

## Potential Additional Sites Consultation

---

**305 / 344 Prop0030 Crookston Site D**

It is considered that those sites which have the potential to accord with the preferred LDP strategy should have minimal implications for Renfrewshire. For this reason, Renfrewshire Council offer no objection to the sites which accord with the strategies and policy options outlined within the Main Issues Report. There is some concern that the development of site 30 could potentially weaken the greenbelt position between Renfrewshire and Glasgow and potentially result in coalescence.

---

**510 / 576 Prop0030 Crookston Site D**

We have a general concern that there appears to be no detailed, transport-based assessment of the suitability of sites for development, in order to ensure that sustainable modes are built in from the outset. We have a specific concern that site 30 is representative an on-going encroachment into the Greenbelt and a potential extension of urban sprawl. This type of development should be discouraged in Glasgow, where there remains a significant amount of derelict industrial land. Our concerns regarding transport outlined above also have particular relevance to these sites.

---

**522 / 597 Prop0030 Crookston Site D**

We would be content for this site to be taken forward, provided the built footprint was specifically limited to take account of natural heritage issues, ideally through modified site boundaries. This site's contribution to the Green Belt lies in providing informal recreation and a landscape setting. Both these functions are likely to be especially valued because Leverndale Hospital is adjacent. If the whole site was developed they would be lost, amounting to significant adverse effects on the SSLI. There is considerable potential for habitat enhancement to strengthen the White Cart Water green corridor / SINCC, particularly in the site's northern half. Therefore the site might accommodate quite limited development to the south, provided this was well designed to fully integrate with the remaining greenspace, rather than isolating it.

---

**534 / 738 Prop0030 Crookston Site D**

The allocation of this site would result in an increased level of residual risk. Water resilient measures required.

---

**535 / 648 Prop0030 Crookston Site D**

In a city with widespread contamination and available brown field sites we suggest that loss of greenbelt and greenspace will harm the population by usually affecting human health, fauna, flora, soil, water, mitigation of climatic change etc even if these have not been measured. We would ask you to consider retaining the greenbelt/greenspace designation for site 0030.

---

**305 / 345 Prop0031 Crookston Site F**

It is considered that those sites which have the potential to accord with the preferred LDP strategy should have minimal implications for Renfrewshire. For this reason, Renfrewshire Council offer no objection to the sites which accord with the strategies and policy options outlined within the Main Issues Report. There is some concern that the development of site 31 could potentially weaken the greenbelt position between Renfrewshire and Glasgow and potentially result in coalescence.

---

**488 / 545 Prop0031 Crookston Site F**

We are concerned about this proposal for development the site boundary includes Bull Wood, which is a City-wide Site of Importance for Nature Conservation (C-SINC) and an Ancient Semi-Natural Woodland. Any development would have to be carefully designed to ensure that Bull Wood is not adversely affected. This could potentially include creating a buffer area between the development and the woodland. Opportunities for expanding and enhancing the C-SINC should also be considered, in keeping with the principles of the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Green Network.

---

**510 / 629 Prop0031 Crookston Site F**

We have a general concern that there appears to be no detailed, transport-based assessment of the suitability of sites for development, in order to ensure that sustainable modes are built in from the outset. We have a specific concern that site 31 is representative an on-going encroachment into the Greenbelt and a potential extension of urban sprawl. This type of development should be discouraged in Glasgow, where there remains a significant amount of derelict industrial land. Our concerns regarding transport outlined above also have particular relevance to these sites.

---

**522 / 592 Prop0031 Crookston Site F**

We do not support this site being taken forward into the Proposed Plan, due to strong concerns about the principle of development at the proposed scale. We note the long history of developer interest in this general area; the proposal site appears different from the area referred to as Site F over a decade ago. We are particularly concerned that allocation of the site for development would not be acceptable:

The Bull Wood and Blacksley Wood make up nearly half of the proposal site. They are both in the highest value category of the Ancient Woodland Inventory: Ancient of Semi-Natural Origin, indicating continuous natural woodland cover for at least 250 years. We consider that loss even of part of these woods could not be mitigated in ecological terms. Therefore the required 'mitigation' in SEA terms would be for development to completely avoid them. Moreover, the woods are part of larger habitat areas designated as C-SINC in City Plan 2. It might be that the eastern corners of the C-SINC could be developed without damaging its overall integrity, but over 60% of the proposed site would still be not developable. Based on the above, the residual developable area would be the narrow open space lying between the two woods. However this is an important part of a Green Belt wedge, shared with Renfrewshire, which has high strategic significance for the Green Network of greater Glasgow.

Firstly, the wedge provides countryside separation between Paisley and the fringes of Glasgow, giving important recreational opportunities. Secondly it provides a relatively wide link between the rural hinterland to the south (Brownside Braes etc) and the green corridor of the White Cart Water, 'bypassing' the narrower section of the Levern Water. Its 'patchwork' of habitats has specific additional ecological value. These attributes have clear benefits for wildlife connectivity. We consider that they also justify the area's existing designation as Green Belt and a Site of Special Landscape Importance (SSLI). The area is part of the planned landscape associated with the Hurler and Leverdale Estates. The Glasgow & Clyde Valley Landscape Assessment stresses that the balanced patchwork of open land and woodland in such areas should be conserved ('Urban Greenspace' landscape type). Development of the open space between the two woods would instead cause further landscape fragmentation, adversely affecting key attributes of the SSLI. It would halve the width of the Green Belt in this location.

We suggest the site has potential for greater community involvement integrated with habitat enhancements, possibly as a Local Nature Reserve. There may be potential for planting on its eastern side to form the basis for a more robust Green Belt edge.

---

**529 / 609 Prop0031 Crookston Site F**

The site does not fall within:

- a 400m walk in distance of a bus stop served by at least 1 bus per hour (7am to 7pm);
- a 400m walk in distance of a bus stop served by at least 6 buses per hour (7am to 7pm); or
- an 800m walk in distance of a rail station

SPT would not support the development of this site.

---

**534 / 739 Prop0031 Crookston Site F**

The allocation of this site would result in an increased level of residual risk. We will require a FRA / other relevant additional information to be submitted at the planning application stage so that the risk posed by minor watercourse that passes through the site can be fully assessed. Areas near the watercourse may not be available for development. Assessment of flood risk required.

---

**535 / 649 Prop0031 Crookston Site F**

In a city with widespread contamination and available brown field sites we suggest that loss of greenbelt and greenspace will harm the population by usually affecting human health, fauna, flora, soil, water, mitigation of climatic change etc even if these have not been measured. . We would ask you to consider retaining the greenbelt/greenspace designation for site 31.

---

**523 / 603 Prop0032 Lomond St/Hawthorn St/Denmark St**

large diameter main in Hawthorn street - no other major issues

---

**534 / 616 Prop0032 Lomond St/Hawthorn St/Denmark St**

Some WML and PPC permits in vicinity Part B permit Allied Vehicles & Balmore Auto Parts WML. Connection to ScWater sewer required

---

**534 / 740 Prop0032 Lomond St/Hawthorn St/Denmark St**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**510 / 638 Prop0033 Temple Road**

We are concerned at proposal 33 which encroaches on greenspace within the city. Given the scarcity of such space within the built city environment and the recognised benefits such space brings, this is something that should be avoided.

---

**523 / 685 Prop0033 Temple Road**

no issues nearest water main at switchback

---

**529 / 726 Prop0033 Temple Road**

This site is accessible only by rail. It is less accessible than many of the other additional sites and is likely to require a private car to access - this site should not be considered for development which is likely to create a large number of person trips.

---

**534 / 741 Prop0033 Temple Road**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. However, the Canal could pose a source of additional residual risk if it is elevated relative to the site. Should this be the case we recommend that contact is made with Scottish Canals to ascertain the inspection and maintenance regime for this section. Water resilient measures required

---

**535 / 650 Prop0033 Temple Road**

In a city with widespread contamination and available brown field sites we suggest that loss of greenbelt and greenspace will harm the population by usually affecting human health, fauna, flora, soil, water, mitigation of climatic change etc even if these have not been measured. We would ask you to consider retaining the greenbelt/greenspace designation for site 0033.

---

**26 / 42 Prop0034 Damshot Crescent**

This proposed housing allocation is located in a site on the very western side of the Pollok Park designed landscape, which is included in the Inventory of Gardens and Designed Landscapes in recognition of its national importance. Although historically forming part of the Pollok House estate, the site has now been physically and visually divorced from the rest of the designed landscape by the M77 motorway. The motorway runs north-south through a wide cutting along the eastern side of the site and is screened from view with new planting. For this reason, Historic Scotland is content that, with appropriate mitigation, it should be possible to accommodate some residential development in this location.

Appropriate mitigation, through design and/ or planting, should ensure that any development would not be visible from the core of the Pollok Park designed landscape, either. The site itself is composed of mature woodland and open ground and any proposed development should carefully consider retaining much of the mature broadleaved trees into the design.

---

**312 / 355 Prop0034 Damshot Crescent**

We are concerned that the site assessment for site 34 is incorrect in a number of respects. No part of the site is in the greenbelt, it is all allocated greenspace. It is not within the Pollok Park Conservation Area and there is no farmhouse on the site. The site is not within a SINC and nor does the majority (if any) of the site flood. Development would not encroach on the woodland. We seek that you entirely re-assess this evaluation.

---

**510 / 639 Prop0034 Damshot Crescent**

We are concerned at proposal 34 which encroaches on greenspace within the city. Given the scarcity of such space within the built city environment and the recognised benefits such space brings, this is something that should be avoided.

---

**522 / 596 Prop0034 Damshot Crescent**

We would be content for this site to be taken forward, provided the built footprint was specifically limited to take account of natural heritage issues, ideally through modified site boundaries. We believe there may be little or no capacity for development on this site, given the need to maintain its natural heritage functions. Firstly, it has particular value to the local community as recreational greenspace which before the construction of the motorway was an integral part of Pollok Park designed landscape. Any new housing on the east side of Damshot Crescent would need careful design to avoid the typical problem of housing 'turning its back on' greenspace, making it less accessible and inviting.

Secondly, the site's mosaic of woodland and grassland gives it additional Green Network value. Ecologically this mosaic augments the wildlife corridors of both the M77 to the east and the White Cart Water to the north, and in landscape terms it is the defining characteristic of this pocket of the SSLI.

---

**523 / 686 Prop0034 Damshot Crescent**

no major issues depending on size of development. Network investigations may be required depending on size of development

---

**534 / 742 Prop0034 Damshot Crescent**

The allocation of this site would result in an increased level of residual risk. Water resilient measures required

---

**535 / 651 Prop0034 Damshot Crescent**

In a city with widespread contamination and available brown field sites we suggest that loss of greenbelt and greenspace will harm the population by usually affecting human health, fauna, flora, soil, water, mitigation of climatic change etc even if these have not been measured. . We would ask you to consider retaining the greenbelt/greenspace designation for site 0034.

---

**336 / 387 Prop0035 Mid Netherton, Carmunnock**

Fully supports the need for additional greenfield sites and Mid-Netherton farm is an entirely appropriate and suitable site. This site is an effective opportunity to create an attractive housing site on the edge of Castlemilk in line with National Planning and Strategic Planning guidance. Supports the release of this site as an effective opportunity for development within the first 5 years of the LDP.

---

**510 / 630 Prop0035 Mid Netherton, Carmunnock**

We have a general concern that there appears to be no detailed, transport-based assessment of the suitability of sites for development, in order to ensure that sustainable modes are built in from the outset. We have a specific concern that site 35 is representative an on-going encroachment into the Greenbelt and a potential extension of urban sprawl. This type of development should be discouraged in Glasgow, where there remains a significant amount of derelict industrial land. Our concerns regarding transport outlined above also have relevance to this site.

---

**534 / 743 Prop0035 Mid Netherton, Carmunnock**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**535 / 652 Prop0035 Mid Netherton, Carmunnock**

In a city with widespread contamination and available brown field sites we suggest that loss of greenbelt and greenspace will harm the population by usually affecting human health, fauna,, flora, soil, water, mitigation of climatic change etc even if these have not been measured. . We would ask you to consider retaining the greenbelt/greenspace designation for site 0035.

---

**522 / 683 Prop0036 Charles Street (Springburn Depot)**

We would strongly support the continued allocation of this site for transport infrastructure if this contributed to sustainable freight transport in Glasgow. If continued use for rail freight is not likely, we would recommend that the LDP should stipulate that any re-development realises opportunities for Green Network enhancements. These would provide east-west connectivity for wildlife and landscape character, making the most of the developing woodland at the site's eastern end. We note, however, that to create strategic connections, i.e. to Sighthill Cemetery, Sighthill Park and the active railway beyond, would require associated improvements outwith the site – notably the enhancements of habitats along and around Cobden Road.

---

**523 / 687 Prop0036 Charles Street (Springburn Depot)**

no issues - assumed redevelopment - trunk mains in royston road

---

**534 / 744 Prop0036 Charles Street (Springburn Depot)**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

1 /

**1 Prop0037 5 Southpark Avenue**

I wish to support the proposed greenspace designation, as this will improve amenity in the area.

---

7 /

**15 Prop0037 5 Southpark Avenue**

We support this proposal strongly, in order to preserve and enhance the character of the Glasgow West Conservation Area.

Belgrave Terrace is a typical Victorian terrace, one of the West End's 70+ Victorian and Edwardian terraces, which comprise the single most important and unique key character feature of this very large Conservation Area. Belgrave Terrace is located on the key thoroughfare, Great Western Road, in a busy location. There is considerable effort made across the entire conservation area to ensure that the character of the West End terraces is preserved and enhanced, whenever a development is proposed.

The site in question originally was the back garden space for the end property (ies) of Belgrave Terrace, and as such, should remain as a green space, and not be built on, because this would detract from the character of the Conservation Area, and of the Terrace. Normally it would not be necessary to designate such a piece of ground specifically as Greenspace, because it would be protected automatically in its role as a back garden. However, the ownership of this site has become separated from the terrace at some point, so it requires to be dealt with as a special case.

---

19 /

**32 Prop0037 5 Southpark Avenue**

I am in favour of retaining the site at 5 Southpark Ave./Belgrave Lane as a Greenspace to create a garden for the use of local residents. I understand that the trees growing on the site were felled illegally and the ground levelled.

---

275 /

**310 Prop0037 5 Southpark Avenue**

I am delighted by the recent works that the Council has instigated on the site, restoring top-soil, re-greening the site, and repairing the stone wall at the Southpark Avenue side. These are a key step in undoing the damage to this site which had resulted from the illegal activities of a would-be builder, and full praise is due to the Council's good intervention. The site was for more than a century an open space that provided urban woodland in this increasingly congested neighbourhood, and it is heartening that it can now become so again. On the survey made for the Scottish Reporter's Office in 2000, this site was rich in flora and fauna, and thanks to the recent good work by the Council it can begin again to provide a lung in this neighbourhood. I very strongly support the proposal for it to be designated as Greenspace on the new City Plan.

---

277 /

**313 Prop0037 5 Southpark Avenue**

I write in support of site no.0037 being designated green space. This site was, until 2006 a much valued green space in a densely built up area. It had several mature trees and it was a haven for birds and other wild life. The trees were an important feature of the landscape in this part of the conservation and were an antidote to the traffic and pollution on Great Western Rd. The importance of this site was noted in the Reporter's decision (P/PPA/260/183) of 15th August 2001, which stated in Para. 99 "... "while green space is undefined, I find that the undeveloped appeal site and the trees it supports fall within the literal meaning of the term." The illegal felling of all the trees on this site in 2006 was a shocking act of vandalism. We trust that the city council will protect this site for future generations, by designating it green space.

---

299 /

338 Prop0037 5 Southpark Avenue

I am writing in support of the proposal Prop0037 to rezone the site at 5 Southpark Avenue as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. The main reason for this request for change of zoning for the site at 5 Southpark Avenue from residential to greenspace is that it is a Greenfield site which has never been built on. Therefore, it is not appropriate for this land to be registered as a potential housing site. My grounds are that it is land which is clearly part of the green network of our city and is supporting biodiversity, flora, fauna and health improvement of the local population. This site is within the grounds of B listed Belgrave Terrace, which is a restored Georgian building located within the West Conservation Area. It is an informal open space which is regarded as the 'green lung' within this neighbourhood. This is a site of an established greenspace with mature trees which were felled illegally in 2006. It is designed as a rear garden area for the properties at Belgrave Terrace and as a space for woodland/tree planting.

---

510 /

642 Prop0037 5 Southpark Avenue

Transform Scotland warmly welcomes the inclusion of proposal 37 to convert existing development-designated land to greenspace.

---

523 /

688 Prop0037 5 Southpark Avenue

hillhead - looks like redevelopment no issues

---

534 /

745 Prop0037 5 Southpark Avenue

We have no objection to the allocation of this site as an greenspace on flood risk grounds.

---

544 /

628 Prop0037 5 Southpark Avenue

Support designation as green open space.

---

523 /

689 Prop0038 Trongate/Wilson St

merchant city - no issues - may be some minor diversions



---

**534 / 746 Prop0038 Trongate/Wilson St**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**286 / 321 Prop0039 Maxwell Road**

The proposal includes the bridges at the west and north of the site that cross over the railway. Such bridges have limitations on the right of access. This should be discussed further with Network Rail, any potential applicant and the Council. The inclusion of these bridges may require formal adoption by Glasgow City Council.

---

**475 / 526 Prop0039 Maxwell Road**

In my capacity as a local councillor for the ward in which Prop 0039 is located, I object to the proposal to change the site's designation. I trust you will take into account my representation when making your final decision.

---

**523 / 690 Prop0039 Maxwell Road**

tradeston -no major issues

---

**527** /**606 Prop0039 Maxwell Road**

We wish to make representations with regards to Prop0039 - the site at Maxwell Road where the proposer wants the site rezoned to Retail from the existing DPP of DEV8 Mixed Use. We have concerns. We have been advised that the reason for the change of designation is that the proposer wishes to develop the site as a supermarket. The site is adjacent to the 'B' listed tenements at 656-674 (even nos) Eglinton street and 2-28 (even nos) Maxwell road and forms part of the approach to East Pollokshields Conservation Area. The site also borders a Green Corridor down the side of the railway line. In addition the site is a former gas works so is likely to have issues with regards to contaminated land.

We have reservations about the proposed change of designation. If the site were to be regenerated any development would have to be commensurate with the scale and quality of the adjacent listed buildings and conservation area. We feel that this is more likely to be achieved under a Mixed Use designation rather than one for Retail so would rather see the existing DEV8 Mixed Use DPP retained. We are also concerned about the impact on the neighbourhood if a large scale retail development were given the go-ahead on this site. Not only do we have concerns regarding the potential impact on local retailers on Albert Drive there is also the potential for increased traffic flows and lack of capacity in the area if a retail development were given the go-ahead on this site. This is particularly a concern given the lack of connectivity between Maxwell Road and Eglinton Street.

However, our principal concern is that this is a further ad hoc development in an area that lacks a clear structure plan. The nascent East Pollokshields and Port Eglinton planning study (ref: City Plan 2: Part 2 - Development Strategy Priorities & Proposals: The Rest of the City: The Rest of the City, Areas Requiring Targeted Planning Action 8.23 East Pollokshields/Port Eglinton Planning Study) has been put on hold but in any case has been superseded by the advent of the M74 extension and the traffic flows that has brought as well as subsequent housing developments. In this vacuum we have subsequently had to deal with proposals for the extension of the United Wholesale Cash and Carry (Ref: 12/01272/DC Extension to Cash and Carry 204 Maxwell Road Glasgow G41 1SS) and are aware of plans to replace Glendale Primary school with a new primary school and a Gaelic school which also includes the East Pollokshields Primary Care Modernisation Project. We are concerned that these three proposals appear to be being developed in isolation from each other. Therefore we believe that there is a renewed impetus for a fresh start on a planning study for East Pollokshields/Port Eglinton so as to provide a joined up planning framework for these proposals to be properly evaluated.

---

**534** /**747 Prop0039 Maxwell Road**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**281** /**317 Prop0040 Osborne St**

At the end of 2011 we submitted a representation to the MIR on behalf of Linthouse Business Park Ltd. It requested that the site known as Linthouse Road, currently designated DEV 3 Industry and Business, be allocated for mixed development in the emerging LDP. Linthouse Business Park Ltd wishes to maintain their position as set out previously, and requests that the site be allocated for mixed development in the Proposed LDP.

---

**523** /**691 Prop0040 Osborne St**

city centre - should be no major issues depending on demand

---

**534 / 748 Prop0040 Osborne St**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**510 / 640 Prop0041 2229 London Rd**

We are concerned at proposal 41 which encroaches on greenspace within the city. Given the scarcity of such space within the built city environment and the recognised benefits such space brings, this is something that should be avoided.

---

**522 / 601 Prop0041 2229 London Rd**

Although the proposal is only a change in development type, this site raises natural heritage issues through its scale and location. There is potential for an important contribution to the Green Network by 'restoring' the disused railway corridor along the site's northern edge. Also, a green link across the site towards Auchenshuggle Wood could be an important element in green connectivity in the east of the city. We recommend that these opportunities should be stipulated in the LDP in the event that re-development were to be proposed.

---

**523 / 692 Prop0041 2229 London Rd**

no major issues distribution main in opposite side of london road

---

**534 / 749 Prop0041 2229 London Rd**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**535 / 653 Prop0041 2229 London Rd**

In a city with widespread contamination and available brown field sites we suggest that loss of greenbelt and greenspace will harm the population by usually affecting human health, fauna, flora, soil, water, mitigation of climatic change etc even if these have not been measured. . We would ask you to consider retaining the greenbelt/greenspace designation for site 0041.

---

1 /

**2 Prop0042 Western Infirmary (Part)**

I oppose these changes. This area already has oversupply of retail space, as shown by vacancies on both Byres Road and Dumbarton Road. We also have far too many student residences in this small area, and greater density of such provision will damage the amenity and quality of life for other residents.

---

3 /

**9 Prop0042 Western Infirmary (Part)**

I would like to object to this proposal to change the planning designation of the Western Infirmary (part) to Student Accommodation. I believe that a residential designation would be more appropriate than specifically student accommodation. Social and affordable housing is in short supply in this part of the city, and I would hope that any future residential development on this site would take full account of the housing need in the area, and plan for development which would achieve a sustainable housing mix. The change in the residential designation would also assist in the building of a community within this area rather than a transient population that student accommodation results in.

---

7 /

**16 Prop0042 Western Infirmary (Part)**

We object to this proposal strongly:

1. This proposal is premature, given that
  - a) the final Glasgow University Masterplan (which GU is working on closely, with GCC ), is at an advanced stage.
  - b) the current negotiations for the sale of that site by GGNHS to Glasgow University, are at an advanced stage.  
The outcomes of these must be clear, before it is relevant to consider any change of use.
2. If Glasgow University DOES purchase this site, which seems very likely, then the current use is essential to Glasgow University, and the proposed use would be totally inappropriate.
3. If Glasgow University DOES NOT purchase this site, then
  - a) GGNHS are not actually leaving the site for a few years anyway, so any proposed change of use would be better timed in the NEXT revision of the City Plan.
  - b) the proposed uses are not appropriate to the benefit of the West End as a whole, as additional retail will detract from the viability of the retail use in Byres Road,

---

12 /

**24 Prop0042 Western Infirmary (Part)**

I would like to object to this proposal to change the planning designation of the Western Infirmary (part) to Student Accommodation. I believe that a residential designation would be more appropriate than specifically student accommodation. Social and affordable housing is in short supply in this part of the city, and I would hope that any future residential development on this site would take full account of the housing need in the area, and plan for development which would achieve a sustainable housing mix.

The Yorkhill and Partick areas have both seen significant numbers of student accommodation developments in recent years, and while there is no issue with any individual development, I have had numerous concerns raised by the local communities about what they consider an overprovision of this type of accommodation. I am also aware that policies on student accommodation are being considered as part of the wider review for the Local Development Plan, and I am hopeful that this will allow measures to be put in place to ensure that there is in future a greater ability for the planning system to control and restrict such developments in areas where there are particularly high concentrations of students, including Yorkhill and, increasingly, Partick.

Of key importance to this is ensuring that communities do not find themselves disadvantaged by the introduction of a largely transient population, both in terms of having facilities and amenities to fulfil the needs of both the students and the permanent residents, and in avoiding the community cohesion being eroded. Given the high numbers of student accommodation developments, either constructed or with existing planning consent, in both Yorkhill and Partick, I question whether the option to build more student accommodation needs to be incorporated into the development policy principles for the redevelopment of these large sites. As an alternative, I would suggest that the Local Development Plan takes a more strategic view and aims to disperse new student accommodation developments over a wider area of the city than is currently the case.

Both this site and site 43 are in prime locations for housing for families, people with disabilities and the elderly, close to shops and with good public transport. The surrounding area is swamped with student accommodation which is distorting the demography of the area. Schools in the surrounding area are experiencing a drop in the number of pupils as families are moving out of the West End area and there has been school closures. A balance has to be struck, too many student ghettos is not good for community cohesion. Partick and the surrounding area does not need any more retail facilities existing retailers are struggling. You just need to look at the number of charity shops in Dumbarton Road and Byres Road.

The University of Glasgow acquired circa 10 acres of the Western Infirmary site in 2011. At the time of writing, the university is in the process of negotiating with the NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde Health Board to acquire the remaining 4 acres of the Western Infirmary site which has been identified as the site with Reference 'Prop0042'. The university would ask the Council to consider the impending acquisition of the aforementioned site in its consideration of the change of policy proposed by the NHS. The university consider the proposed change in policy designation to retail/commercial as premature and believe the change could potentially be in conflict with future requirements of the university estate should the site be deemed necessary for university facilities. The university would support the current policy designation of DEV9 Civic, Hospital or Tertiary Education as being relevant for the foreseeable future until such time that development needs or aspirations are fully established.

As the Council are aware the university is in the process of preparing a Campus Development Framework which will be developed to guide future requirements of the university estate and to set the urban design principles for future strategic campus changes. The university appointed a specialist team of consultants, led by Page/Park Architects as masterplanners, in November 2012 to work with the university over an 18 month period to develop the Campus Development Framework. The whole university campus at Gilmorehill including the full western infirmary site will be covered by the Campus Development Framework. The university remain committed to working closely with the Council and other key stakeholders such as Historic Scotland during the preparation of the Campus Development Framework to ensure its success both in preparation and implementation in the long term.

At this point in time we cannot predict all of the universities future requirements in terms of new or refurbishment buildings and for the built environment. The university propose that any change in policy, out with DEV 9 Civic, Hospital & Tertiary Education, which is deemed necessary or desirable will be fully informed by the outcomes of the Campus Development Framework to provide a full justification rather than as a speculative policy change.

---

**534 / 750 Prop0042 Western Infirmary (Part)**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**544 / 626 Prop0042 Western Infirmary (Part)**

We object strongly to the proposed change from from DEV 9 to commercial/retail /student accommodation

- 1 The university has a right to purchase.
2. The NHS is leaving the site
3. The intended use by the university is under DEV9
4. WE are opposed to the proposed use which will have an advserse effect on University (tertiary education) plans essential to its continuation as a major University with an international reputation and an important contributor to the status and economy of Glasgow.
5. The proposed use will have an adverse effect on the vulnerable sector of Byres Road and Dumbarton Road.
- 6 The proposed use will have an adverse effect on the conservation area and Hillhead and Partick in particular.

The whole proposal is contrary to the spirit and the intentions of the current city plan and all discussions we have had on the main issues for City Plan 3.

---

**1 / 3 Prop0043 Royal Hospital for Sick Children**

I oppose these changes. This area already has oversupply of retail space, as shown by vacancies on both Byres Road and Dumbarton Road. We also have far too many student residences in this small area, and greater density of such provision will damage the amenity and quality of life for other residents.

---

**12 / 25 Prop0043 Royal Hospital for Sick Children**

I would like to object to this proposal to change the planning designation of the Royal Hospital for Sick Children to Student Accommodation. I believe that a residential designation would be more appropriate than specifically student accommodation. Social and affordable housing is in short supply in this part of the city, and I would hope that any future residential development on this site would take full account of the housing need in the area, and plan for development which would achieve a sustainable housing mix.

---

**18 / 31 Prop0043 Royal Hospital for Sick Children**

This is a very densely populated area which has, in recent years, also seen a plethora of student hostels adding to the population density. It would be completely unacceptable to the community if the future use of the RHSC site became, even in part, yet another student hostel. I propose that this area be redesignated either as greenspace or as residential, but specifically excluding the possibility of any student accommodation or similar.

---

**206 / 237 Prop0043 Royal Hospital for Sick Children**

The Yorkhill and Partick areas have both seen significant numbers of student accommodation developments in recent years, and while there is no issue with any individual development, I have had numerous concerns raised by the local communities about what they consider an overprovision of this type of accommodation. I am also aware that policies on student accommodation are being considered as part of the wider review for the Local Development Plan, and I am hopeful that this will allow measures to be put in place to ensure that there is in future a greater ability for the planning system to control and restrict such developments in areas where there are particularly high concentrations of students, including Yorkhill and, increasingly, Partick.

Of key importance to this is ensuring that communities do not find themselves disadvantaged by the introduction of a largely transient population, both in terms of having facilities and amenities to fulfil the needs of both the students and the permanent residents, and in avoiding the community cohesion being eroded. Given the high numbers of student accommodation developments, either constructed or with existing planning consent, in both Yorkhill and Partick, I question whether the option to build more student accommodation needs to be incorporated into the development policy principles for the redevelopment of these large sites. As an alternative, I would suggest that the Local Development Plan takes a more strategic view and aims to disperse new student accommodation developments over a wider area of the city than is currently the case.

---

**339 / 390 Prop0043 Royal Hospital for Sick Children**

The Royal Hospital for Sick Children (Yorkhill) and Queen Mothers Hospital will become surplus to requirements in 2015. The site is considered to be suitable for a number of uses, including residential, commercial and student accommodation, etc.

---

**445 / 497 Prop0043 Royal Hospital for Sick Children**

In December 2011, we submitted a representation to the MIR on behalf of NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde. As outlined previously, an ongoing estates rationalisation programme is currently underway, and a number of landholdings have been declared surplus to requirements due to the reprovision of services elsewhere.

In order to reflect the cessation of healthcare use on this site, and to recognise potential alternative uses, a representation was submitted, requesting that the site currently designated as DEV 9: Civic, Hospital and Tertiary Education, be allocated as Residential and Supporting Uses within the emerging LDP. This would then enable a seamless disposal process once each of these sites becomes vacant. The NHS wishes to maintain this position and requests that, whilst we are in agreement that an element of retail/commercial/student accommodation would be appropriate for the site, we would also request that the Council would consider an element of residential use and, as such, reflect this in the LDP with a mixed use zoning.

---

**476 / 528 Prop0043 Royal Hospital for Sick Children**

Both this site and site 42 are in prime locations for housing for families, people with disabilities and the elderly, close to shops and with good public transport. The surrounding area is swamped with student accommodation which is distorting the demography of the area. Schools in the surrounding area are experiencing a drop in the number of pupils as families are moving out of the West End area and there has been school closures. A balance has to be struck, too many student ghettos is not good for community cohesion. Partick and the surrounding area does not need any more retail facilities existing retailers are struggling. You just need to look at the number of charity shops in Dumbarton Road and Byres Road.

---

**480 / 533 Prop0043 Royal Hospital for Sick Children**

The Royal Hospital for Sick Children (Yorkhill) and Queen Mothers Hospital will become surplus to requirements in 2015. The site is considered to be suitable for a number of uses, including residential, commercial and student accommodation, etc.

---

**501 / 566 Prop0043 Royal Hospital for Sick Children**

In December 2011, Jones Lang LaSalle submitted a representation to the Main Issues Report (MIR) for the emerging Glasgow Local Development Plan (LDP) on behalf of NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde.

As outlined previously, an ongoing estates rationalisation programme is currently underway within the Healthboard, and a number of landholdings have been declared surplus to requirements due to the reprovision of services elsewhere. In order to reflect cessation of healthcare use on the Yorkhill site, and to recognise potential alternative uses, a representation was submitted requesting that the site currently designated as DEV 9 'Civic, Hospital or Tertiary Education', be allocated as 'Residential and Supporting uses' within the emerging LDP. This would then enable a seamless disposal process once the site becomes vacant in its entirety.

We note that Glasgow City Council is currently undertaking further consultation on the emerging LDP and is accepting comments on potential additional sites, including the Yorkhill site (Ref: Prop0043), prior to producing the Proposed LDP. Please accept this letter as confirmation that NHS wishes to maintain their position as set out in our previous representation to the MIR (please see enclosed) and respectfully requests that whilst we are in agreement that an element of retail / commercial/ student accommodation would be appropriate for the site, we would also request that the Council would consider an element of residential use and as such reflect this in the Proposed LDP with a mixed use zoning.

---

**523 / 693 Prop0043 Royal Hospital for Sick Children**

Pumps and storage may be required depending on height of development.

---

**534 / 751 Prop0043 Royal Hospital for Sick Children**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**535 / 671 Prop0043 Royal Hospital for Sick Children**

There are a number of hospital sites being converted. Hospitals used to have gardens for the patients and if any of these remain they should be preserved.



---

**478 / 530 Prop0044 Lightburn Hospital**

The site is adjacent to pitches. Any development on the site would need to be designed to ensure no conflict between the two uses, and that the use of the pitches would not be affected.

---

**529 / 727 Prop0044 Lightburn Hospital**

This site is accessible by only 1 bus per hour. It is less accessible than many of the other additional sites and is likely to require a private car to access - this site should not be considered for development which is likely to create a large number of person trips.

---

**534 / 752 Prop0044 Lightburn Hospital**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**535 / 670 Prop0044 Lightburn Hospital**

There are a number of hospital sites being converted. Hospitals used to have gardens for the patients and if any of these remain they should be preserved.

---

**337 / 388 Prop0045 Orchard Wards, Ruchill Hospital**

The Orchard Wards will become surplus to requirements in the near future and the site should be allocated for an alternative use in the Proposed Plan. The site is considered to be suitable for a number of uses, including residential and a care home.

---

**443 / 495 Prop0045 Orchard Wards, Ruchill Hospital**

In December 2011, we submitted a representation to the MIR on behalf of NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde. As outlined previously, an ongoing estates rationalisation programme is currently underway, and a number of landholdings have been declared surplus to requirements due to the reprovion of services elsewhere.

In order to reflect the cessation of healthcare use on this site, and to recognise potential alternative uses, a representation was submitted, requesting that the site currently designated as DEV 9: Civic, Hospital and Tertiary Education, be allocated as Residential and Supporting Uses within the emerging LDP. This would then enable a seamless disposal process once each of these sites becomes vacant. The NHS wishes to maintain this position and request that the site be allocated "Residential and Supporting Uses" in the LDP.

---

**480 / 534 Prop0045 Orchard Wards, Ruchill Hospital**

The Orchard Wards will become surplus to requirements in the near future. It is submitted that the site should be allocated for an alternative use in the Proposed Plan. The site is considered to be suitable for a number of uses, including residential and a care home.

---

**501 / 565 Prop0045 Orchard Wards, Ruchill Hospital**

In December 2011, Jones Lang LaSalle submitted a representation to the Main Issues Report (MIR) for the emerging Glasgow Local Development Plan (LDP) on behalf of NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde.

As outlined previously, an ongoing estates rationalisation programme is currently underway within the Healthboard, and a number of landholdings have been declared surplus to requirements due to the reprovision of services elsewhere. In order to reflect cessation of healthcare use on the Orchard Wards site, and to recognise potential alternative uses, a representation was submitted requesting that the site currently designated as DEV 9 'Civic, Hospital or Tertiary Education', be allocated as Residential and Supporting Uses within the emerging LDP. This would then enable a seamless disposal process once the site becomes vacant.

We note that Glasgow City Council is currently undertaking further consultation on the emerging LDP and is accepting comments on potential additional sites, including the Orchard Wards site (Ref: Prop0045), prior to producing the Proposed LDP.

Please accept this letter as confirmation that NHS wishes to maintain their position as set out in our previous representation to the MIR (please see enclosed) and respectfully requests that the site be allocated for 'Residential and Supporting uses' in the Proposed LDP based on the reasons set out in our previous representation.

---

**523 / 694 Prop0045 Orchard Wards, Ruchill Hospital**

no major issues

---

**529 / 728 Prop0045 Orchard Wards, Ruchill Hospital**

This site is accessible by only 1 bus per hour. It is less accessible than many of the other additional sites and is likely to require a private car to access - this site should not be considered for development which is likely to create a large number of person trips.

---

**534 / 753 Prop0045 Orchard Wards, Ruchill Hospital**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

There are a number of hospital sites being converted. Hospitals used to have gardens for the patients and if any of these remain they should be preserved.

The Orchard Wards landholding forms part of a wider parcel of land which also includes the Former Ruchill Hospital grounds, situated immediately to the south east and abutting our clients' landholding. As such, the future development of both sites is intrinsically linked, in terms of co-locational benefits including access to the site and other infrastructure requirements such as education, transportation and utilities.

The Local Development Plan is being prepared at a time when the housing market is experiencing a marked downturn due to wider adverse economic conditions, in contrast to the conditions under which the City Plan 2 was produced. A flexible approach to housing land will be required in order to ensure that suitable housing sites are allowed to come forward, in all sectors of the housing market. The Former Ruchill Hospital site is identified in the City Plan 2 as being allocated for future housing development (Key Site 3) in support of the Ruchill/Keppoch New Neighbourhood.

Scottish Enterprise supports the proposal for future Residential and Supporting Uses on the Orchard Wards site. The Orchard Wards site is located adjacent to Scottish Enterprise's landholding at Ruchill, to the south east corner, and extends to approximately 2.6 Ha; when considered together, the combined sites extend to nearly 18Ha. In terms of future potential for redevelopment, both sites are able to contribute to the housing land supply in the medium term as part of the Ruchill/Keppoch New Neighbourhood.

Both sites can achieve access to the surrounding road network and, in terms of the wider site, providing access points from both Bilsland Drive and Panmure Street would allow a greater number of housing units to come forward on the overall site. The traffic resulting from the future development of the wider site for residential use could more easily be absorbed into the road network if access were to be achieved from both the north and south.

Should both sites come forward for development at the same time, the resulting impact on education requirements and transport infrastructure would be more easily assessed, as opposed to a more piecemeal approach to the future of the wider site, which would be at odds with the aims of the Key Regeneration Area status set out in the City Plan 2.

The comprehensive redevelopment of the sites would allow for better integration with the surrounding community, in terms of the provision of local facilities to serve the site and the surrounding area and the improvement of its environmental quality. This approach is in line with the aim stated in the Main Issues Report in respect of the "promotion of local services and amenities in major new development" (issues 3.5 and 6.2)".

Parkhead Hospital will become surplus to requirements in the near future. It is considered that the site represents an opportunity to regenerate a brownfield site which will become vacant. The site is considered to be suitable for a number of uses, including retail, commercial and residential. An alternative use could integrate well with Parkhead Forge and the Forge Market. The economic and employment benefits would be important to the local area and could also have regenerative benefits for the surrounding community.

---

**442 / 494 Prop0046 Parkhead Hospital**

In December 2011, we submitted a representation to the MIR on behalf of NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde. As outlined previously, an ongoing estates rationalisation programme is currently underway, and a number of landholdings have been declared surplus to requirements due to the reprovision of services elsewhere.

In order to reflect the cessation of healthcare use on this site, and to recognise potential alternative uses, a representation was submitted, requesting that the site currently designated as DEV 9: Civic, Hospital and Tertiary Education, be allocated as Town Centre within the emerging LDP. This would then enable a seamless disposal process once each of these sites becomes vacant. The NHS wishes to maintain this position and request that the site be allocated "Town Centre" in the LDP.

---

**480 / 535 Prop0046 Parkhead Hospital**

Parkhead Hospital will become surplus to requirements in the near future. It is considered that the site represents an opportunity to regenerate a brownfield site which will become vacant. The site is considered to be suitable for a number of uses, including retail, commercial and residential. The economic and employment benefits would be important to the local area and could also have regenerative benefits for the surrounding community.

---

**501 / 564 Prop0046 Parkhead Hospital**

In December 2011, Jones Lang LaSalle submitted a representation to the Main Issues Report (MIR) for the emerging Glasgow Local Development Plan (LDP) on behalf of NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde. As outlined previously, an ongoing estates rationalisation programme is currently underway within the Healthboard, and a number of landholdings have been declared surplus to requirements due to the reprovision of services elsewhere.

In order to reflect cessation of healthcare use on the Orchard Wards site, and to recognise potential alternative uses, a representation was submitted requesting that the site currently designated as DEV 9 'Civic, Hospital or Tertiary Education', be allocated as 'Town Centre' within the emerging LDP. This would then enable a seamless disposal process once each of these sites become vacant.

We note that Glasgow City Council is currently undertaking further consultation on the emerging LDP and is accepting comments on potential additional sites, including the Parkhead Hospital site (Ref: Prop0046), prior to producing the Proposed LDP. Please accept this letter as confirmation that NHS wishes to maintain their position as set out in our previous representation to the MIR (please see enclosed) and respectfully requests that the site be allocated for 'Town Centre' in the Proposed LDP based on the reasons set out in our previous representation.

---

**523 / 695 Prop0046 Parkhead Hospital**

no major issues

---

**534 / 754 Prop0046 Parkhead Hospital**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

The continued regeneration of Glasgow City will have wider benefits not just for Glasgow but for the wider region, including East Dunbartonshire. In particular, the regeneration of Glasgow North should be given a high priority given the current high levels of multiple deprivation in comparison with other parts of the city. It is considered that delivery within the currently identified Community Growth Area at Robroyston would be compromised should additional land in the north of Glasgow be released from the greenbelt. The release of these sites would also conflict with the Scottish Government's aspirations for sustainable economic development and climate change mitigation.

Overall, East Dunbartonshire Council is supportive of Glasgow City Council's focus on sustainability and regeneration. The Glasgow MIR reflects the vision and policy contained in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan and is also consistent with East Dunbartonshire Council's Strategic Policy Direction of Sustainable Growth as set out in the adopted Local Plan 2.

East Dunbartonshire would therefore not support the release of additional greenbelt land at either location as this would conflict with the Strategic Development Plans aim of "maintaining a sustainable compact city-region". Instead, East Dunbartonshire Council would continue to support the prioritisation of brownfield development across the city region. As stated in Option 1.1A, these are more sustainable in the long term than small scale Greenfield release sites. Greenfield release should only be considered after brownfield opportunities have been exhausted and currently identified Community Growth Areas have been built out.

Grounds: Impact on Adjacent Local Authority and Greenbelt

The development of this site for up to 200 residential units would lead to an increase in the volume of traffic using the Auchinairn Road, although most of this traffic would likely be travelling in the direction of Glasgow City rather than East Dunbartonshire. The completion of the Bishopbriggs Relief Road could however help mitigate any potential impact on Bishopbriggs town centre.

The adopted East Dunbartonshire Local Plan 2 allocates land for housing and mixed uses to the north of the proposed site (HMU 1 (14) and UC1: Bishopbriggs East. The principle of development at this location was confirmed through the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Joint Structure Plan, and was allocated largely on the basis of its contribution to the funding of the Bishopbriggs Relief Road and to help support the viability of a future rail halt at Westerhill.

However, the intention was that the Bishopbriggs Relief Road would act as a 'defensible' long-term greenbelt boundary, which is one reason why land to the east of the BRR was not allocated for development. The proposed development within the Glasgow City boundary, which is primarily to the east of the BRR, would be at odds with this strategy and would lead to a disjointed pattern of development in this part of the city region. This would also mean that the area of land north of the Auchinairn Road at Wester Lumloch within East Dunbartonshire would be bordered by residential developments to the west and south and potentially increase the risk of speculative development approaches on this area of greenbelt.

We are concerned that this proposal for development is currently scrubland, which is a valuable wildlife habitat. It is also adjacent to a Local Site of Importance for Nature Conservation (L-SINC) Any development would have to be carefully designed to ensure that the L-SINC is not adversely affected. This could potentially include creating a buffer area between the development and the L-SINC. Opportunities for expanding and enhancing the L-SINC should also be considered, in keeping with the principles of the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Green Network.

We have a general concern that there appears to be no detailed, transport-based assessment of the suitability of sites for development, in order to ensure that sustainable modes are built in from the outset. We have a specific concern that site 47 is representative an on-going encroachment into the Greenbelt and a potential extension of urban sprawl. This type of development should be discouraged in Glasgow, where there remains a significant amount of derelict industrial land. Our concerns regarding transport outlined above also has relevance to this site.

---

**522 / 595 Prop0047 Auchinairn Road**

We do not support this site being taken forward into the Proposed Plan, due to strong concerns about the principle of development at the proposed scale. We note that the northern half of this site may lie in East Dunbartonshire, unless the "Greenacres" boundary change has been implemented. Our comments below relate to the entire site. It lies within the Robroyston Community Growth Area (CGA), but in 2011 it was excluded from the application for permission in principle to develop the CGA for housing. With reference to the IER, development of this site would not "isolate" the neighbouring L-SINCs from the Green Network, but we agree there would be impacts which could be mitigated. The connection between Wester Lumloch L-SINC (the Stand Burn) and the countryside could be largely protected by avoiding development on the proposal site's south-east corner. The LSINC's ecological value could even be enhanced if the proposal delivered a buffer of enhanced habitat on the north side of the Stand Burn.

However the proposed development would adversely affect landscape character and visual amenity. This is because the site, with its elevated slopes, provides a distinct landscape setting to the new and proposed Robroyston communities. As well as detracting from this Green Belt function, development here would also weaken the ability to appropriately direct future development. This is because the new Green Belt edge to the east would be far less defensible than the current B765 and Stand Burn / Wester Lumloch L-SINC. We advised when the CGA proposal was being worked up that landscape capacity for built development would be a limiting factor. Now that development proposals over the remainder of the CGA have been progressed, this site assumes greater importance as a remnant of the SSLI. In this context, we consider the site does not have capacity for the proposed development.

---

**523 / 696 Prop0047 Auchinairn Road**

robroyston - aware of about 1600 houses here if in Stewart milne area then issues are known about model done previously if not additional investigation required

---

**529 / 729 Prop0047 Auchinairn Road**

This site is accessible by only 1 bus per hour. It is less accessible than many of the other additional sites and is likely to require a private car to access - this site should not be considered for development which is likely to create a large number of person trips.

---

**534 / 755 Prop0047 Auchinairn Road**

We will require a FRA / other relevant additional information to be submitted at the planning application stage so that the risk posed by minor watercourse that runs adjacent to the southern edge of the site can be fully assessed. Areas near the watercourse may not be available for development. The downstream culvert will also have to be assessed in terms of it's potential impact. Assessment of flood risk required, Water resilient measures required.

---

**535 / 654 Prop0047 Auchinairn Road**

In a city with widespread contamination and available brown field sites we suggest that loss of greenbelt and greenspace will harm the population by usually affecting human health, fauna., flora, soil, water, mitigation of climatic change etc even if these have not been measured. . We would ask you to consider retaining the greenbelt/greenspace designation for site 0047.

Caledon Residential and Gartloch Developments (Glasgow) Ltd are currently working in partnership in respect of the land identified as 'South of Cardowan' ref: Prop0048 currently owned by Gartloch Developments Ltd. The site forms part of the wider ownership of Gartloch Developments that includes land to the south, which is the subject of a pending planning application for 300 dwellings, and land to the east that extends north and east of Gartloch Hospital and to the edge of Gartcosh. This wider ownership is shown on the attached OS plan. All of this land, other than that falling within North Lanarkshire, is within the defined Easterhouse/Gartloch Community Growth Area (CGA).

The land has been the subject of numerous technical studies, most recently by URS/Aecom, that have sought to identify appropriate land for development in order to deliver the housing unit numbers that the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan envisages being developed within the CGA. Some of the land identified as constituting site ref: Prop0048 has previously been identified by URS/Aecom as being suitable for development whilst further technical work is currently ongoing by the partnership to assess the suitability of other areas within the wider ownership, including that area south of Cardowan that represents the bulk of the land identified as site ref: Prop0048. Early indications are that not only will land immediately south of Cardowan be suitable for development, but also other areas within the current Gartloch Developments ownership, which are equally devoid of significant constraints.

Once all developable areas are identified the intention is to present the technical findings to Glasgow City Council and simultaneously use this information to inform a new masterplan that will encompass not only site ref: Prop0048, but also further land to the south and east. This will also assess the opportunity to deliver the Easterhouse Regeneration Route, the Seven Lochs Wetland Park and other benefits for what will be a major community on the northern edge of the City encompassing Gartloch Developments land and linked with existing and proposed development at Gartloch Hospital. This masterplan will hopefully be complete by the close of the forthcoming consultation on The Local Development Plan for Glasgow Proposed Plan due early next year.

In the meantime the partnership would support the suggestion that site ref: Prop0048 be identified as a potential 'Residential' site, but would go further and suggest that the Proposed Plan should also indicate, or be annotated, such that a wider area than this can be considered for future residential development.

We object to this proposal for development due to its potential to adversely affect Gartloch Pools C-SINC and Bishop Loch SSSI, as well as wider biodiversity and flooding issues. We believe it would be contrary to existing policies in City Plan 2 including DEV 12, ENV 3, ENV 5, ENV 6 and ENV 7. Even with appropriate design, we cannot see how residential development could be incorporated into this area without having a significant adverse impact on biodiversity.

We have a general concern that there appears to be no detailed, transport-based assessment of the suitability of sites for development, in order to ensure that sustainable modes are built in from the outset. We have a specific concern that site 48 is representative an on-going encroachment into the Greenbelt and a potential extension of urban sprawl. This type of development should be discouraged in Glasgow, where there remains a significant amount of derelict industrial land. Our concerns regarding transport outlined above also has relevance to this site.



We do not support this site being taken forward into the Proposed Plan, due to strong concerns about the principle of development at the proposed scale. We are particularly concerned that allocation of this site for development would not be acceptable, for the following reasons:

1. The ecology of C-SINCs protected within City Plan 2 could be damaged. The value of the woodlands that make up much of the site's eastern half is recognised in their listing in the national Ancient Woodland Inventory. Also, it is not clear without analysis whether bird populations, especially geese, would continue to use the Gartloch Pools SINC and surrounding area if the proposed development went ahead. Lastly, there is potential development at the site's eastern edge to harm the peat-based habitat of Garnkirk Moss South either directly, or indirectly through hydrological change.

2. Even if development was fitted in-between retained woodland, it would 'fill in' a relatively large area of the Site of Special Landscape Importance (SSLI). It would have adverse impacts on key landscape attributes of the SSLI, and sever the Garnkirk Moss area from the

rest of the SSLI. Particular landscape sensitivities include:

- The site straddles the main 'through valley' whose undeveloped nature is one of the defining characteristics of the SSLI. Visually it occupies a turning point in this 'valley', where built development would close off rural vistas both eastwards, and north-west from the Lochwood area.

- The development would remove the distinctive semi-natural setting which the adjoining Gartloch Hospital designed landscape gains from the site's wooded farmland and expansive low wetlands. We note that the Glasgow & Clyde Valley Landscape Assessment discourages built development on such relatively intact parts of the 'Fragmented Farmlands' landscape type.

The Interim Environmental Report indicates that the above adverse impacts could be adequately mitigated, but we consider this unlikely unless most of the site remained undeveloped. The impacts would of course be greatly exacerbated should the adjoining

Gartloch Farm development, on which we advised in 2007-09, proceed.

3. The above impacts would significantly detract from the site's Green Belt functions:

- the site would no longer provide Easterhouse and Cardowan with a semi-natural 'farmland fringe' setting;
- the development would for the first time create coalescence of Easterhouse and Cardowan, both visually and physically in terms of replacing semi-natural habitat. It would effectively extend the Gartloch Hospital redevelopment outwith its recognised designed landscape confines;
- the development would remove significant opportunity for countryside recreation.

4. The development could be counter to both the overall strategy and detailed planning of the Seven Lochs Wetland Park. In terms of both landscape change and habitat loss (see above), it could significantly detract from the aim that the Park should fit new communities into very high quality Green Network, rather than vice versa. In addition we emphasise that the site lies within the catchment of Bishop Loch SSSI, notified for nationally important wetland habitats. As a result, and without prejudice to our above advice, any application for development would need to be supported by:

- a very highly specified SUDS scheme sufficient to ensure water quality and flow characteristics would be equivalent to pre-development conditions;
- detailed assessment of any contaminated land or implications of mine workings etc, leading to a detailed strategy to avoid any pollution to the SSSI;
- consideration of the above points cumulatively with the Gartloch Farm development proposal (as appropriate to their planning status).

We will require a FRA to be submitted at the planning application stage so that the risk posted by the loch and the minor watercourses that run through the site can be fully assessed. Given the topography of the site, and the extent of the downstream floodplain we think that large sections of this site will be undevelopable due to flood risk. Assessment of flood risk required, Water resilient measures required.



---

535 /

**655 Prop0048 South of Cardowan**

In a city with widespread contamination and available brown field sites we suggest that loss of greenbelt and greenspace will harm the population by usually affecting human health, fauna, flora, soil, water, mitigation of climatic change etc even if these have not been measured. . We would ask you to consider retaining the greenbelt/greenspace designation for site 0048.

---

1 /

**4 Prop0049 Otago Street**

I strongly oppose the loss of greenspace in this location right by the River Kelvin and part of a key corridor for wildlife in the city as well as a useful and pleasant amenity for local residents

---

3 /

**10 Prop0049 Otago Street**

A comment regarding the Residential accommodation would not be targeted for student accommodation as within there are a number of purposes built building accommodating the student population. The area requires a mix of accommodation to address the housing need.

I would comment that it is positive that green space has been included in the proposal as this is required in this densely populated area.

---

4 /

**11 Prop0049 Otago Street**

We are horrified at this idea! If passed it would allow any Tom, Dick or Harry to build what they like on the banks of Glasgow's second River, subject to far simpler Planning Restrictions. I understand the applicant is trying to get this through and one only has to look at what he is trying to pass at present, the destruction of a well known Pub on the banks and the building in its place of student flats as well as flats up River.

---

5 /

**12 Prop0049 Otago Street**

I am writing to show my objection to recent attempts of ruining Otago Lane and its surrounding areas. Otago Lane is a massive asset to many locals and visitors by its nature as a small, independently run set of businesses. Not only this, but it occupies an area close to the river and surrounding green space, which has recently been tarnished by an ugly and imposing commercial building.

It is for this reason that I strongly oppose further plans to overhaul this area. Not only has there been little consideration for what locals think with regard to this (like many others, I have been involved in countless petitions opposing these plans); but green spaces which are beneficial to the community and city at large will also be jeopardized. Glasgow City Council itself stresses that, in accordance with Policy DEV 11, Green Space, "there is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space".

I think this is an outrage and I would like some explanations please.

---

6 /

**13 Prop0049 Otago Street**

I wish to register my objection to the proposal to repeal the Kelvin River Wildlife/Green corridor as stated in PROP0049. I believe this goes against the letter and the spirit of the council's DEV 11 policy in highly destructive and irreversible way.

We object to this proposal strongly, because it would detract from the character of the Glasgow West Conservation Area.

The site covers a postwar development of 4-storey flats in Otago Street, and also includes the whole of Otago Lane. Otago Lane is one of the 4 key Character Lanes in Glasgow West Conservation Area, along with Ashton Lane, Ruthven/Dowanside Lane and Cresswell Lane. It attracts many visitors and students because of its quirky character, and the presence of greenspace beside the River Kelvin, more than just the minimal wildlife corridor requirement, is very much part of this.

Otago Lane has been the subject of a long-running and highly controversial planning application, granted permission recently, to build new mews properties and flats in Otago Lane, 49 altogether. This will detract from the current quirky character of Otago Lane.

To remove the protection of Dev 11 Greenspace, as proposed here, would open the door to an even higher density of development and potentially much greater damage to the highly valued current character of Otago Lane. The designation of this site should therefore remain as Dev 11 Greenspace + Dev 2 Residential, and not be changed as proposed.

I am writing, in reference to the development proposal PROP0049, to express dismay and concern at hearing the news that Highlander House Ltd. have recently applied for the Kelvin River Green Corridor, which runs along the river bank linking Kelvingrove Park to the Botanic Gardens, to be repealed so as to aid the progression of their proposed development, despite strong local community objection.

I would refer you to Env 1 of the City plan 2, part 3: Development and Design Policies: It states: In accordance with policy DEV 11: Green Space, there is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space. Also I hope that you will keep Env 7 in mind when making any decision on this application. It states: AIM: To maintain, protect and enhance national, regional and local sites of landscape, cultural or nature conservation importance. POLICY: Proposals should not have an adverse effect, either directly or indirectly, on the integrity or character of one or more of the natural, or special, features covered by an Environmental Designation (see Definition) listed below, or those sites which receive such a designation during the lifetime of City Plan 2: Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) Local Nature Reserves (LNR) Sites of City-wide Importance for Nature Conservation (C-SINC) Local Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (L-SINC) Green Corridors (formerly Corridors of Wildlife and/or Landscape Importance) Sites of Special Landscape Importance (SSL) Tree Preservation Orders (TPO) Ancient, Long Established and Semi-natural Woodlands Gardens and Designed Landscapes Water courses, lochs, ponds and wetlands

Thank you for taking the time to read this email. I know I am not the only person writing to express concerns at the nature of the development on Otago Lane, and the potential repercussions any further decisions might have on the community and the protected riverside habitat which has been such a wonderful part of life in that part of the West End for so many years. Whilst it seems the council are determined to do away with Otago Lane and its long established and much-loved independent businesses, the large majority of local inhabitants are strongly opposed to the current development plans. I trust that any decision made will be made wisely, with consideration of the wishes and opinions of the local community and with close reference to the extremely sensible development policy guidelines laid out in the sections quoted above.

I think that additional building on this site would be detrimental:

- 1 It would destroy the character of Otago Lane, which is one of the most significant areas that give the West End its unique quality, comparable to Ruthven Lane and Ashton Lane.
- 2 The banks of the Kelvin at that point are part of a wonderful green corridor which must count as one of the major assets of the West End if not of the whole City of Glasgow. The banks of the Kelvin should be left green and protected throughout the length of the river.

The remaining area should be kept as greenspace.

I am writing to register my absolute disgust at plans recently revealed in proposals by Highlander House Ltd in developing in Otago lane. This group has already been shown to have gone against the wishes of locals. Now they wish to repeal the Kelvin River Wildlife corridor. As a long time resident of Glasgow's West End (12 years) I find it disgusting that a developer could even be allowed to challenge the corridor's existence. It is an important part of the west end, and serves as a habitat for an abundance of wildlife which I have seen with my own eyes, and is enjoyed by multitudes. Is there not already a lack of viable environmental habitat in the west end along the river bank? Breaking the corridor for the sake of development of MORE flats does not serve the public, only the profit driven motives of these developers, and would separate kelvingrove from the botanic gardens, a route used by a large amount of people for leisure.

This development contravenes planning policies ENV 01 - Open Space Protection, ENV 08 - Trees, Woodlands and Hedgerows and ENV 17 - Protecting the Water Environment.

As you are aware, over the past several years, residents and business owners in the Otago Lane area of Glasgow's West End have been petitioning to protect their community from a large-scale development plan (PROP0049) which, they fear, will detract from the character of their neighbourhood.

Recently, the developer who intends to embark on a large-scale building complex where Otago Lane now stands, has proposed repealing the Kelvin River Wildlife/Green Corridor. The original plans for the Otago Lane complex ignored the effects of his project upon the corridor, thus breaching various government protocol and policy regarding it. Now, he wishes to have protection of the corridor revoked, allowing him to resume his development plans.

A massive complex in Otago Lane would contradict various development and design policies, including DEV-11, which emphasise how Green Spaces add to Glasgow's character and biodiversity, and DEV-2, which supports enhancing the integrity of Glasgow's townscape. Such policies are very much concerned with open park spaces and neighbourhood character, which would be damaged by a massive, ill-fitting complex in place of the peaceful lane.

I would like to end on a personal note about my relationship to Glasgow. I first visited Glasgow as an exchange student during my undergraduate years. I loved it enough to return for my Masters degree, and I look forward to returning a second time for my doctorate. Otago Lane is one of the places in Glasgow which makes the city special. It would be greatly upsetting to see it disappear.

Please ensure that Otago Lane remains the charming place that it is today.

am writing concerning proposals to re-Zoning the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. In effect this will mean the end of the important River Kelvin wildlife corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area. I feel a decision to re-zone the area will neither be in the public interest or that of the environment and against the spirit of the city plan.

All the conservation area appraisals, including GCC's West End conservation appraisal, MAST architects' and the developers, acknowledges the green corridor as important as a visual amenity.

The protection of Green and Wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the GCC own city plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / Green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy, specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life.

I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the official the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing concerning proposals to re-zone the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. In effect this will mean the end of the important River Kelvin wildlife corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area.

A decision to re-zone the area would be against the public interest and that of the environment, and would also be against the spirit of the city plan. All the conservation area appraisals, including City Council's West End conservation appraisal, MAST architects' and the developer's, acknowledges the green corridor as important as a visual amenity.

The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Council's own city plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status, not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run-off into the river, which risks contravening the binding international EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy, specifically the sections on open spaces. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life.

I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the official the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000). On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life.

I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing concerning proposals to re-Zoning the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. In effect this will mean the end of the important River Kelvin wildlife corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area. I feel a decision to re-zone the area will neither be in the public interest or that of the environment and against the spirit of the city plan.

All the conservation area appraisals, including GCC's West End conservation appraisal, MAST architects' and the developers, acknowledges the green corridor as important as a visual amenity.

The protection of Green and Wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the GCC own city plan:

ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development".

Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space"

ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development.

ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / Green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy, specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life.

I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the official the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to you with concern and outrage about the proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The proposal to re-designate this area is reckless, and shows the applicant's lack of regard for Glasgow and the welfare of its inhabitants. The importance of the green corridor has been widely acknowledged. In the Glasgow city plan, the necessity of green and wildlife corridors is highlighted. ENV 1 Open Space Protection requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000). Removing the wildlife corridor will have a detrimental effect on the local community and the environment. This area is a well-loved part of the West End, attracting visitors along the Kelvin Walkway, as well as to homes and businesses in the area. The character of the West End would be irreparably undermined if there was disruption to the green space. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to you with concern and outrage about the proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The proposal to re-designate this area is reckless, and shows the applicant's lack of regard for Glasgow and the welfare of its inhabitants. The importance of the green corridor has been widely acknowledged. In the Glasgow city plan, the necessity of green and wildlife corridors is highlighted. ENV 1 Open Space Protection requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000). Removing the wildlife corridor will have a detrimental effect on the local community and the environment. This area is a well-loved part of the West End, attracting visitors along the Kelvin Walkway, as well as to homes and businesses in the area. The character of the West End would be irreparably undermined if there was disruption to the green space. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I wish to make the following representations with regard to the proposed redesignation of part of the site from DEV11 Greenspace to Residential.

The area currently designated as DEV11 Greenspace is an integral part of the riparian green corridor along the River Kelvin. Riparian corridors are a critical component of river ecology and provide an important transition between built up areas and aquatic environments. Riparian vegetation helps stabilise rivers by holding soils, containing and distributing sediment, and attenuating floods, and reduces water pollution by filtering runoff from adjacent areas. Riparian areas provide critical habitat for a number of wildlife species which depend on riparian areas for cover, food, and migration corridors. This is a far bigger issue than the Otago Lane site alone, and I am very much opposed to the removal of parts of the green corridor in a piecemeal manner, and in particular in response to the requirements of individual development sites. Any reduction or alterations to the riparian green corridor should in my view be undertaken as part of an overall review of how the ecology of the River Kelvin is best supported, in order that our integrated strategy for this important river can be strengthened. In contrast, the proposal in this consultation serves only to weaken the green corridor.

Regarding the overall site covered by Prop0049, Otago Lane has significant character, which attracts a large number of visitors. The green corridor along the River Kelvin is very much part of this character. While I am aware and accept that the remainder of the site is already designated for residential use, and that the detailed development management is outwith the scope of the local development plan, I do believe that planning policy should be able to fully take into account the detrimental effect proposals can have. In this instance, the redesignation of DEV11 Greenspace to Residential would have a significantly detrimental impact on the overall site, the highly valued character of Otago Lane, and indeed the wider context of the west end.

I strongly urge the council to retain the current status of the site at Otago Street as DEV2 Residential / DEV11 Greenspace.



I write to say how much I deplore the proposal from Highlander Housing for the site at Otago Street (site 49) to lose its designation as Greenspace and instead be redesigned as suitable for Residential Building. This would be in my view a retrograde move that would diminish the neighbourhood and render it less pleasant and comfortable for those of us who live here. Hillhead is already extremely congested, and is also increasingly bedevilled by cars, cars that pollute the air, cars that seek to park on every available inch of ground regardless of the effect (and often the damage) this causes. The patches of green which remain are precious to those of us who live here. I hope my objection to this redesignation can go on the record, and that it will help to prevent any decision which would worsen the neighbourhood for the existing community.

I write regarding proposal PROP0049. This seeks to end the Kelvin River Wildlife /Green corridor and is contrary to Glasgow City Council policies; ENV01, ENV08 and ENV17. Policy ENV01 ensures that "areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development ... to protect and enhance the quality of life ... an amenity of the communities they serve " The above proposal would have a negative impact on the health and well being of residents and would be detrimental to their quality of life. It would affect the amenity of the green corridor, the wild life it supports and the ecology of the river Kelvin.

Policy ENV 8 aims to protect, trees and woodlands from inappropriate development and states that "development should not cause the loss of, or serious damage to, trees, woodlands or hedgerows which are... of significant ecological.....or landscape value or are in a conservation area" The above proposal contradicts this policy. The site is in a conservation area and the above proposal is a threat to the local landscape, to the river bank and woodland and to the wild life corridor it supports.

Policy ENV 17 protects the water environment and ensures any new development " does not have an adverse impact on the water environment." The above proposal is contrary to this policy and is a threat to the Kelvin Wildlife/ Green corridor. This is vital for the protection of the riverside ecology and the wild life that depends on it. It is essential for the well being of local residents and a popular attraction for visitors and tourists. The proposal should be disallowed.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment. All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity.

The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.



I am writing to you to to oppose proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application proposed by Highlander House and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment. All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity.

The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I write to express concern at the proposals to re-designate the west bank of the river Kelvin at the rear of Otago Street and the foot of Otago Lane as only Residential from its current status as Residential and Green Space. Such a change, I feel, could result in some quite negative impacts in the area, particularly as the applicant intends to build in the area in a manner which is not generally supported by the local community. Currently the west bank of the river at this stretch forms part of a wildlife corridor between Kelvingrove Park and the Botanic Gardens, this corridor is also used as a public green space as there is a well-used footpath on the east bank - the west bank then also has a role as a visual amenity for the users of this green space. I think it is fair to say that this greenspace adds to the quality of life in the locality. By upholding the designation of Residential and Green Space the Development Plan Team would be protecting the environmental and public interest. While I realise that the Development Plan Team is working on the City Plan 3 at the moment, the development and design policies in City Plan 2 are drawn from the larger framework of regional and national development strategy and policy and should thereby remain largely relevant. In this regard I wish to draw attention to:

DEV 11 - the development policy principles relating to greenspace states that, "all green/open space areas (regardless of their size or purpose) are functionally important elements of Glasgow's green infrastructure... There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". In ENV 1 - this is again emphasised, "there is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space." Also stated are the types of open spaces which should be protected, of which this stretch of river can be categorised as amenity space, green corridor, and natural/semi-natural greenspace. In ENV 8 - the aim of the policy is to "protect trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development." Given that the application to remove the Green Space designation is being made with the intention of building contested development on this land then this policy is of direct relevance. A further concern is the increasing covering-over of open ground which can absorb rainfall and prevent flooding. This is remarked upon in ENV 17.

I hope that the Development Plan Team will reject this proposal, for it seems that it is a cynical attempt to manipulate planning restrictions for the purpose of personal profit, and it would come at the cost of an area providing much benefit to people in terms of quality of life, visual amenity and environmental conservation.

I am writing to protest against proposals to re-designate the west bank of the river Kelvin at the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane, as not having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route, linking Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will affect his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity.

The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please consider carefully the interests of the community you have been elected to represent, and the precious environment you have a duty to conserve, for all our sakes.

I am writing to protest against proposals to re-designate the west bank of the river Kelvin at the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane, as not having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route, linking Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will affect his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity.

The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please consider carefully the interests of the community you have been elected to represent, and the precious environment you have a duty to conserve, for all our sakes.

I understand that there is a proposal to remove the designation of wildlife corridor along the Otago Street bank of the River Kelvin. This (west) bank of the river is far less accessible than the opposing (east) bank which carries the much used Kelvin Walkway from Kelvingrove towards Maryhill. The Otago Street bank thus provides a far better and more protected environment for wildlife. I find it unacceptable that Highlander House Ltd, in seeking to redevelop Otago Lane, is attempting to obtain this redesignation in order to attempt to facilitate what is clearly an intrusive and insensitive development as far as the riverside frontage is concerned. I very much hope, therefore, that Glasgow City Council will see fit to resist the proposed redesignation of this piece of land.

I am writing concerning proposals to rezone the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route, that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area. I feel a decision to rezone the area will neither be in the public interest or that of the environment and against the spirit of the City Plan.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important as a visual amenity.

The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the GCC own city plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the official status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing, and the environment you are meant to be protecting, first.

I am writing concerning proposals to rezone the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route, that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area. I feel a decision to rezone the area will neither be in the public interest or that of the environment and against the spirit of the City Plan.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important as a visual amenity.

The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the GCC own city plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the official status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing, and the environment you are meant to be protecting, first.

I am writing concerning proposals to rezone the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route, that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area. I feel a decision to rezone the area will neither be in the public interest or that of the environment and against the spirit of the City Plan.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important as a visual amenity.

The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the GCC own city plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the official status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing, and the environment you are meant to be protecting, first.

I am very concerned that there is a proposal to remove the wildlife/green corridor along the west bank of the River Kelvin, to the rear of Otago Street. The proposal has come from Highlander House Ltd, because it will impede any development of Otagoe Lane. But the removal of the wildlife corridor will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

Indeed, the protection of wildlife corridors such as this is recommended in the GCC own city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires the council to ensure "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development" and also ENV 8, which requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development.

The West bank of the Kelvin is particularly important for wildlife, as it is the more sheltered of the two banks, being free of pedestrians and dogs. Both plant and animal life is protected here, both as a corridor and as a habitat, which is rare and commendable in an otherwise urban environment. The Council must recognise its obligation to encourage and preserve an open space which is so valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I sincerely hope that the Council will deny the application and abide by its own guidelines to retain the status of this special area; it has a duty to protect the community and the environment.

I write with deepest concern re the proposals to rezone the area at the end of Otago Lane and around Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. In effect, this will mean the end of the important River Kelvin wildlife corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned over the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens. They no doubt consider this will impede their plans to build in the area. In this day and age, I feel certain that Glasgow City Council would wish to ensure the public interest rather than follow blindly the imperative of commercial profit over the environment. It is my considered opinion that a decision to re-zone the area will:

- Not be in the public interest
- Not be in the environmental interests of the city
- Will change the essential nature of Glasgow from that of the "Dear Green Place"
- Be against the spirit of the City Plan.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important as a visual amenity.

The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the GCC own city plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing, and the environment you are obliged to protect first.



I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life.

I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing, and the environment you are obliged to protect first.

Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing, and the environment you are obliged to protect first.



Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing, and the environment you are obliged to protect first.

Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing, and the environment you are obliged to protect first.

Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing, and the environment you are obliged to protect first.

Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing, and the environment you are obliged to protect first.

Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing, and the environment you are obliged to protect first.

Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing, and the environment you are obliged to protect first.

Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing, and the environment you are obliged to protect first.

Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing, and the environment you are obliged to protect first.

Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing, and the environment you are obliged to protect first.

Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area.

Support the allocation of the site for residential development in the new LDP. Note that the loss of greenspace and flooding are highlighted as issues in the SEA for the site. SEPA did not object to the proposed development of the site and the issue of the potential loss of greenspace and the effect on the River Kelvin wildlife corridor was fully assessed in the report on the planning application to Committee. The DEV 11 greenspace designation covers only a very small area on the eastern boundary of the site. The report assessed the development proposal against the City Plan environmental policies and concluded that the proposal would not have any effect on the greenspace designation given that all construction works would be set back from the edge of the River Kelvin. The report also noted that the proposals would introduce native species of plant and trees which would have a positive impact in terms of the site's ability to operate as a wildlife corridor. As such, the comments in the SEA are somewhat inconsistent with the Council's previous findings.

Seeks that the LDP reflects the approval which has been given, in line with other developments.



Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to remove the wildlife corridor designation from the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status quo of this lovely area.



---

**347** /**398 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Friends of Kelvingrove Park aims to protect the greenspace of the park. A key feature of the park is the River Kelvin and its associated habitats, and the wildlife corridor, especially that part which is followed by the Kelvin Walkway to the Botanic Gardens. This proposal would negatively effect the wildlife corridor, right in the middle of this popular and much appreciated walkway. The whole of the River Kelvin counts as both Green Corridor and Site of Importance for Nature Conservation. It is this function which our members think should be protected by the continuation, not the removal, of the environmental designation of the site at Otago Lane. The protection of conservation and environmental features by the City Plan and other policies is a positive contribution by the City Council which should be encouraged, not thrown aside at the behest of developers.

---

**348** /**399 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with the City Plan policy ENV1 there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public green space, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing the wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan 2 policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species, and they must be protected.

---

**349** /**400 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with the City Plan policy ENV1 there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public green space, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing the wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan 2 policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species, and they must be protected.

---

**350** /**402 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with the City Plan policy ENV1 there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public green space, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing the wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan 2 policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species, and they must be protected.

---

**351** /**403 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with the City Plan policy ENV1 there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public green space, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing the wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan 2 policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species, and they must be protected.

---

**352** /**404 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with the City Plan policy ENV1 there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing the wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan 2 policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species, and they must be protected.

---

**353 / 405 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**354 / 406 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**355 / 407 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**356 / 408 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**357 / 409 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**358 / 410 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**359 / 411 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**360 / 412 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**361 / 413 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**362 / 414 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**363 / 415 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**364 / 416 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**365 / 417 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**366 / 418 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**367 / 419 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**368 / 420 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**369 / 421 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**371 / 423 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**372 / 424 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**373 / 425 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**374 / 426 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**375 / 427 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**376 / 428 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**377 / 429 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**378 / 432 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**379 / 430 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**380 / 431 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**381 / 351 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**382 / 433 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**383 / 434 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.



---

**384 / 435 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**385 / 436 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**386 / 437 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**388 / 439 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**389 / 440 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**390 / 441 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**391 / 442 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**392 / 443 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**393 / 444 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**394 / 445 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**395 / 446 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**396 / 447 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.



---

**399 / 449 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**400 / 450 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**401 / 451 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

---

**402 / 452 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Objects to the removal of the wildlife corridor status from the banks of the River Kelvin. In accordance with City Plan 2 (ENV 1) there should be a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public greenspace, specifically including green corridors such as that along the River Kelvin, except where clear public benefit can be demonstrated. By removing wildlife corridor status, wildlife habitats will be threatened and potentially fragmented (violating City Plan policy ENV 8). The River and its banks are a key wildlife habitat for many species and they must be protected.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment. Sometimes integrity has to be given priority over investment. The wild spaces, the nature and the uniqueness of places such as Tchai Ovna are a great part of Glasgow's charm. Please don't make this place another sterile city. "The fish that never swam, the bell that never rang, the bird that never flew, the tree that never grew" - please let this only be a story of Glasgow's past and not its future.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

It is imperative that this area retains its wildlife corridor status, to avoid setting a most unsettling precedent, wherein protected statuses of various valuable parts of our environmental heritage can be arbitrarily stripped to benefit purely commercial interests. The environmental heritage Glasgow boasts is something of which we all have a right to be proud. We must not allow our beautiful city to be laid to ruin. The wildlife corridor on the west bank of the river is a glorious backdrop to the popular Otago Lane where residents, business owners and their customers have expressed repeated objections to the devastating and destructive acts of vandalism planned. In this space, wildlife flourishes, contributing to the relaxed atmosphere so many of us enjoy. Do the right thing. Protect the wildlife corridor status of this magnificent corner of our beloved Glasgow lest that very name "Dear Green Place" should take on the bitter twist of irony. For once this asset is gone, we can never get it back.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.



Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

I am particularly concerned that there has been only a very unsatisfactory Environmental Impact Assessment made, all of 1 page. This is, by far, not enough to base a decision on. Also, I am concerned that the main information the Council has been provided with is from a report funded and commissioned by the developer. This report was slammed by Professor Downie of the Institute of Biodiversity. There are otters living in the area and any removal of green space will be habitat less and reverse the gains made since the enactment of the GCC biodiversity programme 2001.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

This tea shop is a haven of peace, tranquility and hospitality. This is unique for a city - you feel you are completely away from the traffic, crowds and commercialism. It is just wonderful. It is vital to protect Otago Lane and its environment. This is a green space - a wildlife haven - otters have been spotted in the river! It would be a travesty to destroy this.

DRS need to be shown to be protecting the heritage and wildlife of this wonderful city of Glasgow. The environment must be protected. Otago Lane is a unique place and should be preserved. Where is your conscience? I am a supporter of the Labour Party but this would seriously make me question the values of the party. It shouldn't be all about money and developers. Keep the Kelvin River wild/green corridor for ever!



---

**419 / 469 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

Also, I believe that the Council should listen to the expert opinions expressed in the conservation area appraisal by MAST Architects and the City Council. It will be against GCC biodiversity agenda and render the greater wildlife corridor defunct. It is against GCC planning policy, e.g. ENV 8, DEV 11, ENV 17.

---

**420 / 470 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

This area is a hidden treasure of Glasgow which not only is host to a natural environment, but gives a place of green space in the middle of the City which is extremely important to people's well-being, and adds to the charm of an area. I believe it is very important to conserve areas as such, as there are fewer and fewer spaces like this.

It links Kelvingrove and the Botanic so if removed would affect wildlife movement and habitats between the two. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

---

**421 / 471 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

Otago Lane has Tchai Ovna, one of the sweetest places I've been in in Glasgow. If the place closes, Glasgow is going to lose a piece of its soul. This must not happen.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

In addition, I believe that it is very important that in the ever increasingly fragmented society that we live in, that we maintain (and indeed experience) little havens like Otago Lane. It is imperative that we put people and wildlife and the environment before profit. I therefore am strongly opposed to the proposal to de-designate this area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

I believe that this corridor gives a great atmosphere to the West End. It is important to keep it to preserve the nature around this area. Moreover, many businesses will be affected by this change, especially Tchai Ovna, which is one of the most enjoyable places in Glasgow. I am against the decision of the Council to change the future of this area.



Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

---

**436 / 488 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

---

**437 / 489 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

I think that Otago Lane is one of the few spots in Glasgow that we students can come and enjoy a multinational environment. Tchai Ovna is the only store I know that does not sell alcohol so it's a perfect place to enjoy with friends and family and feel secure at the same time. Destroying this place by any means will destroy a very rich environment in Glasgow. Moreover, there is no other place like this in Glasgow. Therefore I strongly believe that this Otago Lane and its habitats should be conserved/preserved and encouraged rather than be destroyed.

---

**438 / 490 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of wildlife corridors is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection; ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows; and perhaps most importantly ENV 17: Protecting the Water Environment. The latter requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, removing the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. I would be extremely disappointed if the needs of the whole community were sacrificed for the needs of a single wealthy entity.

---

**439 / 491 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

This area is a huge draw to people across the city, and to people from around the country (like myself). It provides a key environment to escape to - for people and wildlife alike. There is enough development, noise, traffic, pollution and concrete elsewhere in the city - the West End should continue to be known as the "breathing space" of the City. What benefits would it give the residents and wildlife by removing this protective designation? Once removed, and built upon, there will be no going back. The University will also lose its surrounding green spaces, essential for the wellbeing of students. This would have an obvious, knock-on determinental effect to the University's output. Profit should never always be measured in immediate monetary terms.

---

**440** /**492 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

---

**441** /**493 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

I think it is important to the whole social aspect of Glasgow.

---

**447** /**499 Prop0049 Otago Street**

This proposal looks like little more than a cynical attempt to prevent further attempts to halt the developments in Otago Lane. By changing the designation of this site, it will give the developers carte blanche to wreak more damage to the river corridor than would be the case should the designation as a protected wildlife corridor remain in force. I would exhort you to resist this attempt at redesignation in order to protect, as best as possible, this important and well loved locale.

---

**448** /**500 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

I am alarmed at the disregard of this very essential protected corridor of Greenspace. As a herbalist, part of what is important to me is preserving the wild spaces that we are blessed to have in our city. Tchai Ovna takes this a step further with the established garden which is a treasure to the community. The bookshop on Otago Lane has been used by my family for 4 decades and is one of the last family run bookshops of its calibre in Scotland. There appears to be a level of corruption in the decision to allow this planning to go ahead in spite of the disregard for the protected greenspace. I personally call for this to be investigated.

---

449 /

**501 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Expresses concern about the planning application that has been made for the Otago Lane site, which requests permission to develop right down to the riverside. In my opinion this is utterly unacceptable on a number of fronts: it contravenes the advice in the LDP dated October 2012, regarding additional sites; would necessitate loss of precious DEV 11 Greenspace; constitutes a considerable negative impact of the River Kelvin and its valley; threatens the wildlife and natural plant environment of the green corridor; destroys views of the natural river bank; sets an alarming precedent for further development along the riverbank.

It strikes me as entirely unnecessary to allow a development such as this. Our precious riverbank is for all to enjoy - and the right to continue to do that ought to be vigorously defended by elected members and council officials.

---

450 /

**502 Prop0049 Otago Street**

As a west end resident, frequent visitor to Otago Lane (and voter in council elections), I am very attached to the lane as it is, and think allowing this development would be extremely short sighted. I am sure the planning department will be well aware of the objections to the development, which I share: the effects on tourism, quality of life of local residents, strains on surrounding infrastructure, and the environmental, and legal, consequences of breaking the wildlife corridor.

I think this is not the way to solve the big problems of the city: shortage of affordable housing (as it is unlikely that these flats would be affordable in the long term) or unemployment (because a few temporary construction jobs have to be offset against the effect on businesses in and around the Lane). It seems to me that there is not shortage of underdeveloped brownfield sites across the City - for instance, I was happy to see the large development of new Housing Association flats between Queen Margaret Drive and Oban Drive - and I would urge you to reconsider why we should allow Otago Lane to be further disfigured.

---

451 /

**503 Prop0049 Otago Street**

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life.

As a west end resident and frequent user of the paths to and from the Botanic Gardens, it is what attracted me to the area. I could be close to the city centre and all local amenities, but at the same time have somewhere beautiful and peaceful to go in the middle of all the hustle and bustle. The green corridor and the cobbled lanes with tiny unusual shops and cafes have such a great sense of community spirit surrounding them and essentially make the west end a great place to live. In my opinion, without them you lose the charm and allure of the area not to mention the environmental factors and the detrimental effect it will have on conservation. I cannot fathom how permission has been granted to build directly on top of the wildlife corridor. The fact that the planning committee gave their permission to build large blocks of flats on the Kelvin River wildlife corridor, in full knowledge of its protected status, was not just wrong but criminal. Is it any wonder Scotland missed its target for climate change? It also completely contradicts the council's Biodiversity Action Plan.

Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.



Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The reclassification would leave a trail of devastation and destruction in its wake. It severely harms the character of the immediate local area, a unique and charming cultural hub which is a magnet for tourists. Allowing development here would be to the detriment of quality of life of this peaceful and genteel area, served by a small one way road system, very close to 2 primary schools, would be unable to cope with the extra traffic during or after construction. It is an area where cherished wildlife is returning after years of absence, including families of otters. The Kelvin can rise very high and at such times there is greatly reduced dry land accessible to them. The developers own report has been used in defence of destroying the environmental corridor, but it is nowhere near strong enough to override the concerns raised because research was done at the wrong time of year to properly assess the impact of development.

There is an entire network of directives and legislation from Council to European level, which is designed to protect such areas. The proposed rezoning undermines all of it: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." National Scottish Planning Policy 11. EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

The proposal should not be accepted by the Council.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The reclassification would leave a trail of devastation and destruction in its wake. It severely harms the character of the immediate local area, a unique and charming cultural hub which is a magnet for tourists. Allowing development here would be to the detriment of quality of life of this peaceful and genteel area, served by a small one way road system, very close to 2 primary schools, would be unable to cope with the extra traffic during or after construction. It is an area where cherished wildlife is returning after years of absence, including families of otters. The Kelvin can rise very high and at such times there is greatly reduced dry land accessible to them. The developers own report has been used in defence of destroying the environmental corridor, but it is nowhere near strong enough to override the concerns raised because research was done at the wrong time of year to properly assess the impact of development.

There is an entire network of directives and legislation from Council to European level, which is designed to protect such areas. The proposed rezoning undermines all of it: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." National Scottish Planning Policy 11. EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

The proposal should not be accepted by the Council.



Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The reclassification would leave a trail of devastation and destruction in its wake. It severely harms the character of the immediate local area, a unique and charming cultural hub which is a magnet for tourists. Allowing development here would be to the detriment of quality of life of this peaceful and genteel area, served by a small one way road system, very close to 2 primary schools, would be unable to cope with the extra traffic during or after construction. It is an area where cherished wildlife is returning after years of absence, including families of otters. The Kelvin can rise very high and at such times there is greatly reduced dry land accessible to them. The developers own report has been used in defence of destroying the environmental corridor, but it is nowhere near strong enough to override the concerns raised because research was done at the wrong time of year to properly assess the impact of development.

There is an entire network of directives and legislation from Council to European level, which is designed to protect such areas. The proposed rezoning undermines all of it: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." National Scottish Planning Policy 11. EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

The proposal should not be accepted by the Council.

Expresses concern at the proposals to rezone the area at the west bank of the Kelvin/ Otago Lane/Otago Street from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper ambitions to build in the area. Should the Council grant this, it would not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor as important in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". DEV 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

At the national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Urges the council to deny the application and retain the status of this lovely area.

As a resident of Otago Street and a regular user of the green space in the neighbourhood, I am writing concerning proposals to rezone the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. In effect this will mean the end of the important River Kelvin wildlife corridor, which offers natural habitat to a wide range of animals and birds.

The applicants are clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area. A decision to rezone the area would be against the public interest and that of the environment, and would also be against the spirit of the city plan.

All the conservation area appraisals, including the city council's West End conservation appraisal, MAST architects' and the developer's, acknowledge the green corridor as important as a visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is also enshrined in the city council's own City Pplan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status, not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run-off into the river, which risks contravening the binding international EU Water Framework Directive (2000). On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy, specifically the sections on open spaces.

What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application proposed by Highlander House and retain the official status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity.

The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity.

The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life.

I strongly urge the council to deny the application proposed by and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

As a resident of Otago Street and a regular user of the green space in the neighbourhood, I am writing concerning proposals to re-zone the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only.

In effect this will mean the end of the important River Kelvin wildlife corridor, which offers natural habitat to a wide range of animals and birds. The applicants are clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area. A decision to rezone the area would be against the public interest and that of the environment, and would also be against the spirit of the city plan.

All the conservation area appraisals, including the city council's West End conservation appraisal, MAST architects' and the developer's, acknowledge the green corridor as important as a visual amenity.

The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is also enshrined in the city council's own City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events."

By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status, not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run-off into the river, which risks contravening the binding international EU Water Framework Directive (2000). On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy, specifically the sections on open spaces. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life.

I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the official status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

As a resident of Otago Street and a regular user of the green space in the neighbourhood, I am writing concerning proposals to re-zone the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only.

In effect this will mean the end of the important River Kelvin wildlife corridor, which offers natural habitat to a wide range of animals and birds. The applicants are clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area. A decision to rezone the area would be against the public interest and that of the environment, and would also be against the spirit of the city plan.

All the conservation area appraisals, including the city council's West End conservation appraisal, MAST architects' and the developer's, acknowledge the green corridor as important as a visual amenity.

The protection of green and wildlife corridors such as this is also enshrined in the city council's own City Plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events."

By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status, not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run-off into the river, which risks contravening the binding international EU Water Framework Directive (2000). On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy, specifically the sections on open spaces. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life.

I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the official status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing concerning proposals to re-Zoning the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. In effect this will mean the end of the important River Kelvin wildlife corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area. I feel a decision to re-zone the area will neither be in the public interest or that of the environment and against the spirit of the city plan. As an architecture student I am passionate about the city of Glasgow and its urban fabric, although I fully understand the need for progress this area with the west end is a much loved piece of wildlife. It is spaces such as this that make city interesting and unique.

All the conservation area appraisals, including GCC's West End conservation appraisal, MAST architects' and the developers, acknowledge the green corridor as important as a visual amenity. The protection of Green and Wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the GCC's own city plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / Green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy, specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life.

I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the official status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to express my concerns with regard to the proposal to redesignate the area of the Kelvin on the West Bank of Kelvin Bridge from the status of Greenspace to the status of Residential Development. Essentially I feel that this action would cause immense damage to the ecology of the area and allow any number of excessive and unwelcome developments to take place. Not only would the conversion of this stretch of the river from river to canal affect the immediate area, it is also bound to cause flooding downstream. Fluctuations in the river Kelvin have had disastrous consequences in the past and as the City Plan states: "The best current advice regarding the likely impact of climate change in the West of Scotland is that it will get wetter and stormier with an increased risk of flooding." I believe large scale developments on the banks of the Kelvin will exacerbate this risk.

The City Plan also states in section ENV 1 that "In accordance with policy DEV 11: Green Space, there is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space." The Kelvin is a crucial wildlife corridor for much of the natural life found on the Kelvin and in the West End, whether talking about otters or foxes, kingfishers or herons. The particular bank and area dealt with in the proposal has already been badly affected by the UNITE building's presence, which has effectively shrunk access between the Kelvin walkway and Kelvingrove Park. This should not be considered license to continue with the destruction of our natural heritage. We owe it to ourselves and to future generations not to repeat our mistakes and continue putting short-term profits and modest employment opportunities ahead of long-term sustainable enrichment of our environment.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment. All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity.

The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment. All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity.

The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.



I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I hereby submit my objections to the proposed development at 65-77 Otago Street and Otago Lane. The basis of my objection is in consideration of the following material points:

1. **Loss of light or overshadowing:** The proposed development is, at its highest point, eight storeys high. This will be across only a narrow lane from the front face of the one-storey mews property. Because of its height, scale and proximity, the proposed development would substantially overshadow the property and significantly reduce the natural light available. The height and number of the proposed buildings will result in the current flats and shops in Otago Lane being entirely encircled and overshadowed by buildings up to 7-storeys larger than the current properties. The developer talks of 'an opportunity to create a sense of enclosure within Otago Lane', however, this will be at considerable detriment to the current character of Otago Lane.
2. **Visual amenity:** The developer proposes that 'a good level of visual amenity is to be provided to dwellings housed within the flatted block (at 65-77 Otago Street)', however, no consideration has been made for the residents of the surrounding area.
3. **Adequacy of parking:** The developer indicated that the new development would be designed to reduce the current parking provision in the area by 23 spaces and providing no parking for the new 163 dwellings and 6 commercial units. The surrounding area is already very heavily utilised for parking, the new development would only serve to aggravate an already significant problem for the local residents.
4. **Safety:** The proposed development would enclose the back court area of the neighbouring premises on both Gibson Street and on Otago Street. Currently this is open ended allowing a fire escape route to these flats, shops and restaurants. The proposed development would remove all emergency evacuation routes to the neighbouring premises leaving the only egress via the busy and congested main roads.
5. **Traffic generation:** The proposed development of 163 dwellings and 6 commercial units will undoubtedly lead to increased traffic in the surrounding area. At peak times the areas surrounding the Otago Street and Gibson Street road network are already very heavily congested. The proposed development will only serve to increase this congestion by the addition of such a substantial increase in the number of residents and in the increase in traffic caused to serve the additional commercial premises.
6. **Loss of trees:** The developer mentions in their plans that there would be a loss of an area of 'trees of limited quality'. As detailed within the Glasgow City Plan Part 2, Section 8 – Built Heritage, trees are to be retained and protected in conservation areas whatever the perception of quality. Quoted from Glasgow City Council's City Plan: "the cutting down, topping, lopping or uprooting of trees and development proposals affecting properties and their settings will be subject to rigorous scrutiny". These trees are highly visible from the opposite bank of the River Kelvin and the nearby cycle and pathway which is part of the green belt connecting the Botanic Gardens and the Kelvingrove Park. By removing these trees, the 'green belt' nature of the path and cycle way would be substantively lost between Kelvinbridge Underground and the Gibson Street bridge which is highly visible from Great Western Road and from the surrounding areas. It is also my understanding that the practice of building over green areas is to be avoided due to environmental concerns, particularly in preserved conservation areas such as Otago Lane and the surrounding area.
7. **Effect on conservation area:** The site of the proposed development is in a conservation area. The scale and design of the development is not in character with the current properties within Otago Lane. Currently Otago Lane comprises a small number of single storey mews buildings, where as the development would comprise of a number of four to eight storey buildings of modern design, in a very restricted space with very limited access and no through access. This would materially change the usage and character of the area, and is materially against the policies within the City Plan. As detailed within the Glasgow City Plan Part 2, Section 8 – Built Heritage: "there will be a general presumption in favour of retaining all open space, public or private, that contributes to the character of conservation areas"
8. **Layout and density of building:** The proposed development is for four new buildings and the refurbishment of an existing building (dating from the late 1990's). The current building on Otago Street to be refurbished has already been refurbished within the last 10-years due to the poor building quality of the original development. Currently Otago Lane has just six flats and six commercial units. The proposed development would add a further 163 dwellings and six commercial units to a very small area behind an existing development with limited access (as detailed in Point 13). The scale, massing and density of the proposed area is significantly out of proportion to the available area and access via a single narrow lane opening. The building density would be cramped for the new inhabitants and unbearably cramped for the existing inhabitants of Otago Lane. The massing, density and height of the proposed development is enormous in scale, especially when considered in comparison to the current structures within Otago Lane.



This will lead to an increase in demand for already limited local amenities.

9. Design, appearance and materials: The design, appearance and materials proposed for the development are not in keeping with the local area or with the Glasgow City Council policies relating to development in a conservation area, and are materially against the policies within the City Plan pertaining to 'residential development accessed by lanes' and 'design standards for mews houses', as detailed within the City Plan (an excerpt of this is shown in Appendix 1).

10. Landscaping: The owner of the proposed development wishes to improve the landscaping and public usability of the development site, opening up the riverbank as a passive amenity space and increasing the available public open spaces. I feel that this could be attained without further development. As detailed in the City Plan, "Development should not be seen as the only solution for unkempt lanes and gardens." As owner of the development area, the developer should be able to perform this duty regardless of any development opportunity afforded by the site.

11. Road access: The proposed development site will be enclosed on all sides with only a single access and egress via a narrow opening comprising a single track cobbled lane and a single pavement. Also, the developers propose to further narrow this already very restricted opening. As highlighted by the developer themselves, there is no scope for additional access as the development area is a triangle enclosed by existing buildings on two sides and by the River Kelvin on the third side. Having such a substantial volume of new dwellings and doubling the number of commercial properties in a very contained area will create a bottleneck at a single point of entry and could create difficulties for the provision of refuse collection, emergency access (Fire, Ambulance, Police, etc), and general deliveries to both new and existing properties. The proposal does not appear to allow any access whatsoever for emergency Fire appliances to access the proposed new properties on the bank of the River Kelvin.

12. Proposals in the Development Plan: As detailed separately in the above points, no consideration has been given to the character of the current properties in Otago Lane being mews properties dating from the early 1800's with an open aspect and having a bohemian 'West End' feel and character. The building proposed to attach to the end of the existing mews will require the closure of the popular local amenity space of Tchai-Ovna (shop, gallery, meeting space and reading room) with associated loss of jobs, and will destroy the distinctly 'West End' character of the other shops in Otago Lane including the 'Voltaire and Rousseau' bookshop, 'Kenneth Chapelle' antique clock repair and restoration, and the 'Mixed-up' record shop.

13. Prevention of crime and disorder: As was seen immediately following the construction of the Unite building at 2-10 Gibson Street, which was only recently completed, the building has been plagued with graffiti on its lower levels facing the River Kelvin. Because of the restricted access and seclusion and its prominence and high visibility, this has been a target for graffiti and 'tagging', however, its river-front location has made it extremely dangerous and difficult to either prevent or rectify the problem. This would only become an additional problem by increasing the number of properties directly facing the River Kelvin on the site.

14. City Plan Policy Principles: I would also point out that the proposed development goes against the policy principles of the Glasgow City Plan. The existing flats and shops within Otago Lane are mews dating from the early nineteenth century (ie. significantly prior to 1919). The City Plan Part 2 Res 5 relates to residential development of lanes, and makes specific mention of policies where there is an existing mews property in relation to; cramped layout, private amenity space, servicing, emergency access, car parking, local character, scale and massing, height restriction, building materials and style. Appendix 1 contains an excerpt from the aforementioned City Plan. The site for the proposed development is located within an outstanding conservation area, Glasgow City Council's own development policy principle HER1 on Built Heritage and the Policy within Conservation Area states: "New development must respect its historic context in volume, scale, form, materials and quality", it is very clear from the developers plans that this has not been taken into any consideration.

I urge the Development and Regeneration Services Department to protect this historic conservation area by rejecting the proposed application.

I am writing regarding the application for change of land use at the site known as Otago Street. Currently, it is zoned as "residential and greenspace". We understand the applicant wishes to have the council change this to residential only. This site is home to an important part of the River Kelvin Wildlife Corridor, an important part of the Glasgow City Council's "Bio-Diversity action plan". The residents of Otago Street and Otago Lane are somewhat perturbed at not having been a) consulted with directly and b) been provided with much information. That anything at all is known about it in the public arena is chiefly thanks some conscientious councilors and members of the community council. The council's own website does not provide much information other than what is being applied for and who by.

The applicant was recently granted permission to build a large building development 10/03061/DC. The proposals are to build directly on the wildlife corridor. As Scottish Natural Heritage (one of the DRS' statutory consultees) said in an email to me, "if the case [the planning application] has already been determined then this becomes a wildlife crime matter and the police should be contacted." Evidently, the fact that the Planning Committee gave the go ahead to build large blocks of flats on the Kelvin River wildlife corridor, in full knowledge of its protected status was not just wrong, but criminal; aiding and abetting a wildlife crime? The developer did not adequately justify allot in his design and access statement, however there was not even an attempt to justify why destroying the wildlife corridor was a good idea and good practice. This issue was simply ignored, by all parties, including the DRS and the planning committee aside from the community. It is therefore little wonder that the developer has not yet commenced building. He is applying for the rezoning/redesignation because to build with the wildlife corridor status still active would be unlawful and leave him open to legal challenge. Indeed, the fact that there has as yet been no legal challenge is due to the DRS' legal department putting a hold on the ratification of the granted application until certain legal ambiguities (such as this one) can be ironed out. As a result there has been no official notification given to our legal team, or to the developer. So lets be absolutely clear here. If you change the land use as applied for, you will be bringing the live planning application for the same site out of legal limbo and freeing up the land for the development. It will not be maintained in its present green state. Your decision, will mean the end of this important part of the riparian rout which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens and irreparable damage to the environment and the conservation area.

What will you be getting rid of? The value of this wildlife corridor is recognized in all the conservation area appraisals connected to the locus, the GCC Glasgow West Draft CAA, the developer's team's IDP architects' Otago Street CAA and the independently funded MAST architects' Otago Lane CAA. All these date to within the last 3 years. IDP, acknowledges the wildlife corridor as a key view, going so far as to say, "Any new development should strive to exploit these aspects, with consideration given to the historic urban form and green space of the surrounding locale." This advice from the developer's own team, however, was not heeded. Glasgow West CAA acknowledges the important contribution the wildlife corridor makes to the city scape. "The wooded Kelvin valley provides valuable biodiversity and recreational opportunities, acknowledged in the City Plan... Collectively, all make an important contribution to the townscape, natural heritage, open space and recreational opportunities within the conservation area and merit protection". August 27th this year the council ignored its own expert's advice and granted plans to build on this "wooded" valley. These are some of the policies in the City Plan which should protect the wildlife corridor. ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events."

MAST Architects CAA underlines the importance of the wildlife corridor as an amenity not only for residents but for nature. "The east river bank at the end of Otago Lane is not only a valuable green pocket for residents and visitors to appreciate, but also adjoins part of a Site of Importance for Nature Conservation, as well as part of the River Kelvin Wildlife Corridor." The DRS has been presented with a Habitat and Protected Species Report by the developer. It concludes that the wildlife corridor at this point is relatively poor, however has value when taken into context with the wider wildlife corridor. The community's contention with this report is that it was carried out largely in the winter. A senior lecturer at the Institute of Biodiversity Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, Glasgow University, commented in correspondence that any biodiversity appraisal carried out "at this time of year would not be suitable". The report also contradicts itself, by playing down reports of otters on the Kelvin and then categorically stating that they "are present on the Kelvin". The author continues, given that development would not prevent commuting of otters along the river, it is suggested that otters would not be disturbed by development of the site in a manner considered to contravene the legislation.



However, another senior lecturer disagrees. The kelvin is an important habitat for otters and they will move regularly through this linear habitat. During high stream flow riverbanks are particularly important corridors for movement. This is particularly poignant in our continuing experience of increased precipitation and flooding. At the time the report was compiled, the design for the development included slanted gabions, an unsatisfactory alternative to the present fauna and difficult for otters to grip. Can we really take this report seriously? This report, funded by the developer, has been relied heavily upon by the DRS and the developer to discredit the wildlife corridor. In addition it would appear to have informed the decision of the SNH. The information is unreliable, according to impartial expert opinion, and to base any decision on its contents would be unwise.

Coming back to policy that protects important green space national Scottish Planning Policy 11 was conceived to protect precisely such areas as the Kelvin River wildlife corridor. By repealing the status of wildlife corridor/greenspace this law will be contravened. Indeed it will open the doors for the development to go ahead, thereby putting the council at risk of litigation as it is likely to have a detrimental effect on the water quality, in contravention of the EU Water Framework Directive 2000. This will not be a welcome addition to Scotland's reputation in light of our embarrassingly missing our own climate change targets.

I urge you to consider the whole picture. The wildlife corridor at Otago Street is recognized as important and necessary for a variety of reasons by all parties, yet there has been a concerted effort by the developer and the council to disregard this. Please take into account expert opinion over subjective conclusions produced in a report commissioned by the developer. Please take into account the conservation value of this wooded valley, so loved by the community, visitors and wildlife alike. In the context of the wider Kelvin River wildlife corridor it makes no sense to take away a sizeable and well known area, rendering the corridor dysfunctional. This risks becoming a precedent by which all the good work that has been done by the council since 2001 would be reversed. Please follow your own policies and uphold the standards befitting that of a benevolent council that wrote the policies with good intentions.

I am writing regarding the application for change of land use at the site known as Otago Street. Currently, it is zoned as "residential and greenspace". We understand the applicant wishes to have the council change this to residential only. This site is home to an important part of the River Kelvin Wildlife Corridor, an important part of the Glasgow City Council's "Bio-Diversity action plan". The residents of Otago Street and Otago Lane are somewhat perturbed at not having been a) consulted with directly and b) been provided with much information. That anything at all is known about it in the public arena is chiefly thanks some conscientious councilors and members of the community council. The council's own website does not provide much information other than what is being applied for and who by.

The applicant was recently granted permission to build a large building development 10/03061/DC. The proposals are to build directly on the wildlife corridor. As Scottish Natural Heritage (one of the DRS' statutory consultees) said in an email to me, "if the case [the planning application] has already been determined then this becomes a wildlife crime matter and the police should be contacted." Evidently, the fact that the Planning Committee gave the go ahead to build large blocks of flats on the Kelvin River wildlife corridor, in full knowledge of its protected status was not just wrong, but criminal; aiding and abetting a wildlife crime? The developer did not adequately justify allot in his design and access statement, however there was not even an attempt to justify why destroying the wildlife corridor was a good idea and good practice. This issue was simply ignored, by all parties, including the DRS and the planning committee aside from the community. It is therefore little wonder that the developer has not yet commenced building. He is applying for the rezoning/redesignation because to build with the wildlife corridor status still active would be unlawful and leave him open to legal challenge. Indeed, the fact that there has as yet been no legal challenge is due to the DRS' legal department putting a hold on the ratification of the granted application until certain legal ambiguities (such as this one) can be ironed out. As a result there has been no official notification given to our legal team, or to the developer. So lets be absolutely clear here. If you change the land use as applied for, you will be bringing the live planning application for the same site out of legal limbo and freeing up the land for the development. It will not be maintained in its present green state. Your decision, will mean the end of this important part of the riparian rout which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens and irreparable damage to the environment and the conservation area.

What will you be getting rid of? The value of this wildlife corridor is recognized in all the conservation area appraisals connected to the locus, the GCC Glasgow West Draft CAA, the developer's team's IDP architects' Otago Street CAA and the independently funded MAST architects' Otago Lane CAA. All these date to within the last 3 years. IDP, acknowledges the wildlife corridor as a key view, going so far as to say, "Any new development should strive to exploit these aspects, with consideration given to the historic urban form and green space of the surrounding locale." This advice from the developer's own team, however, was not heeded. Glasgow West CAA acknowledges the important contribution the wildlife corridor makes to the city scape. "The wooded Kelvin valley provides valuable biodiversity and recreational opportunities, acknowledged in the City Plan... Collectively, all make an important contribution to the townscape, natural heritage, open space and recreational opportunities within the conservation area and merit protection". August 27th this year the council ignored its own expert's advice and granted plans to build on this "wooded" valley. These are some of the policies in the City Plan which should protect the wildlife corridor. ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events."

MAST Architects CAA underlines the importance of the wildlife corridor as an amenity not only for residents but for nature. "The east river bank at the end of Otago Lane is not only a valuable green pocket for residents and visitors to appreciate, but also adjoins part of a Site of Importance for Nature Conservation, as well as part of the River Kelvin Wildlife Corridor." The DRS has been presented with a Habitat and Protected Species Report by the developer. It concludes that the wildlife corridor at this point is relatively poor, however has value when taken into context with the wider wildlife corridor. The community's contention with this report is that it was carried out largely in the winter. A senior lecturer at the Institute of Biodiversity Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, Glasgow University, commented in correspondence that any biodiversity appraisal carried out "at this time of year would not be suitable". The report also contradicts itself, by playing down reports of otters on the Kelvin and then categorically stating that they "are present on the Kelvin". The author continues, given that development would not prevent commuting of otters along the river, it is suggested that otters would not be disturbed by development of the site in a manner considered to contravene the legislation.

However, another senior lecturer disagrees. The kelvin is an important habitat for otters and they will move regularly through this linear habitat. During high stream flow riverbanks are particularly important corridors for movement. This is particularly poignant in our continuing experience of increased precipitation and flooding. At the time the report was compiled, the design for the development included slanted gabions, an unsatisfactory alternative to the present fauna and difficult for otters to grip. Can we really take this report seriously? This report, funded by the developer, has been relied heavily upon by the DRS and the developer to discredit the wildlife corridor. In addition it would appear to have informed the decision of the SNH. The information is unreliable, according to impartial expert opinion, and to base any decision on its contents would be unwise.

Coming back to policy that protects important green space national Scottish Planning Policy 11 was conceived to protect precisely such areas as the Kelvin River wildlife corridor. By repealing the status of wildlife corridor/greenspace this law will be contravened. Indeed it will open the doors for the development to go ahead, thereby putting the council at risk of litigation as it is likely to have a detrimental effect on the water quality, in contravention of the EU Water Framework Directive 2000. This will not be a welcome addition to Scotland's reputation in light of our embarrassingly missing our own climate change targets.

I urge you to consider the whole picture. The wildlife corridor at Otago Street is recognized as important and necessary for a variety of reasons by all parties, yet there has been a concerted effort by the developer and the council to disregard this. Please take into account expert opinion over subjective conclusions produced in a report commissioned by the developer. Please take into account the conservation value of this wooded valley, so loved by the community, visitors and wildlife alike. In the context of the wider Kelvin River wildlife corridor it makes no sense to take away a sizeable and well known area, rendering the corridor dysfunctional. This risks becoming a precedent by which all the good work that has been done by the council since 2001 would be reversed. Please follow your own policies and uphold the standards befitting that of a benevolent council that wrote the policies with good intentions.

I am writing regarding the application for change of land use at the site known as Otago Street. Currently, it is zoned as "residential and greenspace". We understand the applicant wishes to have the council change this to residential only. This site is home to an important part of the River Kelvin Wildlife Corridor, an important part of the Glasgow City Council's "Bio-Diversity action plan". The residents of Otago Street and Otago Lane are somewhat perturbed at not having been a) consulted with directly and b) been provided with much information. That anything at all is known about it in the public arena is chiefly thanks some conscientious councilors and members of the community council. The council's own website does not provide much information other than what is being applied for and who by.

The applicant was recently granted permission to build a large building development 10/03061/DC. The proposals are to build directly on the wildlife corridor. As Scottish Natural Heritage (one of the DRS' statutory consultees) said in an email to me, "if the case [the planning application] has already been determined then this becomes a wildlife crime matter and the police should be contacted." Evidently, the fact that the Planning Committee gave the go ahead to build large blocks of flats on the Kelvin River wildlife corridor, in full knowledge of its protected status was not just wrong, but criminal; aiding and abetting a wildlife crime? The developer did not adequately justify all in his design and access statement, however there was not even an attempt to justify why destroying the wildlife corridor was a good idea and good practice. This issue was simply ignored, by all parties, including the DRS and the planning committee aside from the community. It is therefore little wonder that the developer has not yet commenced building. He is applying for the rezoning/redesignation because to build with the wildlife corridor status still active would be unlawful and leave him open to legal challenge. Indeed, the fact that there has as yet been no legal challenge is due to the DRS' legal department putting a hold on the ratification of the granted application until certain legal ambiguities (such as this one) can be ironed out. As a result there has been no official notification given to our legal team, or to the developer. So lets be absolutely clear here. If you change the land use as applied for, you will be bringing the live planning application for the same site out of legal limbo and freeing up the land for the development. It will not be maintained in its present green state. Your decision, will mean the end of this important part of the riparian rout which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens and irreparable damage to the environment and the conservation area.

What will you be getting rid of? The value of this wildlife corridor is recognized in all the conservation area appraisals connected to the locus, the GCC Glasgow West Draft CAA, the developer's team's IDP architects' Otago Street CAA and the independently funded MAST architects' Otago Lane CAA. All these date to within the last 3 years. IDP, acknowledges the wildlife corridor as a key view, going so far as to say, "Any new development should strive to exploit these aspects, with consideration given to the historic urban form and green space of the surrounding locale." This advice from the developer's own team, however, was not heeded. Glasgow West CAA acknowledges the important contribution the wildlife corridor makes to the city scape. "The wooded Kelvin valley provides valuable biodiversity and recreational opportunities, acknowledged in the City Plan... Collectively, all make an important contribution to the townscape, natural heritage, open space and recreational opportunities within the conservation area and merit protection". August 27th this year the council ignored its own expert's advice and granted plans to build on this "wooded" valley. These are some of the policies in the City Plan which should protect the wildlife corridor. ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events."

MAST Architects CAA underlines the importance of the wildlife corridor as an amenity not only for residents but for nature. "The east river bank at the end of Otago Lane is not only a valuable green pocket for residents and visitors to appreciate, but also adjoins part of a Site of Importance for Nature Conservation, as well as part of the River Kelvin Wildlife Corridor." The DRS has been presented with a Habitat and Protected Species Report by the developer. It concludes that the wildlife corridor at this point is relatively poor, however has value when taken into context with the wider wildlife corridor. The community's contention with this report is that it was carried out largely in the winter. A senior lecturer at the Institute of Biodiversity Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, Glasgow University, commented in correspondence that any biodiversity appraisal carried out "at this time of year would not be suitable". The report also contradicts itself, by playing down reports of otters on the Kelvin and then categorically stating that they "are present on the Kelvin". The author continues, given that development would not prevent commuting of otters along the river, it is suggested that otters would not be disturbed by development of the site in a manner considered to contravene the legislation.

However, another senior lecturer disagrees. The kelvin is an important habitat for otters and they will move regularly through this linear habitat. During high stream flow riverbanks are particularly important corridors for movement. This is particularly poignant in our continuing experience of increased precipitation and flooding. At the time the report was compiled, the design for the development included slanted gabions, an unsatisfactory alternative to the present fauna and difficult for otters to grip. Can we really take this report seriously? This report, funded by the developer, has been relied heavily upon by the DRS and the developer to discredit the wildlife corridor. In addition it would appear to have informed the decision of the SNH. The information is unreliable, according to impartial expert opinion, and to base any decision on its contents would be unwise.

Coming back to policy that protects important green space national Scottish Planning Policy 11 was conceived to protect precisely such areas as the Kelvin River wildlife corridor. By repealing the status of wildlife corridor/greenspace this law will be contravened. Indeed it will open the doors for the development to go ahead, thereby putting the council at risk of litigation as it is likely to have a detrimental effect on the water quality, in contravention of the EU Water Framework Directive 2000. This will not be a welcome addition to Scotland's reputation in light of our embarrassingly missing our own climate change targets.

I urge you to consider the whole picture. The wildlife corridor at Otago Street is recognized as important and necessary for a variety of reasons by all parties, yet there has been a concerted effort by the developer and the council to disregard this. Please take into account expert opinion over subjective conclusions produced in a report commissioned by the developer. Please take into account the conservation value of this wooded valley, so loved by the community, visitors and wildlife alike. In the context of the wider Kelvin River wildlife corridor it makes no sense to take away a sizeable and well known area, rendering the corridor dysfunctional. This risks becoming a precedent by which all the good work that has been done by the council since 2001 would be reversed. Please follow your own policies and uphold the standards befitting that of a benevolent council that wrote the policies with good intentions.

I am writing regarding the application for change of land use at the site known as Otago Street. Currently, it is zoned as "residential and greenspace". We understand the applicant wishes to have the council change this to residential only. This site is home to an important part of the River Kelvin Wildlife Corridor, an important part of the Glasgow City Council's "Bio-Diversity action plan". The residents of Otago Street and Otago Lane are somewhat perturbed at not having been a) consulted with directly and b) been provided with much information. That anything at all is known about it in the public arena is chiefly thanks some conscientious councilors and members of the community council. The council's own website does not provide much information other than what is being applied for and who by.

The applicant was recently granted permission to build a large building development 10/03061/DC. The proposals are to build directly on the wildlife corridor. As Scottish Natural Heritage (one of the DRS' statutory consultees) said in an email to me, "if the case [the planning application] has already been determined then this becomes a wildlife crime matter and the police should be contacted." Evidently, the fact that the Planning Committee gave the go ahead to build large blocks of flats on the Kelvin River wildlife corridor, in full knowledge of its protected status was not just wrong, but criminal; aiding and abetting a wildlife crime? The developer did not adequately justify allot in his design and access statement, however there was not even an attempt to justify why destroying the wildlife corridor was a good idea and good practice. This issue was simply ignored, by all parties, including the DRS and the planning committee aside from the community. It is therefore little wonder that the developer has not yet commenced building. He is applying for the rezoning/redesignation because to build with the wildlife corridor status still active would be unlawful and leave him open to legal challenge. Indeed, the fact that there has as yet been no legal challenge is due to the DRS' legal department putting a hold on the ratification of the granted application until certain legal ambiguities (such as this one) can be ironed out. As a result there has been no official notification given to our legal team, or to the developer. So lets be absolutely clear here. If you change the land use as applied for, you will be bringing the live planning application for the same site out of legal limbo and freeing up the land for the development. It will not be maintained in its present green state. Your decision, will mean the end of this important part of the riparian rout which links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens and irreparable damage to the environment and the conservation area.

What will you be getting rid of? The value of this wildlife corridor is recognized in all the conservation area appraisals connected to the locus, the GCC Glasgow West Draft CAA, the developer's team's IDP architects' Otago Street CAA and the independently funded MAST architects' Otago Lane CAA. All these date to within the last 3 years. IDP, acknowledges the wildlife corridor as a key view, going so far as to say, "Any new development should strive to exploit these aspects, with consideration given to the historic urban form and green space of the surrounding locale." This advice from the developer's own team, however, was not heeded. Glasgow West CAA acknowledges the important contribution the wildlife corridor makes to the city scape. "The wooded Kelvin valley provides valuable biodiversity and recreational opportunities, acknowledged in the City Plan... Collectively, all make an important contribution to the townscape, natural heritage, open space and recreational opportunities within the conservation area and merit protection". August 27th this year the council ignored its own expert's advice and granted plans to build on this "wooded" valley. These are some of the policies in the City Plan which should protect the wildlife corridor. ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events."

MAST Architects CAA underlines the importance of the wildlife corridor as an amenity not only for residents but for nature. "The east river bank at the end of Otago Lane is not only a valuable green pocket for residents and visitors to appreciate, but also adjoins part of a Site of Importance for Nature Conservation, as well as part of the River Kelvin Wildlife Corridor." The DRS has been presented with a Habitat and Protected Species Report by the developer. It concludes that the wildlife corridor at this point is relatively poor, however has value when taken into context with the wider wildlife corridor. The community's contention with this report is that it was carried out largely in the winter. A senior lecturer at the Institute of Biodiversity Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, Glasgow University, commented in correspondence that any biodiversity appraisal carried out "at this time of year would not be suitable". The report also contradicts itself, by playing down reports of otters on the Kelvin and then categorically stating that they "are present on the Kelvin". The author continues, given that development would not prevent commuting of otters along the river, it is suggested that otters would not be disturbed by development of the site in a manner considered to contravene the legislation.



However, another senior lecturer disagrees. The kelvin is an important habitat for otters and they will move regularly through this linear habitat. During high stream flow riverbanks are particularly important corridors for movement. This is particularly poignant in our continuing experience of increased precipitation and flooding. At the time the report was compiled, the design for the development included slanted gabions, an unsatisfactory alternative to the present fauna and difficult for otters to grip. Can we really take this report seriously? This report, funded by the developer, has been relied heavily upon by the DRS and the developer to discredit the wildlife corridor. In addition it would appear to have informed the decision of the SNH. The information is unreliable, according to impartial expert opinion, and to base any decision on its contents would be unwise.

Coming back to policy that protects important green space national Scottish Planning Policy 11 was conceived to protect precisely such areas as the Kelvin River wildlife corridor. By repealing the status of wildlife corridor/greenspace this law will be contravened. Indeed it will open the doors for the development to go ahead, thereby putting the council at risk of litigation as it is likely to have a detrimental effect on the water quality, in contravention of the EU Water Framework Directive 2000. This will not be a welcome addition to Scotland's reputation in light of our embarrassingly missing our own climate change targets.

I urge you to consider the whole picture. The wildlife corridor at Otago Street is recognized as important and necessary for a variety of reasons by all parties, yet there has been a concerted effort by the developer and the council to disregard this. Please take into account expert opinion over subjective conclusions produced in a report commissioned by the developer. Please take into account the conservation value of this wooded valley, so loved by the community, visitors and wildlife alike. In the context of the wider Kelvin River wildlife corridor it makes no sense to take away a sizeable and well known area, rendering the corridor dysfunctional. This risks becoming a precedent by which all the good work that has been done by the council since 2001 would be reversed. Please follow your own policies and uphold the standards befitting that of a benevolent council that wrote the policies with good intentions.

---

**505 / 571 Prop0049 Otago Street**

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper this company's desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of an important visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I find it heartbreaking that Glasgow Council should contemplate the removal of the green corridor status of this area. What are the motivating factors in such a potential decision? The conservation of this space is important for the general well being of people and ecosystems. Glasgow prides itself in its wealth of 'green' spaces, please allow these to be protected and to flourish. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting - first.



The area currently designated as DEV11 Greenspace is an integral part of the riparian green corridor along the River Kelvin. Riparian corridors are a critical component of river ecology with widely recognised high value. This is a far bigger issue than the Otago Lane site alone, and any reduction or alterations to the riparian green corridor should only be undertaken as part of an overall review of the ecology of the River Kelvin. The proposal in this consultation serves only to weaken the green corridor, with wholly detrimental local and wider consequences. I have attached the following supporting evidence: a) Extract of City Plan Policy DEV 11 Green Space; b) Extract from the City Plan Map (DPP) - showing that green space designation at Otago Lane is the standard width allocated along the length of the river.

The areas designated 'GREEN SPACE' generally represent the larger permanent green/open spaces serving the City and its resident population and visitors. Areas which are considered to make a particular contribution to local townscape character are also included. These, and the numerous smaller open spaces that can be found within other Development Policy Principle Designations, particularly DEV 2: Residential and Supporting Uses, make up the City's green network and contribute to biodiversity. All green/open space areas (regardless of their size or purpose) are functionally important elements of Glasgow's green infrastructure (see Environmental Designations Maps and the Council's Glasgow Open Space Map (see Definition)). There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space (see policy ENV 1: Open Space Protection). The DPP maps show similar parts of the River Kelvin with the same standard protection given by DEV 11 designation. No reason why the part at Otago Street should have any less!

Strongly objects to the Proposal because it requires the loss of Dev 11 Greenspace and will have significant impact upon the Kelvin and Valley for the following reasons: \* Higher density housing and encroachment of developments towards the river threatens the Wildlife and Green corridor. The proposed area is perhaps the narrowest and most vulnerable part of the Kelvin corridor and is already surrounded by buildings. Allowing further development here threatens to effectively choke it off entirely for wildlife movement; \* Loss of Dev 11 will allow developments that will further intrude on the view from the Kelvin Walkway; \* Loss of Dev 11 will set a dangerous and unwelcome precedent for future developments close to the River Kelvin and will signal that Glasgow City Council will remove Dev 11 protection close to the river for the purpose of development; \* The loss of Dev 11 could lead to building work close to the river requiring piling and new river defences altering the currents and flow of the river.

We would refer you to a report commissioned from Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) in 2002 titled "The network of wild life corridors and stepping stones of importance to the biodiversity of East Dumbartonshire". This report examined the condition of the River Kelvin and its tributaries and stated the priorities for future management should have 5 central aims, 1. The protection of existing features that form parts of the corridors identified. 2. The enhancement of the existing network to close gaps, broaden pinch points and increase diversity. 3. The creation of new links where the existing network is weak 4. The identification and management of buffer areas around semi natural corridors. 5. The study and management of corridors, stepping stones and major habitat areas which straddle Local Authority boundaries to ensure they are well protected and enhanced. These priorities are relevant to the River Kelvin within GCC and the proposal disregards the priorities identified by SNH.

Further on 16th November the Council reaffirmed its commitment, as detailed in The City Plan 2, to green spaces and the environment. On page 25 of its publication "Glasgow 2014 Commonwealth Games" where it states "We are committed to protecting and enhancing biodiversity and natural habitats within Glasgow wherever we can. Currently protection is afforded to a large number of spaces due to their high quality of their natural environment and landscape". We believe that the River Kelvin and the Kelvin Walkway deserve this protection. Proposal 0049 withdraws this protection contradicting the policy so proudly stated on 16th November.

We also note that The City Plan 2 also aims "to improve the image of the city". We believe that withdrawing DEV11 protection as proposed in Prop0049 whilst stating the aims of The City Plan 2 in Glasgow Commonwealth Games 2014 will damage the image of the city internationally.

FORK has agreed previously with much of the emphasis contained within LDP/MIR and GOSS with regard to environment and the use of space. In particular, we have agreed with an 'integrated approach to protection of species and habitats' with 'sufficient habitat connection' and 'green network enhancement at a much finer grain'. We have also agreed that ecosystems and biodiversity play a significant role in underpinning the well-being of citizens. The River, its Valley and the immediate city surroundings are a major starting point for this. The Walkway can be a very important part of the Core Paths Plan/Strategic Cycle Routes. It can be a significant contributor to physical activity, health and wellbeing within the general population.

Clearing the River Kelvin and the Kelvin Walkway of past historical damage has taken significant effort and investment resulting in a profoundly beneficial amenity and environment for citizens and wildlife. The proposal to remove Dev 11 status must consider the effect on the River Kelvin, the Walkway and the long term objectives stated within LDP/GOSS. We would ask you to reject the removal of Dev 11 status and work to continue to improve the environment along the River to the overall benefit of Glasgow. FORK would be happy to expand on these points and discuss further with you.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

We are concerned at proposal 49 which encroaches on greenspace within the city. Given the scarcity of such space within the built city environment and the recognised benefits such space brings, this is something that should be avoided.

I am writing to strongly object to the proposal to rezone Otago Street from its current DEV2 Residential / DEV11 Greenspace status to be designated as a DEV2 Residential only. The reasons for this objection to the rezoning are that it is a vital greenspace along the banks of the River Kelvin, part of the River Kelvin wildlife corridor linking natural habitats between Kelvingrove Park and the Botanic Gardens. This site is a supporting a recovering Salmon River and provides foraging vegetation for protected species such as otters, and bats. In fact Otters have recently been sighted and photographed at the site, obviously enjoying the last chance they will have to be present in the area. This riverbank site promotes biodiversity, flora, fauna and health improvement of the local population. It is much valued as part of the green network of our city and enhances the city's biodiversity. It is part of a site of Importance for Nature Conservation.

The land is also within a flood plain and there is a requirement to maintain the current status of the site as greenspace to ensure the stability of the riverbank is retained and flood risk is mitigated. The site is a vital part of the core paths network and supports access to walking, cycling and physical activity. Also, the site is within the Glasgow West Conservation Area and is beneficial to the visual amenity and the distinctive qualities of the urban tenement layout in Otago Street / Otago Lane / River Kelvin Walkway. This proposal to re-zone the area will neither be the public interest or that of the environment and against the spirit of the city plan. All the conservation area appraisals, including GCC's West End conservation appraisal, MAST architects' and the developers, acknowledges this site within the green corridor as important as a visual amenity.

The protection of Green and Wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the GCC own city plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." Something which should be particularly poignant and important given the mass amounts of devastating flooding now becoming a regular feature of life in Britain. By annulling the wildlife / Green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy, specifically, SPP 11 which came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. Recent viewings of an Environmental Impact assessment provided by developers of the site make for worrying reading. Aside from the fact the report should, in the opinion of the councils own specialists, never have been carried out at this time of year and is almost impossible to understand given the complete lack of a key to the meaning of its code it makes for worrying reading. It appears to state that any building on this area would have a highly detrimental effect on the Greenspace (in Biodiversity, Human Health, Population, Flora, Fauna and Landscape) and the areas Flood risk (Population, Human Health, Water and Material Assets) not to mention a detrimental effect on the Cultural Heritage of the area. This things seem too important to me at least to be so comprehensively ignored.

The only reason to rezone this area would be to easier facilitate the building of an already contentious development on the banks of the Kelvin at Otago Street/Lane, a campaign against which is ongoing and in the hands of lawyers. Once the area is rezoned this development will completely annihilate the greenspace and undoubtedly be highly detrimental to the cohesion of the natural habitats in the surrounding area, giving present and future developers no reason or impetus to mitigate their destruction. The proposal would degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as of significant importance to the City Plan in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life.

I strongly urge the council to retain the current status of the site at Otago Street as DEV2 Residential / DEV11 Greenspace. By granting its rezoning you will effectively be washing your hands of any conservation ethics you may claim to have. Some may say that would be a crime against nature itself.

I am writing to strongly object to proposal 49. I believe this is contrary to Scottish Planning Policy 11 and the City Plan. The eight storey building would deprive much of this area of light. It would overshadow the existing buildings. It would generate far too much traffic in a small area. There is not adequate parking space for such a development and it would be hazardous as well as inconvenient. The existing community is an integral part of life in the area which benefits my family and Glasgow as a whole. The wildlife around Otago Lane would suffer greatly or be eradicated completely by this thoughtless development for profit. I appeal to your conscience and better judgement regarding this matter and ask you to look to the above mentioned policy and City Plan.

I am writing concerning proposals to re-Zone the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. In effect this will mean the end of the important River Kelvin wildlife corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area. I feel a decision to re-zone the area will neither be the public interest or that of the environment and against the spirit of the city plan.

All the conservation area appraisals, including GCC's West End conservation appraisal, MAST architects' and the developers, acknowledges the green corridor as important as a visual amenity. The protection of Green and Wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the GCC own city plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / Green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy, specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the official the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing concerning proposals to re-Zone the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. In effect this will mean the end of the important River Kelvin wildlife corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area. I feel a decision to re-zone the area will neither be in the public interest or that of the environment and against the spirit of the city plan.

All the conservation area appraisals, including GCC's West End conservation appraisal, MAST architects' and the developers, acknowledges the green corridor as important as a visual amenity. The protection of Green and Wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the GCC own city plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / Green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy, specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the official status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing concerning proposals to re-Zone the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. In effect this will mean the end of the important River Kelvin wildlife corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area. I feel a decision to re-zone the area will neither be in the public interest or that of the environment and against the spirit of the city plan.

All the conservation area appraisals, including GCC's West End conservation appraisal, MAST architects' and the developers, acknowledges the green corridor as important as a visual amenity. The protection of Green and Wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the GCC own city plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / Green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy, specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the official status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing concerning proposals to re-Zone the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. In effect this will mean the end of the important River Kelvin wildlife corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area. I feel a decision to re-zone the area will neither be in the public interest or that of the environment and against the spirit of the city plan.

All the conservation area appraisals, including GCC's West End conservation appraisal, MAST architects' and the developers, acknowledges the green corridor as important as a visual amenity. The protection of Green and Wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the GCC own city plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / Green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy, specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the official the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I would like to voice my concern over the development that has been granted permission to build within the boundaries of the River Kelvin Wildlife Corridor (proposal no is PROP0049). The area around river Kelvin is one of not many places in Glasgow where one can enjoy wildlife, peace and quiet. It would be enormous loss to Glasgow as a 'dear green place' to keep on losing her green spaces. The above mentioned development is supposed to be located along the river Kelvin. According to Glasgow City Council's Development and Design Policies: ENV 8 the development permission should be granted only if 'the public benefits at the local level clearly outweigh the value of the habitat', which in the case of PROP0049 development is not the case. In fact the development is of little or no benefit at the LOCAL level. It would reduce light levels in the lane which are already low due to the Unite building, existing parking spaces which are used by residents of the lane would be gone as the development would build over them. I do understand that a development of such a scale is a large source of income and therefore it is in the interest of some to make it happen, but it is not in the interest of the local community and certainly not in the interest of wildlife. I would highly recommend that Development Planning Team comes to visit river Kelvin. You would be amazed by the variety of birds and other animals that have their habitats around here. No wonder the Council has designated this area as a Wildlife Corridor in the first place, let us keep it this way for future generations.



I hereby submit my objections to the proposed development at 65-77 Otago Street and Otago Lane. The basis of my objection is in consideration of the following material points:

1. **Loss of light or overshadowing:** The proposed development is, at its highest point, eight storeys high. This will be across only a narrow lane from the front face of the one-storey mews property. Because of its height, scale and proximity, the proposed development would substantially overshadow the property and significantly reduce the natural light available. The height and number of the proposed buildings will result in the current flats and shops in Otago Lane being entirely encircled and overshadowed by buildings up to 7-storeys larger than the current properties. The developer talks of 'an opportunity to create a sense of enclosure within Otago Lane', however, this will be at considerable detriment to the current character of Otago Lane.
2. **Visual amenity:** The developer proposes that 'a good level of visual amenity is to be provided to dwellings housed within the flatted block (at 65-77 Otago Street)', however, no consideration has been made for the residents of the surrounding area.
3. **Adequacy of parking:** The developer indicated that the new development would be designed to reduce the current parking provision in the area by 23 spaces and providing no parking for the new 163 dwellings and 6 commercial units. The surrounding area is already very heavily utilised for parking, the new development would only serve to aggravate an already significant problem for the local residents.
4. **Safety:** The proposed development would enclose the back court area of the neighbouring premises on both Gibson Street and on Otago Street. Currently this is open ended allowing a fire escape route to these flats, shops and restaurants. The proposed development would remove all emergency evacuation routes to the neighbouring premises leaving the only egress via the busy and congested main roads.
5. **Traffic generation:** The proposed development of 163 dwellings and 6 commercial units will undoubtedly lead to increased traffic in the surrounding area. At peak times the areas surrounding the Otago Street and Gibson Street road network are already very heavily congested. The proposed development will only serve to increase this congestion by the addition of such a substantial increase in the number of residents and in the increase in traffic caused to serve the additional commercial premises.
6. **Loss of trees:** The developer mentions in their plans that there would be a loss of an area of 'trees of limited quality'. As detailed within the Glasgow City Plan Part 2, Section 8 – Built Heritage, trees are to be retained and protected in conservation areas whatever the perception of quality. Quoted from Glasgow City Council's City Plan: "the cutting down, topping, lopping or uprooting of trees and development proposals affecting properties and their settings will be subject to rigorous scrutiny". These trees are highly visible from the opposite bank of the River Kelvin and the nearby cycle and pathway which is part of the green belt connecting the Botanic Gardens and the Kelvingrove Park. By removing these trees, the 'green belt' nature of the path and cycle way would be substantively lost between Kelvinbridge Underground and the Gibson Street bridge which is highly visible from Great Western Road and from the surrounding areas. It is also my understanding that the practice of building over green areas is to be avoided due to environmental concerns, particularly in preserved conservation areas such as Otago Lane and the surrounding area.
7. **Effect on conservation area:** The site of the proposed development is in a conservation area. The scale and design of the development is not in character with the current properties within Otago Lane. Currently Otago Lane comprises a small number of single storey mews buildings, where as the development would comprise of a number of four to eight storey buildings of modern design, in a very restricted space with very limited access and no through access. This would materially change the usage and character of the area, and is materially against the policies within the City Plan. As detailed within the Glasgow City Plan Part 2, Section 8 – Built Heritage: "there will be a general presumption in favour of retaining all open space, public or private, that contributes to the character of conservation areas"
8. **Layout and density of building:** The proposed development is for four new buildings and the refurbishment of an existing building (dating from the late 1990's). The current building on Otago Street to be refurbished has already been refurbished within the last 10-years due to the poor building quality of the original development. Currently Otago Lane has just six flats and six commercial units. The proposed development would add a further 163 dwellings and six commercial units to a very small area behind an existing development with limited access (as detailed in Point 13). The scale, massing and density of the proposed area is significantly out of proportion to the available area and access via a single narrow lane opening. The building density would be cramped for the new inhabitants and unbearably cramped for the existing inhabitants of Otago Lane. The massing, density and height of the proposed development is enormous in scale, especially when considered in comparison to the current structures within Otago Lane.

This will lead to an increase in demand for already limited local amenities.

9. Design, appearance and materials: The design, appearance and materials proposed for the development are not in keeping with the local area or with the Glasgow City Council policies relating to development in a conservation area, and are materially against the policies within the City Plan pertaining to 'residential development accessed by lanes' and 'design standards for mews houses', as detailed within the City Plan (an excerpt of this is shown in Appendix 1).

10. Landscaping: The owner of the proposed development wishes to improve the landscaping and public usability of the development site, opening up the riverbank as a passive amenity space and increasing the available public open spaces. I feel that this could be attained without further development. As detailed in the City Plan, "Development should not be seen as the only solution for unkempt lanes and gardens." As owner of the development area, the developer should be able to perform this duty regardless of any development opportunity afforded by the site.

11. Road access: The proposed development site will be enclosed on all sides with only a single access and egress via a narrow opening comprising a single track cobbled lane and a single pavement. Also, the developers propose to further narrow this already very restricted opening. As highlighted by the developer themselves, there is no scope for additional access as the development area is a triangle enclosed by existing buildings on two sides and by the River Kelvin on the third side. Having such a substantial volume of new dwellings and doubling the number of commercial properties in a very contained area will create a bottleneck at a single point of entry and could create difficulties for the provision of refuse collection, emergency access (Fire, Ambulance, Police, etc), and general deliveries to both new and existing properties. The proposal does not appear to allow any access whatsoever for emergency Fire appliances to access the proposed new properties on the bank of the River Kelvin.

12. Proposals in the Development Plan: As detailed separately in the above points, no consideration has been given to the character of the current properties in Otago Lane being mews properties dating from the early 1800's with an open aspect and having a bohemian 'West End' feel and character. The building proposed to attach to the end of the existing mews will require the closure of the popular local amenity space of Tchai-Ovna (shop, gallery, meeting space and reading room) with associated loss of jobs, and will destroy the distinctly 'West End' character of the other shops in Otago Lane including the 'Voltaire and Rousseau' bookshop, 'Kenneth Chapelle' antique clock repair and restoration, and the 'Mixed-up' record shop.

13. Prevention of crime and disorder: As was seen immediately following the construction of the Unite building at 2-10 Gibson Street, which was only recently completed, the building has been plagued with graffiti on its lower levels facing the River Kelvin. Because of the restricted access and seclusion and its prominence and high visibility, this has been a target for graffiti and 'tagging', however, its river-front location has made it extremely dangerous and difficult to either prevent or rectify the problem. This would only become an additional problem by increasing the number of properties directly facing the River Kelvin on the site.

14. City Plan Policy Principles: I would also point out that the proposed development goes against the policy principles of the Glasgow City Plan. The existing flats and shops within Otago Lane are mews dating from the early nineteenth century (ie. significantly prior to 1919). The City Plan Part 2 Res 5 relates to residential development of lanes, and makes specific mention of policies where there is an existing mews property in relation to; cramped layout, private amenity space, servicing, emergency access, car parking, local character, scale and massing, height restriction, building materials and style. Appendix 1 contains an excerpt from the aforementioned City Plan. The site for the proposed development is located within an outstanding conservation area, Glasgow City Council's own development policy principle HER1 on Built Heritage and the Policy within Conservation Area states: "New development must respect its historic context in volume, scale, form, materials and quality", it is very clear from the developers plans that this has not been taken into any consideration.

I urge the Development and Regeneration Services Department to protect this historic conservation area by rejecting the proposed application.

I am writing concerning proposals to re-Zone the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. In effect this will mean the end of the important River Kelvin wildlife corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area. I feel a decision to re-zone the area will neither be in the public interest or that of the environment and against the spirit of the city plan.

All the conservation area appraisals, including GCC's West End conservation appraisal, MAST architects' and the developers, acknowledges the green corridor as important as a visual amenity. The protection of Green and Wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the GCC own city plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / Green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy, specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the official the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

Hillhead no issues

I am writing concerning proposals to re-Zoning the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. In effect this will mean the end of the important River Kelvin wildlife corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area. I feel a decision to re-zone the area will neither be in the public interest or that of the environment and against the spirit of the city plan.

All the conservation area appraisals, including GCC's West End conservation appraisal, MAST architects' and the developers, acknowledges the green corridor as important as a visual amenity. The protection of Green and Wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the GCC own city plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / Green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy, specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the official status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing concerning proposals to re-Zoning the area around Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane from Residential and Greenspace to Residential only. In effect this will mean the end of the important River Kelvin wildlife corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will impede plans to build in the area. I feel a decision to re-zone the area will neither be in the public interest or that of the environment and against the spirit of the city plan.

All the conservation area appraisals, including GCC's West End conservation appraisal, MAST architects' and the developers, acknowledges the green corridor as important as a visual amenity. The protection of Green and Wildlife corridors such as this is enshrined in the GCC own city plan: ENV 1 Open Space Protection, requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". Dev 11 Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space" ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / Green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the binding international act EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy, specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and retain the official status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

---

**526** /**605 Prop0049 Otago Street**

It is the small spaces like Otago lane, like Ruthven lane (which I also know to be under threat) that make Glasgow so special. These small communal spaces are both the soul of a increasingly lost town and what stands Glasgow apart from other cities, such as London, where no space can be found that has not been developed. I recently studied a project on the importance of green spaces in towns, though the spaces are not parks, I know that it is crucial to leave spaces undeveloped. Please, please, think about something other than money, go on, have some morals. Let us locals keep the spaces we love. Don't concrete over our memories, our favourite cafes, our cute wee messy bookshops with lots of heart. Don't concrete over the spaces we love.

---

**534** /**757 Prop0049 Otago Street**

We have previously been consulted on this site and we have confirmed the 1:200 year design flow and level for this site. These values are based on a FRA submitted by KAYA Consulting. We require that any applicant looking to develop this site has access to this report or commissions a new FRA to confirm that what they are proposing is acceptable with regards to flood risk. Assessment of flood risk required, Water resilient measures required

---

**535** /**676 Prop0049 Otago Street**

The Otago Street proposal risks damaging an important wildlife corridor

---

**539** /**619 Prop0049 Otago Street**

I will start by stating the obvious, has anyone actually been to the corner by Unite housing? It is singularly the ugliest building I have encountered in Glasgow. You have allowed the landscape of Glasgow to be scarred already, and this madness is within your power to stop.

Scottish Planning Policy SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. Walking along the Kelvin river is a favourite weekend pastime of mine, and many others. Please do not endanger this! I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

---

543 /

625 Prop0049 Otago Street

I am writing to urge you to not support the proposition to declassify the "green corridor" at the end of Otago Lane.

The Kelvin is a key wildlife corridor, in keeping with the council's aims to maintain and support the environment in the West End and the broader Glasgow area. Reclassification would sever this key route and would have an adverse affect on the wildlife resident and moving through. We are blessed to live in a city that is both vibrant and urban and yet remains so green. We should support only those developments that do not compromise this wonderful mix, and so I urge you not to support the proposition.

---

544 /

627 Prop0049 Otago Street

We object strongly to the proposed change from DEV11 greenspace to residential (Dev 2).

1. This is an important wildlife corridor.
2. Most affected is the river bank.
3. The land affected is below the level of anticipated floods and unsuitable for residential development.
4. Land affected by the proposal has also never been built on.

The whole proposal is contrary to the spirit and the intentions of the current city plan and all discussions we have had on the main issues for City Plan 3.

---

545 /

646 Prop0049 Otago Street

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protect trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.



I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am writing to you to express concern at proposals to re-designate the area from the west bank of the river Kelvin to the rear of Otago Street and at the end of Otago Lane as NOT having a wildlife/green corridor. The applicant is clearly concerned that the existence of the corridor, a riparian route that importantly links Kelvingrove Park with the Botanic Gardens, will hamper his desire to build in the area. If the council grants this, it will not be in the public interest or that of the environment.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life. I strongly urge the council to deny the application and officially retain the status of this lovely area. Please put the interests of the community you are representing and the environment you are meant to be protecting first.

I am very writing to express concern at the proposals to remove the Green Space status from the land behind Otago Lane. The re-classification would effectively allow development 10/03061/DC, thus serving the interests of the applicant, but leaves a trail of damage and destruction in its wake.

All the conservation area appraisals, including those of Glasgow City Council and MAST architects, acknowledge the green corridor's importance in terms of visual amenity. The green corridor is also very important for wildlife in the area. Otters for example have been seen around the Kelvin in this area, and use the green corridor land to move in and out of the city - they are shy animals and like to move along wild growing land on river banks as well as swimming to stay hidden as much as possible. Removing the green space land on the river bank, would leave them much more exposed and effectively form a barrier to their movement along the river. This is especially significant in times of increased rainfall and flooding.

The protection of green and wildlife corridors is enshrined in the Glasgow city plan, specifically ENV 1 Open Space Protection, which requires that the council ensures "...that areas of formal and informal open space are protected from inappropriate development". ENV 8, Trees Woodlands and Hedgerows, requires that the council protects trees, woodlands and hedgerows from inappropriate development. DEV 11, Greenspace "There is a strong presumption in favour of the retention of all public and private green/open space." ENV 17, Protecting the Water Environment, requires that the council only allows development that "does not have an adverse impact on the water environment by preventing the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and enhancing their quality, including groundwater, promoting sustainable water use, reducing pollution, and mitigating against the impact of extreme weather events." By annulling the wildlife / green corridor status not only will the door be opened to damaging development, but the council will be responsible for reducing the water quality of the Kelvin with the run off into the river, contravening the internationally binding EU Water Framework Directive (2000).

On a national level, any decision to remove the wildlife corridor status at this point in the river will contravene Scottish Planning Policy. Specifically, SPP 11 came into being in order to protect and enhance open space. What is being proposed here is an attempt to degenerate and destroy an area accepted by all parties as incredibly valuable in terms of nature conservation, visual amenity and quality of life.

It seems very clear that a motion to change the status of this land would be primarily for the benefit of the developers, who know the planning permission that the council has granted is illegal with the current green corridor status of the land, while damaging the local environment and going against the will of the local community. It also seems obvious that the council will profit from taxes resulting from such a development, and perhaps tends towards putting financial concerns ahead of local community concerns.

Neither the proposal PROP0049, nor the development 10/03061/DC should be accepted by the council either on grounds of common sense or legal duty and this is clear to the local community.

Transport Scotland wrote to the Council on 12 July 2011 regarding planning application for residential development of 250 units on this site. This requested that the Council applied the following condition to ensure compliance with the requirements of SPP and PAN75.

"Condition Applied - Prior to the commencement of any development hereby permitted a pedestrian/cycle/occasional vehicle access shall be provided between the western tie in to Bredisholm Road and to the tie in with the proposed M8 Baillieston to Newhouse Side Road parallel and to the east of the M73, to the satisfaction of Planning Authority, in consultation with Transport Scotland. The link shall comply with the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges in all respects."

Should the site be taken forward into the Proposed Plan for residential development as indicated, Transport Scotland would request the above requirement is reflected within the Plan, Action Programme or Supplementary Guidance as appropriate.

---

478 /

531 Prop0050 Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle CGA

The site is adjacent to a pitch and Multi Use Games Areas. Any development on the site would need to be designed to ensure no conflict between the two uses, and that the use of the pitches would not be affected.

---

510 /

633 Prop0050 Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle CGA

We have a general concern that there appears to be no detailed, transport-based assessment of the suitability of sites for development, in order to ensure that sustainable modes are built in from the outset. We have a specific concern that site 50 is representative an on-going encroachment into the Greenbelt and a potential extension of urban sprawl. This type of development should be discouraged in Glasgow, where there remains a significant amount of derelict industrial land. Our concerns regarding transport outlined above also has relevance to this site.

---

522 /

600 Prop0050 Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle CGA

We advised regarding an application for housing on this site in 2009-10. We do not know its current planning status. We consider the site could accommodate housing development if sufficiently well-designed. Although the site is well defined by transport infrastructure and built development, its urban/rural transition is abrupt. Therefore although development here would replace rural landscape at a key gateway location alongside the M73, it could be key to delivering a positive new urban/rural edge. Careful layout and design could enhance the existing green corridors within the site and on its edge, making them multi-functional elements in the area's green network. We recommend this should be explicitly stipulated in the LDP, with a requirement for a high quality landscape strategy to support development proposals.

---

523 /

698 Prop0050 Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle CGA

upgrades will be required.

---

529 /

730 Prop0050 Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle CGA

This site is accessible by only 1 bus per hour. It is less accessible than many of the other additional sites and is likely to require a private car to access - this site should not be considered for development which is likely to create a large number of person trips.

---

534 /

758 Prop0050 Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle CGA

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**535** /**656 Prop0050 Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle CGA**

In a city with widespread contamination and available brown field sites we suggest that loss of greenbelt and greenspace will harm the population by usually affecting human health, fauna, flora, soil, water, mitigation of climatic change etc even if these have not been measured. . We would ask you to consider retaining the greenbelt/greenspace designation for site 0050.

---

**535** /**662 Prop0050 Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle CGA**

Where any change of use is proposed this should be taken as an opportunity to investigate whether it would be in the interests of Glasgow and its residents for part of the development site to be used for allotments or community gardens. There is a waiting list for allotments across the city and the benefits for the health and wellbeing of the citizens is widely recognized. There is little good land available for growing but this is being lost through several of these proposals. In particular we suggest that if development is permitted in 0051 that this has allotment/ community garden facilities included in any proposal, both for the amenity of residents and to preserve wildlife corridors - allotments can be good for this as they are often linear, taking up 'SLOAP' (space left over after planning).

---

**26** /**43 Prop0051 Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle CGA**

Following the decision notice on 12 October 2012 the Reporter's recommendation is noted. However Transport Scotland is disappointed that the following condition was not included within her recommended conditions: "Prior to the occupation of any part of the development vehicle inductive loop detection should be installed on the M74 off slip approaching the proposed signalised Hamilton Road/Zoo access roundabout to the satisfaction of the Planning Authority in consultation with Transport Scotland."

Should the site be taken forward into the Proposed Plan for residential development as indicated, Transport Scotland would request the above requirement is reflected within the Plan, Action Programme or Supplementary Guidance as appropriate, in the event that following the 12 January 2012 Planning Permission in Principle is not granted.

Many of the additional sites have the potential for direct or indirect impacts on heritage assets. Historic Scotland considers that robust application of national and appropriate local policies should be able to mitigate any adverse impacts. Early engagement with Historic Scotland on proposals which raise complex or significant issues is key to avoiding adverse impacts and optimising positive outcomes for the historic environment.

In some cases, the potential sites incorporate listed buildings and/or unlisted buildings of merit within a Conservation Area. Whilst Historic Scotland would welcome their sensitive use /reuse within an appropriate redevelopment scheme, the Council should ensure that the presumption to retain these buildings is made clear to prospective developers. Development briefs may in some cases be a useful tool in protecting these heritage assets and their settings.

---

**510** /**634 Prop0051 Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle CGA**

We have a general concern that there appears to be no detailed, transport-based assessment of the suitability of sites for development, in order to ensure that sustainable modes are built in from the outset. We have a specific concern that site 51 is representative an on-going encroachment into the Greenbelt and a potential extension of urban sprawl. This type of development should be discouraged in Glasgow, where there remains a significant amount of derelict industrial land. Our concerns regarding transport outlined above also has relevance to this site.

---

**518** /**585 Prop0051 Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle CGA**

In relation to the above I would like to comment on site Prop 0051 on the former Glasgow Zoo site. The attached map shows data from the Native Woodland Survey for Scotland. The survey data highlights the woodland components of the site and other factors are shown such as existing grant schemes and ancient woodland coverage. The Forestry Commission notes that this site is covered by a TPO and would like also to highlight the Scottish Governments Policy on Woodland Removal in relation to any development on the site. The Forestry Commission would be keen to be engaged in any proposed development of this site.

---

**522** /**593 Prop0051 Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle CGA**

We do not support this site being taken forward into the Proposed Plan, due to strong concerns about the principle of development at the proposed scale. We advised regarding applications for housing on part of this site in 2010-11. We do not know the current planning status, though we understand that at Appeal, the Reporter decided outline planning permission should be granted subject to a Section 75 agreement.

In our opinion the site could accommodate only limited housing development, provided it was sufficiently well-designed within the existing woodland framework. Part of the woodland is identified in the national Ancient Woodland Inventory in the highest-value category: Ancient of Semi-Natural Origin, and much is protected as an L-SINC. Development would not only need to retain and protect significant woodland elements as an internal green network (ideally through a plan for woodland enhancement and management). It should also support delivery of green network enhancements linking outwith the site. With regard to the Environmental Impact Assessment we handled in 2009-10, such contributions to the wider green network would help mitigate the inevitable loss of some habitat within the site.

Notably, these enhancements would need to include securing a robust green corridor along the North Calder River, and ensuring recreational permeability of the general area for its future residents. In the latter context, the need to implement links to Broomhouse and to any future new community on the adjoining Ellismuir Farm site would be critical.

---

**523** /**699 Prop0051 Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle CGA**

upgrades will be required.

---

**534** /**759 Prop0051 Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle CGA**

We will require a FRA to be submitted prior to the Proposed Plan Stage to ascertain how much of the site is developable. This will need to consider the North Calder Water, the minor watercourse that passes through the site and the loch in the middle of the site. Assessment of flood risk required, Water resilient measures required

---

**535** /**657 Prop0051 Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle CGA**

In a city with widespread contamination and available brown field sites we suggest that loss of greenbelt and greenspace will harm the population by usually affecting human health, fauna, flora, soil, water, mitigation of climatic change etc even if these have not been measured. . We would ask you to consider retaining the greenbelt/greenspace designation for site 0051.

---

**535** /**663 Prop0051 Baillieston/Broomhouse/Carmyle CGA**

Where any change of use is proposed this should be taken as an opportunity to investigate whether it would be in the interests of Glasgow and its residents for part of the development site to be used for allotments or community gardens. There is a waiting list for allotments across the city and the benefits for the health and wellbeing of the citizens is widely recognized. There is little good land available for growing but this is being lost through several of these proposals. In particular we suggest that if development is permitted in 0050 that this has allotment/ community garden facilities included in any proposal, both for the amenity of residents and to preserve wildlife corridors - allotments can be good for this as they are often linear, taking up 'SLOAP' (space left over after planning).

The continued regeneration of Glasgow City will have wider benefits not just for Glasgow but for the wider region, including East Dunbartonshire. In particular, the regeneration of Glasgow North should be given a high priority given the current high levels of multiple deprivation in comparison with other parts of the city. It is considered that delivery within the currently identified Community Growth Area at Robroyston would be compromised should additional land in the north of Glasgow be released from the greenbelt. The release of these sites would also conflict with the Scottish Government's aspirations for sustainable economic development and climate change mitigation.

Overall, East Dunbartonshire Council is supportive of Glasgow City Council's focus on sustainability and regeneration. The Glasgow MIR reflects the vision and policy contained in the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Strategic Development Plan and is also consistent with East Dunbartonshire Council's Strategic Policy Direction of Sustainable Growth as set out in the adopted Local Plan 2.

East Dunbartonshire would therefore not support the release of additional greenbelt land at either location as this would conflict with the Strategic Development Plans aim of "maintaining a sustainable compact city-region". Instead, East Dunbartonshire Council would continue to support the prioritisation of brownfield development across the city region. As stated in Option 1.1A, these are more sustainable in the long term than small scale Greenfield release sites. Greenfield release should only be considered after brownfield opportunities have been exhausted and currently identified Community Growth Areas have been built out.

Grounds: Impact on Adjacent Local Authority and Greenbelt There are unlikely to be any significant impacts on East Dunbartonshire from the proposed development of the site at Summerston. Whilst the volume of traffic along the Balmore Road is likely to increase most of this is likely to be in the direction of Glasgow City as opposed to East Dunbartonshire. This would nevertheless result in an increase in pressure on the route in to Glasgow as a result of locating housing in this unsustainable location. Given its location, there would be no obvious visual impact on existing residential areas within East Dunbartonshire. Any potential visual impact on Killermont Golf Course would be minimal on account of the dense woodland and vegetation in this area.

The western part of the site is partly covered by a Flood Risk Area associated with the River Kelvin. However, the masterplan indicates that this area would remain undeveloped and would instead be landscaped as part of a 'green corridor' to create amenity walkways and enhance biodiversity. There are no other obvious environmental constraints.

Seeks the release of land from the greenbelt for housing development at Summerston. The site is deliverable, effective and could be brought forward within the short-medium term. Amongst other things the proposed development could: attract new families to the area; provide greater choice and opportunity for existing residents; provide local community facilities; improve local infrastructure and accessibility; provide a potential bus route through the site; provide pedestrian links to the Kelvin Walkway; provide land for a potential cemetery expansion.

It is considered that the site should be included as a housing site within the Proposed Plan and also that the northern distributor road should be included when the proposed plan is published to support development in this area.



---

493 /

555 Prop0052 Summerston

I would like to make representation with regard to Prop0052, Summerston in the Local Development Plan Main Issues Report.

I have concerns about the release of this Greenbelt land for development. This proposal is beyond the previous site of release of Greenbelt land in this area. At the time of that release, it was made clear that that was to be the maximum release in this area of the city. I see no reason to deviate from that position at this time.

The proposed site's proximity to Possil Marsh SSSI and the Antonine Wall site make it in my view unsuitable for development. As cited in the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the site, the area is a habitat for a number of important species including deer, otters, varieties of geese and other bird species.

Further development in this area could place further demand and stress on local services.

There are other areas of non-Greenbelt land in the North of the city that could be suitable for development without releasing this Greenbelt land for development beyond the area previously agreed to be the most suitable boundary for development in this area of the city.

For all these reasons, I believe that the current proposal should not be taken further.

---

510 /

635 Prop0052 Summerston

We have a general concern that there appears to be no detailed, transport-based assessment of the suitability of sites for development, in order to ensure that sustainable modes are built in from the outset. We have a specific concern that site 52 is representative an on-going encroachment into the Greenbelt and a potential extension of urban sprawl. This type of development should be discouraged in Glasgow, where there remains a significant amount of derelict industrial land. Our concerns regarding transport outlined above also has relevance to this site.

---

515 /

582 Prop0052 Summerston

I have one very serious concern which is about the proposed release of additional greenbelt land at Summerston. As you will be aware over recent years the amount of green land in this area has seriously diminished. In my view we need a green buffer around the city to ensure that our wildlife, so which there is much in this area, can be protected. In addition the proximity of both the Antonine Wall and the Possil March SSSI mean that we must be careful to preserve an undeveloped area so that the impact of development does not affect these important places. I recall when land around Summerston was released previously we were promised that no further developments would be allowed in this area. I would be happy to identify other areas of brownfield and greenbelt land in my constituency that could usefully be developed as an alternative.

We do not support this site being taken forward into the Proposed Plan, due to strong concerns about the principle of development at the proposed scale. We are particularly concerned that allocation of this extensive site for development would not be acceptable, due to adverse impacts on landscape character and visual amenity within an SSLI. These impacts would significantly detract from the current Green Belt function of providing a landscape setting to the city's northern margins:

1. The western half of this site forms the southeast side of the Kelvin valley, a distinct landscape unit characterised by its farmed layout and lack of urban development. As stated in the Glasgow & Clyde Valley Landscape Assessment ('Broad Valley Lowland' landscape type), built development is a principal negative pressure here. It is likely that new housing would adversely affect key landscape attributes of the SSLI by replacing its rural pattern, whether on elevated slopes and/or on the valley floor. If the Blackhill slopes were developed, their role in the enclosure of the valley as a rural landscape would be lost. This role is particularly important because the northern edge of Summerston is already part of the landscape, with buildings being prominent southern terminators to the Kelvin's 'broad valley' in certain views. Key inter-visible countryside views from Summerston, Templehill, and Millichen would be adversely affected. Also, this site is where the Kelvin Walkway emerges from an urban into an attractive rural setting. Even if development was purposely held back from the riparian corridor containing the Walkway (as proposed in the IER), the landscape experience of walkers would still be adversely affected.

2. The site's eastern half straddles the Blackhill Road ridge. We acknowledge that landscape quality is lower here with much modification associated with the landfill. In terms of visual amenity however, built development here would be prominent both from the urban area to the south and the countryside approaches to the north (including traffic on the A879). It could interrupt or remove key views which allow people to relate the northern fringe of the city to the expansive countryside and more distant Campsie Fells. In addition we emphasise that the south-east part of the site lies within the catchment of Possil Marsh SSSI, notified for nationally important freshwater habitat. As a result, and without prejudice to our above advice, any application for development in this area would need to be supported by:

- a very highly specified SUDS scheme sufficient to ensure water quality and flow characteristics would be equivalent to pre-development conditions;
- detailed assessment of any contaminated land or implications of mine workings etc, leading to a detailed strategy to avoid any pollution to the SSSI.

We will require a FRA to be submitted prior to the Proposed Plan Stage to ascertain how much of the site is developable. This will need to consider the River Kelvin and the minor watercourse that passes through the site. Any Community Facilities that fall into the category of being Essential Civil Infrastructure (as per SPP paragraph 204) will need to be protected to the 1:1,000 year level of protection. Assessment of flood risk required, Water resilient measures required

In a city with widespread contamination and available brown field sites we suggest that loss of greenbelt and greenspace will harm the population by usually affecting human health, fauna, flora, soil, water, mitigation of climatic change etc even if these have not been measured. We would ask you to consider retaining the greenbelt/greenspace designation for site 0052.

---

**523 / 700 Prop0053 Cathcart Rd/Caledonia Rd**

trunk mains on 2 sides of site - other development in surrounding area. depending on timing more info may be required

---

**534 / 761 Prop0053 Cathcart Rd/Caledonia Rd**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**510 / 636 Prop0054 Cathkin Rd, Carmunnock**

We have a general concern that there appears to be no detailed, transport-based assessment of the suitability of sites for development, in order to ensure that sustainable modes are built in from the outset. We have a specific concern that site 54 is representative an on-going encroachment into the Greenbelt and a potential extension of urban sprawl. This type of development should be discouraged in Glasgow, where there remains a significant amount of derelict industrial land. Our concerns regarding transport outlined above also has relevance to this site.

---

**522 / 602 Prop0054 Cathkin Rd, Carmunnock**

Clearly, allocation of this farmland site for housing would alter the countryside edge landscape setting for existing residents on the east side of Carmunnock. More generally however, a significant loss of Green Belt functions of the land surrounding Carmunnock village seems unlikely. The exception is the higher land in the south-east corner of the proposal site. This has a more prominent role in the village's landscape setting and its exclusion should be considered.

---

**529 / 731 Prop0054 Cathkin Rd, Carmunnock**

This site is accessible by only 1 bus per hour. It is less accessible than many of the other additional sites and is likely to require a private car to access - this site should not be considered for development which is likely to create a large number of person trips.

---

**534 / 762 Prop0054 Cathkin Rd, Carmunnock**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**535 / 659 Prop0054 Cathkin Rd, Carmunnock**

In a city with widespread contamination and available brown field sites we suggest that loss of greenbelt and greenspace will harm the population by usually affecting human health, fauna., flora, soil, water, mitigation of climatic change etc even if these have not been measured. . We would ask you to consider retaining the greenbelt/greenspace designation for site 0054.

---

**26 / 40 Prop0055 Kennishead Farm**

A Planning Study was undertaken for the NS&I site which proposed a re-alignment of the southbound M77 slip road. Cognisance of the Planning Study should be taken when determining any potential access strategy of the site and it may be prudent to discuss this with Transport Scotland.

---

**510 / 637 Prop0055 Kennishead Farm**

We have a general concern that there appears to be no detailed, transport-based assessment of the suitability of sites for development, in order to ensure that sustainable modes are built in from the outset. We have a specific concern that site 55 is representative an on-going encroachment into the Greenbelt and a potential extension of urban sprawl. This type of development should be discouraged in Glasgow, where there remains a significant amount of derelict industrial land. Our concerns regarding transport outlined above also has relevance to this site.

---

**522 / 594 Prop0055 Kennishead Farm**

We do not support this site being taken forward into the Proposed Plan, due to strong concerns about the principle of development at the proposed scale. This site is conspicuous for providing a relatively wide Green Network link between Pollok Park and the wildlife corridors of both the M77 and the Aurs/Brock Burn. Given that it is actively farmed (or was recently), it is possible that a well-designed development could maintain the wildlife connectivity it provides and possibly avoid adverse effects on its C-SINC designation. This would require enhancing both the internal field boundaries and the wildlife corridors at its edges.

In terms of landscape character, however, it is more likely that development here would have significant adverse impacts. The site's juxtaposition of fields rising to long-established woodland announces the proximity of Pollok Park to motorway users, giving a distinct sense of place. Considering this quality, it is unlikely that built development could be compatible with the site's designation as part of an SSLI, except perhaps in its south-east corner.

---

**523 / 701 Prop0055 Kennishead Farm**

mains upgrades / extension required

---

**534 / 763 Prop0055 Kennishead Farm**

We will require a FRA / other relevant additional information to be submitted at the planning application stage so that the risk posted by minor watercourse that runs through the site can be fully assessed. Areas near the watercourse may not be available for development. Assessment of flood risk required, Water resilient measures required.

---

**535** /**661 Prop0055 Kennishead Farm**

Kennishead Farm is a very important site, gifted to Glasgow for greenspace in perpetuity with gardens and designed landscape and on no account should this be developed.

---

**316** /**364 Prop0056 Causewayside St**

We restate all previous comments we made in relation to the suitability of the site for residential development (including the changing nature of the site due to the completion of the Housing Association flats to the west; the location of the site on the edge of the employment land, the awkward shape of the site for office / industrial unit space, and the potential for this site to be considered as part of a wider reallocation if other sites nearby are being promoted for residential development). The site is highly accessible by public transport, with bus stops less than 100 metres from the site on Tollcross Road, and well served by local facilities, including the local convenience shop currently being fitted out at 7 Causewayside Street; these issues further demonstrate the suitability of the site for a sustainable residential development.

There appears to be a surplus of industrial land at this location; there is currently a large vacant site on the eastern corner of Causewayside Street and Easterhill Street; an empty former public house for sale on the western corner; the adjoining site is currently being marketed as is land off Causewayside Crescent. As set out in our MIR response in November 2011, our client initially used this site for storage in association with their scaffolding business; however for operational reasons they have now relocated completely to Grangemouth. Their business continues to be successful; and they have recently secured a 3 year contract with Polimeri Europa however there is no need for the Glasgow site and no likelihood of this changing (there are around 20 long-term employees at Grangemouth; whilst in Glasgow the company generally used sub-contractors).

In terms of the Interim Environmental Report, we note that the only potential issue identified is in relation to the Category C Listed Victoria Tollcross Church. We fully recognise that any built development requires to respect the setting of Listed Buildings, and this is a matter that can be fully considered (and controlled by the Council as Planning Authority) as part of any future planning application. We consider that a residential development offers the opportunity to create a significant visual enhancement compared to the existing very functional appearance of the site, and in this respect would suggest that the matrix relative to the Listed Building should in fact be coloured green to denote "development which could actively enhance the environment." We note that there are no 'red' issues identified in the Environmental Report, and consider that the lack of potential issues identified is a positive factor with regard to this site's development potential.

---

**523** /**702 Prop0056 Causewayside St**

no major issues

---

**534** /**764 Prop0056 Causewayside St**

Whilst we have no objection to the development of this site any proposal will need to be consistent with paragraphs 75 and 76 of PAN69. Water resilient measures required

We respectfully request that Linthouse Point be reallocated from an Industrial and Business Area (Dev 3) to a Mixed Development (Dev 8) site.

We support the Council's preferred option within the MIR to undertake a review of the City's industrial and business areas (option 2.7 A) and request that Linthouse Point's Dev 3 designation is reviewed in this process. The surrounding area of the site is changing and becoming an area of focus for regeneration and investment however in the current economic environment we consider that the key to stimulating development is by broadening the designation of this site to one of mixed use. We have had initial discussions with a number of potential occupiers and believe that there are several additional feasible and sustainable uses for this site.

Following our comments above, we wish to promote the site for a Mixed Development (Dev 8) designation within the emerging LDP. We are seeking a flexible mixed use development designation for the site with potential community based uses linked to the wider area including the New South Glasgow Hospital Campus. We believe that this will create more viable, effective and sustainable development opportunities for this site and also contribute to the regeneration of the wider area.

Within the MIR, the site is within the 'Clyde Waterfront Strategic Development Priority' area on the Spatial Regeneration Framework Map. The allocation and development of Linthouse Point for a mix of uses for which there is market demand would contribute to the regeneration of the Clyde Waterfront Strategic Development Priority area, and also the wider area, and also support the Council's objectives for this area including "generating large-scale economic activity within easy reach of these communities", "re-cycling previously developed land" and "developing a critical mass for infrastructure, public transport and service investment." The Clyde Fastlink is located to the south of the site within the MIR maps. Whilst we note the Council's requirement to require developer contributions for the Clyde Fastlink, we respectfully request that there is an element of flexibility within the related policy and supplementary guidance to ensure that the level of contribution required for new development does not affect the viability and deliverability of new development proposals and that the level of developer contributions required is appropriate to the scale and type of the particular development proposed. It should also be noted that my Client has already agreed to provide a strip of land within the site to accommodate the requirements of the Clyde Fastlink on the basis that the value of this land will be offset against any future developer contributions.

We support the Council's preferred option for Development Policy Principle (DPP) 'DEV 8: Mixed Development' to retain the policy and review the designated areas. We understand that the Council are seeking to review the wording of this policy however we respectfully request that the existing policy wording remains unchanged as it currently does not restrict the proportion or mix of uses on a designated site and we wholly support this approach.

aware of heliport development here currently no mains in area

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds subject to all development starting at or above an elevation of 4.94 mAOD. This value is the 1:200 year coastal design level for this site taken from River Clyde Flood Management Strategy (2005 Halcrow / Fairhurst). Water resilient measures required



---

**535 / 664 Prop0057 Linthouse Rd**

Some areas of derelict land have been shown to be useful for raised beds and could be used for small educational growing areas, in particular 0057.

---

**26 / 39 Prop0058 Provan Gasworks**

Transport Scotland would welcome further discussion on the access strategy for this site and any potential impact on the safe and efficient operation of the trunk road.

---

**522 / 598 Prop0058 Provan Gasworks**

This brownfield site does have capacity to accommodate the proposed development without significant adverse natural heritage effects. We recommend that the LDP should stipulate that development proposals maximise the site's Green Network potential. Well-planned new planting and SUDS infrastructure could strengthen wildlife corridors, especially that of the motorway and Molendinar Burn which is a strategic north-south link as noted in the IER. The function of remaining scrub/woodland within the site could also be enhanced. The main green network benefits might be for wildlife and for landscape character, though the potential for recreational links could increase if barriers beyond the site could be overcome.

---

**523 / 704 Prop0058 Provan Gasworks**

currently modelling work ongoing for extension to gas works, large demand

---

**529 / 732 Prop0058 Provan Gasworks**

This site is accessible by only 1 bus per hour. It is less accessible than many of the other additional sites and is likely to require a private car to access - this site should not be considered for development which is likely to create a large number of person trips.

---

**534 / 766 Prop0058 Provan Gasworks**

Whilst we have no objection to the development of this site any proposals will need to be consistent with paragraphs 75 and 76 of PAN69. Water resilient measures required

---

**535 / 665 Prop0058 Provan Gasworks**

Some areas of derelict land have been shown to be useful for raised beds and could be used for small educational growing areas, in particular 0058.

---

**14 / 27 Prop0059 110 Easter Queenslie Rd**

The site would provide for an integrated large scale retail development opportunity that meets the local needs of residents;

- Industrial and Business land supply as it stands and moving forward exceeds the 10 year requirement stated in City Plan 2 and it can be argued that indeed there is an over supply;
- The location of the site is suitable for a change in land use designation as it is bound by residential, detached from other business and industry use with a dedicated separate vehicle access; and would provide a natural location to service residents needs; .
- The change in land use designation to Large Scale Retail is sustainable, accessible and is considered to be a suitable site for the proposed use;
- The proposals present a viable and deliverable development that will deliver a positive contribution to the surrounding area in terms of design and townscape;
- The site can realistically and practically be developed for Large Scale Retail land use.

---

**309 / 350 Prop0059 110 Easter Queenslie Rd**

Strongly support the allocation of Easter Queenslie Road for large scale retail land use within the Proposed LDP and support the Council's inclusion within the MIR.

---

**523 / 705 Prop0059 110 Easter Queenslie Rd**

no known issues

---

**529 / 733 Prop0059 110 Easter Queenslie Rd**

This site is accessible by only 1 bus per hour. It is less accessible than many of the other additional sites and is likely to require a private car to access - this site should not be considered for development which is likely to create a large number of person trips.

---

**534 / 767 Prop0059 110 Easter Queenslie Rd**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

523 /

706 Prop0060 Anniesland Business Park

no major issues

---

529 /

725 Prop0060 Anniesland Business Park

The site does not fall within:

- a 400m walk in distance of a bus stop served by at least 1 bus per hour (7am to 7pm);
- a 400m walk in distance of a bus stop served by at least 6 buses per hour (7am to 7pm); or
- an 800m walk in distance of a rail station

SPT would not support the development of this site.

---

534 /

768 Prop0060 Anniesland Business Park

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. However, we suggest that contact is made with Scottish Water to assess the risk posed by sewer flooding at this location. Water resilient measures required.

---

523 /

707 Prop0061 Stanley St/Seaward St

potential for flow issues due to deadends and lack of use recently

---

534 /

769 Prop0061 Stanley St/Seaward St

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required.

---

523 /

708 Prop0062 Barrachnie Rd (Baillieston Distn Centre)

existing industrial demand - should be no issues

---

**534 / 770 Prop0062 Barrachnie Rd (Baillieston Distn Centre)**

We will require a FRA to be submitted prior to the Proposed Plan Stage to ascertain how much of the site is developable. This will need to consider Tollcross Burn and the culverts downstream of this site by Garrowhill Station. Assessment of flood risk required, Water resilient measures required

---

**13 / 26 Prop0063 Lambhill Quadrant - Milnpark Trading Est**

The site has been marketed for 8 years with little interest from commercial/business occupiers. The estate has remained largely vacant over this period and is no longer a viable industry/business location. The site is bounded by residential and is detached from other business/industry use. The site can realistically and practically be delivered for residential use.

---

**314 / 360 Prop0063 Lambhill Quadrant - Milnpark Trading Est**

Strongly support the allocation of Milnpark Trading Estate for residential land use within the Proposed LDP and support the Council's inclusion in the MIR.

---

**523 / 709 Prop0063 Lambhill Quadrant - Milnpark Trading Est**

existing industrial demand - should be no issues

---

**534 / 771 Prop0063 Lambhill Quadrant - Milnpark Trading Est**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**488 / 548 Prop0064 Darnley Mains**

We note that this proposal is adjacent to Dams to Darnley Country Park and should be considered in relation to the impacts on the park and the Dams to Darnley Country Park's Development and Management Plan 2011 – 2016.

---

**503** /**568 Prop0064 Darnley Mains**

Further to our clients' previous representation made in respect of the 'Main Issues Report', we consider that the proposal site should be allocated within the urban area, or for housing, with the frontage of the site allocated as greenspace/car parking associated with the country park. Since our last submission back in December 2011 we have commissioned a number of studies, which, when complete, will provide a detailed assessment of the site and demonstrate its suitability and effectiveness to accommodate the proposed development. This includes: land quality assessment; ecology assessment; transport assessment; utility assessment and indicative master plan, amongst others. We have also received several letters of interest from established house builders interested in developing the site.

The proposed residential development will address an identified demand for housing in the area. The provision of a car & coach parking area on part of the site would also serve to enhance the facilities and accessibility of the adjacent country park. The principle of which has been indicatively supported during our discussions with Glasgow City Council and the Country Park Officer of East Renfrewshire Council. We intend to provide a comprehensive submission in early 2013 to augment our existing submissions, and shall include the completed studies. The detailed submissions will confirm that there are no known constraints to the development of the site; that it is effective; that development is deliverable and wholly viable without detriment to the surrounding area.

---

**522** /**599 Prop0064 Darnley Mains**

We understand that outline planning permission (subject to Section 75 agreement) was granted in 2008 for a mixed-use development on this site. It remains designated for Industry & Business. As such, and following partial site preparation in recent years, from a natural heritage standpoint the site could accommodate mixed-use development. We strongly recommend however that the LDP should stipulate that development proposals would need to maximise the site's considerable Green Network potential, not least for its future residents. As set out in our recent consultation advice regarding a new planning application for mixed use, this potential includes:

- protection and enhancement of the site's existing resources in terms of landscape and habitat features, e.g. field boundary remnants;
- creative treatments to the site boundary to provide a positive urban-rural transition;
- incorporating the above into a network of high-quality open space which is multifunctional, providing a habitat network, active travel infrastructure, and a clear landscape setting that imparts a distinct sense of place;
- and ensuring this internal green network links coherently to surrounding areas via green corridors, in particular through a landscape structure that provides physical and visual 'gateways' into Darnley Country Park.

---

**523** /**710 Prop0064 Darnley Mains**

darnley - 2 24" mains dissceting site already in discussion with house builder re these

---

**529** /**734 Prop0064 Darnley Mains**

This site is accessible by only 1 bus per hour. It is less accessible than many of the other additional sites and is likely to require a private car to access - this site should not be considered for development which is likely to create a large number of person trips.

---

**534 / 772 Prop0064 Darnley Mains**

We have previously been consulted on this site and we have confirmed the 1:200 year design flow and level for this site. These values are based on a FRA submitted by Fairhurst. We require that any applicant looking to develop this site has access to this report or commissions a new FRA to confirm that what they are proposing is acceptable with regards to flood risk. Assessment of flood risk required, Water resilient measures required

---

**535 / 660 Prop0064 Darnley Mains**

In a city with widespread contamination and available brown field sites we suggest that loss of greenbelt and greenspace will harm the population by usually affecting human health, fauna, flora, soil, water, mitigation of climatic change etc even if these have not been measured. . We would ask you to consider retaining the greenbelt/greenspace designation for site 0064.

---

**535 / 666 Prop0064 Darnley Mains**

Some areas of derelict land have been shown to be useful for raised beds and could be used for small educational growing areas, in particular 0064.

---

**523 / 711 Prop0065 Carlisle St**

Cowlairs - height of site - potential pressure issues

---

**529 / 735 Prop0065 Carlisle St**

This site is accessible by only 1 bus per hour. It is less accessible than many of the other additional sites and is likely to require a private car to access - this site should not be considered for development which is likely to create a large number of person trips.

---

**534 / 773 Prop0065 Carlisle St**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

1 /

**5 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I very strongly support the proposal to zone this area as Greenspace, which will form a valuable local amenity

---

9 /

**19 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I'm not sure how these playing fields came to be designated for residential use. Having followed the previous proposals for this area (Compendium Park) I am struck by how it has become a focus for the community, providing a green area which is used by families. The community 'ownership' (care and upkeep) is gratifying to see, and offers a unique example for future inner city development. This sort of project gives heart to communities, and so should be facilitated in every way possible. This is an invaluable green asset in an area that has vastly increased, and is still increasing, its population density on the many nearby brownfield sites.

I strongly support the proposal that this should be a greenspace.

---

20 /

**33 Prop0066 Clouston St**

Please incorporate the open land known as North Kelvin Meadow, which is publicly owned, as green community space, in your updating of the Local development Plan.

---

30 /

**49 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the City Plan 3.

---

31 /

**50 Prop0066 Clouston St**

As a parent of two young toddlers living in the West End of Glasgow, I support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the City Plan 3 (council reference Prop0066). This space is invaluable to the well-being and development of our city's children. With the rising rates of obesity and the prevalence of sedentary lifestyles, to have a space where children can run free and use their imaginations without limit is invaluable for this city.

---

33 /

**53 Prop0066 Clouston St**

This email is to register my support for the rezoning of north kelvin meadow to be a green space for the city plan 3.

This space is so important, particularly for our children in the west end. It would be such a tragedy to lose it.



---

34 /

**54 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like to lodge my support for the rezoning of the North Kelvin Meadow to be Green Space site. We use this space on a regular basis as a way to connect with nature. My one and three year old love finding leaves and bugs as well as other nature activities. They also enjoy the Forest School Club and outdoor playgroup. The space warrants the label of Green Space.

---

34 /

**57 Prop0066 Clouston St**

We would like to show our support for PROP 0066 - the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow as a Green Space. The community uses this space for many nature activities like growing fruit and veg. Children plant, dig and make dens as well as many other nature related activities. The land has over 400 trees. The Children's Wood playgroup runs every Wednesday on the land (we've had more than 56 people at any one time) and there is a Forest School Club every Friday where 3-6 year olds can connect with nature. On top of this, our community puts on many nature connecting activities for the locals because it is thought of, by the locals, as a Green Space. There have been over 4,000 people (that we know of) on the land since summer purely to connect with nature. Rezoning this space to a Green Space is of the highest importance.

---

35 /

**55 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am writing to give my support to save the North Kelvin Meadow from being built upon. We use the space regularly and believe it's an important natural and wild resource in the city. It's unique and is also cared for; including a children's wood and Forest School activities. There have been many wonderful family events there but is also just a beautiful to spend an afternoon.

---

36 /

**56 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am writing to say that I support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the City Plan 3 (council reference 'Prop0066')

---

37 /

**58 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I strongly support the rezoning of the North Kelvin Meadow as a green space for the city plan 3, as per prop0066.

---

38 /

**59 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I strongly support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the City Plan 3. We use the meadow several times a week and it is an INVALUABLE space for children (and indeed adults) to learn about nature - so different from all the parks because it is a 'wild' space, and yet beautifully cared for by a huge team of willing volunteers. We have also attended a number of cultural events in the meadow, which have been first-class. This space is hugely valuable to our local community, contributing to our health, education and wellbeing, and it would be travesty if it was lost. As such it should be designated a green space and protected as such.

---

39 /

**60 Prop0066 Clouston St**

My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

40 /

**61 Prop0066 Clouston St**

Support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the City Plan 3. Please make our views known for tomorrow afternoon's meeting.

---

41 /

**62 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I believe this area should be rezoned to be a green space and not a housing development.

---

42 /

**64 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status.

My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

43 /

**65 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I write to declare that I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status.

My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

44 /

**66 Prop0066 Clouston St**

strongly support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the City Plan 3. We use the meadow several times a week and it is an INVALUABLE space for children (and indeed adults) to learn about nature - so different from all the parks because it is a 'wild' space, and yet beautifully cared for by a huge team of willing volunteers. We have also attended a number of cultural events in the meadow, which have been first-class. This space is hugely valuable to our local community, contributing to our health, education and wellbeing, and it would be travesty if it was lost. As such it should be designated a green space and protected.

---

45 /

**67 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I note with approval the inclusion of North Kelvin Meadow/Clouston St. Playing Fields in the MIR Additional Sites - Ref 0066.

I would furthermore strongly support the redesignation of this site as a permanent Open Greenspace for use as Recreation, Allotments and Wildlife as outlined in the MIR submission by the North Kelvin Meadow Campaign, which would fully accord with CITY PLAN 2 and the future Local Development Plan. The present plans for building on this land are completely at odds with those plans as they envisage building on a Greenfield site when there are still plenty of Brownfield sites still available.

---

46 /

**68 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm very much in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone north Kelvin Meadow as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. It is being used by the local community (including myself) as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for my kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. We need more green areas for children. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

47 /

**69 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

48 /

**70 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I note the City Plan 3 proposal to have the former playing pitch area, now a community open space, at Clouston Street formalised as open "Green space". Very happy to see this development and would be pleased to see it fully integrated into the city open space strategy.

---

49 /

**71 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. As a former North Kelvin resident I have been involved with gardening activities on the land for many years and recognize it as part of Glasgow's and North Kelvin's park space. I do not recognize it as in any way a residential or brownfield site.

---

49 /

**385 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. As a former North Kelvin resident I have been involved with gardening activities on the land for many years and recognize it as part of Glasgow's and North Kelvin's park space. I do not recognise it as in any way a residential or brownfield site.

---

50 /

72 Prop0066 Clouston St

I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site named below as a Greenspace from its current Residential status.

---

51 /

73 Prop0066 Clouston St

As someone who lives in the west end of Glasgow and works in the Maryhill area, I'm writing in support of the proposed re-zoning of the North Kelvin Meadow as a green space for City plan 3. Please let's protect this green space for the use of the community!

---

52 /

74 Prop0066 Clouston St

We are writing to say that we are very much in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status.

As the Meadow Campaign has stated, the site is 'being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. '

---

53 /

75 Prop0066 Clouston St

I am emailing to express my support for the rezoning of Kelvin meadow to be a green space for the city plan 3. This is such a special place for people in this area.

---

54 /

76 Prop0066 Clouston St

I would appreciate it if you could consider rezoning the North Kelvin Meadow as Greenspace instead of the existing Residential. We have so few wild spaces in the West End, and such housing density already, it would be a crying shame for local children to lose it.

---

55 /

77 Prop0066 Clouston St

I'm writing to add my support to above proposal to rezone the area between Clouston St and Kelbourne St - known locally as the North Kelvin Meadow - as a green space. The land has never been built on and is already used as a green space by local residents, who walk dogs there, have picnics in summer and grow flowers, fruit and vegetables. The land provides a place where children in particular can enjoy free play, something that is not possible in other nearby parks. This is very important in a densely populated area where most of the housing is flats. It is particularly important for those who live on the Wyndford estate where there a paucity of green space. With its wildlife and many trees, the land also makes an important contribution to biodiversity in our city. The land is a green space by any definition, and this should be acknowledged in the city's zoning.

---

56 /

78 Prop0066 Clouston St

I support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the City Plan 3.

---

57 /

79 Prop0066 Clouston St

This is to inform you of my support for the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green Space for the City Plan 3 - this space should be used for the health and well being of our children.

---

58 /

80 Prop0066 Clouston St

Please please keep our green space. This is used on a daily basis for dog walking, kids on bikes not to mention the wild birds and bats that frequent the space. Recently a lot of hard work has been put in to various events that have taken place there. All the local children have thoroughly enjoyed this sense of fun and community. It would be a real shame if us locals lost this really vital piece of land.

---

59 /

81 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

60 /

82 Prop0066 Clouston St

Please please keep our green space. This is used on a daily basis for dog walking, kids on bikes not to mention the wild birds and bats that frequent the space. Recently a lot of hard work has been put in to various events that have taken place there. All the local children have thoroughly enjoyed this sense of fun and community. It would be a real shame if us locals lost this really vital piece of land.

---

62 /

84 Prop0066 Clouston St

I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. As a council tax payer and local resident I regularly use this space with my young son and would consider any failure to rezone the aforementioned site from residential to greenspace to be unacceptable and contrary to the ethos behind Glasgow Greenspace. The council is to be commended on the fantastic green spaces throughout the city and the nearby Botanic Gardens and Arboretum are a credit to the council and its staff but uncultivated "wild" green spaces are essential to children's development.

---

64 /

**86 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I agree that Proposal reference number Prop0066 be rezoned as Greenspace instead of the existing Residential status.

It is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

65 /

**87 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I agree to that proposal reference number Prop0066 (Clouston Street/North Kelvin Meadow) be rezoned as a Green Space. That the site contains in excess of 400 trees shows it is clearly part of Glasgow's green network. It also lies within the Glasgow West Conservation Area. The site is used by the local community for recreation; it supports allotments and diverse wildlife and plants.

---

66 /

**88 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I support the re-zoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the City Plan 3. It is a great resource for children and families in the area.

---

67 /

**89 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state my support for proposal Prop0066 to re-classify the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. As a local resident, I am aware that the site is currently used by the local community as a recreational space and an outdoor play area for children and it is clearly part of the green network of our city.

---

68 /

**90 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I refer to the proposed re-zoning of North Kelvinside Meadow (Prop0066) in the City Plan 3. I am a resident of Garrioch Road and fully support and urge the re-zoning of North Kelvin Meadow (Prop0066) in the City Plan 3 as a green space. There are very few green spaces in the City and this is an integral and important (and valued) community area.

---

69 /

**91 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am writing to say that I agree that Proposal ref number Prop0066 be rezoned as Greenspace instead of its existing Residential status. This area is being used by us, the local community, as a healthy and recreational space. It gives us a little bit of green space in the city, it's a healthy outdoor area where children play, it's being used for raised bed allotments, it has hundreds of trees which support wildlife and it's biodiversity should be protected. The area obviously represents part of the green network of the city, something every Authority should be striving to support. Also, it became part of the Glasgow West Conservation Area in August 2011. I hope these reasons, so important to the communities affected, will be respected and the area safeguarded.

---

70 /

**92 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am writing to state in the strongest terms that I am in favour of Prop0066 to rezone the site detailed below as a Greenspace from current Residential status. As a local resident for the past 8 years, I feel strongly about the positive impact this site has on the local area and, by extension, on the city as a whole. Based on my experiences in this space and with the people who work in it and enjoy its qualities, the grounds for my support for Prop0066 are that the site is used by members of the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for children, a shared garden with raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

71 /

**93 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that as a member of the local community I enjoy using it as a green recreational space. Our children play there, there is a raised bed allotment area which is badly needed (we waited 7 years to get an allotment at the local council allotment site) and the woodland, wildlife and meadow space is beautiful and good for the soul in the midst of busy city life. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

72 /

**94 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that it is used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for children, raised bed allotments (something desperately needed in Glasgow, with sometimes over ten-year waiting list for allotments) and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. This green space is not only a central asset to Glasgow's green network, but it also is a place that encourages community action and events. With over 480 trees on the land it is part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

73 /

**95 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. This site has always been used as a greenspace for recreation (previously football pitches) and has never previously existed as a residential space. It should be kept as a greenspace as there is still the same need in the community for inner city green space such as this as there always was when this space was left for recreational need over a decade ago. As a local resident I know there is little green space like this left but plenty of brownfield sites in the area that could instead be used for residential space. If Glasgow is to improve the health of its population to reduce health costs we should be maintaining the green space that we have left and looking at alternatives for new residential spaces.

---

74 /

**96 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am a resident of North Kelvinside, Glasgow and I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

75 /

**97 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.



---

76 /

**99 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. The local community organises regular events which take place on this site and boost the local community. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. It is essential that this area is preserved for the use of the community and for future generations, and I therefore fully support and endorse the move to rezone the site as a Greenspace.

---

77 /

**100 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status.

My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

78 /

**101 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

79 /

**102 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I'd like to state that I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that it is currently being used by the local community as a green recreational space, outdoor play area for young children whilst it is also being used for raised bed allotments. The woodland and meadow space adds to the green network of our city and it would be tragic if we were to lose this green space.

---

80 /

**103 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I agree with the rezoning of (CITY PLAN 3) property 0066 from residential to greenspace

---

81 /

**104 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like to state that I am strongly in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are based on the fact that this well-loved meadow is used by many of the local community, of all ages, as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. It holds a special place in the heart of the community who have long been campaigning to protect its current status. With over 480 trees on the land, and many wildflowers and other plants, it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**82 / 105 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**83 / 106 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**84 / 107 Prop0066 Clouston St**

Just to give the support to the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the city plan 3

---

**85 / 108 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. I have been using the meadow since I was a child when it was a football pitch and now my daughter and I use it weekly for family events and also my 3 year old daughter loves to visit North Kelvin Meadow with her nursery. To use this space we don't need to travel by car we can walk, we recycle our waste in the compost bins and enjoy looking for wildlife in a safe relaxing part of the westend. We wish to continue using the site without the threat of building.

---

**86 / 109 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I'd like to voice my support for the proposal that North Kelvin Meadow be a Green Space for the City Plan 3.

---

**87 / 110 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. I enjoy using the site to take my baby for walks away from the traffic in the peace and calm. I look forward to her being able to use the space for play and activities like the forest school as she grows.

---

88 /

111 Prop0066 Clouston St

I support the re-zoning of the North Kelvinside Meadow to be a green space for the City plan 3.

---

89 /

112 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state that I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site at Clouston Street as Greenspace from its current DEV2 Residential status. My grounds are:

1. The site has never had residential buildings on it, documentary evidence of that is available on maps from the past, such as this one showing how the land was undeveloped in 1894 while the streets surrounding it had started to be developed with tenements (the street shown as Montgomery Street was renamed Clouston Street):
2. Since this area of the city started to be developed as a suburb in the mid 1800s the site has always been used for a variety of leisure and recreational purposes rather than residential purposes. Since Glasgow City Council stopped maintaining the site as a sports ground during the 1980s it has become a site covered with grass, trees, and shrubs, and is currently a habitat for a variety of wildlife including bats, foxes, birds, and rare wild orchids.
3. It is currently being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments, dog-walking and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity.
4. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.
5. It is NOT a brownfield site which would be appropriate for residential development.

---

90 /

113 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 - Clouston Street to rezone the site as Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that the area is being extensively used by the local community as a green recreational space, an safe outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. Local people are so committed to the location that many of us have voluntarily given of our time to carry out litter collecting and other positive inputs to enhance the area landscape. It is also worth mentioning that as August 2011 the entire area lies within the Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

91 /

114 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

92 /

115 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to record my agreement with the rezoning of Kelvin Meadows to greenspace under proposal 0066. My grounds are that the area is an irreplaceable open space with well established mature trees and an excellent range of bird and plantlife. It is well used and very clearly beloved by the surrounding residents and is exactly the kind of open space we need for families and children. The land is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. It was always open space and should not have been classified as potentially residential.

---

**93 / 116 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state that I strongly agree with proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that it has been--and continues to be--used by the local community as a thriving green recreational space including an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity of the area and the health of many local citizens. The whole site, as from August 2011, is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**94 / 117 Prop0066 Clouston St**

Even as a tourist that appreciate Glasgow and the surroundings of Kelvin I support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the City Plan 3

---

**95 / 118 Prop0066 Clouston St**

As someone who lives very close to North Kelvinside Meadow, and make use of it as a wild outside space, somewhat reminiscent of the places i played as a child, I write to support that North Kelvin Meadow should be a Green space for the City Plan 3.

---

**96 / 119 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**97 / 120 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like to state that I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**98 / 121 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that I and other members of the local community use this area as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, for raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**99 / 122 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I hereby agree that Proposal Reference number Prop0066 be rezoned as a Greenspace instead of the existing Residential status. Our Children love playing in the Meadow and it is a great place for them to play safe and learn about planting and growing Veg. There is nothing like this in the area and it would be a travesty if the area was taken away for yet more housing.

---

**100 / 123 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. The area has always been an open space and should not be designated for residential development. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**101 / 124 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**102 / 125 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**103 / 126 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**104 / 127 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to re-zone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**105 / 128 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**106 / 129 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am writing to express my strong support for the responding of Kelvin Meadow as a green space from its current residential status. This is a densely-populated part of the city, and needs to protect the green space it has. The Meadows are always in use, and prized by local people. The waiting list for nearby allotments at Kirklee is now 9 years long- we shouldn't take away the plots in the Meadows. Further residential developments should take place in areas that need regeneration, and not by concreting over these vital patches of recreation, wildlife and open space.

---

**107 / 130 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am emailing to put in writing that I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. This space is a totally unique place which over the last few years has become a haven for families seeking free social, developmental, educational and cultural opportunities for their children. A safe and welcoming enclave where children can experience and interact with wildlife and play. It is a space that Glasgow city council should be proud to support and nurture. With over 480 trees on the land it is also part of the green network of this city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**108 / 131 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I'm writing to support proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace rather than Residential. The site, with which I am familiar, is being well used by the local community, who have put a substantial amount of effort into creating one of the Glasgow's most inspiring community public spaces. Before they took it on, it was a mess, dangerous, full of litter, and unsafe especially at night. Now it is well used by children in the area as a play space, by adults for recreation and for cultivating food, and it is a haven for bats and other wildlife. I note it is also part of the Glasgow West Conservation Area. The rezoning requested by the community would protect this invaluable and beautiful space, which I believe could provide an excellent model for converting other wasteland in the city into assets that can benefit the whole community.

---

**109 / 132 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**110 / 133 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. It is being looked after by the community and it has brought people together. It is a priceless resource for children. The council's plans would allow it to be built upon and this would be a great and irreparable loss to everyone who has frequented it and might frequent it in future. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**111 / 134 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**112 / 135 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. My children enjoy using the space which has plants growing wildly and are learning a lot from the various projects and activities that go on there. There is not enough spaces like this closeby without having to travel. Many plant species have been found here and left naturally many more will flourish. There is also a population of bats living here which should be encouraged, not destroyed. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**113 / 136 Prop0066 Clouston St**

wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**114 / 138 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state that I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. I would add that there are a number of previously developed sites within the same postal code area that would benefit more from regeneration and development.

---

**115 / 139 Prop0066 Clouston St**

wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**116 / 140 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.



---

**117 / 141 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**118 / 142 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**119 / 143 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**120 / 145 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**120 / 146 Prop0066 Clouston St**

With regards to the City Plan 3 I would like you to note that I support the rezoning of the North Kelvin Meadow to a Green Zone.

---

**121 / 147 Prop0066 Clouston St**

With regards to the City Plan 3 I would like you to note that I support the rezoning of the North Kelvin Meadow to a Green Zone.

---

**122 / 148 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like to state that I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. This is a valuable asset to the local community - as an amazingly diverse space it offers opportunities to engage directly with the habitat and the wider community who visit it. It is not private allotments nor a 'managed' park, as a result people love this space, are invested in it and desperately want to keep it in its current state.

---

**123 / 149 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for children, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**124 / 150 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**125 / 151 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**126 / 152 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**127 / 153 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I'm very much in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. It is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids (more than 300 at last Sunday's event), raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space, complete with foxes owls, bats and hundreds of kinds of plants, including a dozen or so species of orchids. There are around 500 trees on the land. It is obviously part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**128** /**154 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I'm writing to confirm that I am in favour of Proposal prop0066 to rezone the North Kelvin Meadow as a Greenspace and part of the green network of our city. The land is being used very actively by the local community and is a welcome addition to the open space in that part of the city. The local community use includes a recreational area, outdoor play for children and allotments which mean that it's being actively managed and support, having previously been derelict. Also I understand that the whole site is now part of the Glasgow West Conservation Area (from August 2011).

---

**129** /**155 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am writing to express my support of proposal Prop0066 which forwards the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. The reasons behind my support relates to the fact that it is being regularly used by the local community as a green recreational space (including allotments, play/activity area for children, woodlands and open spaces for social gatherings). I have personally used the area for social gatherings, as an area for dog-walking and as an area in the summer to relax in. If I had children I would also access the child-friendly community activities that are regularly held there. I have also been informed it had over 480 trees on the land which clearly makes it part of Glasgow's green network. Furthermore, it is within Glasgow West Conservation Area and thus should remain as such.

---

**130** /**156 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status.

This green space is a great amenity to the area. It is currently being used for a number of purposes including a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. Children and their parents use the woodlot for different activities. Gardeners and many local residents are able to use the green space to grow vegetables from compost created from kitchen waste. With continued effort, the community hopes to provide a natural meadow to this area. A natural area that could provide great ecological biodiversity in a city landscape, lacking in most parks. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. In a time when encroached green space is most valueable, and where there is an influx of people in the area, it is important that we conserve such wild green sites. The greenspace is needed in this area and is one that the local community will maintain if given the opportunity.

---

**131** /**157 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments, and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space, and an area for dog walkers. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

The North Kelvin Meadow is a great example of city greenspace, and provides much needed pleasure. There should be many more such places in Glasgow.

---

**132** /**158 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like to add my voice to the groundswell of local opinion opposed to the housing development on Kelvin meadow. I live close to the meadow g20 8ll and play there with my son regularly. It would be a big loss to the community if this valuable resource and wonderful wild space was turned over to yet more flats. I don't recall being consulted about changes to the zoning of this sight

---

**133 / 159 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**134 / 160 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am writing to indicate that I believe that be rezoned as greenspace instead of the existing residential status. I believe North Kelvin Meadow is a vital area for our local community in particular for local children to learn about nature. Over the last few months I have spent a lot of time using the space particularly the Children's wood with my two young children. I have also attended many events and believe the area has become a great focus for community spirit. I think it would be a great loss for the space to lost to development.

---

**135 / 161 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**136 / 162 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am writing to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. It is a much treasured green space for myself and my family.

---

**137 / 163 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state my support for proposal Prop0066, which is to rezone the Clouston Street site as a Greenspace from its current residential status. This is because the land is being used by the local community as a recreational green space, an outdoor play area for children, raised bed allotments, and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. I live on Garrioch Road, and this green space is important to me and to the local community.

---

**138 / 164 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal prop0066 to rezone the (north kelvin side meadows ) real vent site as a green space from its current residential status .

---

**139 / 165 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for children, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**140 / 166 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am in favour of the above proposal to rezone the above site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. There is also an over-provision of housing sites in the area with many sites that already have planning not being able to progress due to lack of funding and demand for this type of housing (eg. old BBC site). There are also many other brown field sites that would suit residential allocation before this green-field recreation space. The council has many policies to protect and promote the use of such space and should re-zone to meet these policies. I trust this will be considered in the new development plan and the status correctly clarified as green open space.

---

**141 / 167 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. This type of space is increasingly important as a place where neighbours can come together and a sense of community can be promoted through shared activities.

---

**142 / 168 Prop0066 Clouston St**

Please accept this email as notice that I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site at Clouston Street (details below) as a greenspace from its current status as residential development. This site was formerly a recreational/sports area located within the community but lack of maintenance has allowed it to develop natural re-growth with many trees and other flora and fauna. As such it has become a focal point for the local community and adds to the rapidly diminishing greenspace and biodiversity within our city. I also understand that this site is located within Glasgow West Conservation Area and should not be submitted for any development to preserve and enhance its status. Last month I attended a conference at which a representative of Glasgow City Council lauded the green credentials of the city and cited the efforts that the city and its larger surrounds are putting towards a healthier and sustainable environment including waste reduction, recycling developments and windfarms on the surrounding braes. Continue to support this drive and keep green areas within the city green - we need them!!

---

**143 / 169 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am pleased to state that I am in agreement with the Proposal No 0066 to rezone the North Kelvin Meadow site as a Greenspace instead of its existing Residential category. This area has always been an open space which enhances the amenity of the neighbourhood and is greatly valued and well used by the local community. The preservation of this area with its trees and wildlife is appropriate as part of the Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**144 / 170 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**145 / 171 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a green space for the City Plan 3.

---

**146 / 172 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green Space for the City Plan 3.

---

**147 / 173 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state that I'm fully in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**148 / 174 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**149 / 175 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. I have been here many times and really enjoy the surroundings and community spirit it engenders.

---

**150 / 176 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**151 / 177 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I'd like to voice my support for the proposal that North Kelvin Meadow be a Green Space for the City Plan 3.

---

**152 / 178 Prop0066 Clouston St**

This is a green site and i urge the council to keep it that way.It is used alot by the community.

---

**153 / 179 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**154 / 180 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**155 / 181 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am emailing to express my huge support for retaining the green space at the north kelvin meadow for continuing use by the local community. I therefore support the rezoning of north kelvin meadow to be a green space for the city plan 3.



---

156 /

183 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

157 /

184 Prop0066 Clouston St

I strongly support that proposal site reference number Prop0066, North Kelvin Meadow, Clouston Street, be rezoned as greenspace instead of the existing residential status. I feel it is an extremely valuable local open space for both the community and biodiversity and should be maintained as such and not built on. Further reasons for my support follow.

1. The site has never had residential buildings on it, documentary evidence of that is available on maps from the past, such as this one showing how the land was undeveloped in 1894 while the streets surrounding it had started to be developed with tenements (the street shown as Montgomery Street was renamed Clouston Street): [http://www.scotcities.com/westend/north\\_kelvinside.htm](http://www.scotcities.com/westend/north_kelvinside.htm)
2. Since this area of the city started to be developed as a suburb in the mid 1800s the site has always been used for a variety of leisure and recreational purposes rather than residential purposes. Since the site fell out of use as a sports ground during the 1980s it has become covered with grass, trees, and shrubs, and is currently a habitat for a variety of wildlife including bats, foxes, birds, and rare wild orchids.
3. It is currently being used very effectively and is greatly valued by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play and learning area, raised bed allotments, dog-walking and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity as well as the aspirations for the city for community health, well-being and engaging with the outdoors.
4. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.
5. It is NOT a brownfield site which would be appropriate for residential development.

I very much hope the consultation confirms this site as zoned as green space and that residential development on it will therefore no longer be an option.

---

158 /

185 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

159 /

186 Prop0066 Clouston St

I support proposal 0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. It should remain a recreational space as this benefits the health and well being of the city.

---

**160 / 187 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**161 / 188 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that it's being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**162 / 189 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state that I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site below as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My reasons are that it is a well used and loved space by people of all ages from the local community on a daily basis. It currently has raised bed allotments, a woodland area, wildlife and meadow areas, a safe outdoor play area for children. It is a lovely green space that brings people together. There are regular days arranged when volunteers are happy to go along and help keep the space tidy by picking up litter that gets blown in. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area, which is an indication of its importance.

---

**163 / 190 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like to lend my support and agreement to the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow as Greenspace. The meadow was previously used as recreation space and I believe it should be zoned as Greenspace as amenity for local residents and visitors to the area. I know I need not bore you with why Urban Greenspace is crucial to the health and wellbeing of local (and wider) communities.

---

**164 / 191 Prop0066 Clouston St**

As a resident of Glasgow West End I would like to express my support for the above proposal. I feel the site should remain greenspace rather than residential, as it has engaged with the community and brought a community led group together in a way that other greenspace in the area cannot. It has become a recreational space and play area and has the potential to become much more through local engagement. The area is already high density residential with constrained roads and parking infrastructure, the loss of this space to housing will adversely affect the local environment for both people and wildlife.

---

**165 / 192 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like to express my support for proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within the Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**166 / 193 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. I use the space regularly with my partner and our 3 year old child. she loves the freedom and wildness of the meadow and we all enjoy attending the community activities that take place there, such as the outdoor playgroup which we are going to today, or the halloween event we were at a couple of weeks ago. We have met many people in our community through attending events at the meadow and feel it is a valuable amenity for building community relations.

---

**167 / 194 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I agree that Proposal Prop0066 should be rezoned as Greenspace. The land is being used as a recreational area by the local community and should not come under residential status. I have been to the site a few times and believe that it adds biodiversity to the environment. It is used as a play area for children, an allotment and a sanctuary for wildlife find refuge from the bustle of city life. There are 480 trees on the land which help to mitigate climate change. I therefore strongly believe that the current status of the land is wrong as it's use is primarily as green land.

---

**168 / 195 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**169 / 196 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state that I strongly agree with proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that it is has been - and continues to be - used by the local community as a thriving green recreational space including an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity of the area and the health of many local citizens. The whole site, as from August 2011, is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**170 / 197 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the City Plan 3

---

**171 / 198 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the City Plan 3

---

**172 / 199 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by all parts of the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**173 / 200 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I am fully in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. This is a valuable natural resource which is of enormous benefit to the wider area and deserves to be protected.

---

**174 / 201 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state that I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**175 / 202 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**176 / 203 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am writing to confirm that I am in favor of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status.

My reasons are that it is being extensively used as:

- a children's educational and play area
- a compact representation of different habitats (woodland, meadow)
- a recreational space
- a community space

Activities on the site bring the local and wider community together in a way that is sadly lacking in today's society. It is an important link in the green chain of Glasgow and treasured for its contribution to our city's biodiversity. It sits firmly within the Glasgow West Conservation Area and it is time this is officially recognized.

---

**177 / 204 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for children, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**178** /**205 Prop0066 Clouston St**

We write to support Prop 0066 that North Kelvinside Meadow be rezoned as Greenspace.

---

**179** /**206 Prop0066 Clouston St**

The purpose of this email is to send in an expression of support for Prop0066, to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. As far as I am aware, it has not been resided on for a very long while, and it's classification as Residential seems to me anomalous. There are rare species of plant and mature trees there which give it a great value to the wider community as a Greenspace, as well as to residents in the immediate neighbourhood.

---

**180** /**207 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My reasons are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**181** /**208 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**182** /**209 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**183** /**210 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like to record my heartfelt wish that North Kelvin Meadow, Clouston Street, be rezoned as Greenspace in favour of its current Residential status. This site has never been built on and, after a proposal to turn it into a sports centre fell through, the neighbouring residents started to take an active part in its regeneration while keeping within the restrictions forced upon them by possible future development. The result is a small but wonderful area containing a 'wild wood' much used by small children under supervision, a number of raised beds for vegetables, space for dog walking and training, meadow land for students who sit in the sun to revise. The land is well used and well cared for. We are constantly encouraged to enjoy fresh air and exercise for both young and old. North Kelvin Meadow provides this in the very heart of the community. This is a peaceful area and further residential development would substantially reduce its appeal.

---

184 /

**211 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

185 /

**212 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

186 /

**213 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. As a mother of three I am aware of the value of this site, there are very few wild green spaces like this in the city and I feel it is extremely important that these spaces are valued and saved. As a society we don't value nature enough which is causing the young people to be disconnected from nature which I see as a great problem particularly for the future. I do hope that the council makes the right decision. I look forward to hearing the outcome.

---

187 /

**214 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. Myself and many of my colleagues at Glasgow University agree that this area is essential to conserve the wildlife and biodiversity of Glasgow City and is an excellent space to educate younger generation about the importance of conservation.

---

188 /

**215 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I write to ask that Proposal reference number Prop0066 be rezoned as Greenspace instead of the existing Residential status. My reasons are:-

- \* the local community is using this space for recreational use and as an outdoor safe area in which children can play
- \* the local community is using this space for raised bed allotments which encourages outdoor use and a healthy attitude to growing food
- \* it is a wildlife and meadow space which is vital for biodiversity in a built up area
- \* the site is within Glasgow West Conservation Area

---

189 /

**216 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for children, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**190 / 217 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**191 / 219 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**192 / 220 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I agree that this site be rezoned as greenspace as opposed to the existing residential status. This land is currently used by our local community as a green recreational space, many children use it for outdoor play and adventure in the woodlands on the land! The community are taking care of raised bed allotments and the trees are teeming with wildlife and wildflowers. It is a well used, beautiful meadow space that enhances the local environment, adding to the biodiversity of glasgow. As you will no doubt be aware, the site is also within the Glasgow West Conservation Area and is an important part of the green network of our city.

---

**193 / 221 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like prop ref 0066 to be rezoned as a Greenspace

---

**194 / 222 Prop0066 Clouston St**

wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**195 / 223 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My family and several others we know are now regularly using this space as a recreational space for our children. Raising them in an area such as this, the meadow offers a unique opportunity for urban dwelling kids to experience an unsculptured outdoor space. This is contributing greatly to the confidence of children in our area in exploring the outdoors without needing to drive out of the city. The growing number of families using this space has also added a sense of community to our area in a special way. A diverse range of families use this space for a wide range of activities now and there is nothing else quite like it in our part of Glasgow. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.



---

**196 / 224 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**197 / 225 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for children, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. I believe it serves the city best being used for this purpose.

---

**198 / 226 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. Personally I have recently discovered this space as a recent tenant on Clouston street, and was overjoyed at what greenspace I had on my doorstep, somewhere wild and untamed with space for community allotmenting, dog walking, playing, enjoying and strolling. I now drop my compost off there and look forward to being involved with activities and celebrations, and visits to the space. It was particularly magical the other morning when I went to drop off my compost and a thick frost covered the grass and trees lit by a Sunday morning sleepy restful golden sunlight, the delicate leaves caught in it.

---

**199 / 227 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state that I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the North Kelvin Meadow at Clouston Street as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**200 / 228 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I m in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status .My grounds are that it's being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids ...

---

**201 / 230 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status.

My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**202 / 231 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**203 / 232 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like to state my support for proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status on the grounds that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, contains raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity.

Since August 2011 the site falls within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**204 / 233 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**205 / 234 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am writing to show that I support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the City Plan 3.

---

**207 / 239 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like to state my support for proposal Prop0066 to rezone the above site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status on the grounds that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, contains raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. Since August 2011 the site falls within Glasgow West Conservation Area. This is a fantastic area as it is and is widely used by the local community as stated above.

---

**208 / 240 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**209 / 242 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like to state my support for proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status on the grounds that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, contains raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. Glasgow is called 'Dear Green Place' - this is an important contribution to that title. Since August 2011 the site falls within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**210 / 243 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**211 / 244 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**212 / 245 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. This area has brought the community together and is a great asset to the area.

---

**213 / 246 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like to state my support for proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status on the grounds that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, contains raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. Since August 2011 the site falls within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**214 / 247 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state we are totally in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. This rezoning would be great news. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. To build on the land now would be to deny future generations of children much happiness. I hope that we can rely on you to do the right thing.

---

**215 / 248 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**216 / 249 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status for the reasons that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space with an outdoor play area for children, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. This is a real benefit to the physical and mental welfare of the citizens of that part of Glasgow. With over 480 trees and dozens of wild flowers on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**217 / 250 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the North Kelvin Meadow site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. Currently, It is a wonderful space being used by the local community and Glasgow citizens as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. There is nowhere else like North Kelvin Meadow in Glasgow – It is a magical 'wild but safe' place for my 4 year old boy to play. It is a tremendous asset to our city

---

**218 / 251 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**219 / 252 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**220 / 253 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like to state my support for proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status on the grounds that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, contains raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. Since August 2011 the site falls within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**221 / 254 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like to make the following points in favour of rezoning the former Clouston Street Playing fields from Residential to Greenspace. Evidence from maps dating to the early 1800s indicates that with the exception of 3 small buildings associated with its former use as playing fields, there has never been any building on this land. It has had continued use as open/greenspace to the present day. In recent years following its abandonment as formal playing fields it has become part semi-rural woodland and part wild openspace. Indeed the current PAN 65 mapping shows elements of the space already designated as "Natural/Semi-natural greenspace - woodland." However, I would argue that the PAN 65 mapping is now significantly out of date and does not reflect the full greater extent of the woodland area on Clouston Street nor does it show any designation for the now heavily-wooded area on the Sanda Street side of the space.

There is now significant flora and fauna occupying the entire space - it has significant ecological value adding to the city's biodiversity. The Housing Land Audit (site ref 1703) while currently zoning the land for potential housing, categorises the space as NB-G-U (New Build on greenfield site - urban). This is a very rare categorisation for the area and demonstrates that it is already perceived as an established greenspace. Glasgow City Council's own City Plan argues that new development should be favoured on brownfield land ahead of greenfield land. There is clearly a large quantity of appropriately zoned brownfield land across all of Glasgow and therefore no shortage of space on which to build. As a recreational resource for the residents of the area it has now become a major facility providing a much-loved area of semi-wilderness within an area of increasing residential development. Given the above, I urge the Council to formally rezone this land as greenspace.

---

**222 / 255 Prop0066 Clouston St**

kelvin meadow is a fantastic space for the community and should definitely be considered a greenspace

---

**223 / 256 Prop0066 Clouston St**

Prop0066 should be rezoned as a green space. Land for kids, wildlife and plants is an asset in every inner city.

---

**224 / 257 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like to state my support for proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status on the grounds that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, contains raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. Since August 2011 the site falls within Glasgow West Conservation Area. Green space and trees are essential to maintaining the character of this area, as the council's city plan notes; this site has been a valuable green 'lung' in the area for a very long time; the current plans to redevelop it would utterly destroy this unique site.

---

**225 / 258 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**226** /**259 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am writing in support of the maintenance of the North Kelvin Meadow as a Green Space. This very small pocket of mature trees creates a neat, safe and secure outdoor learning environment for the children within the community of Maryhill and North Kelvinside. This small area, as opposed to vast parks, is quite unique in its accessibility and family friendly based approach making it very user friendly to a diversity of social groups who live in the surrounding community. We have used this green space with our children as our own grounds lack the maturity and mystique created by this small but exciting wooded area.

---

**227** /**260 Prop0066 Clouston St**

wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**228** /**261 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I agree that this be rezoned as greenspace as opposed to the existing residential status. This land is currently used by our local community as a green recreational space, many children use it for outdoor play and adventure in the woodlands on the land! The community are taking care of raised bed allotments and the trees are teeming with wildlife and wildflowers. It is a well used, beautiful meadow space that enhances the local environment, adding to the biodiversity of glasgow. As you will no doubt be aware, the site is also within the Glasgow West Conservation Area and is an important part of the green network of our city.

---

**229** /**263 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. The site is used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**230** /**264 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space.

---

**231** /**265 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

232 /

266 Prop0066 Clouston St

I would like to state my support for proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status on the grounds that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, contains raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. The land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. Since August 2011 the site falls within Glasgow West Conservation Area. We have had lots of family outings in the Kelvin Meadows. The space is great for the children because they are in a safe but not "sterile" greenspace. The recent activities have been great for the community and the area is highly valued by many.

---

233 /

267 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state my support for proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. This is a valuable green space for children that the city council Glasgow will do well to preserve.

---

234 /

268 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

235 /

269 Prop0066 Clouston St

Please note that I am in support of the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the City Plan 3. I hope that the views of local people are being taken into account.

---

236 /

270 Prop0066 Clouston St

I would like to support the proposal reference no. Prop0066 for the Kelvin Meadow to be refined as Greenspace instead of the existing Residential Status. I have two children and we regularly enjoy going to the children's meadow for children's readings and events. There are raised bed gardens for children to work on, and uncultivated wilderness and trees for children to enjoy. It is one of the few wild spaces in the west end of Glasgow and should be preserved if at all possible. There have been lots of new student flats going up in Queen Margaret Drive and Maryhill in general and I don't think flooding the area with new housing is beneficial to the look or feel of the area and the general community.

---

237 /

271 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.



---

238 /

272 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. This area has been tended by the local community in spite of the neglect of the council. It is well loved and has helped in creating a harmonious neighbourhood as well as a pleasant and well used community area. I remember this area from over 40 years ago when it was used as a school sports area and the public had access to play football and tennis, so it's only right that the public should still have access to this area now that it's become a communal outdoor garden. LET GLASGOW FLOURISH - PLEASE!

---

239 /

273 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

240 /

274 Prop0066 Clouston St

Please note that I am in support of the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the City Plan 3. It is a much valued recreational and community space and I hope that the views of local people will be put ahead of profit.

---

241 /

275 Prop0066 Clouston St

I would like to state that I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to re-zone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My reasons are that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space, to name but a few. With over 480 trees on the land, it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site is within Glasgow West Conservation Area, as of August 2011.

---

242 /

276 Prop0066 Clouston St

wish to let you know that I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status.

This space is such a vital part of our community to provide green space within a busy city to enable safe recreation, enjoyment, access to local allotments whilst providing a habitat for wildlife and meadow space. This space is also essential as there are composting facilities for use by everyone in the area- something that would not be otherwise available.

---

243 /

278 Prop0066 Clouston St

I would like to state that I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to re-zone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My reasons are that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space, to name but a few. With over 480 trees on the land, it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site is within Glasgow West Conservation Area, as of August 2011.

---

244 /

279 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone North Kelvin Meadow site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status.

---

245 /

280 Prop0066 Clouston St

I would like to state that I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to re-zone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My reasons are that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space, to name but a few. With over 480 trees on the land, it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site is within Glasgow West Conservation Area, as of August 2011.

---

246 /

281 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

247 /

282 Prop0066 Clouston St

I would like to state my support for proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status on the grounds that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, contains raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. It also is an attraction to a truly international community ( I am German myself) and is acknowledged far above the boundaries of the city. Since August 2011 the site falls within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

248 /

283 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. As a local resident, I have been using the meadow and the woodland on daily basis since I have moved to this part of the city. I walk my dog there, I grow my own veg and herbs, feed birds... I simply go there when I want to relax. I can only confirm that this green area is a fantastic place to get away from city rush, and is also great for meeting really nice people because the meadow is used by many locals, adults as well as kids. It is not only valued as a good place for people's recreation and relax but also as a beautiful piece of nature with great biodiversity. I definitely think this piece of land deserves to be called a Greenspace officialy because IT IS an amazing greenspace, and in case it would change into anything else, it would be a huge loss for all of us. In addition, the whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

249 /

284 Prop0066 Clouston St

I am writing to state that I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. The reasons for this are that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, with an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of Glasgow and thus adds to it's biodiversity. As from August 2011 the whole site is within the Glasgow West Conservation Area. Should you require any further details from me please feel free to contact me by return.

---

250 /

285 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current residential status. It is being used by the local community-and children-as a green recreational space.The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

251 /

286 Prop0066 Clouston St

I agree that north kelvin meadow should be a greenzone space and not a residential area. I spend lots of time there with my children and value this as there is not another space like it for miles.

---

252 /

287 Prop0066 Clouston St

I would like to comment on the proposed rezoning of the North Kelvin Meadow from a residential site to a greenspace site. I agree that Proposal site reference number Prop0066 be rezoned as Greenspace instead of the existing Residential status. I include some reasons below:

1. It is well established that this site has never had residential buildings on it.
2. The site has always been used for leisure and recreation rather than residential purposes.
3. Over the past few decades the site has become home to many (480) mature trees and shrubs, and provides a habitat for a variety of wildlife including bats, foxes, birds, and wild orchids.
4. The site is currently used by the local community as a recreational space including an outdoor play area for children, raised bed allotments, is well used by dog walkers, joggers and cyclists and includes a mature woodland, wildlife and meadow space. It is a valuable part of our city's green network.
5. The site as of August 2011 is part of the Glasgow West Conservation Area.
6. Given the above points it is clear that this is not a brownfield site and it is therefore an inappropriate place for residential development

---

253 /

288 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

254 /

289 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. Our family has benefited so much from using the green space and we have got to know more of our neighbours in the last year since we have been visiting the Green space than we have in the past 10 years that we have lived on Clouston Street. We have grown at least one business out of the relationships made because of the green space.....please keep it for families to use.

---

255 /

290 Prop0066 Clouston St

wish to state I'm in favour of proposed Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

256 /

291 Prop0066 Clouston St

I write in support of proposal Prop0066, which would re-zone the site at Clouston Street, commonly known as "North Kelvin Meadow", as Greenspace. The Meadow is used by the local community as a recreational space and an outdoor play area for children. It also houses raised bed allotments and a woodland, and contributes towards our city's biodiversity. Given that the area is already densely populated and has significant traffic problems, re-zoning would ensure that the area continues to have a minimum amount of Greenspace, and prevent further over-development. From a neglected playing field, a community gardening space has been created by passionate local people. It would be only appropriate that this excellent work, by and for the local community, be officially acknowledged by passing Prop0066

---

257 /

292 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

258 /

294 Prop0066 Clouston St

As a local resident for over 25 years I have been thrilled by the increase in community use of this site. It has huge potential as a community open space and there are currently all sorts of events and activities that are beneficial to the well being of all the local residents, eg gardening, woodland nursery, community play space, community gatherings eg in last few weeks halloween, guy fawlks, bulb planting, fruit tree planting, litter collections. I think it is essential to rezone the area so it continues to be developed for community use and not used for more housing . Much more can be done by the community once the threat of building is lifted.

---

259 /

293 Prop0066 Clouston St

AS a local resident for over 25 years I have been thrilled by the increase in community use of this site. It has huge potential as a community open space and there are currently all sorts of events and activities that are beneficial to the well being of all the local residents, eg gardening, woodland nursery, community play space, community gatherings eg in last few weeks halloween, guy fawlks, bulb planting, fruit tree planting, litter collections. I think it is essential to rezone the area so it continues to be developed for community use and not used for more housing . Much more can be done by the community once the threat of building is lifted.

---

260 /

295 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

261 /

296 Prop0066 Clouston St

I am writing to say that I am very much in favour of Prop0066 to rezone the Kelvin Meadows as a Greenspace and not its current Residential status. I believe it is very well used by the local community and an outdoor space for children and a woodland and wildlife space as well. There are trees on the land and this areas should be embraced as part of Glasgow's efforts to restore biodiversity.

---

262 /

298 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status.

---

263 /

297 Prop0066 Clouston St

I would like to state my support for proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status on the grounds that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, contains raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. Since August 2011 the site falls within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

264 /

299 Prop0066 Clouston St

I am writing to provide support for the proposal Prop0066 to rezone from its current Residential status to be designated as a Greenspace. The reasons for this rezoning are that it is within a site of importance for nature conservation supporting biodiversity, flora, fauna and health improvement of the local population. With over 480 trees on the land and tree preservation orders in place, it is much valued as part of the green network of our city and enhances the city's local woodlands.

It is a well used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and path network. It is a key part of the core paths strategy for the city's west end and supports access to walking, cycling and physical activity. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area and surrounded by listed buildings.

---

265 /

300 Prop0066 Clouston St

I am writing to support the proposal to rezone the site at Clouston Street as Greenspace. The site is being put to very good use by my local community as a green recreational space (outdoor play area; woodland, wildlife and meadow space; allotments) and I would like this use to continue, as it contributes to the well-being of local people and to the biodiversity of the city.

---

**267 / 302 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I agree with Proposal ref. Prop0066 for North Kelvin Meadow to be rezoned as a Greenspace from its current residential status. My family uses the children's wood frequently, and it is an invaluable asset to have locally as a well-maintained and well-used 'wilderness'/green space within the West End/Northern Kelvin side section of the city. No equivalent space exists nearby for local children -- manicured parks such as the Botanic Gardens and Kelvingrove Park are not rival, but complementary, green spaces, since it is only in the children's wood that children can play at building dens, collecting wood, building shelters and so on. Children play happily there for hours, free of charge, healthily, and without causing disturbance to anyone else. It is good for Glasgow's children to have somewhere like that to go. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

Nearby on Oban Drive, to say nothing of many unwanted river and canalside developments, are many empty, unwanted flats; Glasgow does not need any more building on green spaces. Once gone, green spaces do not return. The Kelvin Meadow is a delightful and well-used asset that adds to the colour, charm and biodiversity of Glasgow. It would be depressing to see it ruined by being built upon. Glasgow's West End does not need any more developments, as protests to previous attempts to turn the Botanic Gardens into a nightclub or to build on Otago Lane have shown. Please could the council show regard for the longer-term needs of Glasgow's future generations by retaining this tiny remaining patch of woodland for Glasgow's children and other residents?

---

**268 / 303 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I apologise for contacting you so close to the deadline, but I would like to let you know that I support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the City Plan 3. I am a Glasgow City Council Tax Payer.

---

**269 / 304 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state my support of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. This site is being well used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site is within Glasgow West Conservation Area, as from August 2011. There are a large number of local residents who give up their free time to maintain the site and develop it as a greenspace for the benefit of all. It provides a much needed leisure resource for the local community who do not have the benefit of their own gardens to play and relax and grow plants and produce in.

---

**270 / 305 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**271 / 306 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wanted to let you know that I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the North Kelvin Meadow as a greenspace instead of its residential status. We've seen how the area is used by the community for kids, wild life and events and it's one of the rare spaces that can help the current generation of kids from nature deficit disorders and other ailments and thereby save a lot of NHS money now and in the future. This place enhances the well-being of the community and social fabric. This is a worthwhile lifelong investment.

---

**272 / 307 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am in favour of the above, i.e. North Kelvin Meadow being retained in its current usage. I am a local mother of 2 boys and a local General Practitioner. My sons and I use the space on a weekly basis. They play, climb trees (which they are allowed to do in The Botanic Gardens), look for bugs, build dens and generally run off a lot of energy. With Glasgow's rising childhood obesity levels and current type 2 diabetes epidemic spaces like this are invaluable. For children in Glasgow that live in tenement flats and often don't have gardens to play in this space is very special indeed.

---

**273 / 308 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**274 / 309 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**276 / 312 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**278 / 314 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**279 / 315 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state that I am in favour of Proposal 0066 to rezone the site from a RESIDENTIAL site to a GREENSPACE site, and would like you to note my wishes along with all on the side of North Kelvin Meadow that this amenity should be maintained and further developed to give people a safe outside place to spend time with their families old and young.



---

**282 / 318 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am a grandmother from the Highlands , who is often down in Glasgow caring for my grandchildren . I have despaired at the lack of true urban greenspace available for my grandchildren till now . I am a psychologist with a speciality in children's development . I could not have been more impressed by "The ChildrensWood " on Kelvin meadow . A natural exciting place for children to learn and develop . Not a play park ....but a true place to explore. To see picture of the children in the wood enthralled by the telling of the Gruffalo , or hearing them squealing through the trees on Halloween with torches , or the anticipation of seeing reindeer at Xmas . The importance of this area to the community is immeasurable , so please think very carefully . I strongly support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a green space for City Plan 3

---

**283 / 319 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**284 / 320 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Green space from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

Both my children have benefited from having a nice open space available to them which does not have the usual restrictions that some of the local parks (for good reason in those spaces) have; for example, you are not allowed to climb trees in the Botanic Gardens. The meadow gives them an opportunity to explore more freely than otherwise would be possible in a park, and brings a wee flavour of the countryside to the city. I grew up in the countryside and also really enjoy the freedom of roaming round the meadow examining the wildlife and pointing out the various plants and insects that I find to my children.

---

**285 / 322 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for young children, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. I studied my doctorate in the relationship between natural spaces and well-being and believe that spaces like this area on Clouston Street must be retained and recognised for their benefits to both the individual and the community.

---

**287 / 323 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**288 / 324 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**289 / 325 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state that I am in favour of proposal Prop 0066 to rezone the site as a Green Space from its current Residential status. My grounds for this are that is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play are for children, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land, it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

Having lived in the area for 23 years, I have seen many changes in the west end due to the recent construction boom which has only temporarily slowed down. As a result, many green spaces have been absorbed and built on. This specific area would gain no benefit from being designated as a site for future building and this threatens to lose some of the real beauty that Glasgow has. By supporting this, Glasgow City Council will help to reflect their commitment to a number of great causes such as supporting the community (rather than developers) with a real beneficial green space, make an active contribution to help children have a clean wildlife environment to explore and learn in, allow other groups of the community to gain benefit from the meadows and future projects, and ultimately protect the wildlife and nature that is entrenched in the site. The council also has an opportunity to show their active support by granting this re-zone as it will greatly contribute towards reducing Carbon Emissions by allowing this site to remain green (such a contribute will make a cleaner environment through the oxygnisation of trees and plants – which is very much needed in Britain's 3rd largest urban city).

The only benefit in not re-zoning the site to Green Space is purely commercial and only supports short term goals. This is an opportunity for Glasgow City Council representatives to support the community and create a long lasting legacy in the area which can be shared with many generations to come.

---

**290 / 326 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for children, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**291 / 327 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone North Kelvin Meadow from its current Residential status to a Greenspace on the grounds are that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for children, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. To the best of my knowledge, this site has never had residential buildings on it. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. As of August 2011 this entire site is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**292 / 328 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**293 / 329 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**294 / 330 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site on Clouston Street as greenspace. My grounds are that it was originally used by the local community as a sports area. Even now it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**296 / 335 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for children, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**297 / 336 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for children, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**301 / 340 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I fully support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow to be a Green space for the City Plan 3. The wonderful activities for children that are being organised there are fantastic. This sort of responsibility taking and nurturing by the local residents needs to be cultivated, celebrated and supported by the council.

---

**315 / 362 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I agree that the site be rezoned as Greenspace instead of the existing DEV2 Residential status, as proposed by North Kelvin Meadow. This space has long been used by the local community as a recreational space, play area and a space to locally grow food. This is particularly important when it is considered that the local allotments have a 5 year waiting list. Almost 500 trees grow on the site, clearly marking it as part of the green network of Glasgow and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site, as of August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. The area has historically been a recreation ground, even whilst the area around it was developed.

---

**317 / 366 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status.

I am a resident of Clouston St and can see on a daily basis how the space is used by so many people from both the vicinity and further afield. The meadow is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**319 / 369 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I would like to register my wholehearted agreement with the proposal by North Kelvin Meadow for Ref. Prop0066 to be re-zoned as Greenspace instead of the existing Residential status (DEV2 Residential). This concerns the North Kelvin Meadow on Clouston Street, Glasgow. I agree with the proposal on a number of grounds. The area is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for children, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. There are over 480 trees on the land and it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as of August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

For decades the land has been used and enjoyed by children and young people who previously used the site for playing football when the area was known as the Kelvin Playing Fields. It belonged and still belongs to the people of Glasgow and particularly those in the surrounding community. The site has never had residential buildings on it: documentary evidence of that is available on maps from the past, such as this one below showing how the land was undeveloped in 1894 while the streets surrounding it had started to be developed with tenements. We need these green spaces for the health and wellbeing of people in our community, for the sake of the environment, for the conservation of the area, and as part of what we pay our council tax for: we expect our voices to be heard and our usable spaces to be protected and cherished by the planning department, despite monetary value.

---

**320 / 370 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I write to support the rezoning of the North Kelvin Meadows area as Greenspace ( Clouston street) and not for residential development. This is a much cherished and cared for community green space with a long history of planned and leisure community activities. It has the full support of the local community and its many families and inhabitants. The locality lives in flats predominantly and this space has never hosted housing

---

**322 / 372 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**323 / 373 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I agree that Proposal reference number Prop0066 be rezoned as Greenspace instead of the existing Residential status. This is on the grounds are that it's being used by our local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. The site has never had residential buildings on it, documentary evidence of that is available on maps from the past, such as this one below showing how the land was undeveloped in 1894 while the streets surrounding it had started to be developed with tenements. The land is essential to preserve for our children and families and provides a wonderful woodland area for children to explore with events regularly held on its space. I sincerely hope you will consider all these above points.

---

**328 / 378 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow

---

**458 / 510 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state that I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status.

I am in favour of the proposal as the land is already being used by the local community as a green recreational space, providing a safe outdoor play area for kids, space for raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**462 / 511 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**463 / 512 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**465 / 514 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**466 / 515 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

467 /

518 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. I visit the area often and have be happy to see the space being well used by the local community in a way that promotes physical and mental health, community spirit and a sustainable environment. It is a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

469 /

520 Prop0066 Clouston St

I am in favour of proposal Prop0066 to re-zone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status on the grounds that it is being used by the local community as:

- a green recreational space
- an outdoor play area for children
- raised bed allotments

It also represents an urban woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to the biodiversity. The whole site has been within Glasgow West Conservation Area since August 2011.

---

471 /

522 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that its being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

472 /

523 Prop0066 Clouston St

To whom it may concern: I strongly support the rezoning of North Kelvin Meadow as Green Space for City Plan 3. I am a resident of the area and support the long standing campaign to save this wonderful meadow. It has been transformed from derelict pitches into a wild garden for people to enjoy, where children can play and which has become a habitat for wildlife. The area does not need any more property development. This city should not use its open spaces for development only.

---

482 /

539 Prop0066 Clouston St

I fully support this space be rezoned as a greenspace and not used for housing development.

---

486 /

543 Prop0066 Clouston St

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for children, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

488 /

549 Prop0066 Clouston St

We would support the reclassification of this site as greenspace, in order to protect its existing wildlife value.

---

507 /

573 Prop0066 Clouston St

I support that Proposal reference number Prop0066 be rezoned as Greenspace instead of the existing Residential status. This is because it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for kids, raised bed allotments and it is a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area. The site has never had residential buildings on it, documentary evidence of that is available on maps from the past, showing how the land was undeveloped in 1894 while the streets surrounding it had started to be developed with tenements.

---

510 /

643 Prop0066 Clouston St

Transform Scotland warmly welcomes the inclusion of proposal 66 to convert existing development-designated land to greenspace.

---

523 /

712 Prop0066 Clouston St

no issues

---

529 /

736 Prop0066 Clouston St

This site is accessible by only 1 bus per hour. It is less accessible than many of the other additional sites and is likely to require a private car to access - this site should not be considered for development which is likely to create a large number of person trips.

---

533 /

612 Prop0066 Clouston St

I fully support the North Kelvin Meadow in its bid to remain a lovely GREEN area in Glasgows west end. A few years ago it was a health hazard and through the hard work of locals it has been transformed into a pleasant area for all to use for growing fruit ,some veg, lots of trees and bulbs. Please let it remain as it has always been an unbuilt plot but now for everyone to enjoy.



---

**534 / 613 Prop0066 Clouston St**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site as an greenspace on flood risk grounds.

---

**534 / 774 Prop0066 Clouston St**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site as an greenspace on flood risk grounds.

---

**535 / 614 Prop0066 Clouston St**

We support the urban greenspace proposal 0066 where it has local community support.

---

**535 / 672 Prop0066 Clouston St**

We support urban greenspace proposals where these have local community support.

---

**536 / 615 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I wish to state I'm in favour of proposal Prop0066 to rezone the below site as a Greenspace from its current Residential status. My grounds are that it is being used by the local community as a green recreational space, an outdoor play area for children, raised bed allotments and a woodland, wildlife and meadow space. With over 480 trees on the land it is clearly part of the green network of our city and adds to its biodiversity. The whole site as from August 2011 is within Glasgow West Conservation Area.

---

**550 / 681 Prop0066 Clouston St**

I strongly agree with the proposal to re-allocate the space as Greenspace as opposed to its current status of Residential. This space has historically been a recreation space and is currently used as a valued green recreational space by the local community. It provides a safe outdoor play area for children in a naturalised space with woodland and associated wildlife. It also has raised bed allotments utilised by the local community. As a naturalised urban space with over 480 trees it enhances the network of green spaces, a requirement of Glasgow's Biodiversity Action Plan. Also the whole site sits within Glasgow West Conservation Area

---

**523 / 713 Prop0067 Duke St/Netherfield St/Fleming St**

Parkhead - should be no issues - may require flow test at hydrant to ensure mains not chocked at dead end

---

**534 / 775 Prop0067 Duke St/Netherfield St/Fleming St**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**535 / 667 Prop0067 Duke St/Netherfield St/Fleming St**

Some areas of derelict land have been shown to be useful for raised beds and could be used for small educational growing areas, in particular 0067.

---

**1 / 6 Prop0068 Ashfield St/Allander St**

I support these additional greenspace proposals for our densely populated city

---

**28 / 46 Prop0068 Ashfield St/Allander St**

I am writing to support the proposal to designate site "Prop0068 Ashfield St/Allander St" as Greenspace.

This change of designation would greatly enhance the lives of local people in an area lacking in good quality greenspace.

---

**29 / 47 Prop0068 Ashfield St/Allander St**

I have been on the committee of Friends of Possilpark Greenspace for the last 4-5 years. I also live in Killearn Street just off Saracen Street and work for a local church. Possilpark is seriously lacking in high quality amenity Greenspace – 100% of people in Possil live within 500m of vacant and derelict land according to recent statistics. We want our children to grow up with access to quality greenspace and all the psychological and health benefits this can bring. There is nowhere like this in our immediate community at the moment. Friends of Possilpark Greenspace and other local community groups have improved this site in the past two years and the local community are taking increasing ownership of the site. With the support of the Council and the longer term security that would be achieved by Greenspace designation Possil Meadow can become a well used high quality civic space within Possilpark.

I fully endorse the proposal.

---

**266 / 301 Prop0068 Ashfield St/Allander St**

I write in support of the plan to designate Possil Meadow at Allander Street in Possilpark, as Greenspace. We very much need high quality Greenspace in this area blighted by post-industrial wasteland; it affects every level of human flourishing. Sorry to have missed the deadline - I was helping to trim another area in Possil ready for wildflower planting!

---

**510 / 644 Prop0068 Ashfield St/Allander St**

Transform Scotland warmly welcomes the inclusion of proposal 68 to convert existing development-designated land to greenspace.

---

**523 / 714 Prop0068 Ashfield St/Allander St**

Saracen - no major issues

---

**534 / 776 Prop0068 Ashfield St/Allander St**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site as an greenspace on flood risk grounds.

---

**535 / 673 Prop0068 Ashfield St/Allander St**

We support urban greenspace proposals where these have local community support.

---

**1 / 7 Prop0069 Lambhill Allotments, Balmore Rd**

I support these additional greenspace proposals for our densely populated city

---

29 /

48 **Prop0069 Lambhill Allotments, Balmore Rd**

I live just down the road in Possil and have an allotment at the Ellesmere Street allotment. I know of many local people who would love to have an allotment and being able to have access to more plots would be a great boon to Lambhill/Possil. For these to be secure for the future it makes sense to redesignate the site as a Greenspace site.

I fully endorse the proposal made by Lambhill and District Community Council

---

510 /

645 **Prop0069 Lambhill Allotments, Balmore Rd**

Transform Scotland warmly welcomes the inclusion of proposal 69 to convert existing development-designated land to greenspace.

---

522 /

684 **Prop0069 Lambhill Allotments, Balmore Rd**

This site has strategic Green Network potential, thanks to its existing semi-natural vegetation and its position on a disused railway wildlife corridor adjoining the active railway corridor. From the standpoint of enhancing the natural heritage and people's enjoyment of it, the site seems ideally suited to the proposed greenspace allocation.

---

523 /

715 **Prop0069 Lambhill Allotments, Balmore Rd**

4 36 " mains and on 152 MAIN ADJACENT TO THIS SITE

---

534 /

777 **Prop0069 Lambhill Allotments, Balmore Rd**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site as an greenspace on flood risk grounds.

---

535 /

674 **Prop0069 Lambhill Allotments, Balmore Rd**

We support urban greenspace proposals where these have local community support.

---

**444 / 496 Prop0070 Blawarthill Hospital**

In December 2011, we submitted a representation to the MIR on behalf of NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde. As outlined previously, an ongoing estates rationalisation programme is currently underway, and a number of landholdings have been declared surplus to requirements due to the reprovion of services elsewhere.

Please accept this letter as conformation that the NHS is supportive of the proposed change in allocation from DEV 9: Civic, Hospital and Tertiary Education to "Residential or Care Home" and considers this allocation would appropriately reflect current proposals for the site.

---

**501 / 563 Prop0070 Blawarthill Hospital**

In December 2011, Jones Lang LaSalle submitted a series of representations to the Main Issues Report (MIR) for the emerging Glasgow Local Development Plan (LDP) on behalf of NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde. As outlined previously, an ongoing estates rationalisation programme is currently underway, and a number of landholdings have been declared surplus to requirements due to the reprovion of services elsewhere. We note that Glasgow City Council is currently undertaking further consultation on the emerging LDP and is accepting comments on potential additional sites, including the Blawarthill Hospital site (Ref: Prop0070), prior to producing the Proposed LDP. Please accept this letter as confirmation that NHS is supportive of the proposed change in allocation from DEV 9 'Civic, Hospital or Tertiary Education' to 'Residential or Care Home', and considers this allocation would appropriately reflect current proposals for the site.

---

**523 / 716 Prop0070 Blawarthill Hospital**

Knightswood - No issues lots of existing private mains though site

---

**534 / 778 Prop0070 Blawarthill Hospital**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**535 / 668 Prop0070 Blawarthill Hospital**

There are a number of hospital sites being converted. Hospitals used to have gardens for the patients and if any of these remain they should be preserved.

---

**523 / 717 Prop0071 North Hanover St**

no - issues - existing college building

---

**534 / 779 Prop0071 North Hanover St**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**523 / 718 Prop0072 Cathedral St (College of Commerce)**

no - issues - existing college building

---

**534 / 780 Prop0072 Cathedral St (College of Commerce)**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**523 / 719 Prop0073 Cathedral St (College of Food Technology)**

no - issues - existing college building

---

**534 / 781 Prop0073 Cathedral St (College of Food Technology)**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**523 / 720 Prop0074 Florence St**

lots of large diameter mains adjacent to this site - more discussion requires - no issues with water supply

---

**529 / 737 Prop0074 Florence St**

This site is accessible by only 1 bus per hour. It is less accessible than many of the other additional sites and is likely to require a private car to access - this site should not be considered for development which is likely to create a large number of person trips.

---

**534 / 782 Prop0074 Florence St**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds subject to all development starting at or above an elevation of 5.08 mAOD. This value is the 1:200 year coastal design level for this site taken from River Clyde Flood Management Strategy (2005 Halcrow / Fairhurst). Any development that fall into the category of being Essential Civil Infrastructure (as per SPP paragraph 204) will need to be protected to the 1:1,000 year level of protection. Water resilient measures required

---

**523 / 721 Prop0075 Rogart St**

crownpont - existing industrial area - no issues

---

**534 / 783 Prop0075 Rogart St**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

**523 / 722 Prop0076 Dornoch St**

no - issues - existing college building

---

**534 / 784 Prop0076 Dornoch St**

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required



---

523 /

723 Prop0077 Croftburn Drive

no - issues

---

534 /

785 Prop0077 Croftburn Drive

We have no objection to the allocation of this site on flood risk grounds. Water resilient measures required

---

535 /

675 Prop0077 Croftburn Drive

We support the urban greenspace proposals where these have local community support.

---

535 /

677 Prop0077 Croftburn Drive

Why is proposal 77 changing green space to allotments? Are allotment sites a separate category in the LDP and if so should all potential allotment sites be designated? Under SPP11 it was agreed that allotments were part of greenspace, the amalgamated SPP has overtaken SPP11 so does the designation still stand? Allotments are a separate item in PAN 65 typology so are the other components of greenspace such as play parks etc also to be identified?