unproblematic space – routes must actually go somewhere and provide through routes. Glasgow still suffers from a very patchy cycling infrastructure which can be dangerous to use. E.g. it is often problematic to come off the designed route and merge with traffic, and research has shown this to be a major risk.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****189/3889****Themes****4****comment**

To help cycling flourish in the city, and to transform the city into a more sustainable, convivial place, a number of things have to be addressed, including the possibility of easy cycling from the north, south, east and west of the city. If these are offroad routes they should be well-designed and direct. Cyclists should be able to cycle fast on these routes. Thus the routes need to be free of tight bends, obstacles, barriers. These routes should have the same care dedicated to them as a new road. These routes should be able to be used in both light and dark. They should be well-lit and feel safe.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****189/3890****Themes****4****comment**

To help cycling flourish in the city, and to transform the city into a more sustainable, convivial place, a number of things have to be addressed, including good design of infrastructure . Too much infrastructure is not done with an understanding of cycling. The worst cycle paths start and stop, merge unexpectedly onto roads, take the cyclist on and off pavements, routinely have obstacles such as lampposts or fencing occluding or even blocking them. No car driver would put up with this level of design for a road. Bad design can cause accidents.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****189/3891****Themes** 4

**comment** To help cycling flourish in the city, and to transform the city into a more sustainable, convivial place, a number of things have to be addressed, including cycling should be on the road and planned for – not merged with pedestrians on the pavement. Adequate facilities should be provided for cyclists to do this safely.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****189/3892****Themes** 4

**comment** To help cycling flourish in the city, and to transform the city into a more sustainable, convivial place, a number of things have to be addressed, including better bike facilities in retail environments – cyclists are often expected to secure their bikes in inappropriate and unsafe places. Often cycling parking (especially in retail centres) is underresourced and put away at backs and sides of buildings, where theft and vandalism is more of a risk. The whole thrust is to make cycling unproblematic – therefore, like car parking spaces, cycling parking should be well designed.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****189/3893****Themes** 4

**comment** To help cycling flourish in the city, and to transform the city into a more sustainable, convivial place, a number of things have to be addressed, including universal speed constraints – too many accidents happen due to excessive speed and inattention, especially at junctions. A mandatory 20 mile an hour zone for the whole city should prevent many accidents.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****189/3894****Themes** 4

**comment** To help cycling flourish in the city, and to transform the city into a more sustainable, convivial place, a number of things have to be addressed, including concerted efforts to make other users aware of cyclists. Bus, taxi and HGV drivers should be required to do a course on cycle awareness to be able to work in Strathclyde. These schemes have been shown to work very well in other areas. Taxi licensing should take serious note of registered drivers abusing or behaving in a dangerous way towards cyclists, and remove licenses from persistent offenders.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****189/3895****Themes** 4

**comment** To help cycling flourish in the city, and to transform the city into a more sustainable, convivial place, a number of things have to be addressed, including ending intimidation of cyclists – in Glasgow as in other cities, bad and dangerous driving can be used to intimidate and bully cyclists.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****240/310****Themes** 4

**comment** 1. General Objective - make better use of the existing transport infrastructure - a) Roads - TA's plus travel plans b) Rail - Improve in partnership - park and ride; ticketing systems (flexipass, carnet, multi journey tickets, modal transfer etc.) c) bus - enable penetration to new development etc. d) freight - access e) parking policy to be made clearer

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****244/1686****Themes** 4

**comment** Agrees with the principles and reasoning outlined in the preface to the Issue.

**your wish** Support the principles and reasoning in the preface.

**postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 481****Themes** **4**

**comment** It appears that the Council is pursuing options that favour the Commonwealth Games promoters and developers. Expenditure on public transport links should be preferred to expenditure on roads links. Thus local rail aspirations should be retained, not reduced, and the number of new road schemes should be reduced. The rationale for road schemes seems to be to "facilitate development" - as development is already falling off, the emphasis on unrealistic forecasts for development land reduces funding for local community requirements.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 518****Themes** **4**

**comment** Promotion of Park and Ride schemes should be included in this topic.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 519****Themes** **4**

**comment** Integration of bus and rail services should be a priority - so that travel across Glasgow becomes easier and cheaper. Currently it is difficult, time-consuming and expensive to travel across Glasgow.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****59 / 3498****Themes** **5**

**comment** The Green Network does not include the North Kelvin Meadow. It is suggested that this is because the Green Network has been too narrowly defined by Glasgow City Council. The aim of the Central Scotland Green Network (CSGN) is set out in NPF2. The North Kelvin Meadow, in its entirety, currently contributes towards meeting these outcomes and should legitimately be identified as part of the green network.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****59 / 3499****Themes** **5**

**comment** The North Kelvin Meadow, in its entirety and other urban open spaces which contribute towards meeting the outcomes of the CSGN should be identified as part of the green network.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****60 / 3327****Themes** **5**

**comment** EDC supports the action proposed in relation to the protection of the Antonine Wall World Heritage Site.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****61 / 790****Themes** **5**

**comment** This community council agrees with the issues & preferred options considered in section 5

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****63 / 3504****Themes** **5**

**comment** The Green Network should be extended to include all of North Kelvin Meadow. The Green Network of course enables the movement of wildlife around the City. North Kelvin Meadow is a logical extension from Dawsholm Park, along the River Kelvin through North Kelvin Meadow and then further North to the Canal area and then Ruchill Park.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****63/3505****Themes****5****comment**

The reasons for this request is that North Kelvin Meadow is a Greenfield site which has never been built on and therefore should never have been registered as a possible housing site. Since its use as a Playing Field declined due to Local Authority neglect it has been used by the local community as recreation space, allotments and a green space for wildlife – both flora and fauna. The site is now part of Glasgow West Conservation Area.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****65/3508****Themes****5****comment**

The Green Network should be extended to include all of North Kelvin Meadow. The Green Network of course enables the movement of wildlife around the City. North Kelvin Meadow is a logical extension from Dawsholm Park, along the River Kelvin through North Kelvin Meadow and then further North to the Canal area and then Ruchill Park.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****65/3509****Themes****5****comment**

The reasons for this request is that North Kelvin Meadow is a Greenfield site which has never been built on and therefore should never have been registered as a possible housing site. Since its use as a Playing Field declined due to Local Authority neglect it has been used by the local community as recreation space, allotments and a green space for wildlife – both flora and fauna. The site is now part of Glasgow West Conservation Area.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****74/3599****Themes****5****comment**

North Kelvin Meadow helps biodiversity, flood protection, recreation and protects and enhances the natural and historic features of this Land. Building flats will do none of these things.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****74/3600****Themes** **5**

**comment** a) Biodiversity – This land (NKM) has a huge variety of species, as much as a country park we are told (see appendix 4).

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****74/3601****Themes** **5**

**comment** b) Flood Protection – This natural green space (NKM) will help deliver flood protection in an area that has had many flooding problems. Building more flats on the land and especially with the recent other flat developments in the local area would increase the problem.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****74/3602****Themes** **5**

**comment** Green Network Priorities Issue 5.3 – We agree with Option 5.3A and state that NKM should be a priority as it's the last large green space that's not a formal garden or park in the West End of Glasgow. It forms part of a network of green areas from Botanic Gardens to NKM to Canal area to Ruchill Park.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****74/3603****Themes** **5**

**comment** We agree with 2.128 in the MIR which states "...a need to examine opportunities for a green network enhancement at a much finer grain, helping identify specific local priorities for action".

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****74/3604****Themes** **5**

**comment** Ecosystems and Integrated habitat Networks Issue 5.4 - We agree with Option 5.4A and state that NKM will help as per 2.132 "... Creation of better linkages and features that act as "stepping stones" for migratory species..."

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****74/3605****Themes** **5**

**comment** NKM - Recreation – the land has always been used for recreation in one form or another and that should continue. Especially now as there is less land for this than previously.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****74/3606****Themes** **5**

**comment** NNKM - Natural and Historic – No one walking across the land could help but see just how much nature has made its mark. It looks natural and it is. It also has a history of serving the community that we'd like to continue. Building flats would destroy that link with its past.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****76/3519****Themes** **5**

**comment** The Green Network should be extended to include all of NKM. The Green Network enables the movement of wildlife around the City. NKM is a logical extension from Dawsholm Park, along the River Kelvin through NKM and then further North to the Canal area and then to Ruchill Park.

**your wish**

**posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****76/3520****Themes****5****comment**

NKM is a Greenfield site which has never been built on and is now part of Glasgow West Conservation Area.. I believe it should never have been registered as a possible housing site. Since its use as a Playing Field declined due to Local Authority neglect, it has been used by the local community as recreation space, as well as allotments and a green space for wildlife – both flora and fauna.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****80/3522****Themes****5****comment**

I firmly believe that the North Kelvin Meadow should be one of the areas designated within DEV11.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****80/3523****Themes****5****comment**

I believe that DEV11, carried over from City Plan 2, should be retained and that the North Kelvin Meadow should be one of the areas designated within DEV11

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****80/3524****Themes****5****comment**

I firmly believe the Green Network, which enables the movement of wildlife around the city, should be extended to include all of the North Kelvin Meadow.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****83/3526****Themes****5****comment**

The NKM is a Greenfield site which has never been built on and therefore should never have been registered as a possible housing site. Its historical use as a Playing Field declined due to Local Authority neglect, but in the last few years it has been used by the local community as recreation space, allotments and a green space for wildlife. The site is now part of Glasgow West Conservation Area. Its designation should reflect the present usage rather than that of several failed development plans and it should be kept as a green space area and there is a great deal of community support for this.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****83/3529****Themes****5****comment**

The Green Network should be extended to include all of the NKM. The Green Network enables the movement of wildlife around the City and the NKM is part of this wildlife corridor, for example the area from Dawsholm Park, along the River Kelvin through the NKM and then further north to the canal area and then Ruchill Park.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****84/3532****Themes****5****comment**

The Green Network should be extended to include all of NKM. The Green Network of course enables the movement of wildlife around the City. NKM is a logical extension from Dawsholm Park, along the River Kelvin through NKM and then further North to the Canal area and then Ruchill Park.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****84/3533****Themes****5****comment**

The reasons for this request is that NKM is a Greenfield site which has never been built on and therefore should never have been registered as a possible housing site (Site 1703). Since its use as a Playing Field declined due to Local Authority neglect it has been used by the local community as recreation space, allotments and a green space for wildlife – both flora and fauna. The site is now part of Glasgow West Conservation Area. We believe its designation should reflect it's present usage rather than that of several failed development plans.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****94/3536****Themes****5****comment**

The Green Network should be extended to include all of NKM. The Green Network of course enables the movement of wildlife around the City. NKM is a logical extension from Dawsholm Park, along the River Kelvin through NKM and then further North to the Canal area and then Ruchill Park.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****94/3537****Themes****5****comment**

The reasons for this request is that NKM is a Greenfield site which has never been built on and therefore should never have been registered as a possible housing site (Site 1703). Since its use as a Playing Field declined due to Local Authority neglect it has been used by the local community as recreation space, allotments and a green space for wildlife - both flora and fauna. The site is now part of Glasgow West Conservation Area. We believe its designation should reflect it's present usage rather than that of several failed development plans.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****114/3541****Themes****5****comment**

NKM - We believe its designation should reflect its present usage rather than that of several failed development plans.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****118 / 3542****Themes****5****comment**

I support the North Kelvin Meadow Campaign to have the former Clouston Street Playing Fields designated with DEV11. I believe it is very important for local people in a heavily built-up area to have easy access to safe open space, particularly space such as this where gardening and wildlife can flourish. Many if not all local people live in flats where they may at best have access to a small shared garden surrounded by tall buildings, and the Meadow offers them, children in particular, a real breathing space. I do not believe that it would be appropriate to allow any building on this site, which has been a space used by the community in one way or another in the nearly 30 years I have lived in this area. I also supported the designation of this area as the Glasgow West Conservation Area. I believe that changing the usage of greenfield open space by erecting flats or businesses on it would run contrary to the spirit of local conservation.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****124 / 3853****Themes****5****comment**

Adopt Cycling as a major contributor to giving Glasgow a vibrant, civilised and prosperous future - 'Environment' in all its aspects would be improved. Urgent problems within the city including safety, noise and atmospheric pollution, as well as some areas of environmental deprivation would be mitigated by a wholesale move to pedal power. All the comments in this submission go hand in hand with considerations for pedestrians, who like cyclists live with anxiety about motor vehicles.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****143 / 1527****Themes****5****comment**

A very serious omission from the Main Issues Report is anything intended to deal with the existing communities or the built heritage. (applications to develop are not restricted to new sites and are frequently for any patch of ground in an area perceived to be profitable).

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****143 / 1529****Themes****5****comment**

Tenements are not mentioned but are a major form of housing in Glasgow. They deserve specific restrictions on unsuitable alterations. They are also recommended by Historic Scotland as the most efficient in terms of climate change and low heat. Refurbishment rather than new replacements (which may not be so affordable in future) should be a focus of the development plan.

Glasgow must ensure adequate safeguards in protecting the heritage.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164 / 3701****Themes****5****comment**

Sustainable environment statement high lighted in green box strongly supported

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****188 / 1813****themes****5****comment**

The Sustainable Glasgow Initiative is not mentioned in section 5.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****228 / 3592****Themes****5****comment**

The Green Network should be extended to include all of NKM. The Green Network of course enables the movement of wildlife around the City. NKM is a logical extension from Dawsholm Park, along the River Kelvin through NKM and then further North to the Canal area and then Ruchill Park. The site is currently a haven for a wide range of species, as well as providing corridor access to/from other adjacent green areas.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****228 / 3593****Themes****5****comment**

The reasons for this request is that NKM is a Greenfield site which has never been built on and therefore should never have been registered as a possible housing site (Site 1703). Since its use as a Playing Field declined due to Local Authority neglect it has been used by the local community as recreation space, allotments and a green space for wildlife – both flora and fauna. The site is now part of the Glasgow West Conservation Area (since August 2011). We believe its designation should reflect it's present usage rather than that of several failed development plans.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****236 / 3596****Themes****5****comment**

The Green Network should be extended to include all of NKM. The Green Network of course enables the movement of wildlife around the City. NKM is a logical extension from Dawsholm Park, along the River Kelvin through NKM and then further North to the Canal area and then Ruchill Park.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****236 / 3597****Themes****5****comment**

The reasons for this request is that NKM is a Greenfield site which has never been built on and therefore should never have been registered as a possible housing site (Site 1703). Since its use as a Playing Field declined due to Local Authority neglect it has been used by the local community as recreation space, allotments and a green space for wildlife – both flora and fauna. The site is now part of Glasgow West Conservation Area. As a local resident, I personally use the meadow on a daily basis and I appreciate very much the unique space which is available there. Therefore I strongly believe its designation should reflect its present usage rather than any housing development plans.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 454****Themes****5****comment**

Theme 5 - the protection and enhancement of the natural environment and of the historic buildings of the city - is muddled and confuses conservation of the natural environment, the need for improved drainage systems and the need to protect and enhance the built environment. These are properly three separate issues.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****249 / 534****Themes****5****comment**

Water and air pollution need to be considered here.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****61 / 791****Themes****6****comment**

This community council agrees with the issues & preferred options considered in section 6

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****74 / 3607****Themes****6****comment**

With the current format of raised beds, both private and communal, the NKM helps deliver a reduction in green house gas emissions by growing food for the community within the community and by its composting bins (currently 5 large ones). More of course could be done given official backing from the Council but it shows what its potential could be. We believe going forward the part of the land allocated for growing would be in a format similar to what is done at Woodlands Community Garden.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****74/3608****Themes****6****comment**

We believe its designation should reflect its present usage rather than that of a couple of failed development plans. This should be DEV11: Green Space and ENV 1 - Open Space Protection. Specifically the site should fall into the categories below: 6.3 Amenity Greenspace 6.71 Natural / Semi-natural greenspace – woodland 6.72 Natural / Semi-natural greenspace - Open semi-natural 6.81 Other functional greenspace - allotments. This would enable the local community to develop the site as a green space with confidence and continuity which would greatly facilitate grant funding.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****124/3857****Themes****6****comment**

Adopt Cycling as a major contributor to giving Glasgow a vibrant, civilised and prosperous future - 'Design' opportunities would result from adopting a presumption for active travel environments. Places should be made pleasant and where people want to be, work or visit. The Scottish Government's policy in Designing Streets and many other examples show how people friendly cityscapes can be created. People include cyclists in this context.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Main Issues Report****164/3707****Themes****6****comment**

We agree entirely with the statement in the brown box. It applies equally well to Victorian Glasgow

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Monitoring Statement****191/1196****comment**

The monitoring statement appears to provide a thorough evidence base for the main issues which are identified in the MIR. Presentation is clear and key changes since City Plan 2 are clearly identified.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Monitoring Statement****158 / 1750****Other****comment** BWS should be an early consultee on any proposed canal crossings.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Monitoring Statement****260 / 1368****Para 1.29****comment** The MS indicates that Summerston/Balmore "offered little scope for development in the context of Community Growth". We are in disagreement with this statement. Whilst Summerston/Balmore perhaps offered less scope than the now Community Growth Areas, it is considered that the site offers excellent opportunities for growth in the short-longer term.**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**Monitoring Statement****252 / 512****Para 3.15****comment** The statement that there is "no budget" for rolling out further public realm works seems out of place - we consider that there are many things that the Council should do for which there may be no political will to make a budget, and to single out the public realm for this comment is curious. In the light of limited resources, we would wish to see an emphasis on the proper maintenance of the existing public realm and prioritising issues where public safety is of concern, whether in the city centre or not. At present there are areas where pavements and strips of untended public land are neglected and hazardous, and this cannot be good policy.

The city has a number of conservation areas, of which the city centre is one. All of these require an appropriate public realm, to properly set off the quality of the architecture - often all that is required is appropriate decent quality materials, and avoidance of the cheapest possible approach.

**your wish** In the event of limited resources, a priority in the public realm will be to maintain and improve pavements, road surfaces and areas of public landscape where these are hazardous in order to achieve a high quality, safe and visually coherent public realm throughout the city. In particular, the quality of the public realm in Conservation Areas must not be allowed to decline and should be appropriate to the quality of the built environment there.**postcard no:**

---

**Monitoring Statement****252/515****Para****3.18****comment**

The policy appears to imply that the Council will only implement the lighting strategy in partnership with its development partners, notably the GHA. What about the areas that are not so owned? There are parts of the city where the local community is economically disadvantaged but does not have the benefit of a public landlord. Are these areas to be allowed to descend into darkness?

**your wish**

Where replacement lighting is required when the existing lighting has ceased to be fit for purpose, the Council will replace the existing lighting with regard to its sustainable lighting strategy.

**postcard no:**

---

**Monitoring Statement****252/516****Para****3.22 - 3.26****comment**

There seems to be no mention of the maintenance of existing greenspaces in the report. It is essential that it is recognised that the maintenance of existing parks has fallen far short of the minimum that should be expected, and the Report appears to indicate a self-satisfied attitude that is entirely wrong.

**your wish**

One example is Festival Park, where the water features have been allowed to silt up and become overgrown, with significantly decreased biodiversity.

The maintenance of the existing city parks must be very considerably improved, and the parks constantly reviewed to ensure that they do not decline in quality.

**postcard no:**

---

**Monitoring Statement****251/491****Para****3.23****comment**

The section on biodiversity and greenspace fails to assess progress under City Plan 2, para 5.32 iv of integrating nature conservation and biodiversity measures into the MAINTENANCE, enhancement and development of Council-owned parkland, etc., as appropriate. Without effectively managed and assessed maintenance, enhancement and development are a waste of resources.

**your wish**

Prioritise maintenance of features of greenspace/biodiversity according to the degree to which they are unusual in an area and the degree to which maintenance may be skipped without compromising their long term existence. Water features would score much more highly than, for example, planting out bedding plants, pleasant though those are to see.

**postcard no:**

---

**Monitoring Statement****227 / 888****Para** **3.27****comment** "The plan highlighted the lack of capacity in the surface water drainage systems serving the City".**your wish** We would ask this is changed to "The plan highlighted issues in the surface water drainage systems serving the City". Again, we would ask for the word "constraint" to be removed and replaced with "issues" in the last sentence**posctard no:**

---

**Monitoring Statement****227 / 889****Para** **3.31****comment** add on web address of MGSDP**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**Monitoring Statement****251 / 488****Para** **4.22****comment** There is no M8 overbridge at Andertson, as specified in 4.22, and no complete traffic-free route into the city centre from the west.**your wish** Effective fact checking - so that the public can have some confidence in the process.**posctard no:**

---

**Monitoring Statement****251 / 490****Para** **4.22****comment** Table 22 [at para 4.22] is not a complete list of the pedestrian/cycle links listed in City Plan 2(6.36), for example, it omits a report on progress with a link between Pacific Quay and Paisley Road West at Cessnock underground station.**your wish** The importance of a direct pedestrian/cycle link between the key Regeneration Area of Pacific Quay and the local town centre at Cessnock to be reflected by references in relevant parts of all documents.**posctard no:**

---

**Monitoring Statement****251 / 489****Para****4.24****comment**

No report on progress on City Plan 2's commitment to "install 100 cycle stands per annum in public places" (CP2, para 6.34).

**your wish**

Assessment of performance against commitments as essential input to future plans.

**posctard no:**

---

**Monitoring Statement****252 / 517****Para****4.69 - 4.85****comment**

Waste management is addressed as though it were entirely a technical problem, whereas, in fact, it is also a cultural issue, with an attitude to waste that is both unsustainable and very detrimental to the appearance of the City.

Not only must there be adequate bins and proper facilities for dealing with waste and avoiding landfill, there must also be a positive and rigorous education policy, supplemented with carrots and sticks, to improve the attitude to waste. A zero tolerance mindset must be developed whereby the scattering of refuse and the disposal of undifferentiated waste by individuals and communities is brought to a complete halt.

**your wish**

The Zero Waste Plan will include a substantial budget to educate, advertise and promote a sustainable attitude to waste amongst the citizens of Glasgow, and to turn around the current attitude that it is not an individual's problem. This policy will be accompanied by rewards for good practice and more rigorously administered penalties for waste abuse.

**posctard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****273 / 1348****Appendix****4****comment**

The IER identifies a number of positive impacts of the LDP; we have considered these and responded accordingly to the MIR consultation.

**your wish**

The mitigation measures contained in the ER of the Proposed Plan should instead focus on mitigation of any potential negative impacts of the LDP.

**posctard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****273/1349****Appendix** 4

**comment** The traffic-light system used in the assessments has some advantages, but often masks the complexity of potential environmental impacts. It is also too 'coarse' to pick out positive opportunities from among the impacts, something we advocated at scoping.

**your wish** The traffic-light system would benefit from further refinement for the ER of the Proposed Plan.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****273/1350****Appendix** 4

**comment** The fact that that many of the Preferred Options (POs) are simply 'approaches' to their respective Main Issue clearly presents challenges here.

**your wish** The traffic-light system is less well suited to this part of the assessment, with tensions arising between predictions of overall positive effects and possible local environmental impacts. Examples of this are POs 1.4 Waste Management, 4.1 Future Public Transport Network, and 4.5 Clyde Fastlink.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****273/1351****Appendix** 4

**comment** For some POs, the assessments assign an amber light but mitigation is not proposed because the PO is "a mitigating measure in itself". This is appropriate where the PO could indeed mitigate the impacts of something proposed elsewhere in the MIR, for example 3.5 Local Services in New Development and 6.1 Designing Streets & Places.

**your wish** Where this does not apply however, the phrase "a mitigating measure in itself" introduces circular reasoning. In fact it refers to the likely positive effect of the PO on the current environmental baseline and this should simply be recorded as such. (POs 2.5 and 5.1 are examples),

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****273 / 1352****Appendix** **4**

**comment** We wish to highlight PO 1.5 Vacant & Derelict Land as an example of a likely positive effect on the current environmental baseline:

**your wish** The PO of promoting alternative uses will give rise to positive impacts overall. This should not be regarded as mitigation of itself; but there may be local impacts. Analysis of whether a proposed new use would enhance or detract from the site's existing value for habitats, species, landscape character and recreation would help to mitigate these impacts. (See the comment in our MIR response regarding the value of some naturally re-vegetated brownfield sites.)

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****273 / 1353****Appendix** **4**

**comment** Regarding PO 1.2 Renewable Energy

**your wish** SNH agree that mitigation will be required for impacts on landscape. There could also be effects on Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora. That is to say, additional 'orange lights' are required, and appropriate mitigation should be proposed in terms of location of turbines and associated infrastructure.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****273 / 1354****Appendix** **4**

**comment** Regarding PO 1.3 Local Renewable / Low Carbon Sources of Heat & Power -

**your wish** Retro-fitting the required infrastructure could disturb or detract from green network provision, e.g. by damaging seminatural habitat. Therefore additional 'orange lights' are required for Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora. The requirement for mitigation will need to include avoiding or minimising such impacts, or compensating for them through appropriate habitat enhancement.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****273/1355****Appendix** 4**comment** Regarding PO 3.5 Providing for Local Services in New Residential Development**your wish** An additional 'orange light' is required for Landscape. The major opportunity for mitigating potential impacts on Landscape, Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora would be to provide green network enhancements. This is not clearly stated in the Theme 3 Initial Assessment (p103), but is merely implicit.**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****273/1356****Appendix** 4**comment** Regarding PO 4.3 Delivery of Local Rail Infrastructure**your wish** SNH believe the potential adverse impacts of Robroyston/ Millerston station (and associated infrastructure) on Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna merit a negative rating in the assessment. The fact that 'red lights' are not available, because the environmental designation is a SINC rather than a SSSI, is a shortcoming of the traffic light system. We regret we did not foresee this in our discussions earlier this year regarding the methodology.**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****273/1357****Appendix** 4**comment** Regarding PO 5.3 Green Network Priorities **your wish** While the Not Preferred Option might have less positive effects overall, we do not agree that it could have environmental impacts and/or require mitigation (i.e. 'orange lights' not appropriate). The reasons Option A is Preferred are instead largely cost-benefit considerations.**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****273/1362****Appendix** 4**comment** PO 5.4 Ecosystems and its supporting text give a clear message that relative to current policy, the Sustainable Environment SG will incorporate a substantially improved approach to biodiversity.**your wish** On this basis, and with similar reference to guidance on Landscape in New Development, the SG should be subjected to SEA.**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****273/1360****Appendix****5****comment**

Prop005 Knightswood Teal Drive is shown on Map 2 (LDP MIR) as part of the Green Network, correctly in our opinion. However no environmental designation is flagged in Appendix 5. □

**your wish**

This apparent inconsistency should be resolved in case it might skew this or any other assessments in the ER of the Proposed Plan. (It's a green corridor = mapping omission - see PAN 65 map)

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****272/1337****Appendix****A (CP2 Supporting Documents)****comment**

It is noted that development sites and policies which were included in City Plan 2 have already been through the formal strategic environmental assessment process however the policies should be updated to take into account updates in legislation and guidance. It is noted that ENV 5 is to be revised to take account of the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009.

**your wish**

The following updates are required; reference to individual SPPs should be replaced by Scottish Planning Policy (SPP), the waste management policy should refer to the Zero waste plan, the Water Environment (Controlled Activities) (Scotland) Regulations 2005 are now the Water Environment (Controlled Activities) (Scotland) Regulations 2011, ENV 17 – Protecting the water environment should make reference to the Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act (2009).

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****273/1359****CP2 Dev Guide****5****comment**

SNH appreciate that detailed assessment of key sites may not be appropriate at MIR stage

**your wish**

Nevertheless, for the bulk of the sites that overlap or adjoin part of the Green Network, suitable mitigation would be green network enhancement. This is in fact hinted at for Prop011 Skirsa St. In detail it means ensuring that new planting incorporated, or existing vegetation retained, is of sufficient scale, quality and location to enhance connectivity for wildlife, landscape character, and (where appropriate) recreation in greenspace. For the sites within Greenbelt, suitable mitigation might be retention of sufficient undeveloped area to maintain greenbelt functions, with links to the green network in adjacent settlement areas where possible. Should these mitigation measures appear not achievable for any site proposal, then the SEA will have flagged up residual environmental impacts.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****273 / 1361****Key Policies****para 3.26****comment**

The table at 3.26 takes an inclusive approach in that assessment is deemed necessary for all the Key Policies. This is despite the apparent possibility that “what is/is not likely to be supported” might not change from that in City Plan 2. In contrast, the table at 3.27 regarding Supplementary Guidance (SG) is too cautious in certain places where it concludes “SEA requirement unknown”:

**your wish**

in our opinion the potential impacts of promoting more achievable temporary uses for brownfield sites do justify subjecting the Sustainable Use of Resources SG to SEA (see our comments above re 1.5 Vacant & Derelict Land) .

**posctard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****130 / 1292****Other****Section 4****comment**

You may wish to establish indicators to facilitate effective monitoring.

**your wish**

This can be done by using indicators which relate to SEA objectives, and also to targets, to provide a measurable framework.

**posctard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****130 / 1293****Other****Section 4****comment**

Prior to implementation of the plan, mitigation may involve making changes to the plan and/or developing more detailed mitigation proposals to be implemented as the plan is delivered.

**your wish**

Where the proposed plan has been altered as a result of the environmental assessment, this should be explained in the ER.

**posctard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****130 / 1294****Other****Section 4****comment**

I note that some post-implementation mitigation measures have been identified.

**your wish**

You may find it helpful to define the actions required to achieve these measures, clarify why they have been selected, to define timescales and expected outcomes, and to clarify who is responsible for achieving the mitigation measures.

**posctard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****147 / 2947****Page** 88

**comment** P88 refers to the location of potential wind opportunity sites. The locations of these sites, including Netherton Braes (located close to a SSSI) do not appear to have been assessed as part of the SEA process. Alternative locations should have been considered as part of the alternative options identified by the SEA process.

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****130 / 1285****Para** 2.53

**comment** I welcome the use of SEA objectives to focus assessment.

**your wish** You could consider amending the cultural heritage SEA objective slightly to read 'to protect and, where appropriate, enhance the cultural and built environment'. This is because it is not always appropriate, for instance in some cases with scheduled monuments, to seek enhancement of a heritage asset.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****130 / 1284****Para** 2.8

**comment** In our scoping response we suggested that gardens and designed landscapes (GDLs) would be better considered as part of the historic environment. I note that you have chosen to baseline GDLs within the biodiversity SEA topic. This appears to have led to GDLs not being linked to the cultural heritage SEA topic.

**your wish** As GDLs are designated for their cultural importance, I would suggest that it would be appropriate for potential effects upon them to be considered primarily in terms of the cultural heritage SEA objective.

**postcard no:** We would like to look at how we can help retain and protect the jobs which currently exist in those industrial and business areas which might be identified for other uses.

2.8

---

**SEA Environmental Report****272 / 1338****Question****1****comment**

It is noted that section 2.34 refers to flood risk maps produced by SEPA these give an indication of the 1 in 200 year (0.5% annual probability) return period flood extent for both riverine and coastal flooding and provide a useful overview of flood risk for the area.

**your wish**

The information from the flood risk maps could be supported and complemented by other easily derived or readily available information in relation to flood risk, for example information on historical flood events or the impact of flood alleviation schemes. The flooding data could also identify priority areas for more detailed analysis in the future.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****272 / 1339****Question****1****comment**

Section 2.37 refers to water quality

**your wish**

please note that a new monitoring and classification system for water bodies was introduced by the Water Framework Directive which is based on the ecological status rather than just water quality. For further details on the new classification system please refer to our website.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****272 / 1340****Question****1****comment**

in terms of both protecting and improving the water environment.

**your wish**

The LDP could emphasise the requirement for new development to contribute to the delivery of the targets and actions in the River Basin Management Plan for the Scotland River Basin District and the Clyde Area Management Plan. Please note that public bodies whose plans and activities are likely to impact on the water environment, should aim to secure compliance with the objectives of the River Basin Management Plans, as they carry out their normal statutory functions.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****272 / 1341****Question** **2**

**comment** We consider that the PPS listed in Appendix 1 provides a good start at providing a background framework to the development of the Plan.

**your wish** However you may want to consider whether the following PPS are relevant. Under Climate Change - The Climate Change (Scotland) Act 2009 & The Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009. Under Sustainability - Scotland's Zero Waste Plan (ZWP) 2010 which replaces the National Waste Strategy 1999, National Waste Plan 2003 and the area waste plans.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****272 / 1342****Question** **3**

**comment** We are satisfied that in general the correct environmental concerns are highlighted in the environmental assessment.

**your wish****postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****272 / 1343****Question** **4**

**comment** It is noted that flood risk for the Knightswood, Teal Drive (Prop005) proposal is an environmental issue which will require further detailed assessment and mitigation.

**your wish** As well as the requirement of a detailed flood risk assessment being a mitigation measure avoidance of development within the functional flood plain should form part of the mitigation.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****272 / 1344****Question** **4**

**comment** Although the allocation at Corselet Road, Darnley (Prop 026) is not within or adjacent to the indicative 1 in 200 flood outline a minor watercourse (a mill lade) runs adjacent to the southern edge of the site. SEPA have a record of historical flooding adjacent to the southern edge of the site.

**your wish** As part of the mitigation a basic Flood Risk Assessment should be carried out at the planning stage to assess risk of flooding. It may be that areas near the watercourse will not be available for development.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****272 / 1345****Question** **5**

**comment** it is unclear how the assessment has considered the cumulative or synergistic affects.

**your wish** It would be useful if the assessment tables included a column outlining the cumulative or synergistic affects.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****272 / 1346****Question** **6**

**comment** It is noted from section 4.6 that LDP policy will be a mitigation measure

**your wish** It is not clear when LDP policies and SPGs will provide mitigation for the preferred options and alternatives.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****130 / 1286****Section** **3.8**

**comment** The assessment of MIR issues 6.4 and 6.5 suggest that the environmental issues relating to cultural heritage are unknown. The MIR indicates that in both cases there are potentially significant impacts for the historic environment.

**your wish** We would recommend that mitigation should include ensuring that any forthcoming policies are appropriate for, and take into consideration, the historic environment.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****130 / 1287****Section** **Appendix 4**

**comment** Regarding the Environmental Assessment of LDP Main Issues Proposals and Options matrixes,

**your wish** it would have been helpful if the comments section had focussed on providing some detail on the environmental impacts of each option/alternative, rather than focussing on why an option is preferred or not, as this is already discussed in the MIR itself.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****273 / 1347****Section** **key findings (pages 5 & 6)**

**comment** With regard to the Key Findings summarised on p5-6, we welcome the proposal to monitor the realisation of green network opportunities. Monitoring green network delivery would support the Central Scotland Green Network, an NPF2 project, in its aim to produce a step change in environmental quality

**your wish**

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****130 / 1289****Site Assessment** **0287: Maryhill Locks / Collina St**

**comment** Given the likely significant impact on the setting of adjacent scheduled monuments and listed building,

**your wish** I suggest that a potential mitigation measure should be that development should accord with the relevant historic environment policies.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****130 / 1288****Site Assessment** **0531A: Water Row**

**comment** Given the likely significant impact on the setting of adjacent scheduled monuments and listed building,

**your wish** I suggest that a potential mitigation measure should be that development should accord with the relevant historic environment policies.

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****130 / 1290****Site Assessment** **Potential mitigation measures**

**comment** I note that in several cases, where one of the potential mitigation measures proposed is that the development should accord with policy, the relevant historic environment policies are not listed.

**your wish** list the relevant historic environment policies

**postcard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****191 / 1268****Site Assessment****Ref 0531 A/B/C, Water Row****comment**

Historic Scotland considers that this allocation has the potential to impact on the setting of a scheduled monument (Govan carved stones and Old Parish Church graveyard) and also on A listed Buildings (Old Parish Church, Pearce Institute, former British Linen Bank). There is potential for the site to accommodate the level of development indicated whilst protecting the historic environment. It is important that new development does not block key views or dominate the church. A design brief would help guide sensitive development. Historic Scotland is happy to discuss.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****191 / 1266****Site Assessment****ref. 1228 A/B/C****comment**

Govan Graving Docks allocation has a potential significant impact on the A listed Docks and its setting. Historic Scotland is content that if setting of listed buildings is given appropriate weight weight in KP12, this will afford adequate protection.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****191 / 1267****Site Assessment****Ref. 2923 Ruchill Hospital****comment**

Historic Scotland is content there is sufficient potential for this site to accommodate the level of development indicated whilst protecting the listed building through appropriate application of policy.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****191 / 1269****Site Assessment****Ref. 3645 Maryhill Locks****comment**

This allocation has the potential to impact on the setting of the Scheduled section of the Forth and Clyde Canal. This should be carefully considered in any development proposals that come forward.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****191 / 1270****Site Assessment****Ref. 4420C Slatefield Street****comment**

Historic Scotland is content there is sufficient potential for this site to accommodate the level of development indicated whilst protecting the listed building through appropriate application of policy and hopes that opportunities for finding an appropriate new use for the listed building will be optimised.

**your wish****posctard no:**

---

**SEA Environmental Report****130 / 1291****Table****7****comment**

I note and welcome the tables which have been provided to provide clarity regarding the SEA requirements of the proposed policy framework.

**your wish****posctard no:**